

planetmath.org

Math for the people, by the people.

deck transformation

Canonical name DeckTransformation
Date of creation 2013-03-22 13:26:49
Last modified on Owner mathcam (2727)
Last modified by mathcam (2727)

Numerical id 17

Author mathcam (2727)

Entry type Definition Classification msc 55R05

Synonym covering transformation
Related topic PoperlyDiscontinuousAction
Related topic ClassificationOfCoveringSpaces

Defines deck transformation
Defines covering transformation

Defines equivalence
Defines self equivalence
Defines self-equivalence.

Defines Galois group of a cover

Let $p: E \to X$ be a covering map. A deck transformation or covering transformation is a map $D: E \to E$ such that $p \circ D = p$, that is, such that the following diagram commutes.

$$E$$
 p
 X
 E

It is straightforward to check that the set of deck transformations is closed under compositions and the operation of taking inverses. Therefore the set of deck transformations is a subgroup of the group of homeomorphisms of E. This group will be denoted by $\operatorname{Aut}(p)$ and referred to as the group of deck transformations or as the automorphism group of p. It is worth noting that an alternative name for the group of deck transformations is the Galois group of the covering. This terminology arises from an analogy with the fundamental theorem of Galois theory which gives the inclusion-reversing identification addressed in the classification of covering spaces.

In the more general context of fiber bundles deck transformations correspond to *isomorphisms over the identity* since the above diagram could be expanded to:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
E & D & E \\
p & p \\
X & M & X
\end{array}$$

An isomorphism not necessarily over the identity is called an *equivalence*. In other words an equivalence between two covering maps $p \colon E \to X$ and $p' \colon E' \to X'$ is a pair of maps (\tilde{f}, f) that make the following diagram commute

$$E' \quad \stackrel{\tilde{f}}{=} \quad E$$

$$p' \qquad \qquad p$$

$$X' \quad \qquad f \quad X$$

i.e. such that $p \circ \tilde{f} = f \circ p'$.

Deck transformations should be perceived as the symmetries of p (hence the notation Aut(p)), and therefore they should be expected to preserve any concept that is defined in terms of P. Most of what follows is an instance of this meta-principle.

Properties of deck transformations

For this section we assume that the total space E is connected and locally path connected. Notice that a deck transformation is a lifting of $p \colon E \to X$ and therefore (according to the lifting theorem) it is uniquely determined by the image of a point. In other words:

Proposition 1. Let $D_1, D_2 \in \text{Aut}(p)$. If there is $e \in E$ such that $D_1(e) = D_2(e)$ then $D_1 = D_2$. In particular if $D_1(e) = e$ for some $e \in E$ then $D_1 = id$.

Another simple (or should I say double?) application of the lifting theorem gives

Proposition 2. Given $e, e' \in E$ with p(e) = p(e'), there is a $D \in \text{Aut}(p)$ such that D(e) = e' if and only if $p_*(\pi_1(E, e)) = p_*(\pi_1(E, e'))$, where p_* denotes $\pi_1(p)$.

Proposition 3. Deck transformations commute with the monodromy action. That is if $* \in X$, $e \in p^{-1}(*)$, $\gamma \in \pi_1(X, *)$ and $D \in \text{Aut}(p)$ then

$$D(x \cdot \gamma) = D(x) \cdot \gamma$$

where \cdot denotes the monodromy action.

Proof. If $\tilde{\gamma}$ is a lifting of γ starting at e, then $D \circ \tilde{\gamma}$ is a lifting of γ starting at D(e).

We simplify notation by using π_e to denote the fundamental group $\pi_1(E, e)$ for $e \in E$.

Theorem 4. For all $e \in E$

$$\operatorname{Aut}(p) \cong N\left(p_*(\pi_e)\right)/p_*(\pi_e)$$

where, $N(p_*\pi_e)$ denotes the normalizer of $p_*\pi_e$ inside $\pi_1(X, p(e))$.

Proof. Denote $N(p_*\pi_e)$ by N. Note that if $\gamma \in N$ then $p_*(\pi_{e \cdot \gamma}) = p_*(\pi_e)$. Indeed, recall that $p_*(\pi_e)$ is the stabilizer of e under the momodromy action and therefore we have

$$p * (\pi_{e \cdot \gamma}) = \operatorname{Stab}(e \cdot \gamma) = \gamma \operatorname{Stab}(e) \gamma^{-1} = \gamma p_*(\pi_e) \gamma^{-1} = p_*(\pi_e)$$

where, the last equality follows from the definition of normalizer. One can then define a map

$$\varphi \colon N \to \operatorname{Aut}(p)$$

as follows: For $\gamma \in N$ let $\varphi(\gamma)$ be the deck transformation that maps e to $e \cdot \gamma$. Notice that Proposition ?? ensures the existence of such a deck transformation while Proposition ?? guarantees its uniqueness. Now

- φ is a homomorphism. Indeed $\varphi(\gamma_1\gamma_2)$ and $\varphi(\gamma_1)\circ\varphi(\gamma_2)$ are deck transformations that map e to $e\cdot(\gamma_1\gamma_2)$.
- φ is onto. Indeed given $D \in \operatorname{Aut}(p)$ since E is path connected one can find a path α in E connecting e and D(e). Then $p \circ \alpha$ is a loop in X and $D = \varphi(p \circ \alpha)$.
- $\ker(\varphi) = p_*(\pi_e)$. Obvious.

Therefore the theorem follows by the first isomorphism theorem.

Corollary 5. If p is regular covering then

$$\operatorname{Aut}(p) \cong \pi_1(X, *)/p_* (\pi_1(E, e)).$$

Corollary 6. If p is the universal cover then

$$\operatorname{Aut}(p) \cong \pi_1(X, *)$$
.