



planetmath.org

Math for the people, by the people.

reduction of structure group

Canonical name	ReductionOfStructureGroup
Date of creation	2013-03-22 13:26:06
Last modified on	2013-03-22 13:26:06
Owner	antonio (1116)
Last modified by	antonio (1116)
Numerical id	12
Author	antonio (1116)
Entry type	Definition
Classification	msc 55R10
Related topic	VectorBundle
Related topic	FiberBundle
Defines	Euclidean structure
Defines	Riemannian structure
Defines	complex structure
Defines	almost-complex structure

Given a fiber bundle  $p : E \rightarrow B$  with typical fiber  $F$  and structure group  $G$  (henceforth called an  $(F, G)$ -bundle over  $B$ ), we say that the bundle admits a *reduction of its structure group to  $H$* , where  $H < G$  is a subgroup, if it is isomorphic to an  $(F, H)$ -bundle over  $B$ .

Equivalently,  $E$  admits a reduction of structure group to  $H$  if there is a choice of local trivializations covering  $E$  such that the transition functions all belong to  $H$ .

**Remark 1** Here, the action of  $H$  on  $F$  is the restriction of the  $G$ -action; in particular, this means that an  $(F, H)$ -bundle is automatically an  $(F, G)$ -bundle. The bundle isomorphism in the definition then becomes meaningful in the category of  $(F, G)$ -bundles over  $B$ .

**Example 1** Let  $H$  be the trivial subgroup. Then, the existence of a reduction of structure group to  $H$  is equivalent to the bundle being trivial.

For the following examples, let  $E$  be an  $n$ -dimensional vector bundle, so that  $F \cong \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $G = GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ , the general linear group acting as usual.

**Example 2** Set  $H = GL^+(n, \mathbb{R})$ , the subgroup of  $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$  consisting of matrices with positive determinant. A reduction to  $H$  is equivalent to an orientation of the vector bundle. In the case where  $B$  is a smooth manifold and  $E = TB$  is its tangent bundle, this coincides with other definitions of an orientation of  $B$ .

**Example 3** Set  $H = O(n)$ , the orthogonal group. A reduction to  $H$  is called a *Riemannian* or *Euclidean structure* on the vector bundle. It coincides with a continuous fiberwise choice of a positive definite inner product, and for the case of the tangent bundle, with the usual notion of a Riemannian metric on a manifold.

When  $B$  is paracompact, an argument with partitions of unity shows that a Riemannian structure always exists on any given vector bundle. For this reason, it is often convenient to start out assuming the structure group to be  $O(n)$ .

**Example 4** Let  $n = 2m$  be even, and let  $H = GL(m, \mathbb{C})$ , the group of invertible complex matrices, embedded in  $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$  by means of the usual identification of  $\mathbb{C}$  with  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . A reduction to  $H$  is called a *complex structure*

on the vector bundle, and it is equivalent to a continuous fiberwise choice of an endomorphism  $J$  satisfying  $J^2 = -I$ .

A complex structure on a tangent bundle is called an *almost-complex structure* on the manifold. This is to distinguish it from the more restrictive notion of a complex structure on a manifold, which requires the existence of an atlas with charts in  $\mathbb{C}^m$  such that the transition functions are holomorphic.

**Example 5** Let  $H = GL(1, \mathbb{R}) \times GL(n-1, \mathbb{R})$ , embedded in  $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$  by  $(A, B) \mapsto A \oplus B$ . A reduction to  $H$  is equivalent to the existence of a splitting  $E \cong E_1 \oplus E_2$ , where  $E_1$  is a line bundle. More generally, a reduction to  $GL(k, \mathbb{R}) \times GL(n-k, \mathbb{R})$  is equivalent to a splitting  $E \cong E_1 \oplus E_2$ , where  $E_1$  is a  $k$ -plane bundle.

**Remark 2** These examples all have two features in common, namely:

- the subgroup  $H$  can be interpreted as being precisely the subgroup of  $G$  which preserves a particular structure, and,
- a reduction to  $H$  is equivalent to a continuous fiber-by-fiber choice of a structure of the same kind.

For example,  $O(n)$  is the subgroup of  $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$  which preserves the standard inner product of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and reduction of structure to  $O(n)$  is equivalent to a fiberwise choice of inner products.

This is not a coincidence. The intuition behind this is as follows. There is no obstacle to choosing a fiberwise inner product in a neighborhood of any given point  $x \in B$ : we simply choose a neighborhood  $U$  on which the bundle is trivial, and with respect to a trivialization  $p^{-1}(U) \cong \mathbb{R}^n \times U$ , we can let the inner product on each  $p^{-1}(y)$  be the standard inner product. However, if we make these choices locally around every point in  $B$ , there is no guarantee that they “glue together” properly to yield a global continuous choice, *unless* the transition functions preserve the standard inner product. But this is precisely what reduction of structure to  $O(n)$  means.

The same explanation holds for subgroups preserving other kinds of structure.