



planetmath.org

Math for the people, by the people.

sufficient statistic

| | |
|------------------|--------------------------------|
| Canonical name | SufficientStatistic |
| Date of creation | 2013-03-22 15:02:42 |
| Last modified on | 2013-03-22 15:02:42 |
| Owner | CWoo (3771) |
| Last modified by | CWoo (3771) |
| Numerical id | 11 |
| Author | CWoo (3771) |
| Entry type | Definition |
| Classification | msc 62B05 |
| Synonym | sufficient estimator |
| Synonym | minimally sufficient statistic |
| Synonym | minimal sufficient |
| Synonym | minimally sufficient |
| Defines | minimal sufficient statistic |
| Defines | equivalent statistic |

Let $\{f_\theta\}$ be a statistical model with parameter θ . Let $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ be a random vector of random variables representing n observations. A statistic $T = T(\mathbf{X})$ of \mathbf{X} for the parameter θ is called a *sufficient statistic*, or a *sufficient estimator*, if the conditional probability distribution of \mathbf{X} given $T(\mathbf{X}) = t$ is not a function of θ (equivalently, does not depend on θ).

In other words, all the information about the unknown parameter θ is captured in the sufficient statistic T . If, say, we are interested in finding out the percentage of defective light bulbs in a shipment of new ones, it is enough, or *sufficient*, to count the number of defective ones (sum of the X_i 's), rather than worrying about which individual light bulbs are the defective ones (the vector (X_1, \dots, X_n)). By taking the sum, a certain “reduction” of data has been achieved.

Examples

1. Let X_1, \dots, X_n be n independent observations from a uniform distribution on integers $1, \dots, \theta$. Let $T = \max\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ be a statistic for θ . Then the conditional probability distribution of $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ given $T = t$ is

$$P(\mathbf{X} \mid t) = \frac{P(X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n, \max\{X_n\} = t)}{P(\max\{X_n\} = t)}.$$

The numerator is 0 if $\max\{x_n\} \neq t$. So in this case, $P(\mathbf{X} \mid t) = 0$ and is not a function of θ . Otherwise, the numerator is θ^{-n} and $P(\mathbf{X} \mid t)$ becomes

$$\frac{\theta^{-n}}{P(\max\{X_n\} = t)} = (\theta^n P(X_{(1)} \leq \dots \leq X_{(n)} = t))^{-1},$$

where $X_{(i)}$'s are the rearrangements of the X_i 's in a non-decreasing order from $i = 1$ to n . For the denominator, we first note that

$$\begin{aligned} P(X_{(1)} \leq \dots \leq X_{(n)} = t) &= P(X_{(1)} \leq \dots \leq X_{(n)} \leq t) - P(X_{(1)} \leq \dots \leq X_{(n)} < t) \\ &= P(X_{(1)} \leq \dots \leq X_{(n)} \leq t) - P(X_{(1)} \leq \dots \leq X_{(n)} \leq t-1). \end{aligned}$$

From the above equation, we find that there are $t^n - (t-1)^n$ ways to form non-decreasing finite sequences of n positive integers such that the maximum of the sequence is t . So

$$(\theta^n P(X_{(1)} \leq \dots \leq X_{(n)} = t))^{-1} = (\theta^n (t^n - (t-1)^n) \theta^{-n})^{-1} = (t^n - (t-1)^n)^{-1}$$

again is not a function of θ . Therefore, $T = \max\{X_i\}$ is a sufficient statistic for θ . Here, we see that a reduction of data has been achieved by taking only the largest member of set of observations, not the entire set.

2. If we set $T(X_1, \dots, X_n) = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$, then we see that T is trivially a sufficient statistic for *any* parameter θ . The conditional probability distribution of (X_1, \dots, X_n) given T is 1. Even though this is a sufficient statistic by definition (of course, the individual observations provide as much information there is to know about θ as possible), and there is no loss of data in T (which is simply a list of all observations), there is really no reduction of data to speak of here.

3. The sample mean

$$\bar{X} = \frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n}{n}$$

of n independent observations from a normal distribution $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ (both μ and σ^2 unknown) is a sufficient statistic for μ . This is the result of the factorization criterion. Similarly, one sees that any partition of the sum of n observations X_i into m subtotals is a sufficient statistic for μ . For instance,

$$T(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^j X_i, \sum_{i=j+1}^k X_i, \sum_{i=k+1}^n X_i \right)$$

is a sufficient statistic for μ .

4. Again, assume there are n independent observations X_i from a normal distribution $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ with unknown mean and variance. The sample variance

$$\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2$$

is *not* a sufficient statistic for σ^2 . However, if μ is a known constant, then

$$\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu)^2$$

is a sufficient statistic for σ^2 .

A sufficient statistic for a parameter θ is called a *minimal sufficient statistic* if it can be expressed as a function of any sufficient statistic for θ .

Example. In example 3 above, both the sample mean \bar{X} and the finite sum $S = X_1 + \cdots + X_n$ are minimal sufficient statistics for the mean μ . Since, by the factorization criterion, any sufficient statistic T for μ is a vector whose coordinates form a partition of the finite sum, taking the sum of these coordinates is just the finite sum S . So, we have just expressed S as a function of T . Therefore, S is minimal. Similarly, \bar{X} is minimal.

Two sufficient statistics T_1, T_2 for a parameter θ are said to be equivalent provided that there is a bijection g such that $g \circ T_1 = T_2$. \bar{X} and S from the above example are two equivalent sufficient statistics. Two minimal sufficient statistics for the same parameter are equivalent.