



Math for the people, by the people.

## ETAS interpretation

Canonical name	ETASInterpretation
Date of creation	2013-03-22 18:16:04
Last modified on	2013-03-22 18:16:04
Owner	bci1 (20947)
Last modified by	bci1 (20947)
Numerical id	80
Author	bci1 (20947)
Entry type	Topic
Classification	msc 81-00
Classification	msc 92B05
Classification	msc 03G30
Classification	msc 18-00
Synonym	elementary theory of abstract supercategories
Synonym	ETAS
Related topic	Category
Related topic	CategoryTheory
Related topic	ETAS
Related topic	CategoricalOntologyABibliographyOfCategoryTheory
Related topic	AlgebraicComputation
Related topic	CategoricalOntology
Related topic	QuantumLogic
Related topic	CategoryOfQuantumAutomata
Related topic	FunctorCategory2
Related topic	QuantumAutomataAndQuantumComputation2
Related topic	SupercategoryOfVariableMolecularSets
Related topic	ETA
Defines	axioms of metacategories and supercategories
Defines	examples of supercategories and metacategories
Defines	ETAS interpretation
Defines	ETAS axiom
Defines	ETAS

## 0.1 Introduction

*ETAS* is the acronym for the “*Elementary Theory of Abstract Supercategories*” as defined by the *axioms of metacategories and supercategories*.

The following are simple examples of supercategories that are essentially interpretations of the eight *ETAC* axioms reported by W. F. Lawvere (1968), with one or several *ETAS* axioms added as indicated in the examples listed. A family, or class, of a specific level (or ‘order’)  $(n + 1)$  of a supercategory  $\mathbb{S}_{n+1}$  (with  $n$  being an integer) is defined by the specific *ETAS* axioms added to the eight *ETAC* axioms; thus, for  $n = 0$ , there are no additional *ETAS* axioms and the supercategory  $\mathbb{S}_1$  is the limiting, lower type, currently defined as a category with only one composition law and any standard interpretation of the eight *ETAC* axioms. Thus, the first level of ‘proper’ supercategory  $\mathbb{S}_2$  is defined as an interpretation of *ETAS* axioms **S1** and **S2**; for  $n = 3$ , the supercategory  $\mathbb{S}_4$  is defined as an interpretation of the eight *ETAC* axioms plus the additional three *ETAS* axioms: **S2**, **S3** and **S4**. Any (proper) recursive formula or ‘function’ can be utilized to generate supercategories at levels  $n$  higher than  $\mathbb{S}_4$  by adding composition or consistency laws to the *ETAS* axioms **S1** to **S4**, thus allowing a digital computer algorithm to generate any finite level supercategory  $\mathbb{S}_n$  syntax, to which one needs then to add semantic interpretations (which are complementary to the computer generated syntax).

## 0.2 Simple examples of *ETAS* interpretation in supercategories

1. *Functor categories* subject only to the eight *ETAC* axioms;
2. *Functor supercategories*,  $\mathcal{F}_S : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ , with both  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  being ‘large’ categories (i.e.,  $\mathcal{A}$  does not need to be small as in the case of *functor categories*);
3. A *topological groupoid category* is an example of a particular supercategory with all invertible morphisms endowed with both a topological and an algebraic structure, still subject to all *ETAC* axioms;
4. *Supergroupoids* (also definable as crossed complexes of groupoids), and *supergroups* –also definable as crossed modules of groups– seem to be

of great interest to mathematicians currently involved in ‘categorified’ mathematical physics or physical mathematics.)

5. A *double groupoid category* is a ‘simple’ example of a higher dimensional supercategory which is useful in higher dimensional homotopy theory, especially in non-Abelian algebraic topology; this concept is subject to all eight ETAC axioms, plus additional axioms related to the definition of the double groupoid (generally non-Abelian) structures;
6. An example of ‘standard’ supercategories was recently introduced in mathematical (or more specifically ‘categorified’) physics, on the web’s <http://golem.ph.utexas.edu/category/2007/07/supercategories.html>–Category café’s web site under “*Supercategories*”. This is a rather ‘simple’ example of supercategories, albeit in a much more restricted sense as it still involves only the standard categorical homo-morphisms, homo-functors, and so on; it begins with a somewhat standard definition of super-categories, or ‘super categories’ from category theory, but then it becomes more interesting as it is being tailored to supersymmetry and extensions of ‘Lie’ superalgebras, or superalgebroids, which are sometimes called graded ‘Lie’ algebras that are thought to be relevant to quantum gravity ([?] and references cited therein). The following is an almost exact quote from the above n-Category café’s website posted mainly by Dr. Urs Schreiber: A *supercategory* is a *diagram* of the form:

$$\diamond \diamond Id_C \diamond \mathbf{C} \diamond s$$

in  $\mathbf{Cat}$ –the category of categories and (homo-) functors between categories–such that:

$$\diamond \diamond Id \diamond \diamond Id_C \diamond \mathbf{C} \diamond \mathbf{C} \diamond s \diamond s = \diamond \diamond Id_C \diamond Id_C \diamond Id,$$

(where the ‘diamond’ symbol should be replaced by the symbol ‘square’, as in the original Dr. Urs Schreiber’s postings.)

This specific instance is that of a supercategory which has only **one object**–the above quoted superdiagram of diamonds, an arbitrary abstract category  $\mathbf{C}$  (subject to all ETAC axioms), and the standard category identity (homo-) functor; it can be further specialized to the previously introduced concepts of *supergroupoids* (also definable as crossed complexes of groupoids), and *supergroups* (also definable as

crossed modules of groups), which seem to be of great interest to mathematicians involved in ‘Categorified’ mathematical physics or physical mathematics.) This was then continued with the following interesting example. “What, in this sense, is a *braided monoidal supercategory*?” Dr. Urs Schreiber, suggested the following answer: “like an ordinary braided monoidal category is a 3-category which in lowest degrees looks like the trivial 2-group, a braided monoidal supercategory is a 3-category which in lowest degree looks like the strict 2-group that comes from the crossed module  $G(2) = (\diamond 2 \diamond Id \diamond 2)$ ”. Urs called this generalization of stabilization of  $n$ -categories,  $G(2)$ -*stabilization*. Therefore, the claim would be that ‘braided monoidal supercategories come from  $G(2)$ -stabilized 3-categories, with  $G(2)$  the above strict 2-group’;

7. An *organismic set* of order  $n$  can be regarded either as a category of algebraic theories representing organismic sets of different orders  $o \leq n$  or as a *discrete topology* organismic supercategory of algebraic theories (or supercategory only with discrete topology, e.g. , a *class* of objects);
8. Any ‘standard’ topos with a (commutative) Heyting logic algebra as a subobject classifier is an example of a commutative (and distributive) supercategory with the additional axioms to ETAC being those that define the Heyting logic algebra;
9. The generalized  $LM_n$  (Łukasiewicz–Moisil) toposes are supercategories of *non-commutative*, algebraic  $n$ -valued logic diagrams that are subject to the axioms of  $LM_n$  *algebras of  $n$ -valued logics*;
10.  $n$ -categories are supercategories restricted to interpretations of the ETAC axioms;
11. An *organismic supercategory* is defined as a supercategory subject to the ETAC axioms and also subject to the ETAS axiom of complete self-reproduction involving  $\pi$ -entities (*viz.* Löfgren, 1968; [?]); its objects are classes representing organisms in terms of morphism (super) diagrams or equivalently as heterofunctors of organismic classes with variable topological structure;

**Definition 0.1.** *Organismic Supercategories ([?])* An example of a class of supercategories interpreting such ETAS axioms as those stated above was

previously defined for organismic structures with different levels of complexity ([?]); *organismic supercategories* were thus defined as *superstructure interpretations of ETAS* (including ETAC, as appropriate) in terms of triplets  $\mathbf{K} = (C, \Pi, N)$ , where  $C$  is an arbitrary category (interpretation of ETAC axioms, formulas, etc.),  $\Pi$  is a category of complete self-reproducing entities,  $\pi$ , ([?]) subject to the negation of the axiom of restriction (for elements of sets):  $\exists S : (S \neq \emptyset)$  and  $\forall u : [u \in S) \Rightarrow \exists v : (v \in u) \text{ and } (v \in S)]$ , (which is known to be independent from the ordinary logico-mathematical and biological reasoning), and  $N$  is a category of non-atomic expressions, defined as follows.

**Definition 0.2.** An *atomically self-reproducing entity* is a unit class relation  $u$  such that  $\pi\pi \langle \pi \rangle$ , which means “ $\pi$  stands in the relation  $\pi$  to  $\pi$ ”,  $\pi\pi \langle \pi, \pi \rangle$ , etc.

An expression that does not contain any such atomically self-reproducing entity is called a *non-atomic expression*.

## References

- [1] See references [13] to [26] in the <http://planetmath.org/CategoricalOntologyABibliography> for Category Theory and Algebraic Topology
- [2] W.F. Lawvere: 1963. Functorial Semantics of Algebraic Theories., *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, **50**: 869–872.
- [3] W. F. Lawvere: 1966. The Category of Categories as a Foundation for Mathematics. , In *Proc. Conf. Categorical Algebra–La Jolla*, 1965, Eilenberg, S et al., eds. Springer –Verlag: Berlin, Heidelberg and New York, pp. 1–20.
- [4] L. Löfgren: 1968. On Axiomatic Explanation of Complete Self-Reproduction. *Bull. Math. Biophysics*, **30**: 317–348.
- [5] R. Brown R, P.J. Higgins, and R. Sivera.: “*Non-Abelian Algebraic Topology*” (2008). <http://www.bangor.ac.uk/mas010/nonab--t/partI010604.pdf>PDF file

- [6] R. Brown, J. F. Glazebrook and I. C. Baianu: A categorical and higher dimensional algebra framework for complex systems and spacetime structures, *Axiomathes* **17**:409–493. (2007).
- [7] R. Brown and G. H. Mosa: Double algebroids and crossed modules of algebroids, University of Wales–Bangor, Maths Preprint, 1986.
- [8] R. Brown and C.B. Spencer: Double groupoids and crossed modules, *Cahiers Top. Géom.Diff.* **17** (1976), 343–362.
- [9] I.C. Baianu: Łukasiewicz–Topos Models of Neural Networks, Cell Genome and Interactome Nonlinear Dynamics). CERN Preprint EXT-2004-059. *Health Physics and Radiation Effects* (June 29, 2004).
- [10] I.C. Baianu, Brown R., J. F. Glazebrook, and Georgescu G.: 2006, Complex Nonlinear Biodynamics in Categories, Higher Dimensional Algebra and Łukasiewicz–Moisil Topos: Transformations of Neuronal, Genetic and Neoplastic networks, *Axiomathes* **16** Nos. 1–2, 65–122.
- [11] I.C. Baianu and M. Marinescu: 1974, A Functorial Construction of  $(\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{R})$ –Systems. *Revue Roumaine de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées* **19**: 388–391.
- [12] I.C. Baianu: 1977, A Logical Model of Genetic Activities in Łukasiewicz Algebras: The Non–linear Theory. *Bulletin of Mathematical Biophysics*, **39**: 249–258.
- [13] I.C. Baianu: 1987a, Computer Models and Automata Theory in Biology and Medicine., in M. Witten (ed.), *Mathematical Models in Medicine*, vol. 7., Pergamon Press, New York, 1513–1577; <http://doc.cern.ch/archive/electronic/other/ext/ext-2004-072.pdf> CERN Preprint No. EXT-2004-072.