



Energy-Efficient Deep Learning for Finance

by

Tom Maxwell Potter

July 14, 2022

A dissertation submitted in part fulfilment
of the requirements for the degree of

Master of Science

of

University College London

Scientific and Data Intensive Computing
Department of Physics and Astronomy

Declaration

I, Tom Maxwell Potter, confirm that the work presented in this thesis is my own. Where information has been derived from other sources, I confirm that this has been indicated in the dissertation.

Abstract

This thesis investigates the use of energy-efficient methods for deep learning-based financial volatility forecasting, aiming to reduce the energetic cost of such models and demonstrate how the sustainability of deep learning for finance can be improved.

Context/background: The financial sector has long been associated with largely negative environmental, social, and governance (ESG) impacts, including being a major contributor to global carbon emissions. Despite the attempts by some to prioritise *sustainable finance*, the recent expansion of financial technology—incorporating new, expensive methods such as *deep learning* (DL)—has only worsened the energy consumption attributed to this industry, accelerating its carbon emissions.

Aims: In an attempt to address these negative impacts of financial technology, this project aims to develop an energy-efficient DL system for financial modelling. This research will explore *Green AI* methods that attempt to reduce the energy expended training DL models and apply these for the first time to models used in finance. To exemplify the benefits of these methods, a performant financial volatility model will be developed that not only produces accurate results but prioritises generating this performance in an efficient manner, minimising the energy and data resources required during training. This system aims to demonstrate that the principles of Green AI are applicable within the financial sector, furthering the scope of sustainable finance by improving the sustainability of deep learning for finance and, hence, minimising the ESG impacts of the financial sector.

Method: This research will commence with an analysis of the resource requirements of typical systems in the field of deep learning for finance. A particular focus will be given to the domain of financial volatility modelling, as this is a major application of deep learning in finance, and the *long short-term memory* (LSTM) networks typically exploited for such tasks. Energy and data-efficient training methods will be explored, developing a deep model that consumes less energy and requires less data to train, but maintains accurate performance. Specifically, methods such as *active learning*, *progressive training*, and *mixed-precision* will be explored that reduce the resource requirements of training, proving the feasibility of efficient models within this field.

Contributions to science:

1. *Expanding the applications of Green AI.* The first application of Green AI to the finance sector, further demonstrating the utility and importance of Green AI in lowering the environmental cost of deep learning.
2. *Reducing the environmental impact of financial technology.* The improvement of sustainable finance to include the new research domain of *sustainable deep learning for sustainable finance*.
3. *Improving the inclusivity of finance.* Lowering the bar-to-entry to engage in deep learning for finance, allowing more individuals to leverage financial technology and analytics.

Outline of research:

- Chapter 3.1: *Baseline financial volatility model*. An initial deep model will be implemented, using a traditional training process and LSTM architecture, to act as an exemplar of the resource requirements of this domain.
- Chapter 3.2: *Energy-efficient training extensions*. Several adaptations to the model training process will be made that prioritise reducing the energy consumed by the system.
- Chapter 3.3: *Data-efficient training extensions*. Additional adaptations will be made that reduce the necessary amount of training data, further lowering resource requirements.
- Chapter 4: *Discussion & evaluation*. An analysis will be made between the baseline and extended models, comparing the performance and efficiency of each, and discussing their success in reducing resource requirements.

Keywords: Green AI, Green Deep Learning, Energy Efficiency, Data Efficiency, Sustainable Finance, Financial Volatility Modelling, Long Short-Term Memory

Acknowledgements

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem. Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor. Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris. Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

Contents

Declaration	i
Abstract	i
Acknowledgement	i
List of Figures	iii
List of Tables	iv
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Topic & Background	1
1.1.1 Sustainable Finance	1
1.1.2 Financial Technology and the Issues with Sustainable Finance . . .	2
1.1.3 Deep Learning for Finance	3
1.2 Research Motivations & Objectives	4
1.3 Research Methodology	4
1.4 Contributions to Science	4
1.5 Outline of Structure	4
2 Background & Literature Review	5
3 Experiments	6
3.1 Baseline Financial Volatility Model	6
3.2 Energy-Efficient Training Extensions	6
3.3 Data-Efficient Training Extensions	6
4 Discussion & Evaluation	7
5 Conclusions & Future Work	8

List of Figures

List of Tables

Chapter 1

Introduction

1.1 Topic & Background

Many industries have recently been under increased pressure to monitor and rectify their environmental impact. This pressure is typically directed towards the perceived high carbon industries that constitute the major pollutant sectors of the economy, such as transport, energy supply, and agriculture. For example, recent estimates suggest that of the 33.5 billion tons of carbon dioxide emissions generated globally in 2018, 8 billion tons could be attributed to the transport sector (IEA, 2022), and 60.3 billion tons to farming and livestock (Ahmad et al., 2022). These concerning figures have rightly sparked increased international discussion surrounding global carbon emissions and sustainability, such as the 2021 *United Nations Climate Change Conference* (COP26).

1.1.1 Sustainable Finance

The finance sector has long been closely associated with sustainability concerns such as those discussed at COP26, being a major contributor to global carbon emissions both directly and indirectly. The most visible environmental impact of the financial industry is its direct emissions from business practices such as the distribution of cash through the economy (e.g. cash transport and ATM power consumption), card payment processing centres, and everyday operational costs such as heating office buildings (Hanegraaf et al., 2018). However, indirect emissions—attributable to services such as investing and lending—have been estimated to contribute over 700 times more to the carbon footprint of the financial industry than all direct emissions (Power et al., 2020). This form of carbon emissions, entitled *financed emissions* by Power et al. (2020), includes practices such as financing fossil fuel companies—who have received \$3.8 trillion in funding from global banks since the *Paris Agreement* was signed in 2016 (Kirsch et al., 2022). In their survey of 700 global financial institutions, Power et al. (2020) estimated that the production of over 1.04 billion tons of carbon dioxide was attributable to financed emissions in 2020 (approximately 3.1% of global emissions). However, they note this figure is likely to significantly understate the total global financed emissions, as of the 700 contacted institutions only 332 responded, and only 25% of those reported financed emissions (typically on less than 50% of their portfolios). Furthermore, a recent report by *Greenpeace* and the *WWF* concluded that the combined carbon emissions of the largest banks and investors in the UK in 2019 totalled 805 million tons, which (if consolidated into its own country) would rank 9th in the global list of total emissions per country (Greenpeace, 2021). This figure is 1.8 times higher than the total emissions of the UK (455 million tons), and almost 90% of the global emissions from commercial aviation (918 million tons) in the same year (Graver et al., 2020).

The increasing awareness of the negative *environmental, social, and governance* (ESG) impacts of the finance industry, highlighted by studies such as those of [Power et al. \(2020\)](#) and [Dennis et al. \(2021\)](#), has led researchers to investigate methods that prioritise the *sustainable development goals* (SDGs) within the financial sector. Towards this objective, the field of *sustainable finance* has emerged, which aims to consider ESG impacts and SDGs in financial decisions (such as investment and lending activities) to improve the sustainability of finance. Namely, despite the lack of a rigorous consensus on what constitutes sustainable finance, recent reviews—such as those of [Cunha et al. \(2021\)](#) and [Kumar et al. \(2022\)](#)—typically use the term to refer to research into financial activities, resources, and investments that prioritise long-term sustainability. In particular, a focus is given to those practices that produce a measurable positive improvement to the social and environmental impact of the financial industry, global economy, and wider society.

This discussion around sustainable finance largely began with [Ferris and Rykaczewski](#)’s examination of the benefits of investing pension funds in a socially responsible way. Following this, early research mainly focussed on *socially responsible investing*, where investments are made that not only prioritise profits but further current positive social movements and mitigate societal concerns ([Cunha et al., 2021](#)). During the 2000s, research began to exhibit a new focus on environmental sustainability, considering factors such as climate change and renewable energy ([Van Der Laan and Lansbury, 2004](#)). Later research further pushed the scope and impact of environmentally-focused practices with the development of new domains such as *climate finance* ([Hogarth, 2012](#)), where the mitigation of climate change is prioritised through investment and financing, and *carbon finance* ([Aglietta et al., 2015](#)), which focusses on investments that seek to lower or offset carbon emissions. Recent research within sustainable finance has pushed this environmental focus further, aiming to put into practice the sustainability goals set out by the Paris Agreement, ESG factors, and SDGs. Specifically, a new interest has been taken in sustainable investment fields such as *impact investing* ([Agrawal and Hockerts, 2021](#)) and *ESG investing* ([Alessandrini and Jondeau, 2020](#)), where investments are made that produce measurable improvements to environmental issues (according to criteria such as their ESG impacts). This recent focus has significantly increased the prominence and influence of sustainable finance. In their review of 936 research papers, [Kumar et al. \(2022\)](#) found that almost 70% of sustainable finance research had been published between 2015–2020, and an exponential trend was exhibited in the increase in papers being published each year; additionally, they found that the top three most cited papers all conducted research in the field of impact investing. Furthermore, [Kumar et al.](#) assert that in 2020, \$400 billion of new sustainability funds were raised on capital markets. Hence, it is clear the scope and impact of sustainable finance is currently on the rise, predominantly driven by a renewed focus on the ESG impacts of financial practices, resources, and investments.

1.1.2 Financial Technology and the Issues with Sustainable Finance

Whilst the \$400 billion raised in sustainability funds seems impressive, in the same year the total US equity market value was over \$40 trillion ([Ltd, 2022](#)), meaning globally only 0.98% of the value of the US equity market alone was raised. Furthermore, recent research has uncovered the prevalence of investment *greenwashing* ([Popescu et al., 2021](#)), where institutions misleadingly classify their practices and investments as sustainable without credible data to back up their claims (and often excluding data that would suggest the opposite). In their review, [Cunha et al. \(2021\)](#) raised similar concerns, asserting that research into sustainable finance is currently “excessively fragmented”. These issues

indicate that whilst attention is growing around the sustainability of financial practices, this domain is still not widely recognised, and further work and research are still necessary to increase the adoption of sustainable methods and tools within finance.

An additional concern is that the tools used to conduct financial practices are becoming increasingly resource-hungry at a pace exceeding the adoption rate of sustainable finance. A clear example of this is the increased adoption of technology throughout the finance industry. Recently, a surge of developments in financial technology (*Fintech*) has revolutionised the methods and practices used across the field of finance, from the large financial institutions and increasing number of Fintech startups, to groups of academic researchers. This Fintech revolution has transformed many aspects of finance, promising to enhance and automate existing financial services, and deliver new, innovative financial products. In their exploration of the evolution of Fintech, [Palmié et al. \(2020\)](#) assert that this adoption emerged in three waves. They suggest that it began with the utilisation of electronic payments and online banking, digitalising the world of finance; the second wave then came with the emergence of blockchain technology and cryptocurrencies, which further disrupted the way currency is stored and transacted. The third and most recent Fintech wave, [Palmié et al. \(2020\)](#) claim, is the current upwards trend in financial institutions’ reliance upon *artificial intelligence* (AI). Driven by the promise of increased automation and computing power, the utilisation of AI within the financial sector has been rapidly expanding over recent years, becoming a core component of many of the financial products and services used today: from accurate real-time financial fraud detection ([Sadgali et al., 2019](#)) to automated analysis of financial statements ([Amel-Zadeh et al., 2020](#)).

1.1.3 Deep Learning for Finance

Because of their power and potential, AI methods have been used to produce state-of-the-art results over a plethora of scientific problems and research fields: from DeepMind’s *AlphaFold* ([Jumper et al., 2021](#))—the first programmatic solution to the age-old protein folding problem in Biology—to Google’s *PaLM* ([Chowdhery et al., 2022](#))—a cutting-edge human language model that delivers breakthrough results in multi-step arithmetic and common-sense reasoning (a major step towards *artificial general intelligence*). This research typically revolves around the use of *machine learning* (ML), where large collections of data are used to train computational models how to perform certain tasks independently ([Samuel, 1959](#)). Recent innovations in ML have increasingly taken advantage of *deep learning* (DL) methods, which use large, complex models to produce state-of-the-art performance ([Witten et al., 2017](#)).

The recent success in utilising DL—such as that of AlphaFold and PaLM—has driven an increased adoption of AI and DL further afield, such as within the finance industry. In fact, global spending on AI is predicted to double in value by 2024, from \$50 billion in 2020 to an estimated \$110 billion ([Nassr et al., 2021](#)). Specifically, the global AI Fintech market was estimated as being worth \$7.91 billion in 2020 and is forecast to grow to \$27 billion by 2026 ([Intelligence, 2021](#)). Furthermore, in a survey of 206 executives from US financial service companies, [Gokhale et al. \(2019\)](#) found that 70% used ML within their financial institutions for practices such as detecting irregular patterns in transactions, and building advanced credit models. In a similar survey, [Staff \(2019\)](#) found ML to be a core component in current financial technology, revolutionising data processing and modelling practices.

- 1.2 Research Motivations & Objectives**
- 1.3 Research Methodology**
- 1.4 Contributions to Science**
- 1.5 Outline of Structure**

Chapter 2

Background & Literature Review

Chapter 3

Experiments

3.1 Baseline Financial Volatility Model

3.2 Energy-Efficient Training Extensions

3.3 Data-Efficient Training Extensions

Chapter 4

Discussion & Evaluation

Chapter 5

Conclusions & Future Work

Bibliography

- Michel Aglietta, Jean-Charles Hourcade, Carlo Jaeger, and Baptiste Perrissin Fabert. Financing transition in an adverse context: climate finance beyond carbon finance. *International Environmental Agreements: Politics, Law and Economics*, 15(4):403–420, Nov 2015. ISSN 1573-1553. doi: 10.1007/s10784-015-9298-1. URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10784-015-9298-1>.
- Anirudh Agrawal and Kai Hockerts. Impact investing: review and research agenda. *Journal of Small Business & Entrepreneurship*, 33(2):153–181, 2021. doi: 10.1080/08276331.2018.1551457. URL <https://doi.org/10.1080/08276331.2018.1551457>.
- Faheem Ahmad, Qamar Saeed, Syed Muhammad Usman Shah, Muhammad Asif Gondal, and Saqib Mumtaz. Chapter 11 - environmental sustainability: Challenges and approaches. In Manoj Kumar Jhariya, Ram Swaroop Meena, Arnab Banerjee, and Surya Nandan Meena, editors, *Natural Resources Conservation and Advances for Sustainability*, pages 243–270. Elsevier, 2022. ISBN 978-0-12-822976-7. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-822976-7.00019-3>. URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/B9780128229767000193>.
- Fabio Alessandrini and Eric Jondeau. Esg investing: From sin stocks to smart beta. *The Journal of Portfolio Management*, 46(3):75–94, 2020. ISSN 0095-4918. doi: 10.3905/jpm.2020.46.3.075. URL <https://jpm.pm-research.com/content/46/3/75>.
- Amir Amel-Zadeh, Jan-Peter Calliess, Daniel Kaiser, and Stephen Roberts. Machine learning-based financial statement analysis. *Available at SSRN 3520684*, November 2020. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3520684>.
- Aakanksha Chowdhery, Sharan Narang, Jacob Devlin, Maarten Bosma, Gaurav Mishra, Adam Roberts, Paul Barham, Hyung Won Chung, Charles Sutton, Sebastian Gehrmann, Parker Schuh, Kensen Shi, Sasha Tsvyashchenko, Joshua Maynez, Abhishek Rao, Parker Barnes, Yi Tay, Noam Shazeer, Vinodkumar Prabhakaran, Emily Reif, Nan Du, Ben Hutchinson, Reiner Pope, James Bradbury, Jacob Austin, Michael Isard, Guy Gur-Ari, Pengcheng Yin, Toju Duke, Anselm Levskaya, Sanjay Ghemawat, Sunipa Dev, Henryk Michalewski, Xavier Garcia, Vedant Misra, Kevin Robinson, Liam Fedus, Denny Zhou, Daphne Ippolito, David Luan, Hyeontaek Lim, Barret Zoph, Alexander Spiridonov, Ryan Sepassi, David Dohan, Shivani Agrawal, Mark Omernick, Andrew M. Dai, Thanumalayan Sankaranarayanan Pillai, Marie Pellat, Aitor Lewkowycz, Erica Moreira, Rewon Child, Oleksandr Polozov, Katherine Lee, Zongwei Zhou, Xuezhi Wang, Brennan Saeta, Mark Diaz, Orhan Firat, Michele Catasta, Jason Wei, Kathy Meier-Hellstern, Douglas Eck, Jeff Dean, Slav Petrov, and Noah Fiedel. PaLM: Scaling Language Modeling with Pathways. *arXiv e-prints*, art. arXiv:2204.02311, April 2022.
- Felipe Arias Fogliano de Souza Cunha, Erick Meira, and Renato J. Orsato. Sustainable finance and investment: Review and research agenda. *Business Strategy and the*

- Environment*, 30(8):3821–3838, 2021. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.2842>. URL <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/bse.2842>.
- Jon Dennis, Charlie Kronick, Raymond Dhirani, Anthony Field, Karen Ellis, and Becky Jarvis. The Big Smoke: the global emissions of the UK financial sector. Technical report, Greenpeace UK, WWF UK, and South Pole, May 2021. URL <https://www.southpole.com/publications/the-big-smoke-the-global-emissions-of-the-uk-financial-sector>.
- Stephen P. Ferris and Karl P. Rykaczewski. Social investing and portfolio management. *Business & Society*, 25(1):1–7, 1986. doi: [10.1177/000765038602500101](https://doi.org/10.1177/000765038602500101). URL <https://doi.org/10.1177/000765038602500101>.
- Nikhil Gokhale, Ankur Gajjaria, Rob Kaye, and Dave Kuder. AI leaders in financial services: Common traits of frontrunners in the artificial intelligence race. Technical report, Deloitte Insights, August 2019. URL <https://www2.deloitte.com/uk/en/insights/industry/financial-services/artificial-intelligence-ai-financial-services-frontrunners.html>.
- Brandon Graver, Dan Rutherford, and Sola Zheng. CO2 Emissions from Commercial Aviation: 2013, 2018, and 2019. Technical report, The International Council on Clean Transportation, October 2020. URL <https://theicct.org/publication/co2-emissions-from-commercial-aviation-2013-2018-and-2019/>.
- Randall Hanegraaf, Nicole Jonker, S. Mandley, and Jelle Miedema. Life cycle assessment of cash payments. *SSRN Electronic Journal*, 01 2018. doi: [10.2139/ssrn.3267868](https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3267868).
- J. Ryan Hogarth. The role of climate finance in innovation systems. *Journal of Sustainable Finance & Investment*, 2(3-4):257–274, 2012. doi: [10.1080/20430795.2012.742637](https://doi.org/10.1080/20430795.2012.742637). URL <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/20430795.2012.742637>.
- IEA. Transport sector CO2 emissions by mode in the Sustainable Development Scenario, 2000-2030. Technical report, International Energy Agency, Paris, January 2022. URL <https://www.iea.org/data-and-statistics/charts/transport-sector-co2-emissions-by-mode-in-the-sustainable-development-scenario-2000-2030>.
- Mordor Intelligence. AI in Fintech Market - Growth, Trends, COVID-19 Impact, and Forecasts (2022 - 2027). Technical report, Mordor Intelligence LLP, March 2021. URL <https://www.reportlinker.com/p06039495/AI-in-Fintech-Market-Growth-Trends-COVID-19-Impact-and-Forecasts.html>.
- John Jumper, Richard Evans, Alexander Pritzel, Tim Green, Michael Figurnov, Olaf Ronneberger, Kathryn Tunyasuvunakool, Russ Bates, Augustin Žídek, Anna Potapenko, Alex Bridgland, Clemens Meyer, Simon A. A. Kohl, Andrew J. Ballard, Andrew Cowie, Bernardino Romera-Paredes, Stanislav Nikolov, Rishub Jain, Jonas Adler, Trevor Back, Stig Petersen, David Reiman, Ellen Clancy, Michal Zieliński, Martin Steinegger, Michalina Pacholska, Tamas Berghammer, Sebastian Bodenstein, David Silver, Oriol Vinyals, Andrew W. Senior, Koray Kavukcuoglu, Pushmeet Kohli, and Demis Hassabis. Highly accurate protein structure prediction with alphafold. *Nature*, 596(7873):583–589, Aug 2021. ISSN 1476-4687. doi: [10.1038/s41586-021-03819-2](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03819-2). URL <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03819-2>.
- Alison Kirsch, Grant Marr, Jason Opena Disterhoft, Henrieke Butijn, Johan Frijns, Maaike Beenes, Alberto Saldamando, Mea Johnson, Collin Rees, David Tong, Kyle Gracey, Lorne Stockman, Clement Faul, Maude Lentilhac, Ryan Cooper,

- Yann Louvel, Adele Shraiman, Ben Cushing, Julia Dubslaff, and Katrin Ganswindt. Banking on Climate Chaos: Fossil Fuel Finance Report 2022. Technical report, Rainforest Action Network, BankTrack, Indigenous Environmental Network, Oil Change International, Reclaim Finance, and Sierra Club, 2022. URL <https://www.bankingonclimatechaos.org/>.
- Satish Kumar, Dipasha Sharma, Sandeep Rao, Weng Marc Lim, and Sachin Kumar Mangla. Past, present, and future of sustainable finance: insights from big data analytics through machine learning of scholarly research. *Annals of Operations Research*, Jan 2022. ISSN 1572-9338. doi: 10.1007/s10479-021-04410-8. URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10479-021-04410-8>.
- Siblis Research Ltd. Total Market Capitalization of Public U.S. Companies, March 2022. Data retrieved from the Global Equity Valuations of Siblis Research, <https://siblisresearch.com/data/us-stock-market-value/>.
- Iota Kaousar Nassr, Robert Patalano, Pamela Duffin, and Ed Smiley. Artificial Intelligence, Machine Learning and Big Data in Finance: Opportunities, Challenges and Implications for Policy Makers. Technical report, OECD, August 2021. URL <https://www.oecd.org/finance/artificial-intelligence-machine-learning-big-data-in-finance.htm>.
- Maximilian Palmié, Joakim Wincent, Vinit Parida, and Umur Caglar. The evolution of the financial technology ecosystem: An introduction and agenda for future research on disruptive innovations in ecosystems. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 151:119779, 2020. ISSN 0040-1625. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2019.119779>. URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0040162519310595>.
- Ioana-Stefania Popescu, Claudia Hitaj, and Enrico Benetto. Measuring the sustainability of investment funds: A critical review of methods and frameworks in sustainable finance. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 314:128016, 2021. ISSN 0959-6526. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.128016>. URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0959652621022344>.
- Joseph Power, Jordan McDonald, So Lefebvre, and Tom Coleman. The Time to Green Finance: CDP Financial Services Disclosure Report 2020. Technical Report DOE-SLC-6903-1, CDP Worldwide, Great Tower Street, London, 2020. URL <https://www.cdp.net/en/research/global-reports/financial-services-disclosure-report-2020>.
- I. Sadgali, N. Sael, and F. Benabbou. Performance of machine learning techniques in the detection of financial frauds. *Procedia Computer Science*, 148:45–54, 2019. ISSN 1877-0509. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2019.01.007>. URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1877050919300079>. THE SECOND INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON INTELLIGENT COMPUTING IN DATA SCIENCES, ICDS2018.
- A. L. Samuel. Some studies in machine learning using the game of checkers. *IBM Journal of Research and Development*, 3(3):210–229, 1959. doi: 10.1147/rd.33.0210.
- Chartis Research Staff. AI in RegTech: a quiet upheaval. Technical report, Chartis Research and IBM, February 2019. URL <https://www.chartis-research.com/technology/artificial-intelligence-ai/ai-regtech-quiet-upheaval-10726>.

- Sandra Van Der Laan and Nina Lansbury. Socially responsible investing and climate change: Contradictions and challenges. *Australian Accounting Review*, 14(34):21–30, 2004. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1835-2561.2004.tb00237.x>. URL <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/j.1835-2561.2004.tb00237.x>.
- Ian H. Witten, Eibe Frank, Mark A. Hall, and Christopher J. Pal. Chapter 10 - deep learning. In Ian H. Witten, Eibe Frank, Mark A. Hall, and Christopher J. Pal, editors, *Data Mining (Fourth Edition)*, pages 417–466. Morgan Kaufmann, fourth edition edition, 2017. ISBN 978-0-12-804291-5. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-804291-5.00010-6>. URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/B9780128042915000106>.