

Energy-Efficient Deep Learning for Finance

by

Tom Maxwell Potter

July 14, 2022

A dissertation submitted in part fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Master of Science

of

University College London

Scientific and Data Intensive Computing Department of Physics and Astronomy

Declaration

I, Tom Maxwell Potter, confirm that the work presented in this thesis is my own. Where information has been derived from other sources, I confirm that this has been indicated in the dissertation.

Abstract

This thesis investigates the use of energy-efficient methods for deep learning-based financial volatility forecasting, aiming to reduce the energetic cost of such models and demonstrate how the sustainability of deep learning for finance can be improved.

Context/background: The financial sector has long been associated with largely negative environmental, social, and governance (ESG) impacts, including being a major contributor to global carbon emissions. Despite the attempts by some to prioritise sustainable finance, the recent expansion of financial technology—incorporating new, expensive methods such as deep learning (DL)—has only worsened the energy consumption attributed to this industry, accelerating its carbon emissions.

Aims: In an attempt to address these negative impacts of financial technology, this project aims to develop an energy-efficient DL system for financial modelling. This research will explore $Green\ AI$ methods that attempt to reduce the energy expended training DL models and apply these for the first time to models used in finance. To exemplify the benefits of these methods, a performant financial volatility model will be developed that not only produces accurate results but prioritises generating this performance in an efficient manner, minimising the energy and data resources required during training. This system aims to demonstrate that the principles of Green AI are applicable within the financial sector, furthering the scope of sustainable finance by improving the sustainability of deep learning for finance and, hence, minimising the ESG impacts of the financial sector.

Method: This research will commence with an analysis of the resource requirements of typical systems in the field of deep learning for finance. A particular focus will be given to the domain of financial volatility modelling, as this is a major application of deep learning in finance, and the long short-term memory (LSTM) networks typically exploited for such tasks. Energy and data-efficient training methods will be explored, developing a deep model that consumes less energy and requires less data to train, but maintains accurate performance. Specifically, methods such as active learning, progressive training, and mixed-precision will be explored that reduce the resource requirements of training, proving the feasibility of efficient models within this field.

Contributions to science:

- 1. Expanding the applications of Green AI. The first application of Green AI to the finance sector, further demonstrating the utility and importance of Green AI in lowering the environmental cost of deep learning.
- 2. Reducing the environmental impact of financial technology. The improvement of sustainable finance to include the new research domain of sustainable deep learning for sustainable finance.
- 3. Improving the inclusivity of finance. Lowering the bar-to-entry to engage in deep learning for finance, allowing more individuals to leverage financial technology and analytics.

Outline of research:

- Chapter 3.1: Baseline finanical volatility model. An initial deep model will be implemented, using a traditional training process and LSTM architecture, to act as an exemplar of the resource requirements of this domain.
- Chapter 3.2: Energy-efficient training extensions. Several adaptations to the model training process will be made that prioritise reducing the energy consumed by the system.
- Chapter 3.3: Data-efficient training extensions. Additional adaptations will be made that reduce the necessary amount of training data, further lowering resource requirements.
- Chapter 4: Discussion & evaluation. An analysis will be made between the baseline and extended models, comparing the performance and efficiency of each, and discussing their success in reducing resource requirements.

Keywords: Green AI, Green Deep Learning, Energy Efficiency, Data Efficiency, Sustainable Finance, Financial Volatility Modelling, Long Short-Term Memory

Acknowledgements

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem. Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor. Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris. Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

Contents

D	eclaration		i
\mathbf{A}	ostract		i
Acknowledgement			i
Li	st of Figures	:	iii
Li	st of Tables		iv
1	Introduction 1.1 Topic & Background		
2	Background & Literature Review		4
3	Experiments 3.1 Baseline Financial Volatility Model		
4	Discussion & Evaluation		6
5	Conclusions & Future Work		7

List of Figures

List of Tables

Introduction

1.1 Topic & Background

Many industries have recently been under increased pressure to monitor and rectify their environmental impact. This pressure is typically directed towards the perceived high carbon industries that constitute the major pollutant sectors of the economy, such as transport, energy supply, and agriculture. For example, recent estimates suggest that of the 33.5 billion tons of carbon dioxide emissions generated globally in 2018, 8 billion tons could be attributed to the transport sector (IEA, 2022), and 60.3 billion tons to farming and livestock (Ahmad et al., 2022). These concerning figures have rightly sparked increased international discussion surrounding global carbon emissions and sustainability, such as the 2021 *United Nations Climate Change Conference* (COP26).

1.1.1 Sustainable Finance

The finance sector has long been closely associated with sustainability concerns such as those discussed at COP26, being a major contributor to global carbon emissions both directly and indirectly. The most visible environmental impact of the financial industry is its direct emissions from business practices such as the distribution of cash through the economy (e.g. cash transport and ATM power consumption), card payment processing centres, and everyday operational costs such as heating office buildings (Hanegraaf et al., 2018). However, indirect emissions—attributable to services such as investing and lending—have been estimated to contribute over 700 times more to the carbon footprint of the financial industry than all direct emissions (Power et al., 2020). This form of carbon emissions, entitled financed emissions by Power et al. (2020), includes practices such as financing fossil fuel companies—who have received \$3.8 trillion in funding from global banks since the Paris Agreement was signed in 2016 (Kirsch et al., 2022). In their survey of 700 global financial institutions, Power et al. (2020) estimated that the production of over 1.04 billion tons of carbon dioxide was attributable to financed emissions in 2020 (approximately 3.1% of global emissions). However, they note this figure is likely to significantly understate the total global financed emissions, as of the 700 contacted institutions only 332 responded, and only 25% of those reported financed emissions (typically on less than 50% of their portfolios). Furthermore, a recent report by Greenpeace and the WWF concluded that the combined carbon emissions of the largest banks and investors in the UK in 2019 totalled 805 million tons, which (if consolidated into its own country) would rank 9th in the global list of total emissions per country (Greenpeace, 2021). This figure is 1.8 times higher than the total emissions of the UK (455 million tons), and almost 90% of the global emissions from commercial aviation (918 million tons) in the same year (Graver et al., 2020).

The increasing awareness of the negative environmental, social, and governance (ESG) impacts of the finance industry, highlighted by studies such as those of Power et al. (2020) and Dennis et al. (2021), has led researchers to investigate methods that prioritise the sustainable development goals (SDGs) within the financial sector. Towards this objective, the field of sustainable finance has emerged, which aims to consider ESG impacts and SDGs in financial decisions (such as investment and lending activities) to improve the sustainability of finance. Namely, despite the lack of a rigorous consensus on what constitutes sustainable finance, recent reviews—such as those of Cunha et al. (2021) and Kumar et al. (2022)—typically use the term to refer to research into financial activities, resources, and investments that prioritise long-term sustainability. In particular, a focus is given to those practices that produce a measurable positive improvement to the social and environmental impact of the financial industry, global economy, and wider society.

This discussion around sustainable finance largely began with Ferris and Rykaczewski's examination of the benefits of investing pension funds in a socially responsible way. Following this, early research mainly focussed on socially responsible investing, where investments are made that not only prioritise profits but further current positive social movements and mitigate societal concerns (Cunha et al., 2021). During the 2000s, research began to exhibit a new focus on environmental sustainability, considering factors such as climate change and renewable energy (Van Der Laan and Lansbury, 2004). Later research further pushed the scope and impact of environmentally-focused practices with the development of new domains such as climate finance (Hogarth, 2012), where the mitigation of climate change is prioritised through investment and financing, and carbon finance (Aglietta et al., 2015), which focusses on investments that seek to lower or offset carbon emissions. Recent research within sustainable finance has pushed this environmental focus further, aiming to put into practice the sustainability goals set out by the Paris Agreement, ESG factors, and SDGs. Specifically, a new interest has been taken in sustainable investment fields such as impact investing (Agrawal and Hockerts, 2021) and ESG investing (Alessandrini and Jondeau, 2020), where investments are made that produce measurable improvements to environmental issues (according to criteria such as their ESG impacts). This recent focus has significantly increased the prominence and influence of sustainable finance. In their review of 936 research papers, Kumar et al. (2022) found that almost 70% of sustainable finance research had been published between 2015–2020, and an exponential trend was exhibited in the increase in papers being published each year; additionally, they found that the top three most cited papers all conducted research in the field of impact investing. Furthermore, Kumar et al. assert that in 2020, \$400 billion of new sustainability funds were raised on capital markets. Hence, it is clear the scope and impact of sustainable finance is currently on the rise, predominantly driven by a renewed focus on the ESG impacts of financial practices, resources, and investments.

1.1.2 Financial Technology and the Issues with Sustainable Finance

Whilst the \$400 billion raised in sustainability funds seems impressive, in the same year the total US equity market value was over \$40 trillion (Ltd, 2022), meaning globally only 0.98% of the value of the US equity market alone was raised. Furthermore, recent research has uncovered the prevalence of investment greenwashing (Popescu et al., 2021), where institutions misleadingly classify their practices and investments as sustainable without credible data to back up their claims (and often excluding data that would suggest the opposite). In their review, Cunha et al. (2021) raised similar concerns, asserting that research into sustainable finance is currently "excessively fragmented". These issues

indicate that whilst attention is growing around the sustainability of financial practices, this domain is still not widely recognised, and further work and research are still necessary to increase the adoption of sustainable methods and tools within finance.

An additional concern is that the tools used to conduct financial practices are becoming increasingly resource-hungry at a pace exceeding the adoption rate of sustainable finance. A clear exemple of this is the increased adoption of technology throughout the finance industry. Recently, a surge of developments in financial technology (Fintech) has revolutionalised the methods and practices used across the field of finance, from the large financial institutions and increasing number of Fintech startups, to groups of academic researchers. This Fintech revolution has transformed many aspects of finance, promising to enhance and automate existing financial services, and deliver new, innovative financial products. In their exploration of the evolution of Fintech, Palmié et al. (2020) assert that this adoption emerged in three waves. They suggest that it began with the utilisation of electronic payments and online banking, digitalising the world of finance; the second wave then came with the emergence of blockchain technology and cryptocurrencies, which further disrupted the way currency is stored and transacted. The third and most recent Fintech wave, Palmié et al. (2020) claim, is the current upwards trend in financial institutions' reliance upon artificial intelligence (AI). Driven by the promise of increased automation and computing power, the utilisation of AI within the financial sector has been rapidly expanding over recent years, becoming a core component of many of the financial products and services used today: from accurate real-time financial fraud detection (Sadgali et al., 2019) to automated analysis of financial statements (Amel-Zadeh et al., 2020).

- 1.2 Research Motivations & Objectives
- 1.3 Research Methodology
- 1.4 Contributions to Science
- 1.5 Outline of Structure

Background & Literature Review

Experiments

- 3.1 Baseline Financial Volatility Model
- 3.2 Energy-Efficient Training Extensions
- 3.3 Data-Efficient Training Extensions

Discussion & Evaluation

Conclusions & Future Work

Bibliography

- Michel Aglietta, Jean-Charles Hourcade, Carlo Jaeger, and Baptiste Perrissin Fabert. Financing transition in an adverse context: climate finance beyond carbon finance. *International Environmental Agreements: Politics, Law and Economics*, 15(4):403–420, Nov 2015. ISSN 1573-1553. doi: 10.1007/s10784-015-9298-1. URL https://doi.org/10.1007/s10784-015-9298-1.
- Anirudh Agrawal and Kai Hockerts. Impact investing: review and research agenda. Journal of Small Business & Entrepreneurship, 33(2):153–181, 2021. doi: 10.1080/08276331.2018.1551457. URL https://doi.org/10.1080/08276331.2018.1551457.
- Faheem Ahmad, Qamar Saeed, Syed Muhammad Usman Shah, Muhammad Asif Gondal, and Saqib Mumtaz. Chapter 11 environmental sustainability: Challenges and approaches. In Manoj Kumar Jhariya, Ram Swaroop Meena, Arnab Banerjee, and Surya Nandan Meena, editors, Natural Resources Conservation and Advances for Sustainability, pages 243–270. Elsevier, 2022. ISBN 978-0-12-822976-7. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-822976-7.00019-3. URL https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/B9780128229767000193.
- Fabio Alessandrini and Eric Jondeau. Esg investing: From sin stocks to smart beta. *The Journal of Portfolio Management*, 46(3):75–94, 2020. ISSN 0095-4918. doi: 10.3905/jpm.2020.46.3.075. URL https://jpm.pm-research.com/content/46/3/75.
- Amir Amel-Zadeh, Jan-Peter Calliess, Daniel Kaiser, and Stephen Roberts. Machine learning-based financial statement analysis. *Available at SSRN 3520684*, November 2020. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3520684.
- Felipe Arias Fogliano de Souza Cunha, Erick Meira, and Renato J. Orsato. Sustainable finance and investment: Review and research agenda. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 30(8):3821–3838, 2021. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.2842. URL https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/bse.2842.
- Jon Dennis, Charlie Kronick, Raymond Dhirani, Anthony Field, Karen Ellis, and Becky Jarvis. The Big Smoke: the global emissions of the UK financial sector. Technical report, Greenpeace UK, WWF UK, South Pole, May 2021. URL https://www.southpole.com/publications/the-big-smoke-the-global-emissions-of-the-uk-financial-sector.
- Stephen P. Ferris and Karl P. Rykaczewski. Social investing and portfolio management. Business & Society, 25(1):1–7, 1986. doi: 10.1177/000765038602500101. URL https://doi.org/10.1177/000765038602500101.
- Brandon Graver, Dan Rutherford, and Sola Zheng. CO2 Emissions from Commercial Aviation: 2013, 2018, and 2019. Technical report, The International Council on Clean Transportation, October 2020. URL https://theicct.org/publication/co2-emissions-from-commercial-aviation-2013-2018-and-2019/.

- Randall Hanegraaf, Nicole Jonker, S. Mandley, and Jelle Miedema. Life cycle assessment of cash payments. SSRN Electronic Journal, 01 2018. doi: 10.2139/ssrn.3267868.
- J. Ryan Hogarth. The role of climate finance in innovation systems. Journal of Sustainable Finance & Investment, 2(3-4):257-274, 2012. doi: 10.1080/20430795.2012.742637. URL https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/20430795.2012.742637.
- Alison Kirsch, Grant Marr, Jason Opena Disterhoft, Henrieke Butijn, Johan Frijns, Maaike Beenes, Alberto Saldamando, Mea Johnson, Collin Rees, David Tong, Kyle Gracey, Lorne Stockman, Clement Faul, Maude Lentilhac, Ryan Cooper, Yann Louvel, Adele Shraiman, Ben Cushing, Julia Dubslaff, and Katrin Ganswindt. Banking on Climate Chaos: Fossil Fuel Finance Report 2022. Technical report, Rainforest Action Network, BankTrack, Indigenous Environmental Network, Oil Change International, Reclaim Finance, and Sierra Club, 2022. URL https://www.bankingonclimatechaos.org/.
- Satish Kumar, Dipasha Sharma, Sandeep Rao, Weng Marc Lim, and Sachin Kumar Mangla. Past, present, and future of sustainable finance: insights from big data analytics through machine learning of scholarly research. *Annals of Operations Research*, Jan 2022. ISSN 1572-9338. doi: 10.1007/s10479-021-04410-8. URL https://doi.org/10.1007/s10479-021-04410-8.
- Siblis Research Ltd. Total Market Capitalization of Public U.S. Companies, March 2022. Data retrieved from the Global Equity Valuations of Siblis Research, https://siblisresearch.com/data/us-stock-market-value/.
- Maximilian Palmié, Joakim Wincent, Vinit Parida, and Umur Caglar. The evolution of the financial technology ecosystem: An introduction and agenda for future research on disruptive innovations in ecosystems. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 151:119779, 2020. ISSN 0040-1625. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2019.119779. URL https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0040162519310595.
- Ioana-Stefania Popescu, Claudia Hitaj, and Enrico Benetto. Measuring the sustainability of investment funds: A critical review of methods and frameworks in sustainable finance. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 314:128016, 2021. ISSN 0959-6526. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.128016. URL https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0959652621022344.
- Joseph Power, Jordan McDonald, So Lefebvre, and Tom Coleman. The Time to Green Finance: CDP Financial Services Disclosure Report 2020. Technical Report DOE-SLC-6903-1, CDP Worldwide, Great Tower Street, London, 2020. URL https://www.cdp.net/en/research/global-reports/financial-services-disclosure-report-2020.
- I. Sadgali, N. Sael, and F. Benabbou. Performance of machine learning techniques in the detection of financial frauds. Procedia Computer Science, 148:45-54, 2019. ISSN 1877-0509. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2019.01.007. URL https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1877050919300079. THE SECOND INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON INTELLIGENT COMPUTING IN DATA SCIENCES, ICDS2018.

Sandra Van Der Laan and Nina Lansbury. Socially responsible investing and climate change: Contradictions and challenges. *Australian Accounting Review*, 14(34):21–30, 2004. doi: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1835-2561.2004.tb00237.x. URL https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/j.1835-2561.2004.tb00237.x.