

ML4Science: Week 2 Meeting

Calafà, M., Mescolini, G., Motta, P.

École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL)

Machine Learning Project 2

30 Nov 2021

Tutor: Dr. Michele Bianco



Outlines


1 Structure of the CNN

- CNN implementation
- Neighborhood implementation
- Choice of the Loss

2 Questions and Issues

- Questions
- Issues

Structure of the CNN



Start with a simple/tutorial network

Start with the full CNN structure

As first attempt:

- 1 Complete structure as in picture (left, right, center branches)
- 2 Same parameters to avoid inventing from scratch

Main parameters

```
1 class CNN(nn.Module):  
2     def __init__(self):  
3         super(CNN, self).__init__()  
4  
5         # MAIN PARAMETERS  
6         self.kernel_size = 5  
7         self.padding = (self.kernel_size - 1)/2  
8         self.stride_conv = 1  
9  
10        self.kernel_pooling = 2  
11        self.stride_pool = self.kernel_pooling
```

Main parameters

Choices of the parameters motivated by these reasons:

- *Kernel* = 5 as common, basic first choice (to be corrected in the future maybe)
- *Stride* = 1 to convolute at every step
- *Padding* = $\frac{Kernel-1}{2}$ to keep same sizes before and after the convolution
- For pooling, *Stride* = *Pooling* to make steps in correspondence to the pooled areas

Left branch

```

1  # LEFT BRANCH
2  self.conv3d_6 = nn.Conv3d(in_channels=1, out_channels=128, kernel_size=
    self.kernel_size, stride=self.stride_conv, padding=self.padding)
3  self.batch_normalization_6 = nn.BatchNorm3d(num_features=128)
4  self.leaky_re_lu_6 = nn.LeakyReLU()
5  self.average_pooling_3d_6 = nn.AvgPool3d(kernel_size = self.
    kernel_pooling)
6
7  self.conv3d_7 = nn.Conv3d(in_channels=128, out_channels=128, kernel_size
    =self.kernel_size, stride=self.stride_conv, padding=self.padding)
8  self.batch_normalization_7 = nn.BatchNorm3d(num_features=128)
9  self.leaky_re_lu_7 = nn.LeakyReLU()
10 self.average_pooling_3d_7 = nn.AvgPool3d(kernel_size = self.
    kernel_pooling)
11
12 self.conv3d_8 = nn.Conv3d(in_channels=128, out_channels=128, kernel_size
    =self.kernel_size, stride=self.stride_conv, padding=self.padding)
13 self.batch_normalization_8 = nn.BatchNorm3d(num_features=128)
14 self.leaky_re_lu_8 = nn.LeakyReLU()
15 self.average_pooling_3d_8 = nn.AvgPool3d(kernel_size = self.
    kernel_pooling)

```

Right branch

```

1      # RIGHT BRANCH
2      self.conv3d_15 = nn.Conv3d(in_channels=1, out_channels=128, kernel_size=
3          self.kernel_size, stride=self.stride_conv, padding=self.padding)
4      self.batch_normalization_15 = nn.BatchNorm3d(num_features=128)
5      self.leaky_re_lu_15 = nn.LeakyReLU()
6      self.average_pooling_3d_15 = nn.AvgPool3d(kernel_size = self.
7          kernel_pooling)
8
9      self.conv3d_16 = nn.Conv3d(in_channels=128, out_channels=128,
10         kernel_size=self.kernel_size, stride=self.stride_conv, padding=self
11         .padding)
12     self.batch_normalization_16 = nn.BatchNorm3d(num_features=128)
13     self.leaky_re_lu_16 = nn.LeakyReLU()
14     self.average_pooling_3d_16 = nn.AvgPool3d(kernel_size = self.
15         kernel_pooling)
16
17     self.conv3d_17 = nn.Conv3d(in_channels=128, out_channels=128,
18         kernel_size=self.kernel_size, stride=self.stride_conv, padding=self
19         .padding)
20     self.batch_normalization_17 = nn.BatchNorm3d(num_features=128)
21     self.leaky_re_lu_17 = nn.LeakyReLU()
22     self.average_pooling_3d_17 = nn.AvgPool3d(kernel_size = self.
23         kernel_pooling)

```


Central branch

```
1  # CENTRAL BRANCH
2
3  self.dropout = nn.Dropout(p=0.8)
4
5  self.dense = nn.Linear(in_features=55296, out_features=256)
6  self.dropout_1 = nn.Dropout(p=0.5)
7  self.leaky_re_lu_18 = nn.LeakyReLU()
8
9  self.dense_1 = nn.Linear(in_features=256, out_features=128)
10 self.dropout_2 = nn.Dropout(p=0.5)
11 self.leaky_re_lu_19 = nn.LeakyReLU()
12
13 self.dense_2 = nn.Linear(in_features=128, out_features=64)
14 self.dropout_3 = nn.Dropout(p=0.5)
15 self.leaky_re_lu_20 = nn.LeakyReLU()
16
17 self.dense_3 = nn.Linear(in_features=64, out_features=32)
18 self.dropout_4 = nn.Dropout(p=0.5)
19 self.leaky_re_lu_21 = nn.LeakyReLU()
20
21 self.dense_4 = nn.Linear(in_features=32, out_features=16)
22 self.dropout_5 = nn.Dropout(p=0.5)
23 self.leaky_re_lu_22 = nn.LeakyReLU()
24
25 self.dense_5 = nn.Linear(in_features=16, out_features=8)
26 self.dense_6 = nn.Linear(in_features=8, out_features=4)
27 self.dense_7 = nn.Linear(in_features=4, out_features=1)
```

get_neighborhood

```

1 def get_neighborhood(T,x0,y0,z0,r):
2
3     edge = T.shape[3]
4
5     idx = list(range(x0-r, min(edge,x0+r+1))) + list(range(max(edge,x0+r+1) %
6         edge))
7     idy = list(range(y0-r, min(edge,y0+r+1))) + list(range(max(edge,y0+r+1) %
8         edge))
9     idz = list(range(z0-r, min(edge,z0+r+1))) + list(range(max(edge,z0+r+1) %
10        edge))
11
12     Tm = T[0,0,:,:,:]
13     neigh = Tm[idx,:,:,:][:,idy,:][:,:idz]
14     neigh = np.expand_dims(neigh, axis=0)
15     neigh = np.expand_dims(neigh, axis=0)
16
17     return neigh

```

get_neighborhood

To get convinced from the code, take the simpler 1D case.

$\{x_0 - r, \dots, x_0 + r\}$ inside $\{0, \dots, edge\}$. Three possible cases:

- Fully inside the larger interval
 $\Rightarrow idx = \{x_0 - r, \dots, x_0 + r\} \cup \{\emptyset\}$
- $x_0 - r$ exceeds from left
 \Rightarrow same as before (see negative indices in `numpy`)
- $x_0 + r$ exceeds from right
 $\Rightarrow idx = \{x_0 - r, \dots, edge\} \cup \{0, \dots, (x_0 + r) \bmod edge\}$

Choice of the Loss

In our implementation, we opted for a ***MSE Loss***.

We did not choose the Cross Entropy Loss as it is suitable only for classification problems. In addition, we initially got dimension incompatibilities when we applied it to our net.

Questions

1 Parameters choice discussion:

- "Main parameters" (i.e. kernel size, padding ...)
- Set vs default parameters in *PyTorch* modules

```
self.batch_normalization_6 = nn.BatchNorm3d(..?..)
```

- Flattening dimension

```
out = torch.cat((out1, out2), dim = 0)
```

- Choice of the Loss (*MLE Loss*)

- ## 2 Should we divide our dataset in training and test set or do we have other test data?
- ## 3 Issues (see next slide)

Issues

- Problem when passing the padding number as parameter
⇒ solved by passing directly the number
- Need of more efficient code; due to the extremely high computational time, we actually do not have an output