



Recitation - 04

Jahnavi - jp5867@nyu.edu

Lambda Calculus:



- > Introduced by the mathematician 'Alonzo Church' in the 1930s.
- > Lambda Calculus is a formal mathematical way to express computation.
- > It is based on function abstraction and application using variable binding and substitution.
- > It is a turing complete language.

The lambda calculus consists of a language of lambda terms, which is defined by a certain formal syntax, and a set of transformation rules, which allow manipulation of the lambda terms.

A valid lambda term:

- A variable, x , is itself a valid lambda term.
- If M is a lambda term, and x is a variable, then $(\lambda x.M)$ is a lambda term (called an abstraction).
- If M and N are lambda terms, then (MN) is a lambda term (called an application).

Precedence and Associativity:



Precedence: Application has higher precedence than lambda abstraction.

Associativity:

-> Function Applications are left associative - $x\ y\ z \Rightarrow (x\ y)\ z$

-> Abstractions are right associative - $\lambda x.x.\lambda y.y = \lambda x.(x.(\lambda y.y))$

Hence this expression - $\lambda x.\lambda y.\lambda z.x\ z\ (y\ z)$ is written as - $(\lambda x.(\lambda y.(\lambda z.(x\ z)\ (y\ z))))$

Free and Bound variables:



Bound Variable: a variable that is associated with some lambda.

Free Variable: a var that is *not* associated with any lambda.

1. In the expression x , variable x is free (no variable is bound).
2. In the expression $\lambda x.M$, every x in M is bound; every variable other than x that is free in M is free in $\lambda x.M$; every variable that is bound in M is bound in $\lambda x.M$.
1. In the expression MN :
 1. The free variables of MN are the union of two sets: the free variables of M , and the free variables of N .
 2. The bound variables of MN are also the union of two sets: the bound variables of M and the bound variables of N .
 3. $\text{Free}(\lambda x. E) = \text{Free}(E) - \{ x \}$

Note that a variable may occur more than once in some lambda expression; some occurrences may be free and some may be bound, so the variable itself is *both* free and bound in the expression, but each individual *occurrence* is either free or bound (not both).

Free and Bound variables:

1. $(\lambda x. y)(\lambda y. yx)$: First y - free; Second y - bound; x - free (The variables next to λ are bound to λ)

2. $FV[\lambda x. \lambda y. ((\lambda z. \lambda v. z(zv))(xy)(zu))]$ = $\{z, u\}$

2. $Free(\lambda x. x (\lambda y. x y z)) = \{z\}$

3. $Free(\lambda v. \lambda y. v \lambda u. \lambda v. y \lambda u. \lambda y. u) = \{y\}$

4. $Free(\lambda u (\lambda v. v u) \lambda v. (y v)) = \{y\}$

5. $Free(\lambda v. \lambda y. v (\lambda x. x (u y))) = \{u, y\}$

6. $\lambda x. (x \lambda y. x) \lambda y. (z (y \lambda x. x))$ - Bound

Reduction:

α - conversion: $\lambda x.M \rightarrow \lambda y.([y/x]M)$, if $y \notin FV(M)$.

-> $(\lambda x.x)$ is the same as $(\lambda y.y)$

-> $(\lambda x.(x * x))$ is the same as $(\lambda u.(u * u))$

-> All we have done is change the parameter name (bound variable) next to the λ as well as in the body of the function.

-> Renaming the bound variable does not change the abstraction.

-> Formally, $(\lambda x.M) =_{\alpha} (\lambda y.M\{x \leftarrow y\})$ where

y is a "brand new" variable not appearing in M , and

$M\{x \leftarrow y\}$ is M with all occurrences of x replaced by y .

Substitution:



$$\lambda x. (x \ y)) [y = 5] = (\lambda x. (x \ 5))$$

$$(\lambda x. (x \ y)) [y = (u \ v)] = (\lambda x. (x \ (u \ v)))$$

Substitution must be done carefully so as not to alter the meaning of the λ -term!

$$(\lambda x. (x \ y)) [y = x] \neq (\lambda x. (x \ x))$$

As can be seen, y was a free-variable before, but after the substitution y 's value has become bound! Such a case is called a "capture" case.

$$(\lambda x. (x \ y)) [y = x] = > (\lambda x'. (x' \ y)) [y = x] = (\lambda x'. (x' \ x))$$

Another "capture" example: $(\lambda x. (y \ x)) [y = (\lambda z. (x \ z))] \neq (\lambda x. ((\lambda z. (x \ z)) \ x)) (\lambda x. (y \ x))$

$$[y = (\lambda z. (x \ z))] = (\lambda x'. (y \ x')) [y = (\lambda z. (x \ z))] = (\lambda x'. ((\lambda z. (x \ z)) \ x'))$$

Substitution:



1. $x[x = P] = P$

2. $y[x = P] = y$

if $x \neq y$

3. $(M N)[x = P] = (M[x = P] N[x = P])$

4. $(\lambda x.M)[x = P] = (\lambda x.M)$

5. $(\lambda y.M)[x = P] = (\lambda y.M[x = P])$

if $x \neq y$ and y not belongs to $FV[P]$

6. $(\lambda y.M)[x = P] = (\lambda y'.(M\{y \ y'\}[x = P]))$

if $x = y$ and y belongs to $FV[P]$ and y' is brand new



Substitution example:

$$\begin{aligned} & (\lambda y. (((\lambda x. x) y) x)) [x = (y (\lambda x. x))] \\ &= (\lambda y'. (((\lambda x. x) y') x)) [x = (y (\lambda x. x))] \\ &= (\lambda y'. (((\lambda x. x)[x = (y (\lambda x. x))] y'[x = (y (\lambda x. x))]) x[x = (y (\lambda x. x))])) \\ &= (\lambda y'. (((\lambda x. x) y') (y (\lambda x. x)))) \end{aligned}$$

B - reduction:



- >The process of evaluating lambda terms by “plugging arguments into functions” is called β -reduction.
- >A term of the form $(\lambda x.M)N$, which consists of a lambda abstraction applied to another term, is called a β -redex.
- >We say that it reduces to $M[N/x]$, and we call the latter term the reduct.
- >We reduce lambda terms by finding a subterm that is a redex, and then replacing that redex by its reduct.
- >We repeat this as many times as we like, or until there are no more redexes left to reduce.
- >A lambda term without any β -redexes is said to be in β -normal form.

B reduction:

Example:

1. $(\lambda x.y)((\lambda z.zz)(\lambda w.w)) \rightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda x.y)((\lambda w.w)(\lambda w.w)) \rightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda x.y)(\lambda w.w) \rightarrow_{\beta} y.$
2. $(\lambda z.\lambda u.\lambda x.x (x ((v \ v) \ \lambda u.u))) \rightarrow \lambda u.\lambda x.x$
3. $(\lambda z.(z (z \ \lambda y.z)) \ w) \rightarrow (w (w \ \lambda y.w))$

*The order of applying β -reductions is not significant. The end result is the same, especially if it terminates.

Not every term evaluates to something; some terms can be reduced forever without reaching a normal form. The following is an example:

$$(\lambda x.xx)(\lambda y.yyy) \rightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda y.yyy)(\lambda y.yyy) \rightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda y.yyy)(\lambda y.yyy)(\lambda y.yyy) \rightarrow_{\beta} \dots$$

Evaluation strategy:



- **Normal order:** reduce the outermost "redex" first.

$$(\lambda x. (\lambda y. xy))((\lambda x. x)z) = \lambda y. ((\lambda x. x)z)y = \lambda y. zy$$

- **Applicative order:** arguments to a function application are evaluated first, from left to right before the function application itself is evaluated.

$$(\lambda x. (\lambda y. xy))((\lambda x. x)z) = (\lambda x. (\lambda y. xy))z = \lambda y. zy$$

- > An expression that can't be β -reduced any further is a normal form.
- > Not everything has a normal form.
- > If a lambda reduction terminates, it terminates to the same reduced expression regardless of reduction order.
- > If a terminating lambda reduction exists, normal order evaluation will terminate.

The number of β -reductions performed in the evaluation of this expression are not same with the applicative order strategy or the normal order strategy is used.

Example:

$\text{iszero} = (\lambda n. n (\lambda x. \text{false}) \text{true})$

$0 = (\lambda s z. z)$

$1 = (\lambda s z. s z)$

$\text{true} = (\lambda x y. x)$

$\text{false} = (\lambda x y. y)$

Question: How do we compute $\text{iszero } 1$ to get false via beta reduction?

```
iszero 1                                #|Evaluate by normal order|#
=> iszero 1                             ; by def of iszero
=> ( $\lambda n. n (\lambda x. \text{false}) \text{true}$ ) 1    ; do one step reduction for  $\lambda n$ 
=> 1 ( $\lambda x. \text{false}$ ) true                 ; by def of 1
=> ( $\lambda s z. s z$ ) ( $\lambda x. \text{false}$ ) true    ; application are left associative
=> (( $\lambda s z. s z$ ) ( $\lambda x. \text{false}$ )) true    ; do one step reduction for  $\lambda s$ 
=> ( $\lambda z. (\lambda x. \text{false}) z$ ) true        ; do one step reduction for  $\lambda z$ 
=> ( $\lambda x. \text{false}$ ) true                  ; do one step reduction for  $\lambda x$ 
=> false
```

Numbers:



$0 : \Leftrightarrow \lambda sz.z$

$1 = \lambda sz.s(z)$

$2 = \lambda sz.s(s(z))$

$3 = \lambda sz.s(s(s(z)))$

$4 = \lambda sz.s(s(s(s(z))))$

$S : \Leftrightarrow \lambda abc.b(abc)$

Let us calculate the successor of 0 with it:

$S0 = (\lambda abc.b(abc)) (\lambda sz.z)$

$= \lambda bc.b((\lambda sz.z) bc)$

$= \lambda bc.b((\lambda z.z) c)$

$= \lambda bc.b(c)$

$\lambda bc.b(c) = \lambda sz.s(z) = 1$

B-reduction with α -conversion

$(\lambda xyz. xyz)(\lambda x. xx)(\lambda x. x)x$

$= (((\lambda xyz. xyz)(\lambda x. xx))(\lambda x. x))x$

$= (((\lambda xyz. xyz)(\lambda x. xx))(\lambda x. x))x$

$(\lambda xyz. xyz)(\lambda x. xx)$

$= (\lambda x. \lambda yz. xyz)(\lambda x. xx)$

$= (\lambda x. \lambda yz. xyz)(\lambda x'. x'x')$

$= (\lambda yz. xyz)[x := \lambda x'. x'x']$

$= (\lambda yz. (\lambda x'. x'x')yz)$

$= (\lambda yz. ((\lambda x'. x'x')y) z)$

$= (\lambda yz. ((x'x')[x' := y]) z)$

$= (\lambda yz. (yy) z)$

Add this back into the original expression:

$((\lambda xyz. xyz)(\lambda x. xx))(\lambda x. x)x$

$= ((\lambda yz. (yy)z)(\lambda x. x))x$


$= ((\lambda yz. (yy)z)(\lambda x. x))x$

$(\lambda yz. (yy)z)(\lambda x. x)$

$= (\lambda y. \lambda z. (yy)z)(\lambda x. x)$

$= (\lambda z. (yy)z)[y := (\lambda x. x)]$

Example Contd...


$$= (\lambda z. (yy)z)[y := (\lambda x. x)]$$

$$= (\lambda z. ((\lambda x. x)(\lambda x. x))z)$$

$$= (\lambda z. ((x)[x := \lambda x. x])z)$$

$$= (\lambda z. ((\lambda x. x))z)$$

$$= (\lambda z. (\lambda x. x)z)$$

$$= (\lambda z. (x)[x := z])$$

$$= (\lambda z. (z))$$

$$= (\lambda z. z)$$

Put it back into the main expression:

$$((\lambda yz. (yy)z)(\lambda x. x))x$$

$$= ((\lambda z. z))x$$

$$= x$$

Recursion:



$FACT = \lambda n. \text{ if } n = 0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } n \times FACT (n - 1)$

Remove recursion: Way 1

$FACT' = \lambda f. \lambda n. \text{ if } n = 0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } n \times (f f (n - 1))$

$FACT = FACT' FACT'$

$FACT\ 3 = (FACT' FACT')\ 3$ Definition of $FACT$
 $= ((\lambda f. \lambda n. \text{ if } n = 0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } n \times (f f (n - 1)))\ FACT0)\ 3$
 $= (\lambda n. \text{ if } n = 0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } n \times (FACT0\ FACT0\ (n - 1)))\ 3$
 $= \text{ if } 3 = 0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 3 \times (FACT0\ FACT0\ (3 - 1))$
 $= 3 \times (FACT0\ FACT0\ (3 - 1))$
 $= \dots$
 $= 3 \times 2 \times 1 \times 1 = 6$

Fixed point combinator:



$$\text{FIX}(f) \equiv f (\text{FIX}(f))$$

One such combinator is Y-combinator

$$Y = \lambda f. (\lambda x. f(xx))(\lambda x. f(xx))$$

$$YM = M(YM)$$

$$YM = (\lambda f. (\lambda x. f(xx))(\lambda x. f(xx)))M$$

$$\rightarrow (\lambda x. M(xx))(\lambda x. M(xx))$$

$$\rightarrow M((\lambda x. M(xx))(\lambda x. M(xx)))$$

$$M(YM) = M((\lambda f. (\lambda x. f(xx))(\lambda x. f(xx)))M)$$

$$\rightarrow M((\lambda x. M(xx))(\lambda x. M(xx)))$$

Fixed point combinator:



$G = \lambda f. \lambda n. \text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } n \times (f (n - 1))$

$\text{FACT} = Y G$

$= (\lambda f. (\lambda x. f(x x)) (\lambda x. f(x x))) G$

$= \lambda x. G(x x) (\lambda x. G(x x))$

$= G((\lambda x. G(x x)) (\lambda x. G(x x)))$

$= (\lambda f. \lambda n. \text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } n \times (f (n - 1))) ((\lambda x. G(x x)) (\lambda x. G(x x)))$

$= \lambda n. \text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } n \times ((\lambda x. G(x x)) (\lambda x. G(x x)) (n - 1))$

$= \lambda n. \text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } n \times (Y G (n - 1))$

$= \lambda n. \text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } n \times (\text{FACT} (n - 1))$