

Process and Thread Synchronization

Semaphores and Condition Variables

Adrian Coleșa

Technical University of Cluj-Napoca (UTCN)
Computer Science Department

April 29, 2020



The purpose of this chapter

- Present different synchronization mechanisms: semaphores and condition variables
- Present some classical synchronization patterns (problems): producer / consumer

The purpose of this chapter

- Present different synchronization mechanisms: semaphores and condition variables
- Present some classical synchronization patterns (problems): producer / consumer

Bibliography

- A. Tanenbaum, *Modern Operating Systems*, 2nd Edition, 2001, Chapter 2, Processes, p. 100 – 132
- A. Downey, *The Little Book of Semaphores*, 2nd Edition, 2008, p. 1 – 106

Outline

- 1 Semaphores
- 2 The “Producer/Consumer” Problem
- 3 Condition Variables
- 4 Conclusions

Outline

- 1 Semaphores
- 2 The “Producer/Consumer” Problem
- 3 Condition Variables
- 4 Conclusions

Characteristics

- **generalization of locks**

- more threads could be given permission
- to enter simultaneously in the critical regions protected by the semaphore

- consists of

- a value

- number of available permissions to pass the checkpoint
- only positive values are allowed

- two primitives

- P (wait, down): blocks the current process if the value is 0, otherwise decreases the value
- V (wake, up): increments the value and wakes up a sleeping process

- main difference from locks

- any thread is allowed to increase a semaphore value (no of permissions), not only those that previously got one
- \Rightarrow could be used both as a (generalized) lock and an event control

Characteristics

- **generalization of locks**

- more threads could be given permission
- to enter simultaneously in the critical regions protected by the semaphore

- consists of

- main difference from locks



Characteristics

- **generalization of locks**

- more threads could be given permission
- to enter simultaneously in the critical regions protected by the semaphore

- consists of

- a value

- number of available permissions to pass the semaphore
 - only positive values are allowed

- two primitives

- `P (wait, down)`: blocks the current process if the value is 0, otherwise decreases the value
 - `V (wake up)`: increments the value and wakes up a sleeping process

- main difference from locks

- any thread is allowed to increase a semaphore value (no of permissions), not only those that previously got one
 - \Rightarrow could be used both as a (generalized) lock and an event control

Characteristics

- **generalization of locks**

- more threads could be given permission
- to enter simultaneously in the critical regions protected by the semaphore

- consists of

- **a value**

- number of available permissions to pass the checkpoint
- only positive values are allowed

- **two primitives**

- **P (sem_down)**: blocks the current process if the value is 0, otherwise decrements the value
- **V (sema_up)**: increments the value and wakes up a sleeping process

- **main difference from locks**

- any thread is allowed to increase a semaphore value (no of permissions), not only those that previously got one
- \Rightarrow could be used both as a (generalized) lock and an event control

Characteristics

- **generalization of locks**

- more threads could be given permission
- to enter simultaneously in the critical regions protected by the semaphore

- consists of

- **a value**

- number of available permissions to pass the checkpoint
- only positive values are allowed

- **two primitives**

- **P (sem_down)**: blocks the current process if the value is 0, otherwise decrements the value
- **V (sema_up)**: increments the value and wakes up a sleeping process

- main difference from locks

- any thread is allowed to increase a semaphore value (no of permissions), not only those that previously got one
- \Rightarrow could be used both as a (generalized) lock and an event control

Characteristics

- **generalization of locks**

- more threads could be given permission
- to enter simultaneously in the critical regions protected by the semaphore

- consists of

- **a value**

- number of available permissions to pass the checkpoint
- only positive values are allowed

- **two primitives**

- **P (sem_down)**: blocks the current process if the value is 0, otherwise decrements the value
- **V (sema_up)**: increments the value and wakes up a sleeping process

- **main difference from locks**

- any thread is allowed to increase a semaphore value (no of permissions), not only those that previously got one
- \Rightarrow could be used both as a (generalized) lock and an event control



Characteristics

- **generalization of locks**

- more threads could be given permission
- to enter simultaneously in the critical regions protected by the semaphore

- consists of

- **a value**

- number of available permissions to pass the checkpoint
- only positive values are allowed

- **two primitives**

- **P (sem_down)**: blocks the current process if the value is 0, otherwise decrements the value
- **V (sema_up)**: increments the value and wakes up a sleeping process

- main difference from locks

- any thread is allowed to increase a semaphore value (no of permissions), not only those that previously got one
- \Rightarrow could be used both as a (generalized) lock and an event control



Characteristics

- **generalization of locks**
 - more threads could be given permission
 - to enter simultaneously in the critical regions protected by the semaphore
- consists of
 - **a value**
 - number of available permissions to pass the checkpoint
 - only positive values are allowed
 - **two primitives**
 - **P (sem_down)**: blocks the current process if the value is 0, otherwise decrements the value
 - **V (sema_up)**: increments the value and wakes up a sleeping process
- main difference from locks
 - any thread is allowed to increase a semaphore value (no of permissions), not only those that previously got one
 - \Rightarrow could be used both as a (generalized) lock and an event control

Characteristics

- **generalization of locks**
 - more threads could be given permission
 - to enter simultaneously in the critical regions protected by the semaphore
- consists of
 - **a value**
 - number of available permissions to pass the checkpoint
 - only positive values are allowed
 - **two primitives**
 - **P (sem_down)**: blocks the current process if the value is 0, otherwise decrements the value
 - **V (sema_up)**: increments the value and wakes up a sleeping process
- main difference from locks
 - any thread is allowed to increase a semaphore value (no of permissions), not only those that previously got one
 - \Rightarrow could be used both as a (generalized) lock and an event control

Characteristics

- **generalization of locks**
 - more threads could be given permission
 - to enter simultaneously in the critical regions protected by the semaphore
- consists of
 - **a value**
 - number of available permissions to pass the checkpoint
 - only positive values are allowed
 - **two primitives**
 - **P (sem_down)**: blocks the current process if the value is 0, otherwise decrements the value
 - **V (sema_up)**: increments the value and wakes up a sleeping process
- main difference from locks
 - any thread is allowed to increase a semaphore value (no of permissions), not only those that previously got one
 - \Rightarrow could be used both as a (generalized) lock and an event control

Characteristics

- **generalization of locks**
 - more threads could be given permission
 - to enter simultaneously in the critical regions protected by the semaphore
- consists of
 - **a value**
 - number of available permissions to pass the checkpoint
 - only positive values are allowed
 - **two primitives**
 - **P (sem_down)**: blocks the current process if the value is 0, otherwise decrements the value
 - **V (sema_up)**: increments the value and wakes up a sleeping process
- main difference from locks
 - any thread is allowed to increase a semaphore value (no of permissions), not only those that previously got one
 - \Rightarrow could be used both as a (generalized) lock and an event collector

Characteristics

- **generalization of locks**
 - more threads could be given permission
 - to enter simultaneously in the critical regions protected by the semaphore
- consists of
 - **a value**
 - number of available permissions to pass the checkpoint
 - only positive values are allowed
 - **two primitives**
 - **P (sem_down)**: blocks the current process if the value is 0, otherwise decrements the value
 - **V (sema_up)**: increments the value and wakes up a sleeping process
- main difference from locks
 - any thread is allowed to increase a semaphore value (no of permissions), not only those that previously got one
 - \Rightarrow could be used both as a (generalized) lock and an event controller

Characteristics

- **generalization of locks**
 - more threads could be given permission
 - to enter simultaneously in the critical regions protected by the semaphore
- consists of
 - **a value**
 - number of available permissions to pass the checkpoint
 - only positive values are allowed
 - **two primitives**
 - **P (sem_down)**: blocks the current process if the value is 0, otherwise decrements the value
 - **V (sema_up)**: increments the value and wakes up a sleeping process
- main difference from locks
 - any thread is allowed to increase a semaphore value (no of permissions), not only those that previously got one
 - \Rightarrow could be used both as a (generalized) lock and an event counter



Possible Implementation (uni-processor systems)

```
P() // sema_down()
{
    disable_interrupts();
    while (value == 0) {
        insert(crt_thread, w_queue);
        block_current_thread();
    }
    value = value - 1;
    enable_interrupts();
}
```

```
V() // sema_up()
{
    disable_interrupts();
    value = value + 1;
    if (! empty(waiting_queue)) {
        th = remove_first(w_queue);
        insert(ready_queue, th);
    }
    enable_interrupts();
}
```

- **interrupts are disabled**, to provide **code atomicity**
 - after critical code is executed, interrupts are enabled back
- **“sleep / wake-up technique”** is used instead of the *“busy waiting”*
 - **“sending to sleep” (blocking)** a thread
 - append it to a waiting queue and
 - take the CPU from it
 - **“waking up” (unblocking)** a thread
 - remove it from waiting queue
 - append it to the ready queue
 - eventually being given the CPU again

Usage Example

```
#include <pthread.h>

// restrict the number of "persons" in a room
// i.e number of threas in their critical region
sem_t sem;

void three_in_a_room();

main()
{
    // initialize the semaphore!
    // do that before having threads working with it!
    sem_init(&sem, 1, 3);

    // create the competing threads
    for (int i=0; i < 100; i++)
        pthread_create(&t[i], NULL, three_in_a_room, NULL);

    // wait for all threads' termination
    for (int i=0; i < 100; i++)
        pthread_join(t[i], NULL);

    // remove the semaphore
    sem_destroy(&sem);
}
```

Usage Example (cont.)

```
void three_in_a_room()
{
    // ask for a permission, i.e try decrementing the semaphore
    // the thread will be blocked, if no permission is available,
    // i.e. semaphore's value is 0
    sem_wait(&sem);

    // stay in room (critical region) for a while

    // release the obtained permission
    // i.e. increment the semaphore's value
    // this operation NEVER blocks the thread
    sem_post(&sem);
}
```

Practice (1)

- Using semaphores, write the (pseudo)code for the functions in the code below such that to not allow more than 22 players enter simultaneously on the football field. A player is represented by a thread executing the *football_player()* function.

```
1 void football_player()  
2 {  
3     enter_football_field();  
4     play_football();  
5     exit_the_footbal_field();  
6 }
```

Practice (2)

- Using semaphores, write the (pseudo)code that limits to 5 the number of threads executing simultaneously the critical section in the function below.

```

1  #define FREE 1
2  #define BUSY 0
3  #define MAX_TH 5
4
5  int available_unit[MAX_TH] = {FREE, FREE, FREE, FREE, FREE};
6  int unit[MAX_TH] = {0, 0, 0, 0, 0};
7
8  void limited_area()
9  {
10     int pos = -1;
11
12     for (pos = 0; pos < MAX_TH; pos++)
13         if (available_unit[pos] == FREE) {
14             available_unit[pos] = BUSY;
15             break;
16         }
17
18     unit[pos]++;
19
20     available_unit[pos] = FREE;
21 }

```


Outline

- 1 Semaphores
- 2 The “Producer/Consumer” Problem
- 3 Condition Variables
- 4 Conclusions

Problem Description

- two types of processes
 - *producers*: produce messages
 - *consumers*: consume messages
- use a bounded buffer
- waiting conditions
 - for producer: "buffer is full"
 - for consumer: "buffer is empty"
- wakeup conditions
 - for producer: "there is space in buffer"
 - for consumer: "there are messages in buffer"

Problem Description

- two types of processes
 - *producers*: produce messages
 - *consumers*: consume messages
- use a bounded buffer
- waiting conditions
 - for producer: "buffer is full"
 - for consumer: "buffer is empty"
- wakeup conditions
 - for producer: "there is space in buffer"
 - for consumer: "there are messages in buffer"

Problem Description

- two types of processes
 - *producers*: produce messages
 - *consumers*: consume messages
- use a bounded buffer
- waiting conditions
 - for producer: "buffer is full"
 - for consumer: "buffer is empty"
- wakeup conditions
 - for producer: "there is space in buffer"
 - for consumer: "there are messages in buffer"

Problem Description

- two types of processes
 - *producers*: produce messages
 - *consumers*: consume messages
- use a bounded buffer
- waiting conditions
 - for producer: "buffer is full"
 - for consumer: "buffer is empty"
- wakeup conditions
 - for producer: "there is space in buffer"
 - for consumer: "there are messages in buffer"

Problem Description

- two types of processes
 - *producers*: produce messages
 - *consumers*: consume messages
- use a bounded buffer
- waiting conditions
 - for producer: "buffer is full"
 - for consumer: "buffer is empty"
- wakeup conditions
 - for producer: "there is space in buffer"
 - for consumer: "there are messages in buffer"

Problem Description

- two types of processes
 - *producers*: produce messages
 - *consumers*: consume messages
- use a bounded buffer
- waiting conditions
 - for producer: "buffer is full"
 - for consumer: "buffer is empty"
- wakeup conditions
 - for producer: "there is space in buffer"
 - for consumer: "there are messages in buffer"

Problem Description

- two types of processes
 - *producers*: produce messages
 - *consumers*: consume messages
- use a bounded buffer
- waiting conditions
 - for producer: "buffer is full"
 - for consumer: "buffer is empty"
- wakeup conditions
 - for producer: "there is space in buffer"
 - for consumer: "there are messages in buffer"

Problem Description

- two types of processes
 - *producers*: produce messages
 - *consumers*: consume messages
- use a bounded buffer
- waiting conditions
 - for producer: "buffer is full"
 - for consumer: "buffer is empty"
- wakeup conditions
 - for producer: "there is space in buffer"
 - for consumer: "there are messages in buffer"

Problem Description

- two types of processes
 - *producers*: produce messages
 - *consumers*: consume messages
- use a bounded buffer
- waiting conditions
 - for producer: "buffer is full"
 - for consumer: "buffer is empty"
- wakeup conditions
 - for producer: "there is space in buffer"
 - for consumer: "there are messages in buffer"

Problem Description

- two types of processes
 - *producers*: produce messages
 - *consumers*: consume messages
- use a bounded buffer
- waiting conditions
 - for producer: "buffer is full"
 - for consumer: "buffer is empty"
- wakeup conditions
 - for producer: "there is space in buffer"
 - for consumer: "there are messages in buffer"

Basic Solution With Race Conditions

```
// Global variables
const N = 100;           // number of slots in buffer
int count = 0;           // number of messages in the buffer

void producer(int item)
{
    // wait for available space
    while (count == N) {} // full buffer

    insert_item(item);

    // wakeup a consumer
    count = count + 1;
}

int consumer(int *item)
{
    // wait for available message
    while (count == 0) {} // empty buffer

    *item = remove_item();

    // wakeup a producer
    count = count - 1;
}
```

● race conditions

- uncontrolled concurrent access to variable count
- same message could be consumed more times
- messages could be overwritten

● busy waiting

- suggests us that **synchronization is needed**

Basic Solution With Race Conditions (cont.)

- **should be avoided** in practice
- **replace it** with a sleep / wakeup **synchronization mechanism**

Producers/Consumers Problem's Implementation With Semaphores

```
// Global variables and synchronization mechanisms
const N = 100;           // number of slots in buffer
Semaphore mutex(1);      // provides mutual exclusion to buffer
Semaphore can_produce_msg(N); // controls producers' access to buffer
Semaphore can_consume_msg(0); // controls consumers' access to buffer
```

```
void producer(int item)
{
    // check if buffer is full
    can_produce_msg.P();

    // gets mutual exclusion to buffer
    mutex.P();

    insert_item(item);

    // releases the buffer
    mutex.V();

    // new permission for consumers
    can_consume_msg.V();
}
```

```
int consumer(int *item)
{
    // check if buffer is empty
    can_consume_msg.P();

    // gets mutual exclusion to buffer
    mutex.P();

    *item = remove_item();

    // releases the buffer
    mutex.V();

    // new permission for producers
    can_produce_msg.V();
}
```

Remarks On Producers/Consumers Implementation With Semaphores

- there are two ways of using semaphores
 - as a (generalized) lock to provide limited access (mutual exclusion in the example with `mutex` semaphore)
 - as a condition checking and event counter (semaphores `can_consume_msg` and `can_produce_msg`)
- the order of getting the semaphores is important and it can lead to deadlock
 - see the solution with `mutex` semaphore get first

Remarks On Producers/Consumers Implementation With Semaphores

- there are two ways of using semaphores
 - as a (generalized) lock to provide limited access (mutual exclusion in the example with `mutex` semaphore)
 - as a condition checking and event counter (semaphores `can_consume_msg` and `can_produce_msg`)
- the order of getting the semaphores is important and it can lead to deadlock
 - see the solution with `mutex` semaphore get first

Remarks On Producers/Consumers Implementation With Semaphores

- there are two ways of using semaphores
 - as a (generalized) lock to provide limited access (mutual exclusion in the example with `mutex` semaphore)
 - as a condition checking and event counter (semaphores `can_consume_msg` and `can_produce_msg`)
- the order of getting the semaphores is important and it can lead to deadlock
 - see the solution with `mutex` semaphore get first

Remarks On Producers/Consumers Implementation With Semaphores

- there are two ways of using semaphores
 - as a (generalized) lock to provide limited access (mutual exclusion in the example with `mutex` semaphore)
 - as a condition checking and event counter (semaphores `can_consume_msg` and `can_produce_msg`)
- the order of getting the semaphores is important and it can lead to deadlock
 - see the solution with `mutex` semaphore get first

Remarks On Producers/Consumers Implementation With Semaphores

- there are two ways of using semaphores
 - as a (generalized) lock to provide limited access (mutual exclusion in the example with `mutex` semaphore)
 - as a condition checking and event counter (semaphores `can_consume_msg` and `can_produce_msg`)
- the order of getting the semaphores is important and it can lead to deadlock
 - see the solution with `mutex` semaphore get first

Deadlock In The Producers/Consumers Problem's Implementation With Semaphores

```
// Global variables and synchronization mechanisms
const N = 100;           // number of slots in buffer
Semaphore mutex(1);      // provides mutual exclusion to buffer
Semaphore can_produce_msg(N); // controls producers' access to buffer
Semaphore can_consume_msg(0); // controls consumers' access to buffer
```

```
void producer(int item)
{
    // gets mutual exclusion to buffer
    mutex.P();

    // check if buffer is full
    can_produce_msg.P();

    insert_item(item);

    // new permission for consumers
    can_consume_msg.V();

    // releases the buffer
    mutex.V();
}
```

```
int consumer(int *item)
{
    // gets mutual exclusion to buffer
    mutex.P();

    // check if buffer is empty
    can_consume_msg.P();

    *item = insert_item();

    // new permission for producers
    can_produce_msg.V();

    // releases the buffer
    mutex.V();
}
```

Outline

- 1 Semaphores
- 2 The “Producer/Consumer” Problem
- 3 Condition Variables
- 4 Conclusions

Condition Variables: Context and Problem

- **used in a mutual exclusion context**
- **a thread** having a lock **must wait for a specific condition** to be fulfilled before going further
 - waited condition reflect the shared resource state
 - lock must be taken before testing / changing the condition
- **should not wait (sleep) keeping the lock**
 - could block the other threads that could change condition to true
- \Rightarrow waiting thread should **release the lock while waiting** (sleeping)
- \Rightarrow another thread can take the lock in the meantime and change condition
- that thread **wakes up the sleeping (waiting) thread**

Condition Variables: Context and Problem

- **used in a mutual exclusion context**
- **a thread** having a lock **must wait for a specific condition** to be fulfilled before going further
 - waited condition reflect the shared resource state
 - lock must be taken before testing / changing the condition
- **should not wait (sleep) keeping the lock**
 - could block the other threads that could change condition to true
- ⇒ waiting thread should **release the lock while waiting** (sleeping)
- ⇒ another thread can take the lock in the meantime and change condition
- that thread **wakes up the sleeping (waiting) thread**

Condition Variables: Context and Problem

- **used in a mutual exclusion context**
- **a thread** having a lock **must wait for a specific condition** to be fulfilled before going further
 - waited condition reflect the shared resource state
 - lock must be taken before testing / changing the condition
- **should not wait (sleep) keeping the lock**
 - could block the other threads that could change condition to true
- \Rightarrow waiting thread should **release the lock while waiting** (sleeping)
- \Rightarrow another thread can take the lock in the meantime and change condition
- that thread **wakes up the sleeping (waiting) thread**

Condition Variables: Context and Problem

- **used in a mutual exclusion context**
- **a thread** having a lock **must wait for a specific condition** to be fulfilled before going further
 - waited condition reflect the shared resource state
 - lock must be taken before testing / changing the condition
- **should not wait (sleep) keeping the lock**
 - could block the other threads that could change condition to true
- \Rightarrow waiting thread should **release the lock while waiting** (sleeping)
- \Rightarrow another thread can take the lock in the meantime and change condition
- that thread **wakes up the sleeping (waiting) thread**

Condition Variables: Context and Problem

- **used in a mutual exclusion context**
- **a thread** having a lock **must wait for a specific condition** to be fulfilled before going further
 - waited condition reflect the shared resource state
 - lock must be taken before testing / changing the condition
- **should not wait (sleep) keeping the lock**
 - could block the other threads that could change condition to true
- \Rightarrow waiting thread should **release the lock while waiting** (sleeping)
- \Rightarrow another thread can take the lock in the meantime and change condition
- that thread **wakes up the sleeping (waiting) thread**

Condition Variables: Context and Problem

- **used in a mutual exclusion context**
- **a thread** having a lock **must wait for a specific condition** to be fulfilled before going further
 - waited condition reflect the shared resource state
 - lock must be taken before testing / changing the condition
- **should not wait (sleep) keeping the lock**
 - could block the other threads that could change condition to true
- \Rightarrow waiting thread should **release the lock while waiting** (sleeping)
- \Rightarrow another thread can take the lock in the meantime and change condition
- that thread **wakes up the sleeping (waiting) thread**

Condition Variables: Context and Problem

- **used in a mutual exclusion context**
- **a thread** having a lock **must wait for a specific condition** to be fulfilled before going further
 - waited condition reflect the shared resource state
 - lock must be taken before testing / changing the condition
- **should not wait (sleep) keeping the lock**
 - could block the other threads that could change condition to true
- \Rightarrow waiting thread should **release the lock while waiting** (sleeping)
- \Rightarrow another thread can take the lock in the meantime and change condition
- that thread **wakes up the sleeping (waiting) thread**

Condition Variables: Context and Problem

- **used in a mutual exclusion context**
- **a thread** having a lock **must wait for a specific condition** to be fulfilled before going further
 - waited condition reflect the shared resource state
 - lock must be taken before testing / changing the condition
- **should not wait (sleep) keeping the lock**
 - could block the other threads that could change condition to true
- \Rightarrow waiting thread should **release the lock while waiting** (sleeping)
- \Rightarrow another thread can take the lock in the meantime and change condition
- that thread **wakes up the sleeping (waiting) thread**

Condition Variables: Context and Problem

- **used in a mutual exclusion context**
- **a thread** having a lock **must wait for a specific condition** to be fulfilled before going further
 - waited condition reflect the shared resource state
 - lock must be taken before testing / changing the condition
- **should not wait (sleep) keeping the lock**
 - could block the other threads that could change condition to true
- \Rightarrow waiting thread should **release the lock while waiting** (sleeping)
- \Rightarrow another thread can take the lock in the meantime and change condition
- that thread **wakes up the sleeping (waiting) thread**

Condition Variables: Possible Deadlock Scenario

```
// Thread waiting
// for a condition
// to be fulfilled
thread_1()
{
    mutex.lock();

    while (cond == FALSE) {
        // e.g. (no_msg == 0)
        // for a consumer
        sleep(1);
    }

    mutex.unlock();
}
```

```
// Thread changing
// the waited condition
// to TRUE
thread_2()
{
    mutex.lock();

    cond = TRUE;
    // e.g. no_msg++
    // for a producer

    mutex.unlock();
}
```

Condition Variables: Functionality

- what is it?
 - a specialized waiting mechanism
 - transparently release the lock while blocking the lock holder
 - at wakeup transparently reacquire the lock before resuming the waiting thread
- consists of
 - a waiting queue
 - two (three) primitives
 - waits: the calling process is inserted in the waiting queue and suspended
 - signals: one sleeping process is removed from the waiting queue and is woken up
 - broadcast: all sleeping processes are woken up

Condition Variables: Functionality

- what is it?
 - **a specialized waiting mechanism**
 - transparently release the lock while blocking the lock holder
 - at wakeup transparently reacquire the lock before resuming the waiting thread
- consists of
 - a waiting queue
 - two (three) primitives
 - wait: the calling process is inserted in the waiting queue and suspended
 - signal: one sleeping process is removed from the waiting queue and is woken up
 - broadcast: all sleeping processes are woken up

Condition Variables: Functionality

- what is it?
 - **a specialized waiting mechanism**
 - transparently release the lock while blocking the lock holder
 - at wakeup transparently reacquire the lock before resuming the waiting thread
- consists of
 - a waiting queue
 - two (three) primitives
 - `wait()`: the calling process is inserted in the waiting queue and atomically releases the locking mutex. It is removed from the waiting queue when it is woken up.
 - `signal()`: all sleeping processes are woken up.

Condition Variables: Functionality

- what is it?
 - **a specialized waiting mechanism**
 - transparently release the lock while blocking the lock holder
 - at wakeup transparently reacquire the lock before resuming the waiting thread
- consists of
 - a waiting queue
 - two (three) primitives

• **wait**: the calling process is removed to the waiting queue and atomically releases the lock. The lock holder can now resume its execution.

• **wait_timeout**: the calling process is removed to the waiting queue and atomically releases the lock.

• **wakeup**: all threads in the waiting queue are notified.

Condition Variables: Functionality

- what is it?
 - **a specialized waiting mechanism**
 - transparently release the lock while blocking the lock holder
 - at wakeup transparently reacquire the lock before resuming the waiting thread
- consists of
 - a waiting queue
 - two (three) primitives
 - wait: the calling process is inserted in the waiting queue and suspended
 - signal: one sleeping process is removed from the waiting queue and woken up
 - broadcast: all sleeping processes are woken up

Condition Variables: Functionality

- what is it?
 - **a specialized waiting mechanism**
 - transparently release the lock while blocking the lock holder
 - at wakeup transparently reacquire the lock before resuming the waiting thread
- consists of
 - a waiting queue
 - two (three) primitives
 - wait: the calling process is inserted in the waiting queue and suspended
 - signal: one sleeping process is removed from the waiting queue and woken up
 - broadcast: all sleeping processes are woken up

Condition Variables: Functionality

- what is it?
 - **a specialized waiting mechanism**
 - transparently release the lock while blocking the lock holder
 - at wakeup transparently reacquire the lock before resuming the waiting thread
- consists of
 - a waiting queue
 - two (three) primitives
 - **wait**: the calling process is inserted in the waiting queue and suspended
 - **signal**: one sleeping process is removed from the waiting queue and woken up
 - **broadcast**: all sleeping processes are woken up

Condition Variables: Functionality

- what is it?
 - **a specialized waiting mechanism**
 - transparently release the lock while blocking the lock holder
 - at wakeup transparently reacquire the lock before resuming the waiting thread
- consists of
 - a waiting queue
 - two (three) primitives
 - **wait**: the calling process is inserted in the waiting queue and suspended
 - **signal**: one sleeping process is removed from the waiting queue and woken up
 - **broadcast**: all sleeping processes are woken up

Condition Variables: Functionality

- what is it?
 - **a specialized waiting mechanism**
 - transparently release the lock while blocking the lock holder
 - at wakeup transparently reacquire the lock before resuming the waiting thread
- consists of
 - a waiting queue
 - two (three) primitives
 - **wait**: the calling process is inserted in the waiting queue and suspended
 - **signal**: one sleeping process is removed from the waiting queue and woken up
 - **broadcast**: all sleeping processes are woken up

Condition Variables: Functionality

- what is it?
 - **a specialized waiting mechanism**
 - transparently release the lock while blocking the lock holder
 - at wakeup transparently reacquire the lock before resuming the waiting thread
- consists of
 - a waiting queue
 - two (three) primitives
 - **wait**: the calling process is inserted in the waiting queue and suspended
 - **signal**: one sleeping process is removed from the waiting queue and woken up
 - **broadcast**: all sleeping processes are woken up

Condition Variables. Basic Pattern Usage

```
// Thread waiting for  
// an event (condition)  
// to occur
```

```
thread_1()  
{  
    mutex.lock();  
  
    while (! cond)  
        c.wait(mutex);  
  
    mutex.unlock();  
}
```

```
// Thread generating  
// the event  
//
```

```
thread_2()  
{  
    mutex.lock();  
  
    cond = TRUE;  
    c.signal();  
  
    mutex.unlock();  
}
```

Condition Variables: Implementation

```
wait(Lock mutex)
{
    disable_interrupts();

    mutex.unlock();

    insert(crt_th, w_queue);
    block_current_thread();

    mutex.lock();

    enable_interrupts();
}
```

```
signal()
{
    disable_interrupts();

    if (! empty(waiting_queue)) {
        th = remove_first(w_queue);
        insert(ready_queue, th);
    }

    enable_interrupts();
}
```

Condition Variables. General Pattern Usage (Var 1)

```
// Synchronization mechanisms: one condition variable
```

```
Lock mutex;
```

```
Condition c;
```

```
// Thread 1's Function
```

```
thread_1()
```

```
{
```

```
    // critical region entrance
```

```
    mutex.lock();
```

```
    while (! cond_1)
```

```
        c.wait(mutex);
```

```
    mutex.unlock();
```

```
    // thread T1 in its critical region
```

```
    ....
```

```
    // critical region exit
```

```
    mutex.lock();
```

```
    cond_2 = TRUE;
```

```
    c.broadcast();
```

```
    mutex.unlock();
```

```
}
```

```
// Thread 2's Function
```

```
thread_2()
```

```
{
```

```
    // critical region entrance
```

```
    mutex.lock();
```

```
    while (! cond_2)
```

```
        c.wait(mutex);
```

```
    mutex.unlock();
```

```
    // thread T2 in its critical region
```

```
    ...
```

```
    // critical region exit
```

```
    mutex.lock();
```

```
    cond_1 = TRUE;
```

```
    c.broadcast();
```

```
    mutex.unlock();
```

```
}
```

Condition Variables. General Pattern Usage (Var 2)

```
// Synchronization mechanisms: two condition variables
```

```
Lock mutex;
```

```
Condition c1, c2;
```

```
// Thread 1's Function
```

```
thread_1()
```

```
{
```

```
    // critical region entrance
```

```
    mutex.lock();
```

```
    while (! cond_1)
```

```
        c1.wait(mutex);
```

```
    mutex.unlock();
```

```
    // thread T1 in its critical region
```

```
    ....
```

```
    // critical region exit
```

```
    mutex.lock();
```

```
    cond_2 = TRUE;
```

```
    c2.signal(); // c2.broadcast();
```

```
    mutex.unlock();
```

```
}
```

```
// Thread 2's Function
```

```
thread_2()
```

```
{
```

```
    // critical region entrance
```

```
    mutex.lock();
```

```
    while (! cond_2)
```

```
        c2.wait(mutex);
```

```
    mutex.unlock();
```

```
    // thread T2 in its critical region
```

```
    ...
```

```
    // critical region exit
```

```
    mutex.lock();
```

```
    cond_1 = TRUE;
```

```
    c1.signal(); // c1.broadcast();
```

```
    mutex.unlock();
```

```
}
```

Condition Variables. Multiple Conditions (Var 1)

```
// Synchronization mechanisms: multiple conditions & one condition variable
```

```
Lock mutex;
```

```
Condition c;
```

```
// Thread 1's Function
```

```
thread_1()
```

```
{
```

```
    // critical region entrance
```

```
    mutex.lock();
```

```
    while (! cond_1 || ! cond_2)
```

```
        c.wait(mutex);
```

```
    mutex.unlock();
```

```
    // T1's critical region
```

```
    ....
```

```
    // critical region exit
```

```
    mutex.lock();
```

```
    .....
```

```
    mutex.unlock();
```

```
}
```

```
// Thread 2's Function
```

```
thread_2()
```

```
{
```

```
    ....
```

```
    ....
```

```
    // critical region exit
```

```
    mutex.lock();
```

```
    switch (x) {
```

```
        case 1:
```

```
            cond_1 = TRUE;
```

```
            c.broadcast(); // c.signal() ?
```

```
            break;
```

```
        case 2:
```

```
            cond_2 = TRUE;
```

```
            c.broadcast(); // c.signal() ?
```

```
            break;
```

```
    }
```

```
    mutex.unlock();
```

```
}
```

Condition Variables. Multiple Conditions (Var 2 - BAD)

```
// Synchronization mechanisms: multiple conditions & multiple condition variables & one lock
Lock mutex;
Condition c1, c2;
```

```
// Thread 1's Function
thread_1()
{
    // critical region entrance
    mutex.lock();
    while (! cond_1)
        c1.wait(mutex);

    while (! cond_2)
        c2.wait(mutex);
    mutex.unlock();

    // T1's critical region
    ....

    // critical region exit
    mutex.lock();
    .....
    mutex.unlock();
}
```

```
// Thread 2's Function
thread_2()
{
    ....
    ....
    // critical region exit
    mutex.lock();
    switch (x) {
        case 1:
            cond_1 = TRUE;
            c1.signal(); // c1.broadcast();
            break;
        case 2:
            cond_2 = TRUE;
            c2.signal(); // c2.broadcast();
            break;
    }
    mutex.unlock();
}
```

Condition Variables. Multiple Conditions (Var 2 - OK)

```
// Synchronization mechanisms: multiple conditions & multiple condition variables & multiple
Lock mutex1, mutex2;
Condition c1, c2;
```

```
// Thread 1's Function
thread_1()
{
    // critical region entrance
    mutex1.lock();
    while (! cond_1)
        c1.wait(mutex1);

    mutex2.lock();
    while (! cond_2)
        c2.wait(mutex2);
    mutex2.unlock();
    mutex1.unlock();

    // T1's critical region
    ....

    // critical region exit
    .....
}
```

```
// Thread 2's Function
thread_2()
{
    ....
    // critical region exit

    switch (x) {
    case 1:
        mutex1.lock();
        cond_1 = TRUE;
        c1.signal(); // c1.broadcast();
        mutex1.unlock();
        break;

    case 2:
        mutex2.lock();
        cond_2 = TRUE;
        c2.signal(); // c2.broadcast();
        mutex2.unlock();
        break;
    }
}
```


Condition Variables: Comparison With Semaphores

- *wait* always suspends the calling process
 - while P does this only in case the semaphore's value is zero
- a signal can be lost (i.e. not seen)
 - while a V is not, because it increments the semaphore's value
- safe to use inside a mutual exclusion area
 - while semaphore could lead to deadlock

Condition Variables: Comparison With Semaphores

- *wait* always suspends the calling process
 - while P does this only in case the semaphore's value is zero
- a *signal* can be lost (i.e. not seen)
 - while a V is not, because it increments the semaphore's value
- safe to use inside a mutual exclusion area
 - while semaphore could lead to deadlock

Condition Variables: Comparison With Semaphores

- *wait* always suspends the calling process
 - while P does this only in case the semaphore's value is zero
- a **signal** can be lost (i.e. not seen)
 - while a V is not, because it increments the semaphore's value
- safe to use inside a mutual exclusion area
 - while semaphore could lead to deadlock

Condition Variables: Comparison With Semaphores

- *wait* always suspends the calling process
 - while P does this only in case the semaphore's value is zero
- a `signal` can be lost (i.e. not seen)
 - while a V is not, because it increments the semaphore's value
- safe to use inside a mutual exclusion area
 - while semaphore could lead to deadlock

Condition Variables: Comparison With Semaphores

- *wait* always suspends the calling process
 - while P does this only in case the semaphore's value is zero
- a `signal` can be lost (i.e. not seen)
 - while a V is not, because it increments the semaphore's value
- safe to use inside a mutual exclusion area
 - while semaphore could lead to deadlock

Condition Variables: Comparison With Semaphores

- *wait* always suspends the calling process
 - while P does this only in case the semaphore's value is zero
- a `signal` can be lost (i.e. not seen)
 - while a V is not, because it increments the semaphore's value
- safe to use inside a mutual exclusion area
 - while semaphore could lead to deadlock

Rules to Remember About Using Condition Variables

- ❶ **always use them** (wait and signal) **inside a mutual exclusion area** (protected by a **lock**)
- ❷ the lock does (normally) not protect the shared resource
 - is would simply provide mutual exclusion, but maybe not needed
 - does not support deadlock-free waiting
- ❸ the lock protects the entrance in and exit from critical region
 - where some condition checks or changes are done
 - in a mutual exclusion manner
- ❹ it **could be needed to recheck the condition** after returning from wait
 - use *while* instead of *if*
- ❺ **do not use wait without checking a condition**
 - it is not sure the thread must wait (we do not control thread scheduling)
 - signal has no history, so can be lost

Rules to Remember About Using Condition Variables

- ➊ **always use them** (wait and signal) **inside a mutual exclusion area** (protected by a **lock**)
- ➋ the lock does (normally) not protect the shared resource
 - is would simply provide mutual exclusion, but maybe not needed
 - does not support deadlock-free waiting
- ➌ the lock protects the entrance in and exit from critical region
 - where some condition checks or changes are done
 - in a mutual exclusion manner
- ➍ it **could be needed to recheck the condition** after returning from wait
 - use *while* instead of *if*
- ➎ **do not use wait without checking a condition**
 - it is not sure the thread must wait (we do not control thread scheduling)
 - signal has no history, so can be lost

Rules to Remember About Using Condition Variables

- 1 **always use them** (wait and signal) **inside a mutual exclusion area** (protected by a **lock**)
- 2 the lock does (normally) not protect the shared resource
 - is would simply provide mutual exclusion, but maybe not needed
 - does not support deadlock-free waiting
- 3 the lock protects the entrance in and exit from critical region
 - where some condition checks or changes are done
 - in a mutual exclusion manner
- 4 it **could be needed to recheck the condition** after returning from wait
 - use *while* instead of *if*
- 5 **do not use wait without checking a condition**
 - it is not sure the thread must wait (we do not control thread scheduling)
 - signal has no history, so can be lost

Rules to Remember About Using Condition Variables

- 1 **always use them** (wait and signal) **inside a mutual exclusion area** (protected by a **lock**)
- 2 the lock does (normally) not protect the shared resource
 - is would simply provide mutual exclusion, but maybe not needed
 - does not support deadlock-free waiting
- 3 the lock protects the entrance in and exit from critical region
 - where some condition checks or changes are done
 - in a mutual exclusion manner
- 4 it **could be needed to recheck the condition** after returning from wait
 - use *while* instead of *if*
- 5 **do not use wait without checking a condition**
 - it is not sure the thread must wait (we do not control thread scheduling)
 - signal has no history, so can be lost

Rules to Remember About Using Condition Variables

- 1 **always use them** (wait and signal) **inside a mutual exclusion area** (protected by a **lock**)
- 2 the lock does (normally) not protect the shared resource
 - is would simply provide mutual exclusion, but maybe not needed
 - does not support deadlock-free waiting
- 3 the lock protects the entrance in and exit from critical region
 - where some condition checks or changes are done
 - in a mutual exclusion manner
- 4 it **could be needed to recheck the condition** after returning from wait
 - use *while* instead of *if*
- 5 **do not use wait without checking a condition**
 - it is not sure the thread must wait (we do not control thread scheduling)
 - signal has no history, so can be lost

Rules to Remember About Using Condition Variables

- 1 **always use them** (wait and signal) **inside a mutual exclusion area** (protected by a **lock**)
- 2 the lock does (normally) not protect the shared resource
 - is would simply provide mutual exclusion, but maybe not needed
 - does not support deadlock-free waiting
- 3 the lock protects the entrance in and exit from critical region
 - where some condition checks or changes are done
 - in a mutual exclusion manner
- 4 it **could be needed to recheck the condition** after returning from wait
 - use *while* instead of *if*
- 5 **do not use wait without checking a condition**
 - it is not sure the thread must wait (we do not control thread scheduling)
 - signal has no history, so can be lost

Rules to Remember About Using Condition Variables

- 1 **always use them** (wait and signal) **inside a mutual exclusion area** (protected by a **lock**)
- 2 the lock does (normally) not protect the shared resource
 - is would simply provide mutual exclusion, but maybe not needed
 - does not support deadlock-free waiting
- 3 the lock protects the entrance in and exit from critical region
 - where some condition checks or changes are done
 - in a mutual exclusion manner
- 4 it **could be needed to recheck the condition** after returning from wait
 - use *while* instead of *if*
- 5 **do not use wait without checking a condition**
 - it is not sure the thread must wait (we do not control thread scheduling)
 - signal has no history, so can be lost

Rules to Remember About Using Condition Variables

- ❶ **always use them** (wait and signal) **inside a mutual exclusion area** (protected by a **lock**)
- ❷ the lock does (normally) not protect the shared resource
 - is would simply provide mutual exclusion, but maybe not needed
 - does not support deadlock-free waiting
- ❸ the lock protects the entrance in and exit from critical region
 - where some condition checks or changes are done
 - in a mutual exclusion manner
- ❹ it **could be needed to recheck the condition** after returning from wait
 - use *while* instead of *if*
- ❺ **do not use wait without checking a condition**
 - it is not sure the thread must wait (we do not control thread scheduling)
 - signal has no history, so can be lost

Rules to Remember About Using Condition Variables

- ❶ **always use them** (wait and signal) **inside a mutual exclusion area** (protected by a **lock**)
- ❷ the lock does (normally) not protect the shared resource
 - is would simply provide mutual exclusion, but maybe not needed
 - does not support deadlock-free waiting
- ❸ the lock protects the entrance in and exit from critical region
 - where some condition checks or changes are done
 - in a mutual exclusion manner
- ❹ it **could be needed to recheck the condition** after returning from wait
 - use *while* instead of *if*
- ❺ **do not use wait without checking a condition**
 - it is not sure the thread must wait (we do not control thread scheduling)
 - signal has no history, so can be lost

Rules to Remember About Using Condition Variables

- ❶ **always use them** (wait and signal) **inside a mutual exclusion area** (protected by a **lock**)
- ❷ the lock does (normally) not protect the shared resource
 - is would simply provide mutual exclusion, but maybe not needed
 - does not support deadlock-free waiting
- ❸ the lock protects the entrance in and exit from critical region
 - where some condition checks or changes are done
 - in a mutual exclusion manner
- ❹ it **could be needed to recheck the condition** after returning from wait
 - use *while* instead of *if*
- ❺ **do not use wait without checking a condition**
 - it is not sure the thread must wait (we do not control thread scheduling)
 - signal has no history, so can be lost

Rules to Remember About Using Condition Variables

- ❶ **always use them** (wait and signal) **inside a mutual exclusion area** (protected by a **lock**)
- ❷ the lock does (normally) not protect the shared resource
 - is would simply provide mutual exclusion, but maybe not needed
 - does not support deadlock-free waiting
- ❸ the lock protects the entrance in and exit from critical region
 - where some condition checks or changes are done
 - in a mutual exclusion manner
- ❹ it **could be needed to recheck the condition** after returning from wait
 - use *while* instead of *if*
- ❺ **do not use wait without checking a condition**
 - it is not sure the thread must wait (we do not control thread scheduling)
 - *signal* has no history, so can be lost

Rules to Remember About Using Condition Variables

- ❶ **always use them** (wait and signal) **inside a mutual exclusion area** (protected by a **lock**)
- ❷ the lock does (normally) not protect the shared resource
 - is would simply provide mutual exclusion, but maybe not needed
 - does not support deadlock-free waiting
- ❸ the lock protects the entrance in and exit from critical region
 - where some condition checks or changes are done
 - in a mutual exclusion manner
- ❹ it **could be needed to recheck the condition** after returning from wait
 - use *while* instead of *if*
- ❺ **do not use wait without checking a condition**
 - it is not sure the thread must wait (we do not control thread scheduling)
 - signal has no history, so can be lost

Rules to Remember About Using Condition Variables (review)

- 1 **eyes** for seeing
- 2 ears for hearing
- 3 **mind** for thinking (understanding, learning, remembering)
- 4 see <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RZxAc3Grkck>
- 5 review previous slide and make use of the “elements” mentioned above

Rules to Remember About Using Condition Variables (review)

- 1 **eyes** for seeing
- 2 **ears** for hearing
- 3 **mind** for thinking (understanding, learning, remembering)
- 4 see <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RZxAc3Grkck>
- 5 review previous slide and make use of the “elements” mentioned above

Rules to Remember About Using Condition Variables (review)

- 1 **eyes** for seeing
- 2 **ears** for hearing
- 3 **mind** for thinking (understanding, learning, remembering)
- 4 see <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RZxAc3Grkck>
- 5 review previous slide and make use of the “elements” mentioned above

Rules to Remember About Using Condition Variables (review)

- 1 **eyes** for seeing
- 2 **ears** for hearing
- 3 **mind** for thinking (understanding, learning, remembering)
- 4 see <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RZxAc3Grkck>
- 5 review previous slide and make use of the “elements” mentioned above

Rules to Remember About Using Condition Variables (review)

- ① **eyes** for seeing
- ② **ears** for hearing
- ③ **mind** for thinking (understanding, learning, remembering)
- ④ see <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RZxAc3Grkck>
- ⑤ review previous slide and make use of the “elements” mentioned above

Producers/Consumers Problem's Implementation With Locks and Condition Variables

```
// Global variables and synchronization mechanisms
const N = 100;           // number of slots in buffer
int count = 0;           // number of messages in buffer
Lock mutex;              // provides mutual exclusion to buffer
Condition producers;     // controls producers' access to buffer
Condition consumers;     // controls consumers' access to buffer
```

```
void producer(int item)
```

```
{
    // gets mutual exclusion to buffer
    mutex.lock();

    // check if buffer is full
    while (count == N)
        producers.wait(mutex);

    insert_item(item);
    count = count + 1;

    // wakes up a consumer
    consumers.signal();

    // releases the buffer
    mutex.unlock();
}
```

```
int consumer(int *item)
```

```
{
    // gets mutual exclusion to buffer
    mutex.lock();

    // check if buffer is empty
    while (count == 0)
        consumers.wait(mutex);

    *item = remove_item();
    count = count - 1;

    // wakes up a producer
    producers.signal();

    // releases the buffer
    mutex.unlock();
}
```


Practice (3)

- Using locks and condition variables, write the (pseudo)code for the functions in the code below such that to not allow more than 22 players enter simultaneously on the football field. A player is represented by a thread executing the *football_player()* function.

```
void football_player()
{
    enter_football_field();
    play_football();
    exit_the_footbal_field();
}
```

Outline

- 1 Semaphores
- 2 The “Producer/Consumer” Problem
- 3 Condition Variables
- 4 Conclusions

What we talked about

- semaphores

- a generalization of locks \Rightarrow could allow more threads pass the barrier
- important to initialize the semaphore
- “P()” and “V()” primitives
- could also be used as an event counter, usually initialized with 0

- condition variables

- wait: provide a specialized way to wait for a condition to be fulfilled
- signal: provide a way to signal that a condition is fulfilled

- producer-consumer synchronization pattern

What we talked about

- semaphores
 - a generalization of locks \Rightarrow could allow more threads pass the barrier
 - important to initialize the semaphore
 - “P()” and “V()” primitives
 - could also be used as an event counter, usually initialized with 0
- condition variables
 - wait: provide a specialized way to wait for a condition to be fulfilled
 - signal: provide a way to signal that a condition is fulfilled
- producer-consumer synchronization pattern

What we talked about

- semaphores
 - a generalization of locks \Rightarrow could allow more threads pass the barrier
 - important to initialize the semaphore
 - “P()” and “V()” primitives
 - could also be used as an event counter, usually initialized with 0
- condition variables
 - wait: provide a specialized way to wait for a condition to be fulfilled
 - signal: provide a way to signal that a condition is fulfilled
- producer-consumer synchronization pattern

What we talked about

- semaphores
 - a generalization of locks \Rightarrow could allow more threads pass the barrier
 - important to initialize the semaphore
 - “P()” and “V()” primitives
 - could also be used as an event counter, usually initialized with 0
- condition variables
 - wait: provide a specialized way to wait for a condition to be fulfilled
 - signal: provide a way to signal that a condition is fulfilled
- producer-consumer synchronization pattern

What we talked about

- semaphores
 - a generalization of locks \Rightarrow could allow more threads pass the barrier
 - important to initialize the semaphore
 - “P()” and “V()” primitives
 - could also be used as an event counter, usually initialized with 0
- condition variables
 - wait: provide a specialized way to wait for a condition to be fulfilled
 - signal: provide a way to signal that a condition is fulfilled
- producer-consumer synchronization pattern

What we talked about

- semaphores
 - a generalization of locks \Rightarrow could allow more threads pass the barrier
 - important to initialize the semaphore
 - “P()” and “V()” primitives
 - could also be used as an event counter, usually initialized with 0
- condition variables
 - wait: provide a specialized way to wait for a condition to be fulfilled
 - signal: provide a way to signal that a condition is fulfilled
- producer-consumer synchronization pattern

What we talked about

- semaphores
 - a generalization of locks \Rightarrow could allow more threads pass the barrier
 - important to initialize the semaphore
 - “P()” and “V()” primitives
 - could also be used as an event counter, usually initialized with 0
- condition variables
 - wait: provide a specialized way to wait for a condition to be fulfilled
 - signal: provide a way to signal that a condition is fulfilled
- producer-consumer synchronization pattern

What we talked about

- semaphores
 - a generalization of locks \Rightarrow could allow more threads pass the barrier
 - important to initialize the semaphore
 - “P()” and “V()” primitives
 - could also be used as an event counter, usually initialized with 0
- condition variables
 - wait: provide a specialized way to wait for a condition to be fulfilled
 - signal: provide a way to signal that a condition is fulfilled
- producer-consumer synchronization pattern

What we talked about

- semaphores
 - a generalization of locks \Rightarrow could allow more threads pass the barrier
 - important to initialize the semaphore
 - “P()” and “V()” primitives
 - could also be used as an event counter, usually initialized with 0
- condition variables
 - wait: provide a specialized way to wait for a condition to be fulfilled
 - signal: provide a way to signal that a condition is fulfilled
- producer-consumer synchronization pattern

Lessons Learned

- ① locks could be too restrictive
- ② semaphores are more flexible, though must be used with care to avoid deadlock
- ③ condition variables must be used with a lock, i.e. inside a mutual exclusion area

Lessons Learned

- ① locks could be too restrictive
- ② semaphores are more flexible, though must be used with care to avoid deadlock
- ③ condition variables must be used with a lock, i.e. inside a mutual exclusion area

Lessons Learned

- ① locks could be too restrictive
- ② semaphores are more flexible, though must be used with care to avoid deadlock
- ③ condition variables must be used with a lock, i.e. inside a mutual exclusion area