

FUNDAMENTAL PROGRAMMING TECHNIQUES

ASSIGNMENT 2

QUEUES SIMULATOR

1. Requirements

Design and implement a simulation application aiming to analyse queuing based systems for determining and minimizing clients' waiting time.

Queues are commonly used to model real world domains. The main objective of a queue is to provide a place for a "client" to wait before receiving a "service". The management of queue-based systems is interested in minimizing the time amount their "clients" are waiting in queues before they are served. One way to minimize the waiting time is to add more servers, i.e. more queues in the system (each queue is considered as having an associated processor) but this approach increases the costs of the service supplier.

The application should simulate (by defining a simulation time $t_{simulation}$) a series of N clients arriving for service, entering Q queues, waiting, being served and finally leaving the queues. All clients are generated when the simulation is started, and are characterized by three parameters: ID (a number between 1 and N), $t_{arrival}$ (simulation time when they are ready to go to the queue; i.e. time when the client finished shopping) and $t_{service}$ (time interval or duration needed to serve the client; i.e. waiting time when the client is in front of the queue). The application tracks the total time spent by every client in the queues and computes the average waiting time. Each client is added to the queue with minimum waiting time when its $t_{arrival}$ time is greater than or equal to the simulation time $(t_{arrival} \ge t_{simulation})$.

The following data should be considered as **input data** for the application that should be inserted by the user in the application's user interface:

- Number of clients (N);
- Number of queues (Q);
- Simulation interval $(t_{simulation}^{MAX})$;
- Minimum and maximum arrival time $(t_{arrival}^{MIN} \le t_{arrival} \le t_{arrival}^{MAX})$; Minimum and maximum service time $(t_{service}^{MIN} \le t_{service} \le t_{service}^{MAX})$;

1.1 Example

Consider the following input data for the application:

- N=4 clients
- Q = 2 queues
- $t_{simulation}^{MAX} = 60$, a 60 seconds simulation interval
- [2, 30] the bounds for the client parameters, respectively a minimum and maximum arrival time, meaning that clients will go to the queues from second 2 up to second 30.
- [2, 4] the bounds for the service time, meaning that a client has a minimum time to wait in front of the queue of 2 seconds and a maximum time of 4 seconds.

Using this input data, a set of 4 clients are generated random, each client i being defined by the following tuple: $(ID^i, t^i_{arrival}, t^i_{service})$, with the following constraints:

• $1 < ID^i < N$

```
• t_{arrival}^{MIN} \le t_{arrival}^{i} \le t_{arrival}^{MAX}
• t_{service}^{MIN} \le t_{service}^{i} \le t_{service}^{MAX}
```

A number of Q threads will be launched to process in parallel the clients. Another thread will be launched to hold the simulation time $t_{simulation}$ and distribute each client i to the queue with the smallest waiting time when $t_{arrival}^i \geq t_{simulation}$

The log of events saved in a .txt file contains the status of the pool of waiting clients and the queues as the simulation time $t_{simulation}$ goes from 0 to $t_{simulation}^{MAX}$. An example of data displayed in the log of events is given in the table below:

Log of events	Explanation		
Time 0	At time $t_{simulation} = 0$, a number of 4 clients are generated. Client		
Waiting clients: (1,2,2);	with $ID = 1$ has an arrival time equal to 2, meaning that it will be		
(2,3,3); (3,4,3); (4,10,2)	ready to go to a queue when $t_{simulation} \ge 2$. Furthermore, it has a		
Queue 1: closed	service time equal to 2, meaning that it needs to stay 2 time steps in		
Queue 2: closed	the front of the queue.		
	The same rules apply for the next 3 clients.		
	The two queues are closed since there are not clients available.		
Time 1	At time $t_{simulation} = 1$, none of the clients can be sent to the queues		
Waiting clients: (1,2,2);	because none of them has the arrival time greater than or equal to 2.		
(2,3,3); (3,4,3); (4,10,2)			
Queue 1: closed	The two queues are closed since there are no clients available.		
Queue 2: closed			
Time 2	Queue 1 is opened and client with ID =1 is sent to the first queue since		
Waiting clients: (2,3,3);	$ t_{arrival}^1 \ge t_{simulation} = 2.$		
(3,4,3); (4,10,2)	Other clients are still waiting.		
Queue 1: (1,2,2);	Queue 2 is closed.		
Queue 2: closed			
Time 3	Queue 2 is opened at time $t_{simulation} = 3$, client with ID = 2 is sent		
Waiting clients: (3,4,3);	to it since $t_{arrival}^2 \ge t_{simulation} = 3$, and the waiting time at the		
(4.40.0)			
(4,10,2)	second queue (0) is smaller than the waiting time at the first queue		
Queue 1: (1,2,1);	(1), where a client is still processed.		
	(1), where a client is still processed. The client from queue 1 has its service time decreased to 1 because it		
Queue 1: (1,2,1);	(1), where a client is still processed. The client from queue 1 has its service time decreased to 1 because it is being processed.		
Queue 1: (1,2,1); Queue 2: (2,3,3);	(1), where a client is still processed.The client from queue 1 has its service time decreased to 1 because it is being processed.Other clients are still waiting.		
Queue 1: (1,2,1); Queue 2: (2,3,3); Time 4	 (1), where a client is still processed. The client from queue 1 has its service time decreased to 1 because it is being processed. Other clients are still waiting. At time t_{simulation} = 4, client with ID = 3 is sent to the first queue 		
Queue 1: (1,2,1); Queue 2: (2,3,3); Time 4 Waiting clients: (4,10,2)	 (1), where a client is still processed. The client from queue 1 has its service time decreased to 1 because it is being processed. Other clients are still waiting. At time t_{simulation} = 4, client with ID = 3 is sent to the first queue since t³_{arrival} ≥ t_{simulation} = 4. 		
Queue 1: (1,2,1); Queue 2: (2,3,3); Time 4 Waiting clients: (4,10,2) Queue 1: (3,4,3);	 (1), where a client is still processed. The client from queue 1 has its service time decreased to 1 because it is being processed. Other clients are still waiting. At time t_{simulation} = 4, client with ID = 3 is sent to the first queue since t³_{arrival} ≥ t_{simulation} = 4. Furthermore, client with ID =1 was eliminated from the queue 		
Queue 1: (1,2,1); Queue 2: (2,3,3); Time 4 Waiting clients: (4,10,2)	(1), where a client is still processed. The client from queue 1 has its service time decreased to 1 because it is being processed. Other clients are still waiting. At time $t_{simulation} = 4$, client with ID = 3 is sent to the first queue since $t_{arrival}^3 \ge t_{simulation} = 4$. Furthermore, client with ID =1 was eliminated from the queue because its service time has dropped to 0 (it was 1 at the previous		
Queue 1: (1,2,1); Queue 2: (2,3,3); Time 4 Waiting clients: (4,10,2) Queue 1: (3,4,3);	(1), where a client is still processed. The client from queue 1 has its service time decreased to 1 because it is being processed. Other clients are still waiting. At time $t_{simulation} = 4$, client with ID = 3 is sent to the first queue since $t_{arrival}^3 \ge t_{simulation} = 4$. Furthermore, client with ID =1 was eliminated from the queue because its service time has dropped to 0 (it was 1 at the previous iteration and was decreased with one at the simulation step)		
Queue 1: (1,2,1); Queue 2: (2,3,3); Time 4 Waiting clients: (4,10,2) Queue 1: (3,4,3);	 (1), where a client is still processed. The client from queue 1 has its service time decreased to 1 because it is being processed. Other clients are still waiting. At time t_{simulation} = 4, client with ID = 3 is sent to the first queue since t³_{arrival} ≥ t_{simulation} = 4. Furthermore, client with ID =1 was eliminated from the queue because its service time has dropped to 0 (it was 1 at the previous iteration and was decreased with one at the simulation step) The client from queue 2 has its service time decreased to 2 because it 		
Queue 1: (1,2,1); Queue 2: (2,3,3); Time 4 Waiting clients: (4,10,2) Queue 1: (3,4,3);	(1), where a client is still processed. The client from queue 1 has its service time decreased to 1 because it is being processed. Other clients are still waiting. At time $t_{simulation} = 4$, client with ID = 3 is sent to the first queue since $t_{arrival}^3 \ge t_{simulation} = 4$. Furthermore, client with ID =1 was eliminated from the queue because its service time has dropped to 0 (it was 1 at the previous iteration and was decreased with one at the simulation step) The client from queue 2 has its service time decreased to 2 because it is being processed.		
Queue 1: (1,2,1); Queue 2: (2,3,3); Time 4 Waiting clients: (4,10,2) Queue 1: (3,4,3);	 (1), where a client is still processed. The client from queue 1 has its service time decreased to 1 because it is being processed. Other clients are still waiting. At time t_{simulation} = 4, client with ID = 3 is sent to the first queue since t³_{arrival} ≥ t_{simulation} = 4. Furthermore, client with ID =1 was eliminated from the queue because its service time has dropped to 0 (it was 1 at the previous iteration and was decreased with one at the simulation step) The client from queue 2 has its service time decreased to 2 because it 		
Queue 1: (1,2,1); Queue 2: (2,3,3); Time 4 Waiting clients: (4,10,2) Queue 1: (3,4,3); Queue 2: (2,3,2);	 (1), where a client is still processed. The client from queue 1 has its service time decreased to 1 because it is being processed. Other clients are still waiting. At time t_{simulation} = 4, client with ID = 3 is sent to the first queue since t_{arrival}³ ≥ t_{simulation} = 4. Furthermore, client with ID =1 was eliminated from the queue because its service time has dropped to 0 (it was 1 at the previous iteration and was decreased with one at the simulation step) The client from queue 2 has its service time decreased to 2 because it is being processed. The final client is still waiting. 		
Queue 1: (1,2,1); Queue 2: (2,3,3); Time 4 Waiting clients: (4,10,2) Queue 1: (3,4,3);	(1), where a client is still processed. The client from queue 1 has its service time decreased to 1 because it is being processed. Other clients are still waiting. At time $t_{simulation} = 4$, client with ID = 3 is sent to the first queue since $t_{arrival}^3 \ge t_{simulation} = 4$. Furthermore, client with ID =1 was eliminated from the queue because its service time has dropped to 0 (it was 1 at the previous iteration and was decreased with one at the simulation step) The client from queue 2 has its service time decreased to 2 because it is being processed.		

The average waiting time is computed and appended to the log of
events.

2. Deliverables

- A <u>solution description document</u> (minimum 2000 words, Times New Roman, 10pt, Single Spacing) organized according to the structure specified in the <u>Laboratory</u> <u>Description</u> document.
- <u>Source files</u> will be uploaded on the personal <u>gitlab</u> account created according to the instructions in the <u>Lab Resources</u> document, and following the steps:
 - Create a repository on <u>gitlab</u> named according to the following template
 PT2021_Group_FirstName_LastName_Assignment_2 the repository should be
 placed in the group named according to the template below:
 PT2021_Group_FirstName_LastName
 - Push the source code and the documentation (push the code not an archive with the code)

Make sure that you give access to your group, to the PT lab assistants. On your Group page, go to: Members \rightarrow Invite Member \rightarrow and offer Maintainer rights for the user: utcn.dsrl@gmail.com.

3. Evaluation

The assignment will be graded as follows:

Requirement	Grading	
Minimum to pass	5 points	
Object-oriented programming design		
Random Client Generator		
Multithreading: one thread per queue		
 Appropriate synchronized data structures to assure thread safety (avoid synchronized keyword as much as possible) 		
• Log of events displayed in a .txt file (see the example in Section 1.1)		
• Implement classes with maximum 300 lines (except the UI classes) and methods with maximum 30 lines		
Use the Java naming conventions		
• Good quality documentation addressing all sections from the documentation structure and having at least 2000 words.		
Graphical user interface for: (1) simulation setup, and (2) displaying the real-time	3 points	
queue evolution.		
Display of simulation results (average waiting time, average service time, peak	1 point	
hour) for the simulation interval in the graphical user interface or in the .txt file		
corresponding to the log events		
Run the application on the input data sets listed in the table below* and include	1 point	
the generated logs of events in your documentation.		

*For the application testing use the input data sets from the table below

Test 1	Test 2	Test 3		
N = 4	N = 50	N = 1000		
Q=2	Q = 5	Q = 20		
$t_{simulation}^{MAX} = 60$ seconds	$t_{simulation}^{MAX} = 60$ seconds	$t_{simulation}^{MAX} = 200 \text{ seconds}$		
$[t_{arrival}^{MIN}, t_{arrival}^{MAX}] = [2, 30]$	$[t_{arrival}^{MIN}, t_{arrival}^{MAX}] = [2, 40]$	$\begin{bmatrix} t_{arrival}^{MIN}, t_{arrival}^{MAX} \end{bmatrix} = [10, 100]$		
$\begin{bmatrix} t_{service}^{MIN}, t_{service}^{MAX} \end{bmatrix} = [2, 4]$	$\begin{bmatrix} t_{service}^{MIN}, t_{service}^{MAX} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1, 7 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} t_{service}^{MIN}, t_{service}^{MAX} \end{bmatrix} = [3, 9]$		

4. Bibliography

- http://docs.oracle.com/javase/tutorial/essential/concurrency/index.html
- http://www.tutorialspoint.com/java/util/timer_schedule_period.htm
- http://www.javacodegeeks.com/2013/01/java-thread-pool-example-using-executors-and-thread-poolexecutor.html