

Collegio Carlo Alberto

Game Theory Problem Set 8

- 1.** Let G be the following normal-form game:

	A	B	C
A	5, 5	0, 6	0, 0
B	6, 0	3, 3	0, 0
C	0, 0	0, 0	1, 1

Consider all symmetric SPE of the repeated game in which the game G is repeated T times and each player's payoff is the sum of the payoffs obtained each period (there is no discounting). Let $\bar{u}(T)$ be the maximum average (per period) payoff of player 1 in any of these equilibria, and let $\underline{u}(T)$ be the corresponding minimum. Find $\bar{u}(T)$ and $\underline{u}(T)$.

- 2.** Suppose the game G below is repeated twice. Each player's payoff is the discounted sum of the payoffs obtained in each period.

	A	B	C
A	0, 0	3, 4	6, 0
B	4, 3	0, 0	0, 0
C	0, 6	0, 0	5, 5

Let δ be the discount factor. Find the values of δ for which there exists a SPE in which the action profile (C, C) is played in the first period.

- 3.** (Gibbons, Exercise 2.10, page 134). The accompanying simultaneous-move game is played twice, with the outcome of the first stage observed before the second stage begins. There is no discounting. The variable x is greater than 4, so that $(4, 4)$ is not an equilibrium payoff in the one-shot game.

	P_2	Q_2	R_2	S_2
P_1	2, 2	$x, 0$	-1, 0	0, 0
Q_1	0, x	4, 4	-1, 0	0, 0
R_1	0, 0	0, 0	0, 2	0, 0
S_1	0, -1	0, -1	-1, -1	2, 0

For what values of x is the following strategy (played by both players) a subgame-perfect equilibrium?

Play Q_i in the first stage. If the first-stage outcome is (Q_1, Q_2) , play P_i in the second stage. If the first-stage outcome is (y, Q_2) where $y \neq Q_1$, play R_i in the second stage. If the first-stage outcome is (Q_1, z) where $z \neq Q_2$, play S_i in the second stage. If the first-stage outcome is (y, z) where $y \neq Q_1$ and $z \neq Q_2$, play P_i in the second stage.

4. (Gibbons, Exercise 2.11, page 134). The simultaneous-move game (below) is played twice, with the outcome of the first stage observed before the second stage begins. There is no discounting. Can the payoff $(4, 4)$ be achieved in the first stage in a pure-strategy subgame-perfect equilibrium? If so, give strategies that do so. If not, prove why not.

	L	C	R
T	3, 1	0, 0	5, 0
M	2, 1	1, 2	3, 1
B	1, 2	0, 1	4, 4