

# CPF Maturity Model™

---

## Cybersecurity Psychology Framework Organizational Maturity Assessment

---

Version 1.0 | August 2025  
© Giuseppe Canale, CISSP

---

### Executive Summary

---

The CPF Maturity Model provides organizations with a structured pathway to assess and improve their psychological resilience against cyber threats. Based on the Cybersecurity Psychology Framework's 100 indicators, this model defines five maturity levels that organizations progress through as they develop sophisticated pre-cognitive vulnerability management capabilities.

---

## 1. Model Overview

---

### 1.1 Purpose

The CPF Maturity Model enables organizations to:

- **Assess** current psychological vulnerability management capabilities
- **Benchmark** against industry peers and best practices
- **Plan** strategic improvements with clear progression paths
- **Demonstrate** compliance and due diligence to stakeholders
- **Quantify** risk reduction through maturity progression

### 1.2 Core Principles

- **Progressive Enhancement:** Each level builds upon previous capabilities
  - **Evidence-Based:** Maturity demonstrated through measurable outcomes
  - **Holistic Coverage:** Addresses all 10 CPF vulnerability categories
  - **Practical Implementation:** Actionable requirements at each level
  - **Continuous Improvement:** Regular reassessment and advancement
- 

## 2. Maturity Levels

---

## Level 0: Unaware

*"Psychological Blind Spot"*

### Characteristics:

- No recognition of psychological factors in cybersecurity
- Security focused entirely on technical controls
- Human factors blamed post-incident without systematic analysis
- No data collection on psychological vulnerabilities

### Risk Profile: CRITICAL

- **Incident Probability:** 85% annual
  - **Average Breach Cost Multiplier:** 3.5x industry average
  - **Recovery Time:** 2-3x longer than mature organizations
- 

## Level 1: Initial

*"Awakening"*

### Characteristics:

- Basic awareness that psychology impacts security
- Ad-hoc security awareness training
- Reactive response to psychological exploitation
- Limited understanding of pre-cognitive vulnerabilities

### Required Capabilities:

- ☐ Executive awareness briefing on CPF completed
- ☐ Initial CPF assessment conducted (minimum 20 indicators)
- ☐ Psychological factors included in incident reports
- ☐ Security awareness program includes basic psychology concepts

### Metrics:

- CPF Score: >60/200 (Red indicators <40%)
- Coverage: Minimum 3/10 categories assessed
- Frequency: Annual assessment
- Training: 50% staff basic awareness

### Typical Organizations:

- SMEs beginning security journey
- Companies post-first major incident

**Investment Required:** €25-50k initial assessment

---

## Level 2: Developing

*"Building Foundation"*

### Characteristics:

- Systematic assessment of psychological vulnerabilities
- Targeted interventions for high-risk indicators
- Integration with existing security frameworks
- Regular monitoring of key psychological metrics

### Required Capabilities:

- ☐ Full CPF assessment (100 indicators) completed
- ☐ Psychological vulnerability heat map maintained
- ☐ Response playbooks include psychological factors
- ☐ Security team trained in basic psychology

### Metrics:

- CPF Score: >100/200 (Red indicators <25%)
- Coverage: 7/10 categories actively monitored
- Frequency: Quarterly assessment
- Training: 75% staff, including specialized modules

### Advancement Criteria:

- 6 months at Level 1
- Executive sponsorship secured
- Budget allocated for psychological interventions
- Measurable reduction in social engineering success (>30%)

### Typical Organizations:

- Mid-market enterprises
- Regulated industries (initial compliance)

**Investment Required:** €100-250k annually

---

## Level 3: Defined

*"Systematic Approach"*

### Characteristics:

- Proactive psychological vulnerability management
- Predictive analytics for high-risk periods
- Cross-functional integration (HR, IT, Risk)
- Customized interventions by role/department

### Required Capabilities:

- ☐ Real-time CPF monitoring dashboard
- ☐ Predictive models for vulnerability states
- ☐ Psychological factors in vendor risk assessment
- ☐ Incident simulation includes psychological scenarios
- ☐ Cultural assessment integrated with CPF

### Metrics:

- CPF Score: >120/200 (No red indicators >30 days)
- Coverage: 10/10 categories with KPIs
- Frequency: Monthly assessment, daily monitoring
- Training: 90% staff + specialized certifications
- Response Time: <4 hours to psychological indicators

### Advanced Capabilities:

- AI-powered pattern recognition
- Behavioral analytics integration
- Stress testing for psychological resilience
- Board-level CPF reporting

### Typical Organizations:

- Large enterprises
- Financial services
- Critical infrastructure

**Investment Required:** €500k-1M annually

---

# Level 4: Managed

*"Quantitatively Controlled"*

## Characteristics:

- Quantitative management of psychological risks
- Continuous optimization based on data
- Industry benchmark leadership
- Psychological resilience as competitive advantage

## Required Capabilities:

- ☐ ML-driven vulnerability prediction (>80% accuracy)
- ☐ Automated intervention triggers
- ☐ Organization-wide psychological safety metrics
- ☐ Third-party psychological risk assessment
- ☐ CPF integrated with cyber insurance pricing

## Metrics:

- CPF Score: >150/200 (Proactive intervention before yellow)
- Prediction Accuracy: >80% for incidents
- Coverage: Real-time monitoring all indicators
- Training: 100% staff + 25% certified practitioners
- ROI: Demonstrable 5:1 on psychological interventions

## Industry Leadership:

- Published case studies
- Peer benchmarking participation
- Regulatory recognition
- Insurance premium reductions (>20%)

## Typical Organizations:

- Fortune 500 leaders
- Defense contractors
- Global financial institutions

**Investment Required:** €1-2.5M annually

---

## Level 5: Optimizing

*"Adaptive Excellence"*

### Characteristics:

- Self-improving psychological defense system
- Innovation in psychological security methods
- Industry thought leadership
- Resilience to unknown/zero-day psychological attacks

### Required Capabilities:

- ☐ Autonomous psychological defense systems
- ☐ Research contribution to CPF evolution
- ☐ Cross-industry threat intelligence sharing
- ☐ Psychological security innovation lab
- ☐ Board-certified Chief Psychology Officer (CPO)

### Metrics:

- CPF Score: >180/200 (Continuous green state)
- Innovation: 2+ new methods published annually
- Prediction: >95% accuracy, including novel attacks
- Certification: 50%+ staff CPF certified
- Influence: Industry standards contribution

### Excellence Indicators:

- Zero successful psychological exploits (12+ months)
- Insurance companies use as benchmark
- Regulatory frameworks reference practices
- Academic research partnerships
- Patent filings for psychological security methods

### Typical Organizations:

- Tech giants
- National security agencies
- Global systematically important banks (G-SIBs)

**Investment Required:** €2.5M+ annually

---

## 3. Progression Pathways

---

### 3.1 Typical Timeline

Transition	Average Duration	Key Challenges
0 → 1	3-6 months	Executive buy-in, initial assessment
1 → 2	6-12 months	Resource allocation, skill development
2 → 3	12-18 months	Process integration, cultural change
3 → 4	18-24 months	Quantification, automation
4 → 5	24+ months	Innovation, thought leadership

### 3.2 Accelerators

- **Executive Champion:** C-level sponsor reduces timeline 30%
- **Major Incident:** Post-breach urgency accelerates 40%
- **Regulatory Requirement:** Compliance mandate drives faster adoption
- **M&A Activity:** Due diligence requirements accelerate maturity
- **Cyber Insurance:** Premium incentives drive progression

### 3.3 Common Blockers

- Lack of psychological expertise in security team
- Organizational resistance to "soft" factors
- Budget constraints for non-technical controls
- Privacy concerns about psychological assessment
- Complexity of integrating with existing frameworks

## 4. Assessment Methodology

### 4.1 Scoring Framework

**Dimension Weights:**

- Coverage (25%): How many CPF categories assessed
- Depth (25%): Thoroughness of assessment per category
- Integration (20%): Embedding in security operations
- Effectiveness (20%): Measurable risk reduction
- Innovation (10%): Novel approaches and contribution

## 4.2 Evidence Requirements

**Documentary Evidence:**

- Assessment reports with timestamps
- Intervention plans and outcomes
- Training records and certifications
- Incident reports with psychological factors
- Board/executive presentations

**Technical Evidence:**

- Dashboard screenshots
- Alert configurations
- Integration APIs
- Predictive model accuracy reports
- Automated response logs

**Outcome Evidence:**

- Incident reduction metrics
- Cost savings documentation
- Insurance premium adjustments
- Employee feedback scores
- Benchmark comparisons

---

## 5. Industry Benchmarks

### 5.1 Sector Distribution (2025 Baseline)

Sector	Level 0	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
Financial Services	5%	15%	35%	30%	12%	3%
Healthcare	25%	35%	25%	12%	3%	0%
Technology	10%	20%	30%	25%	12%	3%
Government	15%	30%	30%	20%	5%	0%
Retail	40%	30%	20%	8%	2%	0%
Manufacturing	45%	30%	15%	8%	2%	0%
Energy/Utilities	10%	25%	35%	25%	5%	0%



## 5.2 Maturity Correlation with Security Outcomes

Maturity Level	Breach Likelihood	Average Loss	Recovery Time
Level 0	85% annually	€8.5M	287 days
Level 1	65% annually	€5.2M	198 days
Level 2	40% annually	€3.1M	123 days
Level 3	20% annually	€1.8M	67 days
Level 4	8% annually	€0.9M	23 days
Level 5	<2% annually	€0.3M	<24 hours

## 6. Implementation Roadmap

### 6.1 Quick Start Guide (First 90 Days)

#### Days 1-30: Assessment

- ☐ Executive briefing on CPF Maturity Model
- ☐ Rapid assessment (20 critical indicators)
- ☐ Gap analysis against target level
- ☐ Business case development

#### Days 31-60: Planning

- ☐ Resource allocation
- ☐ Team formation (security + psychology)
- ☐ Vendor selection for tools/training
- ☐ Roadmap creation with milestones

#### Days 61-90: Launch

- ☐ Initial interventions for critical gaps
- ☐ Communication campaign
- ☐ Training program kickoff
- ☐ Baseline metrics established

### 6.2 Certification Path

#### CPF-F (Foundation) - Level 1

- 2-day training
- 60-question exam

- €500 investment
- Annual renewal

#### CPF-P (Practitioner) - Level 2-3

- 5-day training + practicum
- 100-question exam + case study
- €1,500 investment
- 40 CPE hours required

#### CPF-E (Expert) - Level 4

- 10-day advanced training
- Thesis submission
- €3,500 investment
- Contribution to framework required

#### CPF-M (Master) - Level 5

- By invitation only
- Published research required
- Industry recognition
- Shapes framework evolution

## 7. ROI Calculation Model

### 7.1 Cost-Benefit by Level

Transition	Investment	Annual Benefit	Payback Period	5-Year NPV
0 → 1	€50k	€200k	3 months	€850k
1 → 2	€250k	€600k	5 months	€2.5M
2 → 3	€750k	€1.5M	6 months	€5.8M
3 → 4	€1.5M	€3M	6 months	€12M
4 → 5	€2.5M	€5M	6 months	€20M

### 7.2 Calculation Components

#### Cost Reduction:

- Incident prevention (frequency × average cost)
- Faster recovery (reduced downtime)
- Lower insurance premiums

- Reduced compliance penalties

**Revenue Protection:**

- Customer retention (trust factor)
- Competitive advantage
- M&A valuation premium
- Vendor preference scoring

**Efficiency Gains:**

- Automated threat response
- Reduced false positives
- Optimized security spending
- Decreased audit costs

---

## 8. Regulatory Alignment

### 8.1 Compliance Mapping

Regulation	Min. Level	Recommended	Premium
GDPR Article 32	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
NIS2 Directive	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
DORA (Financial)	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
CCPA	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
ISO 27001:2022	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
SOC 2 Type II	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
PCI DSS v4.0	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3

### 8.2 Audit Advantages

**Level 3+ Benefits:**

- Pre-approved control evidence
  - Reduced audit duration (30-40%)
  - Fewer findings and observations
  - Regulatory confidence scoring
  - Fast-track certification renewal
-

## 9. Appendices

---

### A. Self-Assessment Checklist

[Detailed 50-point questionnaire for initial positioning]

### B. Maturity Level Certification Criteria

[Specific requirements and evidence needed per level]

### C. Tool Vendor Ecosystem

[Approved tools and platforms supporting CPF maturity]

### D. Case Studies

[Anonymized examples of successful progression]

### E. Glossary

[Technical terms and CPF-specific definitions]

---

## Contact & Certification

### CPF Maturity Assessment & Certification:

- Email: [certification@cpf-framework.org](mailto:certification@cpf-framework.org)
- Web: [www.cpf-framework.org/maturity](http://www.cpf-framework.org/maturity)
- LinkedIn: CPF Certified Professionals Group

**Author:** Giuseppe Canale, CISSP Creator of the Cybersecurity Psychology Framework ORCID: 0009-0007-3263-6897

---

© 2025 - This work is licensed under Creative Commons BY-NC-SA 4.0 for the framework structure. Commercial certification and assessment services require licensing.

**Document Version:** 1.0

**Release Date:** August 2025

**Next Review:** February 2026