

Convex sets

July 10, 2018

1 Abstract convex structures

Axioms for convex sets were introduced by H.M. Stone [?], and then studied e.g. in [?, ?]. We mostly follow [?].

Definition 1.1. *A convex structure is a set X and a family of binary operations $\langle \lambda, x, y \rangle$, $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, on X such that*

$$(c1) \quad \langle \lambda, p, q \rangle = \langle 1 - \lambda, q, p \rangle \quad (\text{commutativity}),$$

$$(c2) \quad \langle 0, p, q \rangle = p \quad (\text{endpoint condition}),$$

$$(c3) \quad \langle \lambda, p, \langle \mu, q, r \rangle \rangle = \langle \lambda\mu, \langle \nu, p, q \rangle, r \rangle \quad (\lambda\mu \neq 1), \text{ where } \nu = \lambda(1 - \mu)(1 - \lambda\mu)^{-1} \quad (\text{associativity}).$$

If X is a convex subset of a real linear space, then $\langle \lambda, p, q \rangle$ corresponds to $(1 - \lambda)p + \lambda q$. In [?] and [?], also the following axiom is given:

$$(c4) \quad \langle \lambda, p, p \rangle = p \quad (\text{idempotence}).$$

A convex *prestructure* is a set S with a map $T : [0, 1] \times S \times S \rightarrow S$ denoted $T(\lambda, p, q) = \langle \lambda, p, q \rangle$ (no requirements on T). An affine functional is an affine map f from a convex prestructure to the real line \mathbb{R} , that is, $f(\langle \lambda, p, q \rangle) = (1 - \lambda)f(p) + \lambda f(q)$ for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ and $p, q \in S$. We denote by S^* the set of all affine functional on S and say that S^* is *total* (*separating*) if for $p \neq q \in S$ there is $f \in S^*$ such that $f(p) \neq f(q)$.

Theorem 1.2. *A convex prestructure S is isomorphic to a convex set iff S^* is total.*

Proof. Suppose S_0 is a convex set and $F : S \rightarrow S_0$ an isomorphism. If S_0 is a convex subset of the linear space V , it is well-known that V^* (the algebraic dual) is total over V . Restricting the elements of V^* to S_0 , we get a total set of affine functionals for S_0 . If $f \in V^*$, then $f \circ F \in S^*$, so S^* is total.

Conversely, suppose that S^* is total. For $p \in S$ define $J(p) : S^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $J(p)f = f(p)$. Clearly S^* is a linear space under pointwise operations, and $J(p) \in S^{**}$ so that $J(S) \subseteq S^{**}$. Now $J(S)$ is a convex set - indeed, $(1 - \lambda)J(p) + \lambda J(q) = \langle \lambda, p, q \rangle$ - and $J : S \rightarrow S^{**}$ is injective iff S^* is total. Indeed, if S^*

is total and $p \neq q \in S$, then there is $f \in S^*$ with $f(p) \neq f(q)$ so $J(p) \neq J(q)$, and conversely, if J is injective and $p \neq q \in S$, then $J(p) \neq J(q)$ so that there is an $f \in S^*$ such that $f(p) = J(p)f \neq J(q)f = f(q)$. It follows that $J : S \rightarrow J(S)$ is an isomorphism. \square

The following theorem gives an intrinsic characterization of those convex structures that are convex subsets of a linear space.

Theorem 1.3. [?, ?]. *A convex structure S_1 satisfying axioms (c1), (c2), (c3) and in addition (c4) embeds into a real vector space iff the following cancellation property holds:*

$$(c5) \quad \langle \lambda, x, y \rangle = \langle \lambda, x, z \rangle \text{ with } \lambda \in (0, 1) \implies y = z.$$

Proof. Clearly, every convex subset of a vector space satisfies this cancellation property.

Let X be a convex structure satisfying (c4) and (c5). Let V_X be the real vector space generated by X , so that V_X has a base $(e_x)_{x \in X}$. Let $U_X \subseteq V_X$ be a subspace generated by the vectors of the form

$$e_{\langle \lambda, x, y \rangle} - (1 - \lambda)e_x - \lambda e_y, x, y \in X, \lambda \in [0, 1].$$

Let $W_X = V_X/U_X$ and let \tilde{e}_x be the image of e_x . Then the mapping $X \rightarrow W_X, x \mapsto \tilde{e}_x$ preserves convex combinations. Vectors in U_X have the form

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i (e_{\langle \lambda_i, a_i, b_i \rangle} - (1 - \lambda_i)e_{a_i} - \lambda_i e_{b_i}) - \sum_{i=1}^m \beta_i (e_{\langle \mu_i, c_i, d_i \rangle} - (1 - \mu_i)e_{c_i} - \mu_i e_{d_i})$$

with $\alpha_i, \beta_i \geq 0$, and $a_i, b_i, c_i, d_i \in X$ and $\lambda_i, \mu_i \in [0, 1]$. We split this into positive and negative terms as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^m (\alpha_i e_{\langle \lambda_i, a_i, b_i \rangle} + \beta_i (1 - \mu_i) e_{c_i} + \beta_i \mu_i e_{d_i}) \\ & - \sum_{i=1}^m (\beta_i e_{\langle \mu_i, c_i, d_i \rangle} + \alpha_i (1 - \lambda_i) e_{a_i} + \alpha_i \lambda_i e_{b_i}) \end{aligned}$$

and observe that the sum of the coefficients of all negative terms equals to the sum of coefficients of all positive terms, namely $\sum_i(\alpha_i + \beta_i)$. Without loss of generality we may assume this sum to be 1, then both the sums are convex combinations. Interpreting these as convex combinations in X , these sums moreover define the same point in X .

We show the injectivity by proving that $\tilde{e}_x = \tilde{e}_y$ implies $x = y$, $x, y \in X$. The equation $\tilde{e}_x = \tilde{e}_y$ holds whenever $e_x - e_y$ lies in U_X . If this is the case, then the first sum contains the term κe_x , $\kappa > 0$, and the second sum contains the term κe_y for the same κ , and all other terms cancel. Then both the sums define convex combinations of the same points with the same weights, except that the first contains the point x with weight κ , while the second contains the point y with weight κ . Applying the cancellation property, we obtain $x = y$. \square

2 Intrinsic metrics

Let S_1 be a convex structure. For $p, q \in S_1$ define

$$\sigma(p, q) := \inf\{0 \leq \lambda \leq 1 : \langle \lambda, p, p_1 \rangle = \langle \lambda, q, q_1 \rangle, p_1, q_1 \in S_1\}$$

Since $\langle \frac{1}{2}, p, q \rangle = \langle \frac{1}{2}, q, p \rangle$, we have $0 \leq \sigma(p, q) \leq \frac{1}{2}$.

$$\rho(p, q) = \frac{\sigma(p, q)}{1 - \sigma(p, q)}, \text{ then } 0 \leq \rho(p, q) \leq 1.$$

Theorem 2.1. ([?]) *On any convex structure S_1 , σ and ρ are semimetrics.*

Proof. Clearly, σ and ρ are nonnegative and symmetric. We have to prove triangle inequality. If $p = s$ or $q = s$, then $\sigma(p, q) \leq \sigma(p, s) + \sigma(s, q)$. Assume $p \neq s, q \neq s$. Assume

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 &\in \{0 < \lambda < 1 : \langle \lambda, p, p_1 \rangle = \langle \lambda, s, s_1 \rangle, p_1, s_1 \in S_1\}; \\ \lambda_2 &\in \{0 < \lambda < 1 : \langle \lambda, s, s_2 \rangle = \langle \lambda, q, q_1 \rangle, s_2, q_1 \in S_1\}, \\ \lambda_3 &:= \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 - 2\lambda_1\lambda_2; \\ p_2 &:= \langle \lambda_2(1 - \lambda_1)\lambda_3^{-1}, p_1, s_2 \rangle; \\ q_2 &:= \langle \lambda_2(1 - \lambda_1)\lambda_3^{-1}, s_1, q_1 \rangle; \\ \lambda_0 &:= \lambda_3(1 - \lambda_1\lambda_2)^{-1}. \text{ Then} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \lambda_0, p, p_2 \rangle &= \langle \lambda_3(1 - \lambda_1\lambda_2)^{-1}, p, \langle \lambda_2(1 - \lambda_1)\lambda_3^{-1}, p_1, s_2 \rangle \rangle \\
&= \langle \lambda_2(1 - \lambda_1(1 - \lambda_1\lambda_2)^{-1}), \langle \lambda_1, p, p_1 \rangle, s_2 \rangle \\
&= \langle \lambda_2(1 - \lambda_1)(1 - \lambda_1\lambda_2)^{-1}, \langle \lambda_1, s, s_1 \rangle, s_2 \rangle \\
&= \langle (1 - \lambda_2)(1 - \lambda_1\lambda_2)^{-1}, s_2, \langle \lambda_1, s, s_1 \rangle \rangle \\
&= \langle \lambda_1(1 - \lambda_2)(1 - \lambda_1\lambda_2)^{-1}, \langle 1 - \lambda_2, s_2, s \rangle, s_1 \rangle \\
&= \langle (1 - \lambda_1)(1 - \lambda_1\lambda_2)^{-1}, s_1, \langle \lambda_2, q, q_1 \rangle \rangle \\
&= \langle (1 - \lambda_1)(1 - \lambda_2)(1 - \lambda_1\lambda_2)^{-1}, \langle \lambda_2(1 - \lambda_1)\lambda_3^{-1}, s_1, q_1 \rangle, q \rangle \\
&= \langle \lambda_3(1 - \lambda_1\lambda_2)^{-1}, q, q_2 \rangle \\
&= \langle \lambda_0, q, q_2 \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

so $\lambda_0 \in \{0 < \lambda < 1 : \langle \lambda, p, p_2 \rangle = \langle \lambda, q, q_2 \rangle, p_2, q_2 \in S_1\}$. Now since

$$\lambda_0(1 - \lambda_0)^{-1} = \lambda_1(1 - \lambda_1)^{-1} + \lambda_2(1 - \lambda_2)^{-1},$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho(p, q) &= \sigma(p, q)[1 - \sigma(p, q)]^{-1} \leq \sigma(p, s)[1 - \sigma(p, s)]^{-1} + \sigma(s, q)[1 - \sigma(s, q)]^{-1} \\
&= \rho(p, s) + \rho(s, q).
\end{aligned}$$

The triangle inequality for σ follows similarly from $\lambda_0 \leq \lambda_1 + \lambda_2$. □

Theorem 2.2. *A necessary and sufficient condition for ρ, σ to be metrics is that whenever there are sequences $\lambda_i \in [0, 1]$, $p_i, q_i \in S_1$ which satisfy $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_i = 0$, $\langle \lambda_i, p, p_i \rangle = \langle \lambda_i, q, q_i \rangle$ then $p = q$.*

Proof. Clearly ρ is a metric iff σ is. If σ is a metric, since $\sigma(p, q) \leq \lambda_i \forall i$ we have $p = q$. Conversely if $\sigma(p, q) = 0$ then $V = \{0 \leq \lambda_i \leq 1 : \langle \lambda_i, p, p_i \rangle = \langle \lambda_i, q, q_i \rangle, p_i, q_i \in S_1\}$ either contains 0 or 0 is a limit point. In the former case $p = \langle 0, p, p_1 \rangle = \langle 0, q, q_1 \rangle = q$. In the latter case there exist $\lambda_i \in V$ with $\lambda_i \rightarrow 0$ so again $p = q$. □

Corollary 2.3. *Let S_0 be a convex set in a real vector space X . If there is a topology on X that makes X a Hausdorff topological vector space in which S_0 is bounded, then ρ is a metric.*

Proof. Suppose there are sequences $\lambda_i \in [0, 1]$, $\lim \lambda_i = 0$, $p_i, q_i \in S_0$ such that $(1 - \lambda_i)p + \lambda_i p_i = (1 - \lambda_i)q + \lambda_i q_i$. Then $p - q = \lambda_i(p - q) + \lambda_i(q_i - p_i)$. Let Λ be a neighborhood of 0. Then there is a neighborhood W of 0 such that $W + W + W \subseteq \Lambda$. Now for sufficiently large i , $\lambda_i(p - q) \in W$. Since S_0 is bounded, there is $\mu > 0$ such that $\lambda S_0 \subseteq W$ for $|\lambda| \leq \mu$. For i sufficiently large, $\lambda_i q_i - \lambda_i p_i \in W + W$. Hence $p - q \in W + W + W \subseteq \Lambda$ for i sufficiently large, and since X is Hausdorff, $p - q = 0$. \square

The converse holds only in finite dimensional spaces.

Let S_0 be a convex set in a real linear space V , ρ the intrinsic metric on S_0 . Some terminology: S_0 is

- *absorbing* iff $\forall x \in V \exists \delta(x) > 0: \lambda x \in S_0 \forall \lambda$ with $|\lambda| \leq \delta(x)$.
- *balanced* iff $x \in S_0, |\lambda| \leq 1 \implies \lambda x \in S_0$.
- *radial* iff $x \in S_0, 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1 \implies \lambda x \in S_0$.
- *normalized* iff $x \in S_0, \alpha \neq 1 \implies \alpha x \notin S_0$.
- *positive* iff $x \in S_0, \alpha < 0 \implies \alpha x \notin S_0$.

Define $P := \{\alpha S_0 : \alpha \geq 0\}$, then P is a wedge.

Definition 2.4. $x \in X, \|x\| := \inf\{c + d : x = cp - dq; c, d \geq 0; p, q \in S_0\}$.

Theorem 2.5. *If S_0 is normalized or radial then $\|p - q\| = 2\rho(p, q)$, $p, q \in S_0$. Moreover, $\|\cdot\|$ is a norm iff ρ is a metric.*

Proof. Assume S_0 is normalized. For $p, q \in S_0$, if $p - q = cp_1 - dq_1$, $p_1, q_1 \in S_0$, $c, d \geq 0$, then $p + dq_1 = q + cp_1$. which implies

$$(1 + d)\left(\frac{p}{1 + d} + \frac{dq_1}{1 + d}\right) = (1 + c)\left(\frac{1}{1 + c}q + \frac{c}{1 + c}p_1\right).$$

Then $q_2 := \frac{1}{1 + c}q + \frac{c}{1 + c}p_1 \in S_0$, and $\frac{1 + c}{1 + d}q_2 = \frac{p}{1 + d} + \frac{dq_1}{1 + d} \in S_0 \implies \frac{1 + c}{1 + d} = 1 \implies c = d$.

So all representations of $p - q$ are of the form $c(p_1 - q_1)$, $c \geq 0, p_1, q_1 \in S_0$.

We then have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma(p, q) &= \inf\{0 \leq \lambda < 1 : (1 - \lambda)p + \lambda p_1 = (1 - \lambda)q + \lambda q_1, p_1, q_1 \in S_0\} \\
&= \inf\{0 \leq \lambda < 1 : p - q = \frac{\lambda}{1 - \lambda}(q_1 - p_1), p_1, q_1 \in S_0\} \\
&= \inf\left\{\frac{c}{c + 1}, c \geq 0 : p - q = c(q_1 - p_1), p_1, q_1 \in S_0\right\} \\
&= [\inf\{c \geq 0 : p - q = c(q_1 - p_1)\}][\inf\{c \geq 0 : p - q = c(q_1 - p_1)\} + 1]^{-1} \\
&= \frac{\frac{1}{2} \inf\{2c : p - q = cp_1 - cq_1\}}{\frac{1}{2} \inf\{2c : p - q = cp_1 - cq_1\} + 1} \\
&= \frac{\frac{1}{2}\|p - q\|}{\frac{1}{2}\|p - q\| + 1}.
\end{aligned}$$

From this we get $\frac{1}{2}\|p - q\| = \frac{\sigma(p, q)}{1 - \sigma(p, q)} = \rho(p, q)$.

Assume S_0 is radial, and $p - q = cp_1 - dq_1, p, q, p_1, q_1 \in S_0, c, d \geq 0$. Then

$$p - q = (c + d)\left(\frac{c}{c + d}p_1 - \frac{d}{c + d}q_1\right) = (c + d)(p_2 - q_2), p_2, q_2 \in S_0.$$

That is, $p - q = b(p_2 - q_2), b > 0, p_2, q_2 \in S_0$. From this we get $\|p - q\| = 2 \inf\{c > 0, p - q = c(p_1 - q_1), p_1, q_1 \in S_0\}$ and similarly as in the previous case we obtain $\|p - q\| = 2\rho(p, q)$.

Clearly, if $\|\cdot\|$ is a norm, then ρ is a metric. Suppose ρ is a metric, $\|x - y\| = 0, x, y \in X$.

1. S_0 is radial.

$\|x - y\| = 0 \implies \exists p, q \in S_0, 0 \leq c, d \leq 1 : x - y = cp - dq = p_1 - q_1, p_1, q_1 \in S_0$. Then $2\rho(p_1, q_1) = \|p_1 - q_1\| = 0 \implies p_1 = q_1 \implies x = y$.

2. S_0 is normalized. We show first that $\|p\| = 1 \forall p \in S_0$.

If $p = cp_1 - dq_1, p_1, q_1 \in S_0, c, d \geq 0$, then

$$\frac{1}{1 + d}p + \frac{d}{1 + d}q_1 = c\frac{1}{1 + d}p_1 \implies c = 1 + d \geq 1,$$

so that $\|p\| = \inf\{c + d : p = cp_1 - dq_1, c, d \geq 0, p_1, q_1 \in S_0\} \geq 1$. But also $p = p - 0q \implies \|p\| = 1$.

Let $0 = \|x - y\| = \|cp - dq\| \geq \|c\|p\| - d\|q\| = |c - d|$, so $c = d$. Hence $0 = \|x - y\| = c\|p - q\| = 2c\rho(p, q)$. If $c \neq 0$ then $\rho(p, q) = 0 \implies p = q$, hence $x = y$. If $c = 0$, then again $x = y$. \square

Theorem 2.6. *Let S_0 be normalized or positive and radial convex set in a real vector space V and let $\|\cdot\|$ be the induced seminorm on $X = P - P \subseteq V$. If S_0 is normalized (positive radial) and $T : S_0 \rightarrow S_0$ is an affine (and homogeneous) map then T has a unique extension \hat{T} to X and $\|T\| \leq 1$ (i.e., $\|\hat{T}x\| \leq \|x\|$ for all $x \in X$). If T is a bijection, then \hat{T} is an isometry.*

Proof. For all $x \in X$, $x = cp - dq$, $c, d \geq 0$, $p, q \in S_0$. Define $\hat{T}x := cTp - dTq$. \hat{T} is well defined: Suppose that also $x = c_1p_1 - d_1q_1$, $c_1, d_1 \geq 0$, $p_1, q_1 \in S_0$. First suppose that S_0 is normalized and T is affine. From $cp - dq = c_1p_1 - d_1q_1$ we have $c(c + d_1)^{-1}p + d_1(c + d_1)^{-1}q_1 = (c_1 + d)(c + d_1)^{-1} \cdot (c_1(1+d)^{-1}p_1 + d(c_1 + d)^{-1}q)$, so $c_1 + d = c + d_1$ and hence

$$c(c + d_1)^{-1}Tp + d_1(c + d_1)^{-1}Tq_1 = c_1(c + d_1)^{-1}Tp_1 + d(c + d_1)^{-1}Tq.$$

It follows that $cTp - dTq = c_1Tp_1 - d_1Tq_1$.

Next suppose S_0 is positive, radial and T is affine and homogeneous. Positivity implies that $c_1 + d, c + d_1 > 0$. Either $c_1 + d \leq c + d_1$ or $c_1 + d \geq c + d_1$. Assume the former. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & c(c + d_1)^{-1}p + d_1(c + d_1)^{-1}q_1 \\ &= (c + d)(c + d_1)^{-1}(c_1(c_1 + d)^{-1}p_1 + d(c_1 + d)^{-1}q) \in S_0. \end{aligned}$$

From the facts that S_0 is radial and T is affine, homogeneous, we get $cTp - dTq = c_1Tp_1 - d_1Tq_1$. Thus \hat{T} is well defined and it is easy to show that \hat{T} is a linear operator on X .

To show that \hat{T} is a contraction we have for $x \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\hat{T}x\| &= \inf\{c + d : \hat{T}x = cp - dq, c, d \geq 0, p, q \in S_0\} \\ &\leq \inf\{c + d : x = cp - dq, c, d \geq 0, p, q \in S_0\} = \|x\|. \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 2.7. *Let S_0 be a normalized or radial convex set in a real vector space V and let X be the generated subspace. Let ρ be the intrinsic semimetric on S_0 and $\|\cdot\|$ the induced seminorm on X . If (S_0, ρ) is complete then so is $(X, \|\cdot\|)$.*

Proof. For $p \in S_0$ since $p = 1.p$ we have $\|p\| \leq 1$. Assume (S_0, ρ) is complete. Let (x_n) be a Cauchy sequence in X . We may assume that $\|x_{n+1} - x_n\| < 2^{-n}$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. We can write $x_{n+1} - x_n = c_n p_n - d_n q_n$, $0 \leq c_n, d_n < 2^{-n}$, $p_n, q_n \in S_0$ and we can assume $c_1, d_1 > 0$. Let

$$a_n := \sum_{i=1}^n c_i, \quad b_n := \sum_{i=1}^n d_i.$$

Now

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_n^{-1} c_i p_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^n b_n^{-1} d_i q_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

are Cauchy sequences in S_0 . Indeed, it is clear that (a_n) is a Cauchy sequence and we have

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\rho\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+k} a_{n+k}^{-1} c_i p_i, \quad \sum_{i=1}^n a_n^{-1} c_i p_i\right) \\ &= \left\| [a_{n+k}^{-1} - a_n^{-1}] \sum_{i=1}^n c_i p_i + \sum_{i=n+1}^{n+k} a_{n+k}^{-1} c_i p_i \right\| \\ &\leq (a_n^{-1} - a_{n+k}^{-1}) \sum_{i=1}^n c_i + a_{n+k}^{-1} \sum_{i=n+1}^{n+k} c_i \\ &= (a_n^{-1} - a_{n+k}^{-1}) a_n + a_{n+k}^{-1} (a_{n+k} - a_n) \\ &= 2(1 - a_n a_{n+k}^{-1}), \end{aligned}$$

where the last term approaches to zero and $n, k \rightarrow \infty$. Thus there are elements $p, q \in S_0$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^n a_n^{-1} c_i p_i \rightarrow p$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n b_n^{-1} d_i q_i \rightarrow q$. Suppose

$a_n \rightarrow a$, $b_n \rightarrow b$, then $x_n \rightarrow x_1 + ap - bq$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_{n+1} - x_1 - ap + bq\| &= \|x_{n+1} - x_n + x_n - x_{n-1} + \cdots + x_2 - x_1 - ap + bq\| \\
&\leq |a| \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a^{-1} c_i p_i - p \right\| + |b| \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n b^{-1} d_i q_i - q \right\| \\
&\leq |a| \left[\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n (a^{-1} c_i - a_n^{-1} c_i) p_i \right\| + \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_n^{-1} c_i p_i - p \right\| \right] \\
&+ |b| \left[\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n (b^{-1} d_i - b_n^{-1} d_i) q_i \right\| + \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n b_n^{-1} d_i q_i - q \right\| \right] \\
&\leq |a| \left[1 - a^{-1} a_n + \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_n^{-1} c_i p_i - p \right\| \right] \\
&+ |b| \left[1 - b^{-1} b_n + \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n b_n^{-1} d_i q_i - q \right\| \right] \rightarrow 0 (n \rightarrow \infty).
\end{aligned}$$

□

References

- [1] Gudder, S., Convex strutures and operational quantum mechanics, Commun. math. Phys.**29** (1973) 249–264.
- [2] M.H. Stone, Postulates for the barycenter calculus, Memoiri di M.H. Stone (Chicago, USA)
- [3] Capraro, V., Fritz, T., On the axiomatization of convex sybsets of Banach spaces, arXiv:1105.1270v3[math.MG]20Oct.2015