

Defn: Statistic

If $X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} f_\theta$ where $\theta \in \Theta$ is unknown.

A statistic T is a function of X_1, \dots, X_N
whose formula doesn't depend on θ .

Ex. $X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ where $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$

$T = \bar{X}$ is a statistic

but $\rightarrow T = \mu$ isn't a statistic

neither is $T = \bar{X} - \mu$.

Defn: Ancillary Quantity

An ancillary quantity is basically the opposite of
a good statistic.

An ancillary quantity is a function of X

$$\varphi = Q(X)$$

whose distribution
doesn't depend on the unknown parameter.

Ex. $X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(\mu, \sigma^2)$

Ex. $X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(\mu, \sigma^2)$

then $\bar{X} \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2/N)$

so

$Q = \frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{N}}$ is ancillary to μ and σ^2 .

$Q \sim N(0, 1)$.

not a statistic
b/c it depends on μ, σ^2

Defn: Ancillary Statistic

T is ancillary statistic if it is an ancillary quantity and also a statistic.

T doesn't involve θ in its formula nor in its distribution. depends on model and what is unknown

Ex. choice:

$X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ w/ μ unknown but σ^2 known

or μ known, σ^2 unknown

Ex. $X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(\theta, 1)$

and let

$$R = X_{(N)} - X_{(1)}$$

this is a statistic

Q: is R ancillary to θ ?

Notice: $X_n = Z_n + \theta$ where $Z_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(0, 1)$

Similarly

$$X_{(N)} = Z_{(N)} + \theta$$

$$X_{(1)} = Z_{(1)} + \theta$$

hence

$$R = X_{(N)} - X_{(1)} = Z_{(N)} + \theta - (Z_{(1)} + \theta)$$

$$= Z_{(N)} - Z_{(1)}$$

$$Z_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(0, 1)$$

so they don't depend on θ

Hence R is ancillary to θ .

Concept: Minimal Sufficient statistic.

Ex. $X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(\mu, 1)$ we show \bar{X} sufficient for μ .

What about $T = (\bar{X}, \bar{X}^3)$?

Yes. I can always add on information.

Seems wasteful. Formalize: minimal sufficient statistic.

→ sufficient but

no extra info.

Concept: Complete Statistic

T is complete if I can't make a ancillary statistic from T.

Def: connected uniqueness / identifiability.

Basically: the stat T is rich enough to figure out θ / separate different values of θ .

Theorem: (Don't need to worry too much)

If $X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} f_\theta$ (one parameter $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$)

$$f_\theta(x) = h(x) c(\theta) \exp(T(x) w(\theta))$$

then T is

- ① sufficient for θ
- ② minimal sufficient for θ
- ③ complete.

Theorem: Basu's Theorem

Alluded: \rightarrow sufficient contains all info about θ
 \rightarrow ancillary contains no info about θ

\rightarrow ancillary contains no info about θ
opposites!

If T is a sufficient statistic and S is
an ancillary statistic then $T \perp\!\!\!\perp S$.

Theorem: $X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ then $\bar{X} \perp\!\!\!\perp S^2$.

pf. \bar{X} is sufficient for μ ,

and

$$\frac{N-1}{\sigma^2} S^2 \sim \chi^2_{(N-1)} \iff S^2 \sim \frac{\sigma^2}{N-1} \chi^2_{(N-1)}$$

hence S^2 is ancillary to μ .

Hence $\bar{X} \perp\!\!\!\perp S^2$.

Point Estimation

Setup: $X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} f_\theta$ where $\theta \in \Theta$.

Defn: A point estimator of θ is just a statistic

$$\hat{\theta} = \hat{\theta}(X)$$

pedantic terminology

$$\hat{\theta}: \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$$

typically $a=1$
and $\hat{\theta}(X)$ is called an estimator (random)
 $\hat{\theta}(X)$ is called an estimate (not random).

-
- Q:
- ① how do I construct estimates
 - ② how do I know if ① is good?
-

Our first method for constructing estimators \rightarrow
called the Method of Moments.

Defn: the r^{th} moment of a RV X is

$$\mu_r \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} E[X^r]$$

the r^{th} sample moment of a RS X_1, \dots, X_N is

$$m_r \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N X_n^r.$$

Ex. $\mu_1 = E[X]$ and $m_1 = \bar{X}$

$$11 - 17 \sqrt{27} \sim 0 \quad m_2 = 15 \bar{X}^2$$

$$\mu_1 = \bar{x} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N x_n^2$$

Notice:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[m_r] &= \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N x_n^r\right] \\ m_r &\stackrel{\text{on avg.}}{\approx} \mu_r \quad \begin{aligned} N &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbb{E}[x_n^r] \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \mu_r \\ &= \mu_r\end{aligned}\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Ex. } X_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} N(\mu, \sigma^2)$$

I want estimates for μ and σ^2 .

MoM:

① Calculate first two moments of $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$

$$\mu_1 = \mathbb{E}[X] = \mu$$

$$\mu_2 = \mathbb{E}[X^2] = \text{Var}(X) + [\mathbb{E}[X]]^2 = \sigma^2 + \mu^2$$

$$(\text{Var}(X) = \mathbb{E}[X^2] - [\mathbb{E}[X]]^2)$$

② Say that approx.

(2) Say that approx.

$$\underline{\mu_1 \approx m_1} \quad \text{and} \quad \underline{\mu_2 = M_2}$$

$$\boxed{\hat{\mu} = \bar{X}}$$

$$\mu^2 + \sigma^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N X_n^2$$

$$\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N X_n^2 - \mu^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N X_n^2 - \bar{X}^2$$

$$\boxed{\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N (X_n - \bar{X})^2}$$

C looks a lot like S_{N-1}^2

Method of Moments:

$X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} f_\theta$ when $\theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_K) \in \Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^K$

the population moments of f_θ are

$$\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_K$$

and the corresp. sample moments are

$$m_1, \dots, m_K$$

and assumy. $m_1 \approx \mu_1, m_2 \approx \mu_2, \dots, m_K \approx \mu_K$ then

and assume $m_1 \approx \mu_1, m_2 \approx \mu_2, \dots, m_K \approx \mu_K$ then we form the set of K equations in K unknowns

m_i s are factors of X s

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} m_1 = \mu_1 = f_1(\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_K) \\ m_2 = \mu_2 = f_2(\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_K) \\ \vdots \\ m_K = \mu_K = f_K(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_K) \end{array} \right. \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{Us are} \\ \text{factors} \\ \text{of the} \\ \theta_s \end{array}$$

We solve this set of eqns. for the θ s in terms of X s to get MoM estimators

$$\hat{\theta}_1, \hat{\theta}_2, \dots, \hat{\theta}_K.$$

Ex. $X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{Bin}(k, p)$

Let's find MoM estimators for k and p .

① Population moments $X \sim \text{Bin}(k, p)$

$$\mu_1 = E[X] = kp$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_2 &= E[X^2] = \text{Var}(X) + [E[X]]^2 \\ &= kp(1-p) + k^2 p^2 \end{aligned}$$

② Form equations

(\leftarrow) form equations

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \bar{X} = m_1 = \mu_1 = kp$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \bar{X^2} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N X_n^2 = \mu_2 = kp(1-p) + k^2 p^2$$

(3) Solve for k and p .

$$\rightarrow \bar{X^2} = (kp)^2 = k^2 p^2$$

$$\text{so } \bar{X^2} - \bar{X}^2 = kp(1-p)$$

hence
$$\frac{\bar{X^2} - \bar{X}^2}{\bar{X}} = \frac{kp(1-p)}{kp} = 1-p$$

hence
$$\hat{p} = 1 - \frac{\bar{X^2} - \bar{X}^2}{\bar{X}}$$

and since $\bar{X} = kp$ then
$$\hat{k} = \frac{\bar{X}}{\hat{p}}$$

Note: these estimates are not great.

Notice if $m_2 - m_1^2 > m_1$, then $\hat{p} < 0$.

Ex. $X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$

MoM estimate for λ ?

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \mu_1 = E[\text{Pois}(\lambda)] = \lambda$$

$$m_1 = \bar{X}$$

\textcircled{2} Form equation $\bar{X} = \lambda$

\textcircled{3} Solve for λ ... $\boxed{\hat{\lambda} = \bar{X}}$

Variation of this...

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \text{Var}(\text{Pois}(\lambda)) = \lambda$$

Set this equal to S^2

hence a M&M estimator is $\boxed{\hat{\lambda} = S^2}$

$$\text{Ex. } X_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} U(0, \theta)$$

Way 1

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \mu_1 = E[X_n] = \theta/2$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad m_1 = \bar{X} = \theta/2 = \mu_1$$

$$\textcircled{3} \quad \boxed{\hat{\theta} = 2\bar{X}}$$

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \text{Var}(X) = \frac{\theta^2}{12}$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad S^2 \approx \text{Var}(X) = \frac{\theta^2}{12}$$

$$\textcircled{3} \quad \hat{\theta} = \sqrt{12S^2}$$

Ex. $X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{Beta}(\alpha, 1) \quad \rightarrow \quad E[\text{Beta}] = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}$

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \mu_1 = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad m_1 = \bar{x} = \mu_1 = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}$$

\textcircled{3} Solve for α ---

we find
$$\hat{\alpha} = \frac{\bar{x}}{1-\bar{x}}$$