

Putting Everything Together

Outline

- Organizing the analysis
- "From soup to nuts"
- Sharing
- Archiving
- A real example

Organizing the analysis

Directory structure

- Highly personal -- no single "correct" structure
- Also varies by project

Directory structure

- `data`
 - `data/original`
 - `data/processed`
- `analyses`
 - markdown files
 - scripts (but see below)
- `package` (optional)
 - for general stand-alone use, *and / or*
 - well-written helper routines, called by main analysis (`.md` files)
- `results`
 - fitted models, full simulation output, etc.
 - maybe `results/cached` + `results/final`
- `output`
 - plots, tables, etc., to include directly in paper
 - (sometimes) notebook output
- `app` (optional; e.g., shiny)

Makefiles

- make -- since 1976
- Created to provide the commands necessary to compile a software project
- Also great for organizing a reproducible analysis
- Organize commands into "rules" that build specific outputs
- Example

Makefile rules

- Like directory structure, personal and varies by project
- For nearly all projects:
 - `all`: runs everything, typically the default
 - `clean`: delete all output (including processed data, cached results)
 - `download`: (re-)download data from its original source
- Also common:
 - `preprocess`
(or `clean_data`, or ...)
 - `main_analysis`
(might simply call other rules, e.g., `eda`, `fitmodels`, etc.)
 - `output`: create plots, tables, etc.

Results caching

- Analysis should be divided into steps, with results saved after each step

Results caching

- Analysis should be divided into steps, with results saved after each step
- One "step" might be:
 - A digestible amount of code
 - A computationally intensive procedure
 - A logical breaking point
 - The general goal:

If someone wonders, *how did they do XYZ?*

 - There is an "obvious" markdown file to look in
 - It is simple to read, quick to run, and (ideally) easy to edit

Results caching

- Analysis should be divided into steps, with results saved after each step
- One "step" might be:
 - A digestible amount of code
 - A computationally intensive procedure
 - A logical breaking point
 - The general goal:
 - If someone wonders, *how did they do XYZ?*
 - There is an "obvious" markdown file to look in
 - It is simple to read, quick to run, and (ideally) easy to edit
- Each markdown file should clearly show its inputs and outputs

Example

Results caching

- Analysis should be divided into steps, with results saved after each step
- One "step" might be:
 - A digestible amount of code
 - A computationally intensive procedure
 - A logical breaking point
 - The general goal:
 - If someone wonders, *how did they do XYZ?*
 - There is an "obvious" markdown file to look in
 - It is simple to read, quick to run, and (ideally) easy to edit
- Each markdown file should clearly show its inputs and outputs

Example

- Makefiles can also be used to specify file dependencies

“From soup to nuts”

One command

The user should be able to run your entire analysis -- everything -- with a single command.

One command

The user should be able to run your entire analysis -- everything -- with a single command.

For example:

- `make`
- `docker run myanalysis`
- `run_all.sh`

Data (soup)

- *The code should automatically (re-)download the data from its original source*

Data (soup)

- *The code should automatically (re-)download the data from its original source*
- **Don't:**

*Download the data from www.somewhere.com. Go to "data" then select "stage 2" and download the .zip file.
Unzip and follow the instructions in the README.
After running the preprocessing script as described in the README, place the .csv files into the data directory and ...*

Data (soup)

- *The code should automatically (re-)download the data from its original source*
- **Don't:**

*Download the data from www.somewhere.com. Go to "data" then select "stage 2" and download the .zip file.
Unzip and follow the instructions in the README.
After running the preprocessing script as described in the README, place the .csv files into the data directory and ...*

- **Do:**
 - Use `curl`, `wget`, etc.
 - Automate everything
 - Retain the original data, but keep it clearly separated from "cleaned" data

Final results (nuts)

"Final results":

- Plots
- Tables
- Numerical results (e.g., " $p = 0.02$ ")

Final results (nuts)

"Final results":

- Plots
- Tables
- Numerical results (e.g., " $p = 0.02$ ")

All final results should be output verbatim by your analysis

Final results (nuts)

- Numerical results (e.g., " $p = 0.02$ ")
 - Embed in a notebook
 - Should be easy to find

Final results (nuts)

- Numerical results (e.g., " $p = 0.02$ ")
 - Embed in a notebook
 - Should be easy to find
- Tables
 - xtable
 - kable
 - stargazer

Final results (nuts)

- Numerical results (e.g., " $p = 0.02$ ")
 - Embed in a notebook
 - Should be easy to find
- Tables
 - `xtable`
 - `kable`
 - `stargazer`
- Plots
 - `annotate(.)` (ggplot2)
 - `tikzDevice`

Final results (nuts)

- Numerical results (e.g., " $p = 0.02$ ")
 - Embed in a notebook
 - Should be easy to find
- Tables
 - `xtable`
 - `kable`
 - `stargazer`
- Plots
 - `annotate(.)` (ggplot2)
 - `tikzDevice`
- If you absolutely must edit by hand:
 - `diff` and `patch`

Sharing

Easily accessible

To make your analysis easily accessible,

- Post the code somewhere it is easy to browse (e.g., github)
- Post a fully self-contained docker image
- Post (or link to) the data somewhere you can download it directly
- Post any packages on CRAN, PyPi, etc.

Easily accessible

To make your analysis easily accessible,

- Post the code somewhere it is easy to browse (e.g., github)
- Post a fully self-contained docker image
- Post (or link to) the data somewhere you can download it directly
- Post any packages on CRAN, PyPi, etc.
- Keep the versions of your project in sync and leave a trail, e.g.,
 - Include your dockerfile on github
 - Have your docker image build directly from github
 - In the docker build, output a timestamp and the git commit that is used
- Cross-reference the websites

Archiving

Discussion

- Where might you archive your analysis (e.g., github)?
- What are strengths / weaknesses of those options?

Notable options

- Zenodo
- Open Science Foundation

Notable options

- Zenodo
- Open Science Foundation

Also, keep your own copy!

A real example

A real example

The paper: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2105.03529>

The code: <https://github.com/adamSales/rebarLoop>