




Republic of the Philippines
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT
CORDILLERA ADMINISTRATIVE REGION

Brgy. Center, Upper Session Road corner North Drive, Baguio City

www.car.dilg.gov.ph



June 9, 2023

Hon. MELCHOR D. DICLAS, M.D

Governor

Province of Benguet

THRU: PD REGINA ELIZABETH L. MAMMAG

Provincial Director

DILG Benguet

Dear Gov. DICLAS:

We are pleased to issue the attached **Certificate of Review and Endorsement** for the **FY 2024 GAD Plan and Budget** of the Provincial Government of Benguet, for your information and guidance.

Our warmest regards.

Very truly yours,


ARACELI A. SAN JOSE, CESO IV
Regional Director

LG MED/MMG/krab

"Matino, Mahusay at Maasahan"

Tel. No. (074) 442-0085 / 422-6339 | Telefax Nos. (074) 442-3515 / 443-9840 / 442-9030

Official E-mail Address: dilgcarcloud@gmail.com



Republic of the Philippines
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT
CORDILLERA ADMINISTRATIVE REGION

Brgy. Center, Upper Session Road corner North Drive, Baguio City

www.car.dilg.gov.ph

CERTIFICATE OF REVIEW AND ENDORSEMENT

This is to certify that the GAD Plan and Budget (GPB) for FY 2024 of the Provincial Government of Benguet has been reviewed and was found fully compliant in form and contents with the provisions of Republic Act No. 9710 and its Implementing Rules and Regulations, and PCW-DILG-DBM-NEDA Joint Memorandum Circular Nos. 2013-01 and 2016-01.

Per DILG's review, the GPB of the Provincial Government of Benguet was found compliant with the following:

- At least five (5%) of LGU's total annual budget was allocated to GAD PPAs addressing gender issues;
- All Programs, Projects and Activities (PPAs) are responsive to LGU's identified Gender Issues and /or GAD Mandate

Thus, said GAD Plan and Budget of the Provincial Government of Benguet is hereby officially endorsed for incorporation in its Provincial Annual Investment Program (AIP) and Annual Budget.

Issued this 9th day of June, 2023 at the Office of the Regional Director


ARACELI A. SAN JOSE, CESO IV
Regional Director

LGMED/MMG/MCR/krab

"Matino, Mahusay at Maasahan"

Tel. No. (074) 442-0085 / 422-6339 | Telefax Nos. (074) 442-3515 / 443-9840 / 442-9030

Official E-mail Address: dilgcarcloud@gmail.com

PROJECT / ACTIVITY: TRAINING PROPOSAL ON AGRICULTURAL PACKAGE OF TECHNOLOGIES FOR FARMERS IN BENGUET

Element and item/question (col. 1)	Done			Score for an item / element* (col.3)	Gender issues identified (col. 4)
	No (2a)	Partly (2b)	Yes (2c)		
1.0 Involvement of women and men (max score: 2; for each item, 1)					
1.1. Participation of women and men in beneficiary groups in problem identification (possible score: 0, 0.5, 1.0) >			1.00		
1.2. Participation of women and men in beneficiary groups in project design (possible score: 0, 0.5, 1.0) >			1.00		
2.0. Collection of sex-disaggregated data gender-related information (possible scores: 0, 1.0, 2.0) >			2.00		
3.0. Conduct of gender analysis and identification of gender issues (max score: 2; for each item, 1)					
3.1. Analysis of gender gaps and inequalities related to gender roles, perspectives and needs, or access to and control of resources (possible scores: 0, 0.5, 1.0) >			1.00		
3.2. Analysis of constraints and opportunities related to women and men's participation in the project (possible scores: 0, 0.5, 1.0) >			1.00		
TOTAL GAD SCORE - PROJECT IDENTIFICATION STAGE			6.00		
4.0 Gender equality goals, outcomes and outputs (possible scores: 0, 1.0, 2.0) Does the project have clearly stated gender equality goals, objectives, outcomes or outputs? >			2.00		
5.0. Matching of strategies with gender issues (possible scores: 0, 1.0, 2.0) Do the strategies and activities match the gender issues and gender equality goals identified? >			2.00		
6.0. Gender analysis of likely impact of the project (max score: 2; for each item or question, 0.67)					
6.1. Are women and girl children among the direct or indirect beneficiaries? (possible scores: 0, 0.33, 0.67)			0.67		
6.2. Has the project considered its long-term impact on women's socioeconomic status and empowerment? (possible scores: 0, 0.33, 0.67) >			0.67		
6.3. Has the project included strategies for avoiding or minimizing negative impact on women's status and welfare? (possible scores: 0, 0.33, 0.67) >			0.67		
7.0 Monitoring targets and indicators (possible scores: 0, 1.0, 2.0)					

Element and item/question (col. 1)	Done			Score for an item / element* (col.3)	Gender issues identified (col. 4)
	No (2a)	Partly (2b)	Yes (2c)		
Does the project include gender equality targets and indicators to measure gender equality output and outcomes? >			2.00		
8.0. Sex-disaggregated database requirement (possible scores: 0, 1.0, 2.0) Does the project M&E system require the collection of sex-disaggregated data? >			2.00		
9.0. Resources (max score: 2; for each question, 1.0)					
9.1. Is the project's budget allotment sufficient for gender equality promotion or integration? OR, will the project tap counterpart funds from LGUs/partners for its GAD efforts (possible scores: 0, 0.5, 1.0) >		0.50			
9.2. Does the project have the expertise in promoting gender equality and women's empowerment? OR, does the project commit itself to investing project staff time in building capacities within the project to integrate GAD or promote gender equality? (possible scores: 0, 0.33, 0.67) >		0.50			
10.0 Relationship with the agency's GAD efforts (maximum score: 2; for each question or item, 0.67)					
10.1 Will the project build on or strengthen the LGU's commitment to the empowerment of women? (possible scores: 0, 0.33, 0.67) IF THE AGENCY HAD NO GAD PLAN: Will the project help in the formulation of the implementing agency's GAD plan? >			0.67		
10.2. Will the project build on the initiatives of actions of other organizations in the area? (possible scores: 0, 0.33, 0.67) >			0.67		
10.3. Does the project have an exit plan that will ensure the sustainability of GAD efforts and benefits? (possible scores: 0, 0.33, 0.67) >			0.67		
TOTAL GAD SCORE - PROJECT DESIGN STAGE	19.0				

Interpretation of GAD Scores

Maximum score: 20 points

- 0 - 3.9** : GAD is invisible (Proposal to be returned)
- 4.0 - 7.9** : Has promising GAD prospects ("conditional pass")
- 8.0 - 14.9** : Gender sensitive
- 15.0 - 20.0** : Gender responsive