## PROJECT / ACTIVITY: TRAINING PROPOSAL ON AGRICULTURAL PACKAGE OF TECHNOLOGIES FOR FARMERS IN BENGUET

Element and item/question (col. 1)	Done			Score for an item /	Gender issues
	No (2a)	Partly (2b)	Yes (2c)	element* (col.3)	identified (col. 4)
1.0 Involvement of women and men (max score: 2; for each item, 1)	el-coupers (secondar) y egypt (de litte coult find				
1.1. Participation of women and men in beneficiary groups in problem identification (possible score: 0, 0.5, 1.0) >	antide access en transactura access realistication for		1.00		
1,2. Participation of women and men in beneficiary groups In proJect design(poss1ble scorer: 0, 0.5, 1.0) >			1.00		
2.0. Collection of sex-disaggredated data gender- related info rmation (possible scores: 0, 1.0, 2.0) >			2.00		
3.0. Conduct of gender analysis and idenfication of gender Issues (max score: 2; for each item, 1)			A CONTRACTOR CONTRACTO		
3.1. Analysis of gender gaps and inequalities related to gender roles, perspectives and needs, or access to and control of resources (possible scores: 0, 0.5, 1.0) >	***************************************		1.00		
<ul><li>3.2. Analysis of constraints and opportunities related to women and men's porticipadon in the project (possible scores: 0, 0.5, 1.0) &gt;</li></ul>	esservice de construir de la constitución de la con		1.00		
TOTAL GAD SCORE - PROJECT 1DENTIFICATION STAGE			6.00		Andrinaaninaaninaaninaaninaaninaaninaanin
4.0 Gender equality goals, outcomes and outputs (possible scores: .0, 1.0, 2.0) Does the project have clearly stated gender equality goals, objectives, outcomes or outputs? >	aut exposition and professional and prof		2.00		And the state of t
5.0. Matching of strategies with gender issues (possible icores: 0, 1.0, 2.0) Do the strategies and activities match the gender issues and gender quality goals identified? >			2.00		
6. <b>0. Gender analysis of likely impact of the project</b> (max score: 2; for each item or question, 0,67)			The state of the s		
6.1. Are women and girl children among the direct or indirect beneficiaries? (possible scores: 0, 0.33, 0.67)	***************************************		0.67		
6.2. Has the project considered Its long-term impact on women's socioeconomic status and empowerment7 (possible scores: 0, 0.33, 0.67) >		The state of the s	0.67		
6.3. Has the project included strategies for avoiding or minimizing negative impact on women's status and welfare? (possible scores: 0, 0.33, 0.67) >			0.67		The state of the s
7.0 Monitoring targets and indicators (possible scores: 0, 1.0, 2.0)					Secretary of the secret

Element and item/question (col. 1)	Done			Score for an item /	Gender issues
	No (2a)	Partly (2b)	Yes (2c)	element* (col.3)	identified (col. 4)
Does the project include gender equality targets and indicators to measure gender equality output and outcomes? >			2.00		
8.0. Sex-disaggregated database requirement (possible scores: 0, 1.0, 2.0) Does the project M&E system require the collection of sex-disaggregated data? >			2.00		
9.0. Resources (max score: 2; for each question, 1.0)	ALLES OF THE STATE				
9.1. Is the project's budget allotment sufficient for gender equality promotion or integration? OR, will the project tap counterpart funds from LGUs/partners for It GAD efforts (possible scores: 0, 0.5, 1.0) >		0.50			
9,2. Does the project have the expertise in promofing gender equality and women's empowerment? OR, does the project commit itself to investing project staff time in building capacities within the project to integrate GAD or promote gender equality? (possible scores: 0, 0.33, 0.67) >		0.50			
10.0 Relationship with the agency's GAD efforts {maximum score: 2; for each question or item, 0,67)					
10.1 Will the project build on or strengthen the LGU's commitment to the empowerment of women7 (possible scores: 0, 0.33, 0.67) IF THE AGENCY HAD NO GAD PLAN: Will the project help In the formulation of the Implementing agency's GAD plan? >			0.67		
10.2. Will the project build on the initiatives of actions of other organizations in the area? (possible scores: 0, 0.33, 0.67) >			0.67		
10.3. Does the project have an exit plan that will ensure the sustainability of GAO efforts and benefits? (possible scores: 0, 0.33, 0.67) >			0.67		
TOTAL GAD SCORE - PROJECT DESIGN STAGE		19.0			

## **Interpretation of GAD Scores**

Maximum score: 20 points

**0 - 3.9** : GAD is invisible (Proposal to be returned)

**4.0 - 7.9** : Has promising GAD prospects ("conditional pass")

**8.0 - 14.9** : Gender sensitive

**15.0 - 20.0** : Gender responsive