Gerald Jones Individual project assignment 5: Shortest Path SILSP **ISE522 Spg 22 Notebook Links:** 1. Data Display section 2. Model Formulation 3. Method Definitions 4. Gurobi Implementation 5. Solution Discussion **Problem Description:** Do the following: 1. Solve the classic single-item lot sizing problem (with fixed setup costs for orders; a slightly simpler version of the problem you solved in individual assignment 2) by modeling it as a shortest path problem and solving it using a solver of your choice. The dataset for this problem is attached. 2. Based on what you found in your literature review in assignment IA-5, recommend a method (apart from modeling it as a mixedinteger program) for solving the problem you solved in IA-4. (You do not need to implement the method you recommend; just describe it.) **Notes and Observations Assumptions:** Module imports and data loading In [1]: from _GUROBI_TOOLS_.GUROBI_MODEL BUILDING TOOLS import * from NOTE BOOK UTILS import * import pandas as pd import numpy as np import networkx as nx from pyomo.environ import * import pyomo.opt as po infinity = float('inf') # define infinity for use in expressions notebook title = " IP5.ipynb" # used to generate pdf **Data Load and Display** The below cell uses the provided excel file to load the data and parameters into variables used in the pyomo implementation In [2]: # display data for problem # grab top of file to get some parameters param df = pd.read excel("classic single item lot sizing problem data.xlsx", nrows=2) # get the fixed cost of ordering FixedCharge = int(param df.columns.tolist()[1]) # minimum amount on hand # initial amount on hand U = 2# fixed holding cost # parse demand and use it to set up the demand parameter below in the pyomo implementation usecols = ["Demand",] df = pd.read excel("classic single item lot sizing problem data.xlsx", skiprows=[0, 1, 2, 4], nrows=10, usecol demand = list(df["Demand"]) # set up a variable to set the node indices nodes = list(range(11)) def generate onebranch tree edges(sink): **return** [(i, i+1) for i in range(sink-1)] edges = generate onebranch tree edges (11) holding costs = {} ordering costs = {} demand dict = {} for c, edge in enumerate(edges): print(c, edge) holding costs[edge] = U ordering_costs[edge] = FixedCharge demand dict[edge] = demand[c-1] print(nodes) holding costs ordering_costs BigM = sum(demand)print(demand dict) BigM 0 (0, 1) 1 (1, 2) 2 (2, 3) 3 (3, 4) 4 (4, 5) 5 (5, 6) 6 (6, 7) 7 (7, 8) 8 (8, 9) 9 (9, 10) [0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10] $\{(0, 1): 10, (1, 2): 10, (2, 3): 10, (3, 4): 10, (4, 5): 0, (5, 6): 0, (6, 7): 15, (7, 8): 20, (8, 9): 20, (9, 7): 10, (1, 1$ 10): 0} Out[2]: **Shortest Path** Model-Formulation-LP | Variables | Shortest Path | Objective Sets: N = sets of amounts held for the week including the initial week $\in \{0,, 10\}$ W = set of weeks defined by tuple $\{i,j\} \forall i,j \in W$ (Arcs or Edges) Parameters: s = start week t = end week U = per unit cost of held stock in week (i,j), $\forall (i,j) \in \mathsf{W}$ F = Fixed cost of ordering in week {i,j} $D_{i,j} = demand for week {i,j}$ **Variables** $\mathsf{C}_{i,j} = \mathsf{total}\ \mathsf{costs}\ \mathsf{of}\ \mathsf{ordering}\ \mathsf{and}\ \mathsf{held}\ \mathsf{stock}\ \mathsf{for}\ \mathsf{week}\ \mathsf{i},\ orall (i,j) \in \mathsf{W}$ $\mathsf{H}_{i,j} =$ amount held at end of week i and beginning of week j (i,j), $orall (i,j) \in \mathsf{W}$ $\mathsf{X}_{i,j} =$ amount ordered at beginning of week i, $orall (i,j) \in \mathsf{W}$ $\mathsf{O}_{i,j} = \mathsf{binary} \ \mathsf{decision} \ \mathsf{variable} \ \mathsf{for} \ \mathsf{week} \ \mathsf{i,j,} \ orall (i,j) \in \mathsf{W}$ **Expression, Equations, and Constraints** Minimum order quantity (handled by nonnegative reals since minimum = 0) $X_w \geq \mu, \forall w$ **Amount to Order Constraint:** $X_{i,j} + H_{i,j} \geq D_{i,j}$ Weekly cost Equation: $c_{i,j} = \sum (o_{i,j} \cdot F + h_{i,j} \cdot U)$ **Binary Decision Binding** $X_w \leq M \cdot O_w, \forall w$ Amount on hand in the current week $(X_{ ext{w-1}}) - D_{ ext{w-1}} + H_{ ext{w-1}} = H_{ ext{w}}$ **Objective:** $\min(\sum C_{i,j})$ **Pyomo Implementation** In [3]: # create an abstract model U = 2# model = AbstractModel() model = ConcreteModel() set up the edges and the nodes model.W = Set(initialize=nodes) # represents the amount on hand at week w π in π 0,, 10 # Edges with a cost for each edge, each ed model.T = Set(within=model.W*model.W, initialize=edges) # set up source and sink model.s = 0model.t = 10**** #### cost holding that week model.u = Param(model.T, within=NonNegativeReals, initialize=holding costs) model.d = Param(model.T, within=NonNegativeReals, initialize=demand dict) **** #### cost of a given week model.c = Var(model.T, within=NonNegativeReals) ### amount ordered model.x = Var(model.T, within=NonNegativeReals) ### amount on hand model.h = Var(model.T, within=NonNegativeReals) ### if an order occured betwwen weeks i and j model.o = Var(model.T, domain=Binary, bounds=(0,1)) def minimize costs(model): return sum(model.x[i, j] * FixedCharge + model.h[i,j]*U for (i, j) in model.A if j==value(model.t)) # return sum(model.c[i, j] for (i, j) in model.T if j==value(model.t)) return sum(model.c[i, j] for (i, j) in model.T) model.total = Objective(rule=minimize costs, sense=minimize) ************************************ # rule for meeting customer demands def amount on hand(model, i, j): if i == model.s: # return model.h[i, j] == model.x[i, j] - model.d[i, j] return model.h[i, j] == model.x[i, j] - model.d[i, j] elif j == model.t: return model.h[i, j] == 0 return model.h[i, j] == model.x[i, j] + model.h[i-1, i] - model.d[i, j] model.happy = Constraint(model.T, rule=amount on hand) def weekly_cost(model, i, j): return model.c[i,j] == model.o[i, j]*FixedCharge + model.h[i, j]*U model.weekly_costs = Constraint(model.T, rule=weekly_cost) def binary ordering(model, i, j): return model.x[i, j] <= BigM * model.o[i, j]</pre> model.ordering c = Constraint(model.T, rule=binary ordering) def ordering constraint(model, i, j): if i == (model.s): return model.x[i, j] >= model.d[i, j] - model.x[i, j] return model.x[i, j] + model.h[i-1, i] >= model.d[i, j] model.ordering g = Constraint(model.T, rule=ordering constraint) # def flow control(model, i, j): if i == value(model.s): rhs = -1return model.c[i, j] - model.c[j, j+1] <= 0 elif j == value(model.t): rhs = 1else: inFlow = sum(model.c[i, j] for (i,j) in model.T if j==k)outFlow = sum(model.c[i, j] for (i,j) in model.T if i==k) inFlow = model.c[i, j]outFlow = sum(model.c[i, j] for (i,j) in model.T if i==k)return inFlow - outFlow == rhs # model.flow = Constraint(model.T, rule=flow control) solver = po.SolverFactory('gurobi') result = solver.solve(model, tee=True) print(result) Restricted license - for non-production use only - expires 2023-10-25 $\label{local-Temp-tmp43267zka.pyomo.lp} Read LP format model from file C:\Users\gjone\AppData\Local\Temp\tmp43267zka.pyomo.lp$ Reading time = 0.00 seconds x41: 41 rows, 41 columns, 97 nonzeros Gurobi Optimizer version 9.5.0 build v9.5.0rc5 (win64) Thread count: 6 physical cores, 12 logical processors, using up to 12 threads Optimize a model with 41 rows, 41 columns and 97 nonzeros Model fingerprint: 0x597c9ee9 Variable types: 31 continuous, 10 integer (10 binary) Coefficient statistics: Matrix range [1e+00, 1e+02] Objective range [1e+00, 1e+00] Bounds range [1e+00, 1e+00] RHS range [1e+00, 2e+01] Presolve removed 27 rows and 22 columns Presolve time: 0.00s Presolved: 14 rows, 19 columns, 35 nonzeros Variable types: 11 continuous, 8 integer (8 binary) Found heuristic solution: objective 700.0000000 Root relaxation: objective 2.715789e+02, 10 iterations, 0.00 seconds (0.00 work units) Nodes | Current Node | Objective Bounds | Expl Unexpl | Obj Depth IntInf | Incumbent BestBd Gap | It/Node Time 0 271.57895 0 5 700.00000 271.57895 61.2% 640.0000000 271.57895 57.6% 0 490.0000000 271.57895 44.6% 0 363.50574 0 3 490.00000 363.50574 25.8% 440.0000000 363.50574 17.4% 0 381.05263 0 3 440.00000 381.05263 13.4% 0 cutoff 0 440.00000 440.00000 0.00% Cutting planes: Gomory: 2 Implied bound: 4 MIR: 3 Flow cover: Flow path: 1 Explored 1 nodes (22 simplex iterations) in 0.00 seconds (0.00 work units) Thread count was 12 (of 12 available processors) Solution count 4: 440 490 640 700 Optimal solution found (tolerance 1.00e-04) Best objective 4.400000000000e+02, best bound 4.4000000000e+02, gap 0.0000% Problem: - Name: x41 Lower bound: 439.999999999998 Upper bound: 439.9999999999983 Number of objectives: 1 Number of constraints: 41 Number of variables: 41 Number of binary variables: 10 Number of integer variables: 10 Number of continuous variables: 31 Number of nonzeros: 97 Sense: minimize Solver: · Status: ok Return code: 0 Message: Model was solved to optimality (subject to tolerances), and an optimal solution is available. Termination condition: optimal Termination message: Model was solved to optimality (subject to tolerances), and an optimal solution is avai Wall time: 0.0 Error rc: 0 Time: 0.12505722045898438 Solution: - number of solutions: 0 number of solutions displayed: 0 **Results Display** In [4]: print("\n\t\t{}".format("Weekly strategy and outcomes")) for (i,j) in model.T: print("week: {}, left-over-stock: {:.0f}, demand: {:.0f}, order:{:.0f}, cost: {:.0f}".format(i+1, value(monoton)) optimal cost = value(model.total) print("The optimal cost: \${:.2f}".format(optimal cost)) Weekly strategy and outcomes week: 1, left-over-stock: 30, demand: 10, order: 40, cost: 160 week: 2, left-over-stock: 20, demand: 10, order:0, cost: 40 week: 3, left-over-stock: 10, demand: 10, order:0, cost: 20 week: 4, left-over-stock: 0, demand: 10, order:0, cost: 0 week: 5, left-over-stock: 0, demand: 0, order:0, cost: 0 week: 6, left-over-stock: 0, demand: 0, order:0, cost: 0 week: 7, left-over-stock: 40, demand: 15, order:55, cost: 180 week: 8, left-over-stock: 20, demand: 20, order:0, cost: 40 week: 9, left-over-stock: 0, demand: 20, order:0, cost: 0 week: 10, left-over-stock: 0, demand: 0, order:0, cost: 0 The optimal cost: \$440.00 In [5]: held = np.array([30, 20, 10, 40, 20]) sum(held*U) Out[5]: **Solution Discussion** The solution suggests that for weeks 1, and 7 amounts of 40 and 55 should be ordered respectively. This ordering strategy leads to ordering costs at weeks 1 and 7 of 100 each for total ordering costs of 200. From the demands and amounts ordered there are left over stock held of 30, 20, 10 for weeks 1, 2, and 3 respectively and amounts of 40, and 20 for weeks 7 and 8. The leads to total held over stock cost of 240 thus, a total cost of 200 + 240 or 440 is incured during the ten week period according to the optimal solution. **Problem 2:** 1. Based on what you found in your literature review in assignment IA-5, recommend a method (apart from modeling it as a mixedinteger program) for solving the problem you solved in IA-4. (You do not need to implement the method you recommend; just describe it.) **Answer:** From our literature review we found the algorithm purposed by Fredrgruen and Lee (1990) that looked at both incremental and all-unit discounts. Their solution can uses a dynamic programing algorithm with complextiy of O(T2) for the all-unit discounts version, where T is the number of periods. For the problem for IP4 the value of T is 10. Their work looked at a problem with a fixed start up cost A_t and a discounted cost if the amount ordered was above some threshold **Model Formulation: Variables** amount to order at time t amount of inventory on hand at time t demand at time t DH_t holding cost at time t start up cost at time t cost without discount at time t discount rate cost of buying X_t units at time t per unit holding cost for stock held at time t threshold amount below which the discount does not apply minimum order amount cost-constraint-original There method uses a piecwise function to control when the cost is at the discounted rate as seen in the "cost-constraint-original" expression seen below. $C(X_t) = \{$ 0, iff $X_t = 0$, $A_t + c_t \cdot X_t$, iff $0 < X_t \le N$, $A_t + c_t (1-r) \cdot X_t$, iff $X_t \geq N$, Their method would need a slight adjustment to the cost calculation by adding the holding cost for the stock held at the end of period T and replacing the start up cost A_t with this value which can be seen below. I would also need to add the minimum order constraint so that the MOQ rule is followed. Due to this the expression above would replace the zero amounts with the MOQ. Modification for MOQ and holding costs $C(X_t) =$ $X_t \cdot c_t + I_t \cdot U$, iff $M < X_t \leq N$ $X_t \cdot c_t (1-r) + I_t \cdot U$, iff $X_t \geq N$ The goal is to minimize the cost function which is seen in the expression labeled "objective". The adjusted cost function can be seen below: **Objective:** $Min\sum_{t=1}^T C(X_t)$ Their algorithm uses two expressions to represent the demand from time i to j and the amount on hand at time i to j as shown below. These are also piecewise functions where the demand is 0 if i> j as seen below and holding cost DH(i,j). $D(i,j) = \{$ $D_1 + \cdots + D_j$, if $i \leq j$, 0, otherwise } $DH(i,j) = \{$ $U_i[D_{1+1} + D_{1+1} + \dots + (j-1)D_j, \text{ if } i < j,$ 0, otherwise } Next they set constraints. First to ensure that if inventory on hand is more than enough to meet demand no order will be placed. Second, if the inventory on hand is less than demand then an order of either the limit N or the difference between demand and inventory will be places. This is slight change to there model allowing more than the threshold N to be if $I_{t-1} \ge D_t$, Xt = 0 if $\{(t-1) < D_t\}$, $Xt = D(i,j) - \{(t-1)\}$, for some $j \neq t$. Using the below algorithm you iterate through to find the most efficient option for different regeneration point paths. Algorithm: step 0. Set t = i+1, $P(i,t)=\{t\}$, k:=t; l:=N-D_{t+1}; $C(i,t)=I_tU+c_t(1-r)N$ step 1: if t=j, then stop, otherwise go to step 2 step 2: if $1 \le 0$, then stop. Otherwise set $C(i,t) := C(i,t) + h_k(1-r)I$, t := t+1, P(i,t) := P(i,t-1); t := C(i,t-1) and go to step 3 step 3: If I < Dn, set $P(i,t) = P(i,t) \cup \{t\}$, k:= r, $C(i,t) := C(i,t) + I_k U + ck(1-r)N$, $I:= I + N + D_t$, and go to step 1, otherwise, see I_t = I_{t-1} - D_t , and go to step 1 Using the objective function below, we can find which of these paths results in the lowest cost. $M(i,j) = minM_k(i,j), k \in P(i,j)$ The equation will use the previously calculated P(i,t) and C(i,t) values to combine these as M_k(i,j) represents the cost of the replenishing plan for the time periods i through j. The final equation for this value is shown below. $M_k(i,j) = C(i,k-1) + I_t \cdot U$ + either: { $c_k X_k + DH(k,j)$, if $X_t < N$, or $c_k X_k (1-r) + DH(k,j) (1-r)$, if $X_t \geq N$ } Once this value is minimized using the constraints necessary to the specific problem, an optimal solution may be found. # save the notebook as a pdf In [6]: to_PDF(notebook_title)