

Breaking and fixing the Java Memory Model for profit

Ryan Sharif

February 7, 2016

Contents

1	Introduction	2
1.1	Checking environment variables and Java version	2
1.1.1	Java version	2
1.1.2	CPU information	2
1.1.3	Memory Information	2
2	Running the tests	2
2.1	Extracting jmm.jar	2
2.2	Makefile	3
2.3	Testing	3
2.3.1	Synchronized model	3
2.3.2	Null model	4
3	Unsynchronized implementation	5
3.1	Running Unsynchronized	7
4	GetNSet	7
4.1	Writing the Class	7
4.2	Results	9
5	BetterSafe	10
5.1	Writing the class	10
5.2	Testing BetterSafe	11
6	BetterSorry	11
6.1	Writing BetterSorry	11
6.2	Testing BetterSorry	13

1 Introduction

1.1 Checking environment variables and Java version

1.1.1 Java version

Before we get started doing anything else in the assignment, let's make sure that we have our environment variables and an appropriate version of Java. I'll be using a local copy of JDK version 1.8.071:

```
java -version
```

```
Java(TM) SE Runtime Environment (build 1.8.0_71-b15) Java HotSpot(TM)  
64-Bit Server VM (build 25.71-b15, mixed mode)
```

1.1.2 CPU information

We can look at our CPU information using `less` to see that we will be using an Inter Core i3 Processor running at 3.3GHz. My particular machine reports a single core. This may or may not affect the way interleaved CPU instructions are executed on several cores. Thus, I will run my code locally and on UCLA's SEASNET servers.

```
less /proc/cpuinfo
```

1.1.3 Memory Information

We can similarly inspect our machine's memory information by look at the `/proc/meminfo` file, which tells me that I have 764300 kB of memory total.

2 Running the tests

2.1 Extracting jmm.jar

The files that we'll need for this assignment are compressed in the `jmm.jar` file. Thus, we'll need to decompress the jar file before we can do anything else:

```
jar -xvf executables/jmm.jar
```

```
created: META-INF/ inflated: META-INF/MANIFEST.MF inflated: Null-  
State.java inflated: State.java inflated: SwapTest.java inflated: Synchron-  
izedState.java inflated: UnsafeMemory.java
```

2.2 Makefile

Compiling the source files into executable class files will become tedious. We can automate this process using a Makefile:

```
JC = javac
OUTPUT = executables/

all: nullstate swaptest synchronized_state state unsafe_memory

# All classes for this assignment
nullstate : NullState.java
            $(JC) -d $(OUTPUT) NullState.java
swaptest : SwapTest.java
          $(JC) -d $(OUTPUT) SwapTest.java
synchronized_state : SynchronizedState.java
                   $(JC) -d $(OUTPUT) SynchronizedState.java
state: State.java
      $(JC) -d $(OUTPUT) State.java
unsafe_memory: UnsafeMemory.java
              $(JC) -d $(OUTPUT) UnsafeMemory.java

clean:
      rm $(OUTPUT)*
```

2.3 Testing

With the prerequisite files and environment variables all in order, we can begin testing and working on the assignment. We'll begin our tests on the Synchronized implementation, moving over to the Null model afterwards.

2.3.1 Synchronized model

Here we test the synchronized model first. The initial results on my local machine indicate that this program is not benefiting from more threads. In fact, the more cores we add, the worse our program performs. Given, these results, I will move over to the SEASNET servers to test application performance on a multicore machine.

```
cd files/executables;
java UnsafeMemory Synchronized 1 1000000 6 5 6 3 0 3
```

```

java UnsafeMemory Synchronized 2 1000000 6 5 6 3 0 3
java UnsafeMemory Synchronized 4 1000000 6 5 6 3 0 3
java UnsafeMemory Synchronized 8 1000000 6 5 6 3 0 3
java UnsafeMemory Synchronized 16 1000000 6 5 6 3 0 3
java UnsafeMemory Synchronized 32 1000000 6 5 6 3 0 3

```

Below are the tests and results from running the same application on the SEASNET servers. The results from the SEASNET servers run approximately twice as fast as the results my local machine produced.

```

cd files/executables;
echo "Synchronized tests"; echo "first test set"
echo -n "01: "; java UnsafeMemory Synchronized 1 1000000 6 5 6 3 0 3
echo -n "02: "; java UnsafeMemory Synchronized 2 1000000 6 5 6 3 0 3
echo -n "04: "; java UnsafeMemory Synchronized 4 1000000 6 5 6 3 0 3
echo -n "08: "; java UnsafeMemory Synchronized 8 1000000 6 5 6 3 0 3
echo -n "16: "; java UnsafeMemory Synchronized 16 1000000 6 5 6 3 0 3
echo -n "32: "; java UnsafeMemory Synchronized 32 1000000 6 5 6 3 0 3

cd files/executables;
echo "second test set";
echo -n "01: "; java UnsafeMemory Synchronized 1 1000000 2 1 1 0 0 1
echo -n "02: "; java UnsafeMemory Synchronized 2 1000000 2 1 1 0 0 1
echo -n "04: "; java UnsafeMemory Synchronized 4 1000000 2 1 1 0 0 1
echo -n "08: "; java UnsafeMemory Synchronized 8 1000000 2 1 1 0 0 1
echo -n "16: "; java UnsafeMemory Synchronized 16 1000000 2 1 1 0 0 1
echo -n "32: "; java UnsafeMemory Synchronized 32 1000000 2 1 1 0 0 1

cd files/executables;
echo "thirds test set";
echo -n "01: "; java UnsafeMemory Synchronized 1 1000000 8 1 1 1 1 1
echo -n "02: "; java UnsafeMemory Synchronized 2 1000000 8 1 1 1 1 1
echo -n "04: "; java UnsafeMemory Synchronized 4 1000000 8 1 1 1 1 1
echo -n "08: "; java UnsafeMemory Synchronized 8 1000000 8 1 1 1 1 1
echo -n "16: "; java UnsafeMemory Synchronized 16 1000000 8 1 1 1 1 1
echo -n "32: "; java UnsafeMemory Synchronized 32 1000000 8 1 1 1 1 1

```

2.3.2 Null model

As indicated by the specification for this assignment, the Null model does not yet work but still passes the test, thus it runs to completion much faster than

the synchronized model. We should note the overhead of creating threads at least on this local machine adds considerable running time to our program despite the fact that no actual work is being done.

```
cd files/executables;
echo -n "01 "; java UnsafeMemory Null 1 1000000 6 5 6 3 0 3
echo -n "02 "; java UnsafeMemory Null 2 1000000 6 5 6 3 0 3
echo -n "04 "; java UnsafeMemory Null 4 1000000 6 5 6 3 0 3
echo -n "08 "; java UnsafeMemory Null 8 1000000 6 5 6 3 0 3
echo -n "16 "; java UnsafeMemory Null 16 1000000 6 5 6 3 0 3
echo -n "32 "; java UnsafeMemory Null 32 1000000 6 5 6 3 0 3

cd files/executables;
echo "second test set";
echo -n "01: "; java UnsafeMemory Null 1 1000000 2 1 1 0 0 1
echo -n "02: "; java UnsafeMemory Null 2 1000000 2 1 1 0 0 1
echo -n "04: "; java UnsafeMemory Null 4 1000000 2 1 1 0 0 1
echo -n "08: "; java UnsafeMemory Null 8 1000000 2 1 1 0 0 1
echo -n "16: "; java UnsafeMemory Null 16 1000000 2 1 1 0 0 1
echo -n "32: "; java UnsafeMemory Null 32 1000000 2 1 1 0 0 1

cd files/executables;
echo "thirds test set";
echo -n "01: "; java UnsafeMemory Null 1 1000000 8 1 1 1 1 1
echo -n "02: "; java UnsafeMemory Null 2 1000000 8 1 1 1 1 1
echo -n "04: "; java UnsafeMemory Null 4 1000000 8 1 1 1 1 1
echo -n "08: "; java UnsafeMemory Null 8 1000000 8 1 1 1 1 1
echo -n "16: "; java UnsafeMemory Null 16 1000000 8 1 1 1 1 1
echo -n "32: "; java UnsafeMemory Null 32 1000000 8 1 1 1 1 1
```

3 Unsynchronized implementation

We can begin implementing the unsynchronized model by bringing over the code from the synchronized model and tinkering with it. We will start with a basic class definition, naming the class `UnsynchronizedState` and letting the Java compiler know that we'll be implementing the class `State`. This means we'll have to take all the method signatures from `State` and actually implement them here:

```
class UnsynchronizedState implements State {
    private byte[] value;
```

```
private byte maxval;
```

Similar to the synchronized version, we'll have two constructors: a constructor that receives an array to initialize to some value, and sets the maximum value for the object to 127. We also have a second constructor that similarly takes in an array but also takes in a byte, setting the maximum value for this object to m.

```
UnsyncronizedState(byte[] v) { value = v; maxval = 127; }
```

```
UnsyncronizedState(byte[] v, byte m) { value = v; maxval = m; }
```

The key change to the class is simply a removal of the keyword `synchronized` from the definition of the `swap` method:

```
public int size() { return value.length; }

public byte[] current() { return value; }

public boolean swap(int i, int j) {
    if (value[i] <= 0 || value[j] >= maxval) {
        return false;
    }
    value[i]--;
    value[j]++;
    return true;
}
}
```

We can compile our class and test it like the other two we've tested before:

```
cd files;
make unsynchronized_state
```

Finally, before we can run our program again, we need to ensure that our program knows how to use the new class by adding two lines of code:

```
else if (args[0].equals("Unsyncronized"))
    s = new UnsyncronizedState(stateArg, maxval);
```

3.1 Running Unsynchronized

There is a problem with the way that `unsynchronized` works. When we increase the number of threads or swaps beyond an arbitrary value the likelihood that the program will become deadlocked increases. Thus, for these tests we used orders of magnitude smaller swaps than previous tests:

```
cd files/executables;
echo -n "01 "; java UnsafeMemory Unsynchronized 1 1000 6 5 6 3 0 3
echo -n "02 "; java UnsafeMemory Unsynchronized 2 1000 6 5 6 3 0 3
echo -n "04 "; java UnsafeMemory Unsynchronized 4 1000 6 5 6 3 0 3
echo -n "08 "; java UnsafeMemory Unsynchronized 8 1000 6 5 6 3 0 3
echo -n "16 "; java UnsafeMemory Unsynchronized 16 1000 6 5 6 3 0 3
echo -n "32 "; java UnsafeMemory Unsynchronized 32 1000 6 5 6 3 0 3
```

```
sum mismatch (17 != 21) sum mismatch (17 != 18) sum mismatch (17 !=
21) sum mismatch (17 != 19) sum mismatch (17 != 11)
```

As expected, our `unsynchronized` class runs into race conditions, where we get unexpected unreliable values.

4 GetNSet

4.1 Writing the Class

With the problematic *unsynchronized* class implemented, we want to achieve similar speed but without the race conditions. Is that possible? Lets implement Java's atomic integer array and see if we can do any better. A definition provided on Wikipedia states that an atomic operation is one that is a guarantee of isolation from concurrent processes. Since we'll be using the `AtomicIntegerArray` class, lets include it in our file and declare a variable `valueIntegerArray` that we'll instantiate in our constructor:

```
import java.util.concurrent.atomic.AtomicIntegerArray;

class GetNSet implements State {
    private int[] value;
    private byte maxval;
    private AtomicIntegerArray valueIntegerArray;
```

With the variable declared above, we'd like to instantiate an instance of the class; however, looking at the documentation for `AtomicIntegerArray`

shows us that we need to pass in an integer array, not a byte array. Thus, we'll want to repurpose `value` as an `int` array and run a loop that will set each element its equivalent in the byte array:

```
GetNSet(byte[] v) {
    value = new int[v.length];

    for(int i = 0; i < value.length; i++){
        value[i] = v[i];
    }

    maxval = 127;
    valueIntegerArray = new AtomicIntegerArray(value);
}

GetNSet(byte[] v, byte m) {
    value = new int[v.length];

    for(int i = 0; i < value.length; i++){
        value[i] = v[i];
    }

    maxval = m;
    valueIntegerArray = new AtomicIntegerArray(value);
}
```

With the constructors that correctly instantiate our `AtomIntegerArray` we can change the `size` method so that it gets the `AtomicIntegerArray` length. We just call its `length` method. The `current` method requires us to return a byte array, so we'll need to create a temporary byte array and return it:

```
public int size() { return valueIntegerArray.length(); }

public byte[] current() {
    byte[] tmp = new byte[value.length];

    for(int i = 0; i < tmp.length; i++){
        tmp[i] = (byte) value[i];
    }
}
```



```

        return tmp;
    }

```

Finally, the `swap` function needs to use the `get` and `set` methods provided by the `AtomicIntegerArray` class:

```

    public boolean swap(int i, int j) {
        if (valueIntegerArray.get(i) <= 0 || valueIntegerArray.get(j) >= maxval) {
            return false;
        }
        valueIntegerArray.getAndDecrement(i);
        valueIntegerArray.getAndIncrement(j);
        return true;
    }
}

```

4.2 Results

Let's run this class, the same way we've done before:

```

cd files/executables;
echo -n "01 "; java UnsafeMemory GetNSet 1 1000000 6 5 6 3 0 3
echo -n "02 "; java UnsafeMemory GetNSet 2 1000000 6 5 6 3 0 3
echo -n "04 "; java UnsafeMemory GetNSet 4 1000000 6 5 6 3 0 3
echo -n "08 "; java UnsafeMemory GetNSet 8 1000000 6 5 6 3 0 3
echo -n "16 "; java UnsafeMemory GetNSet 16 1000000 6 5 6 3 0 3
echo -n "32 "; java UnsafeMemory GetNSet 32 1000000 6 5 6 3 0 3

```

Like our previous results, we'd expect that the more threads we add the faster our program should run; however, it looks like the overhead of creating the threads is too costly for this simple swap function. On a positive note, we are no longer getting bad results, even testing on an array two and three orders of magnitude larger produces no bad results:

```

cd files/executables;
echo -n "32 "; java UnsafeMemory GetNSet 32 10000000 6 5 6 3 0 3
echo -n "32 "; java UnsafeMemory GetNSet 32 100000000 6 5 6 3 0 3

```

5 BetterSafe

5.1 Writing the class

We can now move to the BetterSafe model, which will achieve better performance than *Synchronized* but still maintain 100% reliability. We will be able to do this by implementing a system of locks and unlocks.

We begin with our familiar code from *Synchronized*, maintaining a majority of the code. Thus, we only change the name of the class along with the constructor names to reflect this change. Finally, we'll add a lock to use when we are performing a swap:

```
import java.util.concurrent.locks.ReentrantLock;

class BetterSafe implements State {
    private byte[] value;
    private byte maxval;
    private final ReentrantLock swapLock;

    BetterSafe(byte[] v) {
        value = v; maxval = 127;
        swapLock = new ReentrantLock();
    }

    BetterSafe(byte[] v, byte m) {
        value = v; maxval = m;
        swapLock = new ReentrantLock();
    }
}
```

We'll remove the `synchronized` keyword from the swap function and implement a use of locks to make sure that no thread steps on anyone else's toes:

```
public int size() { return value.length; }

public byte[] current() { return value; }

public boolean swap(int i, int j) {
    swapLock.lock();

    if (value[i] <= 0 || value[j] >= maxval) {
```

```

        swapLock.unlock();

        return false;
    }
    value[i]--;
    value[j]++;

    swapLock.unlock();

    return true;
}
}

```

5.2 Testing BetterSafe

Let's test our BetterSafe class by performing the same tests that we've done in the past:

```

cd files/executables;
echo "original test:"
echo -n "01 "; java UnsafeMemory BetterSafe 1 1000000 6 5 6 3 0 3
echo -n "02 "; java UnsafeMemory BetterSafe 2 1000000 6 5 6 3 0 3
echo -n "04 "; java UnsafeMemory BetterSafe 4 1000000 6 5 6 3 0 3
echo -n "08 "; java UnsafeMemory BetterSafe 8 1000000 6 5 6 3 0 3
echo -n "16 "; java UnsafeMemory BetterSafe 16 1000000 6 5 6 3 0 3
echo -n "32 "; java UnsafeMemory BetterSafe 32 1000000 6 5 6 3 0 3

echo "larger test:"
echo -n "01 "; java UnsafeMemory BetterSafe 1 1000000 6 5 6 3 0 3
echo -n "02 "; java UnsafeMemory BetterSafe 2 1000000 6 5 6 3 0 3
echo -n "04 "; java UnsafeMemory BetterSafe 4 1000000 6 5 6 3 0 3
echo -n "08 "; java UnsafeMemory BetterSafe 8 1000000 6 5 6 3 0 3
echo -n "16 "; java UnsafeMemory BetterSafe 16 1000000 6 5 6 3 0 3
echo -n "32 "; java UnsafeMemory BetterSafe 32 1000000 6 5 6 3 0 3

```

6 BetterSorry

6.1 Writing BetterSorry

```
import java.util.concurrent.TimeUnit;
```

```

class BetterSorry implements State {
    private volatile byte[] value;
    private byte maxval;
    private static volatile boolean inCritical = false;

```

Similar to the synchronized version, we'll have two constructors: a constructor that receives an array to initialize to some value, and sets the maximum value for the object to 127. We also have a second constructor that similarly takes in an array but also takes in a byte, setting the maximum value for this object to m. We'll use a psuedo-lock by creating a boolean that lets us know when we're in a critical part of the execution, i.e., when we're writing to our array.

```

BetterSorry(byte[] v) { value = v; maxval = 127; }

```

```

BetterSorry(byte[] v, byte m) { value = v; maxval = m; }

```

To make sure we don't have any deadlocks, we'll check to make sure we are not in a critical section, i.e., writing to our array. If we are, we'll wait our turn. If not, then the thread will write what it needs to the array.

```

    public int size() { return value.length; }

    public byte[] current() { return value; }

    public boolean swap(int i, int j) {
        int v_i = value[i], v_j = value[j];

        if (v_i <= 0 || v_j >= maxval) {
            return false;
        }
        while(inCritical) {
            try {
                TimeUnit.NANOSECONDS.sleep(1);
            } catch (InterruptedException e) {
                // TODO Auto-generated catch block
                e.printStackTrace();
            }
        }

        inCritical = true;

```

```

        value[i]--;
        value[j]++;

        inCritical = false;

        return true;
    }
}

```

6.2 Testing BetterSorry

```

cd files/executables;
echo -n "01 "; java UnsafeMemory BetterSorry 1 1000000 6 5 6 3 0 3
echo -n "02 "; java UnsafeMemory BetterSorry 2 1000000 6 5 6 3 0 3
echo -n "04 "; java UnsafeMemory BetterSorry 4 1000000 6 5 6 3 0 3
echo -n "08 "; java UnsafeMemory BetterSorry 8 1000000 6 5 6 3 0 3
echo -n "16 "; java UnsafeMemory BetterSorry 16 1000000 6 5 6 3 0 3
echo -n "32 "; java UnsafeMemory BetterSorry 32 1000000 6 5 6 3 0 3

```