CMPSC 580 Topics and Research Methods in Computer Science Spring 2015

Characteristics of Scholarly or Formal Writing

Preparing to Write Your Senior Thesis Proposal

Here's a little experiment you might want to try. Using a PDF viewer that permits word searching, open both of the research papers from our current module and search for the following words:

- "you"
- "feel"
- "think"
- "believe"
- "!" (exclamation point)
- "math"
- "terrific"
- "basically"
- "everyone knows"
- "obviously"
- "kinda"
- "great" (used as a description of worth, e.g., "a great experiment," not as an indicator of quantity, e.g., "a great amount of time")
- any contraction (e.g., "can't" or "won't" or "doesn't")
- "lot" (as in "a lot of data")

Formal and Informal Styles

Authors of professional papers avoid words or constructions that detract from the objectivity of the writing. They avoid informal constructs such as contractions or verbal shortcuts (e.g., "math" for "mathematics"), imprecise or "casual" usages (e.g., "a lot of work" instead of the more formal sounding "a large amount of work" or the more precise "an amount of work proportional to the square of the input size"). They avoid addressing the reader—use of second person pronouns—eliminating phrases such as "You must first initialize the population" or "As you can see."

Researchers also avoid phrases such as "we think" or "we feel." Instead of expressing a vague opinion, they present data and draw conclusions.

In your reviews, précis, and, of course, proposals, adopt the style of the research papers you are asked to read. Present your findings as a collection of observed facts. If you are required to critique a paper or to express an opinion about it, write in the same objective and formal manner—show data supporting your opinion and draw a conclusion from it.

As you continue to write paper reviews, develop your own senior thesis ideas, and give feedback to your colleagues as they write about their own ideas, please keep in mind the following examples of bad sentences that have been rephrased. Can you identify the "bad" sentences that you write and find ways to make them better? To learn more about this topic, you are encouraged to read, on your own, additional chapters in "Writing for Computer Science" and "Bugs in Writing." To learn more about this topic, you are encouraged to read, on your own, additional chapters in "Writing for Computer Science" and "Bugs in Writing."

Bad	Better
The authors do a great job of explaining the genetic operators, using lots of figures to explain things.	The authors provide a thorough explanation of the genetic operators, providing figures to clarify the more difficult steps.
I feel that the second paper didn't provide enough intuition to explain the problem.	The second paper describes the prob- lem in purely abstract terms; no ex- amples are given.
In the Problem Statement section they have lots of math to explain the problem.	The third section of the paper contains a precise mathematical formulation of the problem.
I basically didn't get the section about calculating the fitness function.	The section describing the fitness function is one of the most difficult portions of the paper and some of the details are not clear.