EE M146

Discussion 2 Friday, April 12, 2019

Introduction to Machine Learning

Instructor: Lara Dolecek

TA: Zehui (Alex) Chen, Ruiyi (John) Wu

- 1. Matrix calculus review
  - (a) Gradient of differentiable function  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ :

$$\nabla f(x) = \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} f(x), \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} f(x), \cdots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} f(x) \right]^T.$$

- $\nabla_w(w^Tb)$
- $\nabla_w(\|w\|^2)$
- $\nabla_w(w^T A w)$

- $\nabla_w(w^TX^TXw)$
- (b) Jacobian/derivative matrix of differentiable function  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ :

$$oldsymbol{J} = egin{bmatrix} 
abla f_1(x)^T \\

abla f_1(x)^T \\
\vdots \\

abla f_m(x)^T \end{bmatrix}, oldsymbol{J}_{ij} = rac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}$$

Ax

- Example: transformation from polar  $(r, \theta)$  to Cartesian coordinates (x, y):  $x = r \cos(\theta), y = r \sin(\theta)$ .
- (c) Hessian matrix for twice differentiable function  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ :  $\nabla^2 f(x)_{ij} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} f(x)$ .

The Hessian matrix is also the derivative matrix J of the gradient  $\nabla f(x)$ .

- Affine function  $f(x) = a^T x + b$ .
- Least squares cost:  $||Ax b||^2$ .
- Example:  $4x_1^2 + 4x_1x_2 + x_2^2 + 10x_1 + 9x_2$
- 2. Show that for a linearly separable data set, the maximum likelihood solution for the logistic regression model is obtained by finding a vector w whose decision boundary  $w^T x = 0$  separates the classes and then taking the magnitude of w to infinity.

3. In class, we provided a probabilistic interpretation of ordinary least squares. We now try to provide a probabilistic interpretation of the weighted linear regression. Consider a model where each of the N samples is independently drawn according to a normal distribution

$$P(y_n|x_n, w) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_n^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{(y_n - w^T x_n)^2}{2\sigma_n^2}\right).$$

In this model, each  $y_n$  is drawn from a normal distribution with mean  $w^Tx_n$  and variance  $\sigma_n^2$ . The  $\sigma_n^2$  are **known**. Write the log likelihood of this model as a function of w. Show that finding the maximum likelihood estimate of w leads to the same answer as solving a weighted linear regression. How do  $\sigma_n^2$  relate to  $\alpha_n$ ?