

# Commutative Algebra

Georges Khater

American University of Beirut, Math 344

February 3, 2026

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction.</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Multiplicative sets and Prime ideals . . . . .	1
1.2	The Jacobson radical of a commutative ring . . . . .	3
1.3	Extension and contraction of ideals . . . . .	3
1.4	Modules. . . . .	4
1.5	Local Rings . . . . .	5
1.6	Algebras . . . . .	5
<b>2</b>	<b>Modules</b>	<b>7</b>
2.1	Hom functors and exactness . . . . .	8
2.2	Presentations of Modules . . . . .	9
2.3	Tensor Product of Modules (review) . . . . .	10
2.4	Exactness properties of $- \otimes_A N$ . . . . .	12
<b>3</b>	<b>Localization of rings and modules</b>	<b>14</b>
3.1	Ideals in the Localization . . . . .	16
3.2	The spectrum of a ring. . . . .	24

## 1 Introduction.

### 1.1 Multiplicative sets and Prime ideals

**Definition 1.1.** A subset  $S \subset A$  is called multiplicatively closed iff

1.  $1 \in S$
2. if  $s, t \in S$ , then  $st \in S$ .

**Proposition 1.2.** Let  $S$  be multiplicatively closed, and let  $a$  be an ideal with  $a \cap S = \emptyset$ . Consider all ideals  $b$  s.t

$$\begin{cases} a \subseteq b \\ b \cap S = \emptyset \end{cases}$$

Equivalently  $a \subset b \subset A - S$ . Then the poset of such ideals satisfies the conditions of Zorn's lemma, and any maximal such element is a prime ideal of  $A$ .

*Proof.* The poset is nonempty because it contains  $a$ , every totally ordered subset  $\{b_i : i \in I\}$ , has an upper bound  $\bigcup_{i \in I} b_i$ . Therefore by Zorn's lemma there exists some maximal element  $p$  of this set.

Suppose that  $x, y \in A$  with  $xy \in p$  but  $x, y \notin p$ : Since  $x, y \notin b$  then the ideal sums

$$p + \langle x \rangle, p + \langle y \rangle$$

Strictly contain  $p$ , so they must contain each an element of  $S$ , therefore  $\exists s \in S$  of the form

$$s = p_1 + xa_1 \quad p_1 \in p, a_1 \in R$$

and similarly  $\exists t \in s$  with

$$t = p_2 + ya_2$$

but then  $st \in S$ , therefore

$$p_1p_2 + p_1ya_2 + p_2xa_1 + xy a_1 a_2 \in P \implies st \in p$$

contradicting that  $p \cap S = \emptyset$ .

Therefore  $p$  is prime. □

**Example 1.3.** •  $A$  a domain and  $S = A - \{0\}$ .

- In  $\mathbb{Z}$ :  $S = \{1, 6, 6^2, \dots\}$ , or more generally in any  $A$  if  $x \in A$  is not nilpotent, then

$$S = \{1, x, x^2, \dots\}, \quad S \cap \{0\} = \emptyset$$

There exists a prime ideal with  $p \cap S = \emptyset \implies x \notin p$  (obviously  $0 \in p$ ).

Therefore

$$\{\text{all nilpotent } x\} = \text{nilrad}(0) = \bigcap_{p \text{ prime ideal}} p$$

- $p \subset A$  prime then  $A - p$  is multiplicatively closed.

**Theorem 1.4.** If  $a \subset A$  is an ideal, then

$$\text{rad } a := \left\{ x \in A \mid \exists N \geq 1 \text{ with } x^N \in a \right\}$$

satisfies

$$\text{rad } a = \bigcap_{\text{all } p, p \supseteq a} p$$

*Proof.* • Slick proof: apply the conclusion above to the quotient ring  $A/a$ .

- Pedestrian proof: Show both inclusions directly : If  $x^N \in a$  and  $a \subset p$ , then  $x \in p$  (for all primes  $p \supseteq a$ ), so  $x \in \bigcap_{p \supseteq a} p$ .  
If  $\forall N, x^N \notin a$  then take  $S = \{1, x, x^2, \dots\}$  we have  $a \cap S = \emptyset$ ; so  $\exists p$  ( $p \supseteq a$  and  $p \cap S$ )

which is prime with  $p \supseteq a$  and  $p \cap S = \emptyset$  so  $\exists p \supseteq a$  with  $x \notin p$  so

$$x \notin \bigcap_{p \supseteq a} p$$

□

## 1.2 The Jacobson radical of a commutative ring

**Definition 1.5.**

$$\text{Jrad } a = \bigcap_{\substack{\text{all maximal ideals } m \subsetneq A}} \supseteq \text{nilrad } A$$

**Proposition 1.6.**  $x \in \text{Jrad } A \iff \forall y \in A, 1 - xy \text{ is a unit in } A$ .

*Proof.*  $\implies$  Let  $x \in \text{Jrad } A$ , then  $\forall m$  maximal ideal,  $x \in m$ . If  $y \in A$  satisfies  $1 - xy$  not a unit, then

$$\langle 1 - xy \rangle \subsetneq A$$

so  $\exists m$  maximal with  $1 - xy \in m$  but  $x \in m$ . This would force  $1 \in m$  since

$$1 - xy + xy$$

$\iff$  Suppose that  $\forall y \in A, 1 - xy$  is a unit in  $A$ . We want to show that if  $M$  is maximal, is  $x \in m$ ? Work in  $A/m$ , field:  $\bar{x}$  satisfies that  $\forall \bar{y} \in A/m$ ,

$$\bar{1} - \bar{xy} \text{ is a unit in } A/m$$

but in a field, this can only happen if  $\bar{x} = \bar{0}$ . Therefore  $x \in m$ , for all  $m$ .

□

## 1.3 Extension and contraction of ideals

**Setup.**  $A \xrightarrow{f} B$  a homomorphism of rings (so  $B$  is an  $A$ -algebra) and

$$\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A \text{ ideal} \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}^e = \mathfrak{a}B = \langle f(a) : a \in A \rangle$$

$$\mathfrak{b} \subseteq B \rightarrow \mathfrak{b}^c = f^{-1}(\mathfrak{b}) = \ker \left( A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{\nu} B/\mathfrak{b} \right)$$

Basic observations

$$\mathfrak{a}^{ec} \supseteq \mathfrak{a}, \quad \mathfrak{b}^{ce} \subseteq \mathfrak{b}$$

but notice that

$$\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a}^e, \quad \mathfrak{b}^{cec} = \mathfrak{b}^c$$

**important fact.** if  $q \subseteq B$  is prime then so is  $q^c$  therefore

$$A/q^c \xrightarrow{\text{subdomain}} B/q$$

**Example 1.7.**  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}, (6\mathbb{Z})^e = \mathbb{Q}, (6\mathbb{Z})^{ec} = \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Example 1.8.**  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/10\mathbb{Z}$  ( $4\mathbb{Z})^e = \frac{4\mathbb{Z}+10\mathbb{Z}}{10\mathbb{Z}} = 2\mathbb{Z}/10\mathbb{Z}$  so

$$(4\mathbb{Z})^{ec} = 2\mathbb{Z}$$

**Example 1.9.**  $A \rightarrow A[x]$  take  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A$  then  $\mathfrak{a}^e = \mathfrak{a}[x]$  which is the set of all polynomials with coefficients in  $\mathfrak{a}$ . And  $\{0_A\} = \langle x+1 \rangle^c$ ;  $\langle x+1 \rangle^{ce} = \{0_{A[x]}\}$ .

## 1.4 Modules.

View Math 341 Notes, I won't be taking notes here.

Let  $A$  be given and  $a_1, \dots, a_r$  ideals in  $A$ , we say that these ideals are relatively prime iff  $\forall i, j$  with  $i \neq j$ ,

$$a_i + a_j = A \iff \exists x \in a_i, y \in a_j \text{ s.t } x + y = 1$$

**Proposition 1.10.** *In the above situation we have that*

$$a_1 \cdots a_r = a_1 \cap a_2 \cap \cdots \cap a_r$$

*Proof.* Induction on  $r$ :

$$a_1 a_2 \subseteq a_1 \cap a_2 \quad \text{easy}$$

Conversely if  $z \in a_1 \cap a_2$  we have that  $x \in a_1, y \in a_2$  s.t  $x + y = 1$  then

$$z = z \cdot 1 = zx + zy \in a_1 a_2$$

for the inductive step, we have already  $b = a_1 \cap \cdots \cap a_{r-1} = a_1 \cdots a_{r-1}$  need to observe that  $a_r$  is still relatively prime to  $b$ .

Indeed, we know that

$$a_1 + a_r = A, \dots, a_{r-1} + a_r = A$$

therefore we chose elements in  $x_1 \in a_1, \dots, x_{r-1} \in a_{r-1}$  and  $y_1, \dots, y_{r-1} \in a_r$  with  $x_i + y_i = 1$ . We can take

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= 1^{r-1} \\ &= (x_1 + y_1)(x_2 + y_2) \cdots (x_{r-1} + y_{r-1}) \\ &= x_1 \cdots x_{r-1} + (\text{terms involving some } y_i) \\ &\in a_1 \cdots a_{r-1} + \in a_r \end{aligned}$$

□

**Theorem 1.11** (Chinese Remainder Theorem). *Let  $a_1 \cdots a_r$  be pairwise relatively prime, consider the homomorphism of  $A$  modules*

$$A \xrightarrow{f} A/a_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus A/a_r$$

Hence

$$A/a_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus A/a_r \cong A/\ker = A/a_1 \cdots a_r$$

## 1.5 Local Rings

**Definition 1.12.** A ring  $A$  is said to be *local* iff it has exactly one maximal ideal (called  $m$ ).

**Example 1.13.** •  $A = K$  a field, then  $m = 0$

- Fix  $p$  prime  $A \{a/b \in \mathbb{Q} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, b \notin p\mathbb{Z}\} \supset m = \{pc/b \mid c, b \in \mathbb{Z}, p \nmid b\} = pA$ . Check that the only ideals are

$$A \supsetneq pA \supsetneq p^2A \supsetneq \dots$$

•

$$\begin{aligned} A &= k[[x_1, x_2]] \\ &= \{\text{formal power series}\} \\ &= \{a_0 + b_1x_1 + b_2x_2 + c_{11}x_1 + \dots\} \end{aligned}$$

Where  $a_i, b_j, c_{k,l}, \dots \in K$  with

$$m = \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle = \{\text{formal power series with } a_0 = 0\}$$

This is the only maximal ideal because  $m$  is the set of non-units, think about it. Same for the example above.

**Proposition 1.14.**  $A$  is local  $\iff$  the set of non-units of  $A$  is an ideal (which turns out to be the unique maximal ideal).

*Proof.* We denote by  $V$  the set of non-units of  $A$

- ⇒ Let  $A$  be local, let  $m$  be its unique maximal ideal we clearly have that  $m \subseteq V$ . Now take any  $v \in V$ , then by Zorn's lemma we can find some maximal ideal  $I \ni v$ . But since  $m$  is the unique maximal ideal then we have that  $m \ni v$ .
- ⇐ Trivial.

□

## 1.6 Algebras

**Definition 1.15.** An  $A$ -algebra is a ring  $R$  (not necc. commutative) with a ring homomorphism  $f: A \rightarrow R$  s.t

$$\text{im } f \subseteq \text{center of } R$$

We will generally stick to the case where  $R$  is commutative.

**Example 1.16.** All rings are  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebras,  $M_n(A)$ ,  $A[x_1, \dots, x_r]$ . Moreover  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $\mathbb{H}$  and matrices in  $\mathbb{C}$  are all  $\mathbb{R}$  algebras

**Definition 1.17.** A *finitely generated*  $A$ -algebra means that  $\exists a \subseteq A$  an ideal s.t

$$R \cong A[x_1, \dots, x_n]/a$$

**Example 1.18.** A  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra  $\mathbb{Z}/10\mathbb{Z}[y_1, y_2]$  where  $y_1^2 - 1 = 0$  and  $y_2^3 - 2y_1y_2 - 3 = 0$  which is isomorphic to

$$\mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2]/\langle 10, x_1^2 - 1, x_2^3 - 2x_1x_2 - 3 \rangle$$

**Definition 1.19.**  $a, b$  ideals of  $A$  then the *colon ideal*  $(a : b)$  is defined to be

$$(a : b) = \{x \in A : xb \subseteq a\} = \bigcap_{y \in b} \text{Ann}(y + a \in A/a)$$

**Example 1.20.** In  $\mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$(4\mathbb{Z} : 10\mathbb{Z}) = 2\mathbb{Z}, \quad (10\mathbb{Z} : 4\mathbb{Z}) = 5\mathbb{Z}$$

**Theorem 1.21.** Let  $p_1, \dots, p_r$  be prime ideals of  $A$  and suppose that  $a$  satisfies

$$a \subseteq p_1 \cup \dots \cup p_n$$

Then  $\exists j$  s.t  $a \subseteq p_j$ .

(Note that the proof below also works if all the  $p_i$ 's are prime except for 1 or 2  $p_i$ 's).

*Proof.* By induction on  $n$ :

- $n = 1$ : trivial.
- $n = 2$ : Suppose  $a \subseteq p_1 \cup p_2$  but  $a \not\subseteq p_1$  and  $a \not\subseteq p_2$  so  $\exists x_1, x_2 \in a$  s.t  $x_1 \notin p_1, x_2 \notin p_2$ . Therefore we must have  $x_1 \in p_2$  and  $x_2 \in p_1$ , then

$$a \ni z := x_1 + x_2 \notin p_1 \cup p_2$$

(If  $z \in p_1$  then  $x_1 = z - x_2 \in p_1$ ).

- Now suppose  $n \geq 3$  and we know the result for  $n - 1$  primes. Suppose that

$$a \subseteq p_1 \cup \dots \cup p_n$$

if  $a \subseteq p_1 \cup \dots \cup p_{n-1}$  (after potentially reordering), then we are okay. So suppose that  $a \not\subseteq p_1 \cup \dots \cup p_{n-1}$  for any reordering. so  $\exists x_j \in a$  with  $x_j \in p_j$  for every  $j$ .

Consider  $z = x_1 + x_2x_3 \dots x_n$ , of course  $z \in a$  but  $z \notin p_j$  for any  $j$  (contradiction)!

Now let  $x_1 \in p_1$  and  $x_2, \dots, x_n \notin p_1$  and  $p_1$  is prime therefore

$$x_2 \dots x_n \notin p_1$$

hence  $z = x_1 + (x_2 \dots x_n) \notin p_1$ . Now for  $p_2$ , we have that

$$x_1 \notin p_2, x_2 \in p_2 \implies x_1 + x_2x_3 \dots x_n \notin p_2$$

Similarly for the other  $p$ 's.

□

## 2 Modules

**Lemma 2.1** (Nakayama's lemma). *Let  $A$  be a local ring, with maximal ideal  $m$ ; and Let  $M$  be a finitely generated  $A$ -module. Suppose that*

$$mM = M$$

(where  $mM$  is the set of all finite sums  $m_1y_1 + \dots + m_r y_r$  with  $m_i \in m$  and  $y_i \in M$ .)

Then  $M = 0$ .

*Cheap proof.* Suppose  $M = \langle x_1, \dots, x_r \rangle$ , we can remove redundant  $x_i$ 's, therefore we can assume that

$$\forall j, x_j \in \langle x_1, \dots, \hat{j}, \dots, x_r \rangle$$

If  $M \neq 0$  we are left with this set of generators with  $r \geq 1$ . But then  $x_1 \in M = mM$  therefore

$$x_1 = \sum_{i=1}^r m_i x_i \quad m_i \in m$$

Therefore  $(1 - m_1)x_1 = m_2 z_2 + \dots + m_r x_r$  but  $1 - m_1 \notin m$  must be a unit in  $A$ ! Therefore

$$x_1 \in \langle x_2, \dots, x_r \rangle \quad \text{impossible}$$

□

**Corollary 2.2.** Fix  $(A, m)$  a local ring. Suppose that  $M \supseteq N$ ,  $M$  finitely generated and  $M = N + mM$  (Equivalently in  $M/mM$  the images of  $N$  generate everything). Then  $M = N$ .

*Proof.* Apply Nakayama to  $M/N$ ; note that

$$m \cdot (M/N) = M/N, \quad M/N \text{ is finitely generated}$$

□

**Theorem 2.3** (General Statement of Nakayama's Lemma). *Let  $A$  be any (commutative!) ring and let  $M$  be a finitely generated  $A$ -module, let  $a \subseteq A$  be an ideal (usually  $a = \text{Jrad } A$ ) and suppose*

$$aM = M$$

then  $\exists b \in 1 + a$  s.t  $bM = 0$ .

In the case where  $a$  is the  $\text{Jrad } A$  in which case  $b \in 1 + a$  is a unit, so  $M = 0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $M = \langle x_1, \dots, x_r \rangle$ , since  $M = aM$ , we see that  $\exists a_{ij} \in a$  s.t

$$x_1 = a_{11}x_1 + \dots + a_{1r}x_r \in aM$$

$$x_2 = a_{21}x_1 + \dots + a_{2r}x_r \in aM$$

⋮

$$x_r = a_{r1}x_1 + \dots + a_{rr}x_r \in aM$$

Let  $P = (a_{ij})_{ij}$  be the matrix of  $a_{ij}$ 's, rewrite the system above as

$$\begin{aligned}(1 - a_{11})x_1 - a_{12}x_2 + \cdots - a_{1r}x_r &= 0 \\ a_{21}x_1 + (1 - a_{22})x_2 + \cdots - a_{2r}x_r &= 0 \\ &\vdots\end{aligned}$$

Symbolically we get that

$$(I - P) \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_r \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Multiplying on the left by the matrix  $Q = (I - P)^{\text{adj}} \in M_r(A)$ ; it has the property that

$$Q(I - P) = \text{diag}(b)$$

where  $b = \det(I - P) \in 1 + a$ ; we deduce

$$bx_1 = 0, bx_2 = 0, \dots, bx_r = 0$$

so  $b$  annihilates every generator of  $M$ , and therefore annihilates all of  $M$ .  $\square$

**Example 2.4.** We can use this so that if  $(A, m)$  then

$$m \supseteq m^2 \supseteq m^3 \supseteq \dots$$

if  $m = m^{n+1}$  then  $m^n = 0$ . Indeed  $m_i/m_{i+1}$  is an  $A/m$ -module.

## 2.1 Hom functors and exactness

**Quick reminder.**  $M, N$  are  $A$  Modules, therefore  $\text{Hom}_A(M, N)$  is an  $A$ -module (since  $A$  is commutative). Some facts:

- We have a natural isomorphism  $\text{Hom}(A, N) \cong N$  (as  $A$ -modules).
- Have an natural isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Hom}\left(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in I} M_\alpha, N\right) &\cong \prod_{\alpha \in I} \text{Hom}(M_\alpha, N) \\ (\cdots x_\alpha \cdots)_{\text{almost all zeroes}} &\xrightarrow{\varphi} \sum_{\alpha} \varphi_{\alpha}(x_{\alpha})\end{aligned}$$

But also

$$\text{Hom}(M, \prod_{\beta} N_{\beta}) \cong \prod_{\beta} \text{Hom}(M, N_{\beta})$$

$$x \in M \rightarrow (\cdots \psi_{\beta}(x) \cdots) \in \prod_{\beta} N_{\beta}$$

- $0 \rightarrow N' \xrightarrow{f} N \xrightarrow{g} N''$  exact implies that

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M, N') \xrightarrow{f_*} \text{Hom}(M, N) \xrightarrow{g_*} \text{Hom}(M, N'')$$

(even if  $g$  is surjective, then  $g_*$  need not be surjective).

Similarly if

$$M' \xrightarrow{u} M \xrightarrow{w} M'' \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{exact}$$

then

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M'', N) \xrightarrow{w^*} \text{Hom}(M, N) \xrightarrow{u^*} \text{Hom}(M', N)$$

(even if  $U$  is injective then  $U^*$  need not be surjective).

## 2.2 Presentations of Modules

Let  $A$  be a (commutative) ring and  $M$  an  $A$ -module.

**Finite presentation.** Say  $M$  is generated by  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  with relations  $r_1, \dots, r_k$ , where

$$r_j : \quad a_{j1}x_1 + \cdots + a_{jn}x_n = 0 \quad (1 \leq j \leq k).$$

Let  $A^n$  have basis  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  and  $A^k$  have basis  $f_1, \dots, f_k$ . Define  $\eta : A^n \rightarrow M$  by  $\eta(e_i) = x_i$  and define  $\Phi : A^k \rightarrow A^n$  by

$$\Phi(f_j) = a_{j1}e_1 + \cdots + a_{jn}e_n.$$

Then  $\eta \circ \Phi = 0$ , and  $\text{im}(\Phi) = \ker(\eta)$  (the relations generate all relations), hence we have an exact sequence

$$A^k \xrightarrow{\Phi} A^n \xrightarrow{\eta} M \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{so } M \cong \text{coker}(\Phi) = A^n / \text{im}(\Phi).$$

If we write  $\Phi$  as a matrix, it is the  $n \times k$  matrix  $(a_{ji})$  with the convention that the  $j$ -th column is the coordinate vector of  $\Phi(f_j)$  in the basis  $(e_i)$ .

**Infinite presentations.** Nothing changes: if  $M$  is generated by a family  $(x_i)_{i \in I}$  with relations indexed by  $J$ , one has

$$A^{(J)} \xrightarrow{\Phi} A^{(I)} \xrightarrow{\eta} M \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $A^{(I)} = \bigoplus_{i \in I} A$  and  $A^{(J)} = \bigoplus_{j \in J} A$  are free modules on those bases.

### Computing $\text{Hom}_A(M, N)$ from a presentation

Given an exact sequence

$$A^k \xrightarrow{\Phi} A^n \xrightarrow{\eta} M \rightarrow 0,$$

apply the contravariant left-exact functor  $\text{Hom}_A(-, N)$  to obtain the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(M, N) \xrightarrow{\eta^*} \text{Hom}_A(A^n, N) \xrightarrow{\Phi^*} \text{Hom}_A(A^k, N),$$

where  $\eta^*(\psi) = \psi \circ \eta$  and  $\Phi^*(\varphi) = \varphi \circ \Phi$ .

**Identifications.**

- If  $n, k < \infty$  then  $\text{Hom}_A(A^n, N) \cong N^n$  and  $\text{Hom}_A(A^k, N) \cong N^k$  via

$$\text{Hom}_A(A^n, N) \ni \psi \longleftrightarrow (\psi(e_1), \dots, \psi(e_n)) \in N^n.$$

- More generally, for a free module  $A^{(I)} = \bigoplus_{i \in I} A$ , one has

$$\text{Hom}_A\left(\bigoplus_{i \in I} A, N\right) \cong \prod_{i \in I} \text{Hom}_A(A, N) \cong \prod_{i \in I} N.$$

(important: *direct sum* on the left becomes a *direct product* on the right.)

Under the finite identifications, the map  $\Phi^* : N^n \rightarrow N^k$  is given explicitly by

$$(y_1, \dots, y_n) \mapsto (z_1, \dots, z_k), \quad z_j = a_{j1}y_1 + \dots + a_{jn}y_n.$$

Therefore

$$\text{Hom}_A(M, N) \cong \ker(N^n \xrightarrow{\Phi^*} N^k).$$

Equivalently: an  $A$ -linear map  $M \rightarrow N$  is determined by the images of the generators  $x_i$ , and the only constraints are that the relations  $r_j$  hold after applying the map.

### 2.3 Tensor Product of Modules (review)

Assume  $A$  is commutative (so that left/right issues disappear).

**Bilinear maps.** For  $A$ -modules  $M, N, P$ , let  $\text{Bil}_A(M \times N, P)$  be the set of maps  $\beta : M \times N \rightarrow P$  which are  $A$ -linear in each variable:

$$\beta(x_1 + x_2, y) = \beta(x_1, y) + \beta(x_2, y), \quad \beta(ax, y) = a\beta(x, y),$$

and similarly in the second variable.

There is a natural bijection

$$\text{Bil}_A(M \times N, P) \cong_{\text{nat}} \text{Hom}_A(M, \text{Hom}_A(N, P)),$$

sending  $\beta$  to the map  $x \mapsto (y \mapsto \beta(x, y))$ .

**Universal property of the tensor product.** The tensor product  $M \otimes_A N$  is an  $A$ -module equipped with a bilinear map

$$\tau : M \times N \rightarrow M \otimes_A N, \quad (x, y) \mapsto x \otimes y,$$

such that for every  $A$ -module  $P$ , composition with  $\tau$  induces a natural isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_A(M \otimes_A N, P) \cong_{\text{nat}} \text{Bil}_A(M \times N, P).$$

**Concrete construction.** One can construct  $M \otimes_A N$  as the quotient of the free  $A$ -module  $F$  on symbols  $(x, y)$ , by the submodule generated by the bilinearity relations:

$$(x_1 + x_2, y) - (x_1, y) - (x_2, y), \quad (ax, y) - a(x, y), \\ (x, y_1 + y_2) - (x, y_1) - (x, y_2), \quad (x, ay) - a(x, y).$$

The class of  $(x, y)$  is denoted  $x \otimes y$ .

**Standard isomorphisms.** There are natural isomorphisms

$$A \otimes_A N \cong N, \quad \left( \bigoplus_{\alpha} M_{\alpha} \right) \otimes_A N \cong \bigoplus_{\alpha} (M_{\alpha} \otimes_A N), \quad M \otimes_A N \cong N \otimes_A M,$$

and associativity up to canonical isomorphism:

$$(M \otimes_A N) \otimes_A Z \cong M \otimes_A (N \otimes_A Z).$$

### Functionality of $\otimes$

Given  $A$ -linear maps  $f : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$  and  $g : N_1 \rightarrow N_2$ , there is a unique  $A$ -linear map

$$f \otimes g : M_1 \otimes_A N_1 \rightarrow M_2 \otimes_A N_2$$

satisfying on simple tensors

$$(f \otimes g)(x \otimes y) = f(x) \otimes g(y).$$

Hence for a general tensor  $t = \sum_i a_i (x_i \otimes y_i)$ ,

$$(f \otimes g)(t) = \sum_i a_i (f(x_i) \otimes g(y_i)).$$

### Extension of scalars

Let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  be a ring homomorphism and let  $M$  be an  $A$ -module. Then  $B \otimes_A M$  is naturally a  $B$ -module via multiplication on the left factor:

$$b \cdot (b' \otimes m) := (bb') \otimes m.$$

(Equivalently: the action comes from  $\mu_b \otimes 1_M$ , where  $\mu_b : B \rightarrow B$  is multiplication by  $b$ .)

**Example 2.5** (Polynomials). If  $B = A[x]$ , then as an  $A$ -module,

$$A[x] \cong \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} A \cdot x^d,$$

so

$$A[x] \otimes_A M \cong \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} (A \cdot x^d) \otimes_A M \cong \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} M.$$

Under this identification,  $x^d \otimes m$  corresponds to  $m x^d$ , and one gets

$$A[x] \otimes_A M \cong M[x]$$

(the module of polynomials with coefficients in  $M$ ).

If  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A$  is an ideal (viewed as an  $A$ -module), then

$$A[x] \otimes_A \mathfrak{a} \cong \mathfrak{a}[x].$$

Inside  $A[x]$ , this is the extended ideal  $\mathfrak{a}^e := \mathfrak{a} \cdot A[x]$ .

**Tensoring algebras.** If  $A$  is commutative and  $B, C$  are (commutative)  $A$ -algebras, then  $B \otimes_A C$  is an  $A$ -algebra with multiplication determined by

$$(b \otimes c) \cdot (b' \otimes c') := (bb') \otimes (cc').$$

**Example 2.6.** There is a natural isomorphism of  $A$ -algebras

$$A[x] \otimes_A B \cong B[x].$$

## 2.4 Exactness properties of $- \otimes_A N$

**Right exactness.** The functor  $- \otimes_A N$  is *right exact*: if

$$M' \xrightarrow{f} M \xrightarrow{g} M'' \rightarrow 0$$

is exact, then

$$M' \otimes_A N \xrightarrow{f \otimes 1} M \otimes_A N \xrightarrow{g \otimes 1} M'' \otimes_A N \rightarrow 0$$

is exact.

Warning: it need not be left exact (injectivity can fail).

**Example: quotients / extended ideals.** From  $0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{a} \rightarrow A \rightarrow A/\mathfrak{a} \rightarrow 0$  we get

$$\mathfrak{a} \otimes_A B \rightarrow A \otimes_A B \rightarrow (A/\mathfrak{a}) \otimes_A B \rightarrow 0.$$

Using  $A \otimes_A B \cong B$ , the last map identifies  $(A/\mathfrak{a}) \otimes_A B$  with

$$B/\mathfrak{a}^e, \quad \mathfrak{a}^e = \mathfrak{a} \cdot B.$$

## Computing $M \otimes_A N$ from a presentation

If  $M$  has a presentation

$$A^k \xrightarrow{\Phi} A^n \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0,$$

then tensoring with  $N$  gives an exact sequence

$$N^k \cong A^k \otimes_A N \xrightarrow{\Phi \otimes 1} A^n \otimes_A N \cong N^n \rightarrow M \otimes_A N \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence

$$M \otimes_A N \cong \text{coker}(\Phi \otimes 1),$$

and under the identifications  $A^k \otimes N \cong N^k$ ,  $A^n \otimes N \cong N^n$ , the map  $\Phi \otimes 1 : N^k \rightarrow N^n$  is given by the *same matrix*  $(a_{ji})$ :

$$(u_1, \dots, u_k) \mapsto \left( \sum_{j=1}^k a_{j1} u_j, \dots, \sum_{j=1}^k a_{jn} u_j \right).$$

Equivalently:  $M \otimes_A N$  is generated by  $x_i \otimes y$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $y \in N$ ), with relations coming from:

- bilinearity in  $y$  (so  $x_i \otimes (y + y') = x_i \otimes y + x_i \otimes y'$  and  $x_i \otimes (ay) = a(x_i \otimes y)$ ),
- and the original relations of  $M$ : if  $\sum_i c_i x_i = 0$  in  $M$ , then for every  $y \in N$ ,

$$\sum_i c_i (x_i \otimes y) = 0 \quad \text{in } M \otimes_A N.$$

### Conceptual proof of right exactness (via adjunction)

### Conceptual proof of right exactness (via adjunction)

Recall the adjunction: for any  $A$ -modules  $X, N, P$  there is a natural isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_A(X \otimes_A N, P) \cong \text{Hom}_A(X, \text{Hom}_A(N, P)),$$

equivalently

$$\text{Hom}_A(X \otimes_A N, P) \cong \text{Bil}_A(X \times N, P).$$

**Lemma 2.7.** Let  $Q \xrightarrow{\lambda} R \xrightarrow{\mu} S \rightarrow 0$  be a sequence of  $A$ -modules. Assume that for every  $A$ -module  $P$  the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(S, P) \xrightarrow{\mu^*} \text{Hom}_A(R, P) \xrightarrow{\lambda^*} \text{Hom}_A(Q, P)$$

is exact. Then  $Q \xrightarrow{\lambda} R \xrightarrow{\mu} S \rightarrow 0$  is exact.

*Sketch.* Surjectivity of  $\mu$  follows by taking  $P = S/\text{im}(\mu)$  and considering the natural quotient map. To show  $\ker(\mu) \subseteq \text{im}(\lambda)$ , take  $P = \text{coker}(\lambda) = R/\text{im}(\lambda)$  and let  $\varphi : R \rightarrow \text{coker}(\lambda)$  be the quotient map. Then  $\varphi \circ \lambda = 0$ , so  $\varphi \in \ker(\lambda^*) = \text{im}(\mu^*)$ , hence  $\varphi = \psi \circ \mu$  for some  $\psi : S \rightarrow \text{coker}(\lambda)$ . If  $r \in \ker(\mu)$  then  $\varphi(r) = \psi(\mu(r)) = 0$ , so  $r \in \ker(\varphi) = \text{im}(\lambda)$ .  $\square$

Now suppose  $M' \xrightarrow{f} M \xrightarrow{g} M'' \rightarrow 0$  is exact. Fix an  $A$ -module  $P$  and apply the left exact functor  $\text{Hom}_A(-, \text{Hom}_A(N, P))$  to obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(M'', \text{Hom}_A(N, P)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(M, \text{Hom}_A(N, P)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(M', \text{Hom}_A(N, P)).$$

Using the adjunction isomorphisms, this becomes

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(M'' \otimes_A N, P) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(M \otimes_A N, P) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(M' \otimes_A N, P).$$

Since this holds for all  $P$ , the lemma implies that

$$M' \otimes_A N \xrightarrow{f \otimes 1} M \otimes_A N \xrightarrow{g \otimes 1} M'' \otimes_A N \rightarrow 0$$

is exact, i.e.  $- \otimes_A N$  is right exact.

### 3 Localization of rings and modules

**Intuition for example.** Let  $A$  be a ring (pretend  $A = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$  = polynomial functions on  $V(\mathfrak{a}) \subseteq k^n$ ). So  $f \in A$  is basically  $f: V(\mathfrak{a}) \rightarrow k$ , let  $V(f) = V(\langle f \rangle + \mathfrak{a})$  be the set where  $f = 0$ . We can look at

$$V(\mathfrak{a}) - V(f).$$

What are polynomial functions on this? Intuitively they look like fractions

$$a/f^n, \quad a \in A, n \geq 0,$$

i.e. we allow denominators which are powers of  $f$  (so we “ignore” what happens on  $V(f)$ ).

We try to define

$$A_f = \left\{ \frac{a}{f^n} : a \in A, n \geq 0 \right\}.$$

Question: when is  $\frac{a}{f^n} = \frac{b}{f^m}$ ? Note we can rewrite as

$$\frac{af^{m+k}}{f^{n+m+k}} = \frac{bf^{n+k}}{f^{n+m+k}}.$$

Therefore  $\frac{a}{f^n} = \frac{b}{f^m}$  iff  $\exists k$  s.t

$$f^k(af^m) = f^k(bf^n),$$

i.e.

$$f^k(af^m - bf^n) = 0.$$

**Remark 3.1.** In our hw look at 2.1, this describes exactly  $A[x]/\langle xf - 1 \rangle$ .

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $A$  be a ring, let  $S \subseteq A$  be a multiplicatively closed set, define a relation  $\sim$  on  $A \times S$  as follows:

$$(a, s) \sim (b, t) \iff \exists u \in S \text{ s.t } u(ta - sb) = 0.$$

**Example 3.3.** if  $f \in A$ , the set

$$\{1, f, f^2, \dots\}$$

is multiplicatively closed.

If  $\mathfrak{p} \subset A$  is prime, then  $A - \mathfrak{p}$  is multiplicatively closed.

**Proposition 3.4.**  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation.

*Proof.* Exercise. □

**Definition 3.5.** We define  $S^{-1}A$  (also written as  $A_S$ ) to be the set of equivalence classes of  $\sim$ . So an element of  $S^{-1}A$  is a symbol

$$\frac{a}{s}.$$

Note that

$$\frac{a}{s} = \frac{b}{t} \iff \exists u \in S \text{ s.t } u(ta - sb) = 0.$$

**Proposition 3.6.** The operations

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{a}{s} + \frac{b}{t} &= \frac{ta + sb}{st} \\ \frac{a}{s} \cdot \frac{b}{t} &= \frac{ab}{st}\end{aligned}$$

are well defined, and they turn  $S^{-1}A$  into a ring with

$$0 = \frac{0}{1}, \quad 1 = \frac{1}{1}.$$

**Notation.** If  $S = \{1, f, f^2, \dots\}$  then we write  $A_f$  instead of  $S^{-1}A$ . If  $S = A - \mathfrak{p}$  we write  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ .

**Example 3.7.** In  $\mathbb{Z}$  we write

$$\mathbb{Z}_f = \left\{ \frac{a}{f^n} \in \mathbb{Q} \mid a \in \mathbb{Z}, n \geq 0 \right\}.$$

And

$$\mathbb{Z}_{\langle p \rangle} = \left\{ \frac{a}{b} \in \mathbb{Q} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, p \nmid b \right\}.$$

**Remark 3.8.** There is a ring homomorphism  $\varphi: A \rightarrow S^{-1}A$  by  $\varphi(a) = a/1$ . But note that  $\varphi$  is not necessarily injective.

For example take  $(\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z})$  localized at  $S = \{1, 3\}$ . Then

$$S^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}) = \{0/1, 1/1\} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}.$$

This is because 3 becomes a unit, so the  $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ -part disappears. Concretely:

$$2/1 = \frac{3 \cdot 2}{3} = 0,$$

hence  $\{0, 2, 4\} \subseteq \ker \varphi$ . Also

$$\frac{1}{3} = \frac{3 \cdot 1}{3 \cdot 3} = \frac{3}{3} = 1.$$

Using CRT:  $\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ . Inverting 3 makes  $(1, 0)$  invertible, which forces the second factor to be 0, leaving  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ .

**Example 3.9.** Let  $A = \mathbb{C}[x, y]/\langle xy \rangle$ , these are functions on the union of the two coordinate axes in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . Localize at  $x$ :

$$A_x \cong \mathbb{C}[x, x^{-1}].$$

Therefore

$$\frac{y}{1} = \frac{xy}{x} = 0,$$

so  $y \in \ker \varphi$  (intuitively: on  $D(x)$  we are away from the  $y$ -axis, so  $y$  vanishes there).

We also have the UMP. Let  $\iota : A \rightarrow S^{-1}A$  be  $\iota(a) = a/1$ . Then for any ring  $B$  and any ring map  $g : A \rightarrow B$  such that  $g(S) \subseteq B^\times$ , there exists a unique ring map  $\tilde{g} : S^{-1}A \rightarrow B$  with  $\tilde{g} \circ \iota = g$ . Concretely

$$\tilde{g}(a/s) = g(a) g(s)^{-1}.$$

The diagram is:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\iota} & S^{-1}A \\ & \searrow g & \downarrow \tilde{g} \\ & & B \end{array}$$

**Remark 3.10.**  $0 \in S \iff S^{-1}A$  is the zero ring.

Soon we will also localize modules; we will get a module over  $S^{-1}A$ . Define

$$S^{-1}M = \left\{ \frac{x}{s} \mid x \in M, s \in S \right\}$$

with

$$\frac{x}{s} = \frac{y}{t} \iff \exists u \in S \text{ s.t } u(tx - sy) = 0.$$

### 3.1 Ideals in the Localization

We will especially look at extensions and contractions of ideals for the homomorphism

$$\varphi : A \rightarrow S^{-1}A.$$

**Proposition 3.11.** If  $\mathfrak{b} \subseteq S^{-1}A$  is an ideal, then

$$\mathfrak{b}^{ce} = \mathfrak{b}.$$

Consequently all ideals of a localization are extensions of something.

*Proof.*  $\mathfrak{b} \supseteq \mathfrak{b}^{ce}$  (always).

Conversely, let  $\frac{a}{s} \in \mathfrak{b}$ . Then  $s(\frac{a}{s}) = \frac{a}{1}$  so  $a \in \mathfrak{b}^c$ , hence  $\frac{a}{1} \in \mathfrak{b}^{ce}$  and so

$$\frac{1}{s} \cdot \frac{a}{1} = \frac{a}{s} \in \mathfrak{b}^{ce}.$$

□

**Proposition 3.12.** Let  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A$  be an ideal. Then

$$\mathfrak{a}^e = \left\{ \frac{a}{s} \mid a \in \mathfrak{a}, s \in S \right\}$$

(i.e.  $S^{-1}\mathfrak{a}$  inside  $S^{-1}A$ ), and

$$\mathfrak{a}^{ec} = \{x \in A \mid \exists s \in S \text{ s.t } sx \in \mathfrak{a}\}.$$

**Example 3.13.** Think of  $A = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $S = \{1, 6, 6^2, \dots\}$  therefore

$$S^{-1}A = \mathbb{Z}[1/6].$$

Then  $(99\mathbb{Z})^{ec} = 11\mathbb{Z} = (11\mathbb{Z})^{ec}$ . Indeed  $x \in (99\mathbb{Z})^{ec}$  iff  $\exists n$  such that  $6^n x \in 99\mathbb{Z}$ . For  $n \geq 2$ ,  $6^n$  contributes a factor  $3^n$ , so the  $3^2$  part is automatic, and the condition becomes exactly  $11 \mid x$ .

Notice that elements of  $\mathfrak{a}^e$  are of the form

$$\varphi(a_1) \cdot \left(\frac{b_1}{s_1}\right) + \dots + \varphi(a_k) \cdot \left(\frac{b_k}{s_k}\right)$$

with  $a_i \in \mathfrak{a}$ ,  $b_i \in A$ ,  $s_i \in S$ . Take a common denominator

$$s = s_1 \cdots s_k,$$

so  $\frac{b_i}{s_i} = \frac{c_i}{s}$  with  $c_i = b_i \prod_{j \neq i} s_j$ , hence wlog we have

$$\frac{a_1}{1} \cdot \frac{c_1}{s} + \dots + \frac{a_k}{1} \cdot \frac{c_k}{s}.$$

**Corollary 3.14.** If  $\mathfrak{a} = \langle x_1, \dots, x_r \rangle \subset A$  then

$$\mathfrak{a}^e = \left\langle \frac{x_1}{1}, \dots, \frac{x_r}{1} \right\rangle.$$

**Corollary 3.15.** If  $A$  is Noetherian, then so is  $S^{-1}A$  (and every ideal of  $S^{-1}A$ ) by the above corollary.

### Prime ideals in $S^{-1}A$

**Theorem 3.16.** 1. If  $\mathfrak{q} \subset S^{-1}A$  is prime, then  $\mathfrak{p} := \mathfrak{q}^c \subset A$  is prime and

$$\mathfrak{p} \cap S = \emptyset.$$

2. If  $\mathfrak{p} \subset A$  is prime and

$$\mathfrak{p} \cap S = \emptyset,$$

then  $\mathfrak{p}^e \subset S^{-1}A$  is also prime.

3. Extension and contraction are mutually inverse bijections between the sets

$$\{\mathfrak{p} \subset A \text{ prime} \mid \mathfrak{p} \cap S = \emptyset\} \leftrightarrows \{\mathfrak{q} \subset S^{-1}A \text{ prime}\}.$$

**Remark 3.17.** This clarifies: if  $\mathfrak{a} \subset A$  and  $\mathfrak{a} \cap S = \emptyset$ , then  $\mathfrak{a}^e \subsetneq S^{-1}A$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{a}^e$  is contained in some maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m} \subset S^{-1}A$ . Contracting gives a prime  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{m}^c \subset A$  with  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$  and  $\mathfrak{p} \cap S = \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* 1. We are given  $\mathfrak{q}$  is prime, then  $\mathfrak{q}^c$  is prime (contraction preserves primality). Also if  $\exists s \in S \cap \mathfrak{q}^c$  then  $s/1 \in \mathfrak{q}$ , but  $s/1$  is a unit in  $S^{-1}A$  (inverse  $1/s$ ), contradiction since  $\mathfrak{q} \subsetneq S^{-1}A$ .

2. Suppose we have two elements of  $S^{-1}A$  whose product is in  $\mathfrak{p}^e$ . Call these elements  $a/s$  and  $b/t$  with  $a, b \in A$  and  $s, t \in S$ . Then

$$\frac{ab}{st} \in \mathfrak{p}^e.$$

So  $\exists u \in S$  such that  $uab \in \mathfrak{p}$  (clearing denominators). Since  $u \in S$  and  $\mathfrak{p} \cap S = \emptyset$ , we have  $u \notin \mathfrak{p}$ ; by primality,

$$a \in \mathfrak{p} \text{ or } b \in \mathfrak{p}.$$

Hence  $a/s$  or  $b/t$  lies in  $\mathfrak{p}^e$ .

3. For any ideal  $\mathfrak{q} \subset S^{-1}A$ , we already proved  $\mathfrak{q}^{ce} = \mathfrak{q}$ . Now let  $\mathfrak{p} \subset A$  be prime with  $\mathfrak{p} \cap S = \emptyset$ . Clearly  $\mathfrak{p}^{ec} \supseteq \mathfrak{p}$ . Take  $a \in \mathfrak{p}^{ec}$ , so  $a/1 \in \mathfrak{p}^e$ . Then  $\exists s \in S$  such that  $sa \in \mathfrak{p}$ . But  $s \notin \mathfrak{p}$ , so  $a \in \mathfrak{p}$  by primality. Thus  $\mathfrak{p}^{ec} = \mathfrak{p}$ .

□

**Proposition 3.18.** *There is a natural isomorphism of  $S^{-1}A$ -modules*

$$S^{-1}M \cong_{\text{nat}} (S^{-1}A) \otimes_A M, \quad \frac{ax}{s} \leftrightarrows \frac{a}{s} \otimes x.$$

*Proof.* Define a map

$$\Phi : (S^{-1}A) \otimes_A M \longrightarrow S^{-1}M, \quad \Phi\left(\frac{a}{s} \otimes x\right) = \frac{ax}{s}.$$

First observe that this map exists and is an  $A$ -module homomorphism (in fact, it is  $S^{-1}A$ -linear once it is well-defined). Indeed, it is induced by the bilinear map

$$\beta : S^{-1}A \times M \rightarrow S^{-1}M, \quad \beta\left(\frac{a}{s}, x\right) = \frac{ax}{s}.$$

We check that  $\beta$  is well-defined. If

$$\frac{a}{s} = \frac{a'}{s'},$$

then by definition there exists  $u \in S$  such that

$$u(s'a - sa') = 0.$$

Multiplying by  $x \in M$  gives

$$u(s'ax - sa'x) = 0,$$

hence in  $S^{-1}M$  we have

$$\frac{ax}{s} = \frac{a'x}{s'}.$$

Therefore  $\beta$  is well-defined, so it induces the  $A$ -linear map  $\Phi$ .

Surjectivity is trivial: every element of  $S^{-1}M$  has the form  $x/s$ , and

$$\Phi\left(\frac{1}{s} \otimes x\right) = \frac{x}{s}.$$

**Reduction to simple tensors.** A general element of  $(S^{-1}A) \otimes_A M$  is a finite sum

$$t = \frac{a_1}{s_1} \otimes x_1 + \cdots + \frac{a_n}{s_n} \otimes x_n.$$

Passing to a common denominator  $s = s_1 \cdots s_n$ , we may rewrite

$$t = \frac{b_1}{s} \otimes x_1 + \cdots + \frac{b_n}{s} \otimes x_n = \frac{1}{s} \otimes \left( \sum_i b_i x_i \right).$$

Thus every tensor may be represented in the form  $\frac{1}{s} \otimes y$ .

**Alternate approach (constructing the inverse).** Define

$$\Psi : S^{-1}M \rightarrow (S^{-1}A) \otimes_A M, \quad \Psi\left(\frac{x}{s}\right) = \frac{1}{s} \otimes x.$$

We check that  $\Psi$  is well-defined. Suppose

$$\frac{x}{s} = \frac{x'}{s'}.$$

Then there exists  $u \in S$  such that

$$u(s'x - sx') = 0,$$

i.e.

$$us'x = usx'.$$

Then in the tensor product,

$$\frac{1}{s} \otimes x = \frac{u}{us} \otimes x = \frac{1}{us} \otimes ux = \frac{1}{us'} \otimes ux' = \frac{1}{s'} \otimes x'.$$

Hence  $\Psi$  is well-defined.

Finally,

$$(\Phi \circ \Psi)\left(\frac{x}{s}\right) = \Phi\left(\frac{1}{s} \otimes x\right) = \frac{x}{s}$$

and

$$(\Psi \circ \Phi)\left(\frac{a}{s} \otimes x\right) = \Psi\left(\frac{ax}{s}\right) = \frac{1}{s} \otimes ax = \frac{a}{s} \otimes x.$$

Therefore  $\Phi$  is an isomorphism, natural in  $M$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.19.** Why is it called *localization*? Recall that there is an order-preserving bijection between primes:

$$\left\{ \mathfrak{p} \subseteq A \mid \mathfrak{p} \cap S = \emptyset \right\} \longleftrightarrow \left\{ \mathfrak{p} \subseteq S^{-1}A \right\}, \quad \mathfrak{p} \mapsto S^{-1}\mathfrak{p}.$$

In particular, if  $S = A - \mathfrak{p}$ , then

$$A_{\mathfrak{p}} = S^{-1}A$$

is a *local ring*. Indeed, its unique maximal ideal is

$$\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \left\{ \frac{a}{s} \in A_{\mathfrak{p}} \mid a \in \mathfrak{p}, s \notin \mathfrak{p} \right\}.$$

Moreover,

$$A_{\mathfrak{p}} - \mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \left\{ \frac{u}{s} \mid u \notin \mathfrak{p}, s \notin \mathfrak{p} \right\}$$

is exactly the set of units of  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ .

A quick example: let  $A = k[x, y, z]$  and  $\mathfrak{p} = \langle x, y \rangle$ . Then

$$V(\mathfrak{p}) = \text{"the } z\text{-axis".}$$

Also  $A/\mathfrak{p} \simeq k[z]$ , via

$$f(x, y, z) + \mathfrak{p} \longleftrightarrow f(0, 0, z).$$

Thus

$$A_{\mathfrak{p}} = \left\{ \frac{f(x, y, z)}{s(x, y, z)} \mid s \notin \mathfrak{p} \right\}.$$

The maximal ideal consists of fractions whose numerator vanishes on the  $z$ -axis:

$$\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \left\{ \frac{f(x, y, z)}{s(x, y, z)} \mid f \in \mathfrak{p} \right\}.$$

Moreover one checks that

$$\frac{A_{\mathfrak{p}}}{\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{p}}} \cong \text{Frac}(A/\mathfrak{p}) \cong k(z),$$

the field of rational functions in  $z$ .

Therefore we can define the *localization functor*

$$M \longmapsto S^{-1}M,$$

which is secretly the functor

$$M \longmapsto (S^{-1}A) \otimes_A M.$$

Given a homomorphism  $M \xrightarrow{f} N$ , we define

$$S^{-1}f : S^{-1}M \rightarrow S^{-1}N, \quad (S^{-1}f)\left(\frac{x}{s}\right) = \frac{f(x)}{s}.$$

**Theorem 3.20.** *The localization functor is exact. Equivalently,  $S^{-1}A$  is a flat  $A$ -algebra.*

*Proof.* Let

$$M \xrightarrow{f} N \xrightarrow{g} P$$

be exact at  $N$ , i.e.  $\text{im}(f) = \ker(g)$ .

We claim that

$$S^{-1}M \xrightarrow{S^{-1}f} S^{-1}N \xrightarrow{S^{-1}g} S^{-1}P$$

is exact at  $S^{-1}N$ .

Trivially  $\text{im}(S^{-1}f) \subseteq \ker(S^{-1}g)$  since  $gf = 0$ .

Now let  $y/s \in \ker(S^{-1}g)$ . Then

$$(S^{-1}g)\left(\frac{y}{s}\right) = \frac{g(y)}{s} = 0$$

in  $S^{-1}P$ , hence there exists  $u \in S$  such that

$$ug(y) = 0, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad g(uy) = 0.$$

Thus  $uy \in \ker(g) = \text{im}(f)$ , so  $uy = f(x)$  for some  $x \in M$ . Therefore

$$\frac{y}{s} = \frac{uy}{us} = \frac{f(x)}{us} = (S^{-1}f)\left(\frac{x}{us}\right),$$

proving  $\ker(S^{-1}g) \subseteq \text{im}(S^{-1}f)$ .  $\square$

### immediate consequences of exactness.

Let  $M \xrightarrow{f} N$  be any homomorphism. Then localization preserves kernels, cokernels, and images:

$$S^{-1}(\ker f) = \ker(S^{-1}f), \quad S^{-1}(\text{coker } f) = \text{coker}(S^{-1}f), \quad S^{-1}(\text{im } f) = \text{im}(S^{-1}f).$$

If  $N \subseteq M$ , then

$$S^{-1}(M/N) \cong (S^{-1}M)/(S^{-1}N),$$

since localizing the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow M \rightarrow M/N \rightarrow 0$$

remains exact.

Similarly,

$$S^{-1}(M_1 \oplus M_2) \cong S^{-1}M_1 \oplus S^{-1}M_2.$$

The direct sum maps fit into the standard diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} M_1 & \xrightarrow{i_1} & M_1 \oplus M_2 & \xleftarrow{i_2} & M_2 \\ & \underbrace{\quad\quad\quad}_{p_1} & & \underbrace{\quad\quad\quad}_{p_2} & \end{array}$$

with relations

$$p_1 i_1 = 1, \quad p_2 i_2 = 1, \quad p_1 i_2 = 0, \quad p_2 i_1 = 0, \quad i_1 p_1 + i_2 p_2 = 1_{M_1 \oplus M_2}.$$

More interestingly, if  $N_1, N_2 \subseteq M$ , then

$$S^{-1}(N_1 \cap N_2) = S^{-1}N_1 \cap S^{-1}N_2, \quad S^{-1}(N_1 + N_2) = S^{-1}N_1 + S^{-1}N_2.$$

Indeed we use the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow N_1 \cap N_2 \rightarrow N_1 \oplus N_2 \xrightarrow{(y_1, y_2) \mapsto y_1 - y_2} N_1 + N_2 \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $z \mapsto (z, z)$  is the inclusion.

**Proposition 3.21.** *Let  $M, N$  be  $A$ -modules. Then  $\text{Hom}_A(M, N)$  is an  $A$ -module, and there is a natural map*

$$S^{-1}\text{Hom}_A(M, N) \xrightarrow{\varphi} \text{Hom}_{S^{-1}A}(S^{-1}M, S^{-1}N),$$

given by

$$\frac{f}{s} \longmapsto \left( \frac{x}{t} \mapsto \frac{f(x)}{st} \right).$$

Moreover:

- If  $M$  is finitely generated, then  $\varphi$  is injective.
- If  $M$  is finitely presented, then  $\varphi$  is bijective.

(Sketch: finite generation controls kernels, finite presentation controls surjectivity.)

## Local vs global properties

We know: for  $x \in M$ , when is  $x/1 = 0$  in  $S^{-1}M$ ? Precisely when

$$\exists s \in S \text{ such that } sx = 0, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \text{Ann}(x) \cap S \neq \emptyset.$$

Fix  $x \in M$ . Which primes  $\mathfrak{p}$  satisfy  $x/1 \neq 0$  in  $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ ? These are exactly

$$\{\mathfrak{p} \mid \text{Ann}(x) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}\}.$$

**Example 3.22.** Let  $A = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $M = \mathbb{Z}/20\mathbb{Z}$ . Then

$$\text{Ann}([1]) = 20\mathbb{Z} \subseteq 2\mathbb{Z}, 5\mathbb{Z}, \quad \text{Ann}([4]) = 5\mathbb{Z}.$$

Hence

$$M_{(2)} \cong \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}, \quad M_{(5)} \cong \mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}.$$

**Example 3.23.** Let  $A = \mathbb{C}[x, y]$  and maximal ideals

$$\mathfrak{m}_{a,b} = \langle x - a, y - b \rangle.$$

If

$$M = A/\langle x^2 + y^2 - 1 \rangle,$$

then [1] lives at  $\mathfrak{m}_{a,b}$  iff

$$x^2 + y^2 - 1 \in \mathfrak{m}_{a,b} \iff a^2 + b^2 = 1.$$

**Example 3.24.** Consider  $[x] \in k[x, y]/\langle x, y \rangle$  as a  $k[x, y]$ -module element. Then

$$\text{Ann}([x]) = \langle y \rangle,$$

so  $[x]$  lives exactly along the  $x$ -axis.

### Local-global principles

**Proposition 3.25.** Let  $M$  be an  $A$ -module. Then the following are equivalent:

- $M = 0$ .
- For all primes  $\mathfrak{p}$ ,  $M_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$ .
- For all maximal ideals  $\mathfrak{m}$ ,  $M_{\mathfrak{m}} = 0$ .

Equivalently, for  $x \in M$ , the following are equivalent:

- $x = 0$ .
- For all primes  $\mathfrak{p}$ ,  $x/1 = 0$  in  $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ .
- For all maximal ideals  $\mathfrak{m}$ ,  $x/1 = 0$  in  $M_{\mathfrak{m}}$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\implies$  (2)  $\implies$  (3) is trivial.

For (3)  $\implies$  (1): assume  $M_{\mathfrak{m}} = 0$  for all maximal ideals. Let  $x \in M$  with  $x \neq 0$ . Then  $\text{Ann}(x)$  is a proper ideal, hence contained in some maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$ :

$$\text{Ann}(x) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}.$$

But  $x/1 = 0$  in  $M_{\mathfrak{m}}$  means there exists  $s \notin \mathfrak{m}$  such that  $sx = 0$ . Thus  $s \in \text{Ann}(x) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ , contradiction. Therefore  $x = 0$ , so  $M = 0$ .  $\square$

### Consequences.

**Proposition 3.26.** A homomorphism  $f : M \rightarrow N$  is injective (resp. surjective, resp. bijective) iff for all primes  $\mathfrak{p}$  (equivalently, all maximals  $\mathfrak{m}$ ),

$$f_{\mathfrak{p}} : M_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow N_{\mathfrak{p}}$$

is injective (resp. surjective, resp. bijective).

*Proof.* Apply localization to the exact sequences involving  $\ker f$  and  $\text{coker } f$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.27.** If  $N \subseteq M$ , then

$$N = M \iff N_{\mathfrak{p}} = M_{\mathfrak{p}} \forall \mathfrak{p} \iff N_{\mathfrak{m}} = M_{\mathfrak{m}} \forall \mathfrak{m}.$$

*Proof.* Apply the previous proposition to  $M/N$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.28.** If  $x \in M$  satisfies  $x/1 = 0$  in  $M_{\mathfrak{m}}$ , then the image of  $x$  in

$$M/\mathfrak{m}M \cong (A/\mathfrak{m}) \otimes_A M$$

is zero.

*Proof.* If  $x/1 = 0$  in  $M_{\mathfrak{m}}$ , then  $\exists s \notin \mathfrak{m}$  with  $sx = 0$ . In  $M/\mathfrak{m}M$ , we have  $s[x] = 0$ , but also  $\mathfrak{m}[x] = 0$ . Since  $s \notin \mathfrak{m}$ , the ideal  $\mathfrak{m} + \langle s \rangle = A$ , hence  $[x] = 0$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.29.** Suppose  $M$  is a finitely generated  $A$ -module. Then

$$M = 0 \iff \forall \mathfrak{m}, M/\mathfrak{m}M = 0.$$

*Proof.* Assume  $M/\mathfrak{m}M = 0$  for all maximal ideals  $\mathfrak{m}$ .

Localizing at  $\mathfrak{m}$ , we get

$$M_{\mathfrak{m}}/\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{m}}M_{\mathfrak{m}} \cong (M/\mathfrak{m}M)_{\mathfrak{m}} = 0.$$

Since  $M_{\mathfrak{m}}$  is finitely generated over the local ring  $A_{\mathfrak{m}}$ , Nakayama's lemma implies

$$M_{\mathfrak{m}} = 0 \quad \forall \mathfrak{m}.$$

By the local-global principle,  $M = 0$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.30.** Finite generation is essential. For example, if  $A = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $M = \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ , then multiplication by  $n$  is surjective for all  $n$ , hence

$$(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})/p(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) = 0 \quad \forall p,$$

but  $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \neq 0$ .

### 3.2 The spectrum of a ring.

**Definition 3.31.** Let  $A$  be a ring. The *spectrum* of  $A$  is the set of all prime ideals:

$$\text{Spec}(A) = \{\mathfrak{p} \subseteq A \mid \mathfrak{p} \text{ is a prime ideal}\}.$$

The *maximal spectrum* is the subset of maximal ideals:

$$\text{maxSpec}(A) = \{\mathfrak{m} \subseteq A \mid \mathfrak{m} \text{ is maximal}\}.$$

**Example 3.32.** Let  $A = \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ . Then  $\text{Spec}(A)$  consists of:

1. Maximal ideals

$$\mathfrak{m}_{a,b} = \langle x - a, y - b \rangle,$$

which correspond to points  $(a, b) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ .

2. Prime ideals of height 1, generated by irreducible polynomials:

$$\langle f(x, y) \rangle, \quad f \text{ irreducible},$$

which correspond to irreducible affine curves.

3. The zero ideal  $(0)$ , which corresponds to the generic point of  $A^2$ .

Let  $X = \text{Spec}(A)$ . We now define a topology on  $X$ , called the *Zariski topology*.

### Closed sets

**Definition 3.33.** If  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A$  is an ideal, define its *vanishing set*:

$$V(\mathfrak{a}) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in X \mid \mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}\}.$$

More generally, for any subset  $\Sigma \subseteq A$ , define

$$V(\Sigma) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A) \mid \Sigma \subseteq \mathfrak{p}\}.$$

Equivalently,

$$V(\Sigma) = V(\langle \Sigma \rangle).$$

### Basic open sets

**Definition 3.34.** For  $f \in A$ , define the *distinguished open set*

$$U_f = \{\mathfrak{p} \in X \mid f \notin \mathfrak{p}\}.$$

Then

$$U_f = X \setminus V(\langle f \rangle).$$

Intuitively,  $U_f$  is the set of primes where  $f$  does *not* vanish.

**Proposition 3.35.** The sets  $U_f$  form a basis of open sets, and

$$U_f \cap U_g = U_{fg}.$$

Moreover, for any  $\Sigma \subseteq A$ ,

$$X \setminus V(\Sigma) = \bigcup_{f \in \Sigma} U_f.$$

### The closed sets form a topology

**Proposition 3.36.** The sets  $V(\mathfrak{a})$  satisfy the axioms for closed sets:

1.

$$V(0) = X, \quad V(1) = \emptyset.$$

2. For ideals  $\mathfrak{a}_i$ ,

$$\bigcap_{i \in I} V(\mathfrak{a}_i) = V\left(\sum_{i \in I} \mathfrak{a}_i\right).$$

3. For ideals  $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}$ ,

$$V(\mathfrak{a}) \cup V(\mathfrak{b}) = V(\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}) = V(\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}).$$

### Useful special cases

•

$$V(\mathfrak{a}) \cap V(\mathfrak{b}) = V(\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b}).$$

- 

$$V(f_1 \cdots f_n) = V(f_1) \cup \cdots \cup V(f_n).$$

- If  $\mathfrak{a} = \langle f_1, \dots, f_k \rangle$ , then

$$V(\mathfrak{a}) = X \setminus (U_{f_1} \cup \cdots \cup U_{f_k}).$$

### Restriction via localization

**Proposition 3.37.** *There are natural homeomorphisms:*

$$\text{Spec}(A/\mathfrak{a}) \cong V(\mathfrak{a}), \quad \text{Spec}(A_f) \cong U_f.$$

### Radicals and closed sets

**Remark 3.38.** For any ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$ ,

$$V(\mathfrak{a}) = V(\text{rad } \mathfrak{a}).$$

Thus,

$$\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{b} \implies V(\mathfrak{a}) \supseteq V(\mathfrak{b}).$$

**Proposition 3.39.**

$$V(\mathfrak{a}) \supseteq V(\mathfrak{b}) \iff \text{rad } \mathfrak{a} \subseteq \text{rad } \mathfrak{b}.$$

**Corollary 3.40.**

$$V(\mathfrak{a}) = V(\mathfrak{b}) \iff \text{rad } \mathfrak{a} = \text{rad } \mathfrak{b}.$$

Thus closed sets in  $\text{Spec}(A)$  correspond bijectively to radical ideals.

### The Zariski topology is not Hausdorff

**Example 3.41.** For  $A = \mathbb{C}[x]$ ,

$$\text{Spec}(A) = \{(0)\} \cup \{\langle x - a \rangle \mid a \in \mathbb{C}\}.$$

Every nonempty open set contains  $(0)$ , so the space is not Hausdorff.

**Example 3.42.** For  $A = \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}) = \{(0)\} \cup \{(p) \mid p \text{ prime}\}.$$

The basic opens are

$$U_n = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \{(p) \mid p \mid n\}.$$

So  $U_n$  removes only finitely many primes. Every nonempty open set contains  $(0)$ .

### Quasi-compactness

**Proposition 3.43.**  *$\text{Spec}(A)$  is quasi-compact.*

*Proof.* Suppose

$$\text{Spec}(A) = \bigcup_{i \in I} U_{f_i}.$$

Then

$$\emptyset = \bigcap_{i \in I} V(f_i) = V(\langle f_i \mid i \in I \rangle).$$

Thus

$$1 \in \langle f_i \mid i \in I \rangle,$$

so finitely many  $f_{i_1}, \dots, f_{i_k}$  generate the unit ideal, hence

$$\text{Spec}(A) = U_{f_{i_1}} \cup \dots \cup U_{f_{i_k}}.$$

□

**Corollary 3.44.** *If  $A$  is a Noetherian ring, then all subsets of  $\text{Spec } A$  are quasi-compact.*

### Restriction to open sets

**Proposition 3.45.** *Let  $M$  be an  $A$ -module and  $x \in M$ .*

1. *If  $x/1 = 0$  in  $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , then there exists  $f \notin \mathfrak{p}$  such that  $x/1 = 0$  in  $M_f$ .*
2. *If  $\text{Spec}(A) = U_{f_1} \cup \dots \cup U_{f_n}$  and  $x/1 = 0$  in each  $M_{f_i}$ , then  $x = 0$  in  $M$ .*