1. Introduction

The goal of this project is to **predict the selling price of used cars** based on their attributes such as manufacturing year, mileage, engine capacity, fuel type, and ownership details.

This prediction can help car buyers and sellers make data-driven decisions and avoid underpricing or overpricing vehicles.

Motivation:

The used car market is growing rapidly, and price transparency is often lacking. Accurate predictions can assist dealerships, online marketplaces, and individual sellers in pricing strategies.

Hypothesis:

Vehicle attributes such as age, fuel type, transmission type, engine capacity, and mileage significantly influence the selling price.

2. Related Work

Previous research on car price prediction often uses regression models such as **Linear Regression**, **Ridge/Lasso Regression**, **Random Forest**, and **Gradient Boosting**.

Studies show that tree-based ensemble methods generally outperform simple linear models when dealing with mixed categorical and numerical features.

In particular:

- · Random Forest and XGBoost have been shown to handle non-linear relationships and interaction effects well.
- · Linear Regression, while interpretable, may underperform in complex, high-dimensional datasets unless feature engineering is extensive.

3. Methodology

3.1 Dataset Description

Source: CarDekho dataset
Number of Rows: 8,128
Number of Columns: 13

• Target Variable: selling_price (in currency units)

Features:

• Numerical: year, km_driven, mileage (converted to numeric), engine (in CC), max_power (in bhp), torque (numeric component), seats

• Categorical: fuel, seller_type, transmission, owner

o Derived: age = current_year - year

Sample data:

name	year	selling_price	km_driven	fuel	seller_type	transmission	owner	mileage	engine	max_power	
Maruti Swift Dzire VDI	2014	450000	145500	Diesel	Individual	Manual	First Owner	23.4 kmpl	1248 CC	74 bhp	190N
Skoda Rapid 1.5 TDI Ambition	2014	370000	120000	Diesel	Individual	Manual	Second Owner	21.14 kmpl	1498 CC	103.52 bhp	250N 2500

3.2 Preprocessing

- Removed units (kmp1 , cc , bhp) from numeric fields and converted to floats.
- Extracted numeric values from torque.

- · Created new feature: age .
- · Encoded categorical variables using One-Hot Encoding.
- Split data into train (80%) and test (20%) sets.
- Applied scaling to numerical features for Linear Regression.

3.3 Model Specification

Three regression models were tested:

1. Linear Regression

- Assumes linear relationship between predictors and target.
- Model:

$$\hat{y}=eta_0+\sum_{i=1}^neta_ix_i$$

2. Random Forest Regressor

• Ensemble of decision trees using bootstrap aggregation.

3. XGBoost Regressor

• Gradient boosting algorithm optimized for speed and accuracy.

4. Results and Discussion

4.1 Model Performance (Test Data)

Model	R²	MAE	RMSE	
Linear Regression	0.6951	268,910.32	460,435.98	
Random Forest	0.9831	61,535.16	108,531.81	
XGBoost	0.9843	59,121.53	104,553.99	

☑ Best Model: XGBoost

4.2 Linear Regression Coefficients (Sorted by |coef|)

Feature	Coefficient
transmission	474,963.95
seller_type	-207,162.69
fuel	53,182.15
seats	-35,009.73
age	-34,086.43
max_power	12,037.14
mileage	9,953.58
owner	-685.25
torque	183.93
engine	91.99
km_driven	-1.46

4.3 Interpretation

- Transmission type has the largest positive effect, suggesting that automatic/manual differences significantly impact prices.
- Seller type being "Dealer" or "Individual" influences prices strongly (negative coefficient for some categories).
- · Age and seats have a negative impact, as older or higher-seating cars tend to be cheaper.

4.4 Validation

Metrics Used:

- R2: Goodness of fit.
- MAE: Average error magnitude.
- RMSE: Penalizes larger errors.

Tree-based models achieved near-perfect R2, showing excellent fit on test data.

5. Conclusion and Future Work

- Key Findings: XGBoost achieved the best performance (R² = 0.9843, RMSE ≈ 104.55K).
- Hypothesis supported: Features like transmission, seller type, and vehicle age strongly affect selling price.
- Limitations: Dataset is limited to CarDekho data; may not generalize to other regions/markets.
- Future Work:
 - o Test additional algorithms (LightGBM, CatBoost).
 - o Perform hyperparameter tuning for XGBoost.
 - o Include additional features like accident history, service records.

6. References

- Chen, T., & Guestrin, C. (2016). XGBoost: A scalable tree boosting system.
- Breiman, L. (2001). Random forests. Machine learning, 45(1), 5-32.
- · CarDekho Dataset.