

Comparing GNU Free Documentation License and Creative Commons Attribution ShareAlike International License

The GNU Free Documentation License (GFDL) and the Creative Commons Attribution ShareAlike International License (CC-BY-SA) are distinct in that the GFDL is geared towards documentation such as manuals, textbooks, and the like, while the CC-BY-SA is more general and can be applied to basically any artistic or literary work or material someone made. Other than that, both licenses are similar in what rights they grant. Both licenses allow for copies and derivative works so long as they are all under the same license the original came with and there is a notice of the license, a copy of the license itself, a copyright notice, credit to the original creator(s) and any other creator(s) of a modified version of the material, and a notice for any disclaimer of warranties, and a few other requirements unique to each license.

The CC-BY-SA has a few additional requirements for sharing adapted versions of works which include applying an adaptor's license that has to be a Creative Commons license or a BY-SA Compatible License, adding a copy or link to the license, and ensuring that no other terms or conditions are added that might restrict the rights granted by the license. Since the CC-BY-SA is a very general license, it allows the requirements listed in the previous paragraph to be applied in a manner fit for whatever medium the work is. The license also has a section for Sui Generis Database rights since the license can apply to databases.

As for the GFDL, when making less than 100 copies, the only requirements are the ones listed in the first paragraph, while making more than 100 requires front and back cover pages that identify whoever is making the copies as the publisher, and the front cover must also have the full title of the document being copied. For modified versions of any works, the GFDL additionally requires a title page that lists the authors, a title that is different from the original unless permission is given by the original author to use the same title, the original copyright notices and any additional copyright notices from the modifications, and a license notice along with anything else that came with the original license notice. The GFDL also requires that any modified versions preserve the section titles "History" or create one if the original doesn't, preserve the network location if the original has one, preserve the "Acknowledgements" or "Dedications," and delete any sections titled "Endorsements." The GFDL also allows for the combining of documents or the creation of collections as long as the works are under the GFDL,

as well as the compilation of documents under the GFDL with other independent works as long as the copyright doesn't limit individual rights more than what the independent works allow.

The termination of both licenses is also quite similar. Any violation of the requirements listed by the licenses will result in a termination, but the licenses can be reinstated if the violations are corrected within 30 days for both licenses, though it must be the first time a violation occurred for this to apply under the GFDL. It can also be reinstated for the CC-BY-SA if the licensor gives express permission, and for the GFDL, it's reinstated provisionally if all violations are corrected until the copyright holder terminates it permanently, or it's reinstated permanently if the copyright holder fails to notify whoever made the violations 60 before the violations were corrected. The GFDL also has a section about relicensing that can be done under certain conditions in addition to an example of how to license documents under this license, which the CC-BY-SA doesn't include.