

Roll No. = 11912048

[Assignment (Sorting & B.S.T)]

Ques! Analysis on time complexity of insertion sort in best case \rightarrow

→ Insertion sort Algo. logic:-

```

→ for (x=1; x<n; x++)
{
printf("%d", a[x]);
temp = a[x];
for (y=x-1; y>0 && a[y]>temp; y--)
{
a[y+1] = a[y];
}
a[y+1] = temp;
}

```

→ Now, in best case, All the data is in sorted order.

So let example of array

[illegible]

Moves = 1 Only for outer for loop
there is no movement in inner
for loop for sorted array

Now

Complexity

$$2(1) + 2(1) + 2(1) + \dots (n-1) \text{ times}$$

$$2 [1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + \dots (n-1) \text{ times}]$$

$$\Rightarrow 2(n-1)$$

$$\text{So Complexity} = O(2(n-1))$$

$$\approx O(n)$$

→ Modification in Insertion sort to reduce its time complexity:-

→ * Not efficient Binary Insertion sort :- By using Binary Search we can reduce the no. of comparisons in insertion sort. By using Binary sort we can reduce time complexity of comparison in Insertion sort to $O(n^2)$ to $O(n \log n)$ in worst case.

So by using ~~insertion~~ binary search

Time Complexity in shifting = $O(n^2)$

Time Complexity in Comparison = $O(n \log n)$

Total time complexity = $O(n^2)$

So still problem is not solved.

Now if we use linked list then instead of array then Time Complexity in shifting = $O(1)$ (As there New element is inserted at right without New shifting)

ex. while sorting of 50, 40, 30, 20, 10

1. \rightarrow

50

Comparison = 0

50	\rightarrow	40
40	\rightarrow	50

Comparison = 1

40	\rightarrow	50	\rightarrow	30
30	\rightarrow	40	\rightarrow	50

Comparison = 2

So.

T.C. in Movement = $O(1)$

" " Comparison = $O(n^2)$

Total time Complexity = $O(n^2)$

So it's not a efficient Method to reduce its time complexity.

by increasing space Complexity - As space Complexity is less important

than time complexity. we can use $2n$ space in array, where n is total no. of elements. The insertion of elements will start from $(n-1)^{th}$ position of the array. The reverse insertion sort is followed in this technique finding the suitable position of the element will be done by binary search.

So in worst case

$$\text{Total Movement} = n-1$$

eg Sorting of 50, 40, 30, 20, 10

index of array →	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
					50					
	Moves = 0				Consider sorted. Comparisons = 0					

first →	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
					50	40				
After Sort →				40	50					
	Moves = 1				Comparison = log 1					

first	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
				40	50	30				
After Sort			30	40	50					
	Moves = 1				Comparison = log 2					

And so on.

So

(Movement)

$$\text{Total Shifting} = n-1$$

$$\approx O(n)$$

$$\text{Total Comparison} = \log 1 + \log 2 + \log 3 + \dots$$

$$= \log (1 \times 2 \times 3 \times 4 \dots)$$

$$= \log (n-1) \text{ factorial}$$

$$\approx (n-1) \log (n-1)$$

$$\approx O(n \log n)$$

So total

$$O(n) + O(n \log n) = O(n \log n)$$

→ (Modified Program in my github)