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# 0. Module draft (4.17)



No changes

# Organisational

What are open topics?

* Character / sound classes
* morph:baseConstraint
* Finite state terminology
* Issues with semitic?
* MP: Naming “paradigm” => morphological patterns? Inflection type? Inflection Class?
* TODO@CC: Tbc: issues on wiki page
* TODO@CC: Tbc: issues on specific data sets (github)

Suggestion:

* Can we do a requirement freeze in two weeks?
  + Sending email announcement, asking people to raise objections or to propose new data
  + Not: freezing vocabulary, just freezing requirements (and to propose new data)
* Vote:
  + Yes: 11
  + No: 0
  + Abstain: 1
* **TODO@CC**: send email about that freeze (DONE 2023-03-13)

# Publications

## MWE Chapter

* Submitted: <https://www.overleaf.com/8285444258rpfnbwgwbrdp>
* Slack channel: #frac-mwe-chapter

## LDK

* Mike and Max on Maltese
* Possibly Ranka on football dictionary
  + Contributors?

## LDK workshop

* Fahad worked on the website
* Call for participation, program needed
* In contact with sara caravalho (on terminology)
* To figure out how to do registration
* Sep 12, full-day
* Catering in doubt
* There will be parallel events
  + Not online, yet
  + proling knower (half-day) and the Frame Net (half day) and Disinformation and Toxic Content analysis (full day) will be in parallel on the 12th
  + proling morning, framenet afternoon

=> so any synsem stuff in our workshop into the morning and any metadata into the afternoon

* We need a separate call
  + Prep to be coordinated by CC and FK on slack

# Character / sound classes

**Max and Mike, LDK paper on morph on maltese/semitic**

**Problem:** /(K)([aeiou]{1,2})(K)([e]{1,2})(K)/\1\3i\5t/

* Can be done without, but illegible

Gilles:

* Does it resemble two-level morphology?
* Max: basically named groups, can just be expanded, but illegible. Also problem with functionality might be digraphs that represent a single unicode character

**Proposal**: to add a class representing a character class (e.g. vowels, consonants, “sun consonants” — Maltese)

consonants morph:SoundClass ;

rdf:label "Sun" ;

?:contains "d", "n", “r”, “s”, “t”, “x” .

consonants morph:SoundClass ;

rdf:label "Sun" ;

?:contains "[dnrstx]" .

* Data is the Maltese data discussed here before, will be partially converted
* CC: separate module? Together with signs?
* MI: not about phonology, more about representation; workaround: precompile within rule set
* GS: proposal contains two things:
  + Mechanism and terminology
* TF: what is the usecase of mimicking finite state paradigms
* CC: interoperability with dictrionaries and “text book rules”
* MI: rules motivated from minimizing the inventory (rules instead of full forms). That was a requirement from the beginning
* Mike: question of what we want morph, necessary for languages where orthography mapping is not 1:1
* GS: strip out heuristics, focus on description how language changes strings
* MI: not implementing FST, just using the means (a very small part) to encode rules for generating wordforms
* GS: morphology describes transformations, so we need that
* CC: let the proposal sink in for a few weeks, if there are additional use cases that really require this *within morph*, we could implement it, the need and motivation is clear. Not fully convinced that modelling should be *restricted to* morph
* Mike: will be discussed in paper

# EVERYTHING ELSE POSTPONED

# morph:baseConstraint

* morph:baseConstraint — found only in one example with Inuktitut generation.

In a nutshell, morph:baseConstraint can be used to provide prerequisites for a morph to be compatible. For example

:m1 morph:baseConstraint [ :pos "v" ] .

sets the requirement for the word that this morph can be added to. And morph:grammaticalMeaning holds grammatical categories for the morph itself, as before. But shouldn’t it be only for a Rule, not for a Morph?

# It is 2023, we need to finish the module

* MI: I think we achieved a level where we freeze everything and write it up
* Is there anything we are missing?
  + KG: LREC paper discusses the limitations of the module, we need to look at this, discuss the asap. **TODO@KG**: look into it and add this to the agenda or report it
  + MI: I think that we can still have limitations unsolved for the final module, we just need to explicitly decide
* Should we vote in Slack+mailing list since not everyone is in the call (*prediction*)
  + CC and MI should decide, then send to OntoLex chairs to approve
  + TODO@MI,CC: discuss
* Thoughts?
  + FK: maybe we still need more discussions, especially w.r.t. Semitic languages
  + FK: maybe we can take a couple of Wiktionary examples, model them (naively) and then show to experts (Mike, Khadija, Ilan)
  + FK: it is very important that we deal with non-European languages (non-Indo-European), so we need to make sure that we *can* model at least a good sample of languages of the world
  + MR are we going to try to handle Maltese data of [this kind](https://docs.google.com/document/d/1Z7z36kjzgTjLCB3YJswqzh1lLhN9GDKZ2ecACcXYeqI/edit?usp=sharing)? If so I would be glad to help.

# Handling of Semitic languages [maybe today]

**TO-BE-DONE**: figure out how to include missing categories to LexInfo

Khadija: data prepared for Arabic (cf. last time):

* [Morph Module semitic.odt](https://drive.google.com/file/d/1NST-qdkxAw7am6F3vGAap5o4eQv0ulV1/view?usp=sharing)

Necessary features:

* lexinfo:POS extensions (solved? See last call minutes for procedure)
* Modelling diacritics in Arabic (cf. call minutes last time)
  + Also cf. Umlaut in German and vowel harmony in Turkish for similar challenges
    - Recommendation (< GS): NFD normalization in morph:Replacement
    - **TBC:** are we ok with modelling roots as Morphs (i.e., LexicalEntries)?
  + If not modelled as morphs, then they could be modelled as rules (replacements)
    - **DONE@CC**: model updated
      * morph:grammaticalMeaning and morph:baseConstraint as properties of morph:Rule, the grammatical meaning is the change in meaning or morphology of the word (root)
    - **TO-BE-DONE@FK:** model a Hindi/Urdu example, e.g., <https://en.wiktionary.org/wiki/%E0%A4%96%E0%A5%81%E0%A4%B2%E0%A4%A8%E0%A4%BE#Hindi>
    - **TO-BE-DONE@Khadija:** Modelling examples for Arabic entries
* Not discussed yet: Circumfix
  + Morph:CircumfixParadigm
  + Prefix+suffix combination

## AoB ?