13 January 2004 J3/04-149r1

Subject: Coroutines From: Van Snyder

Reference: 03-258r1, section 1.1

1 Number

2 TBD

3 2 Title

4 Coroutines.

5 3 Submitted By

6 J3

20

21

22

7 4 Status

8 For consideration.

9 5 Basic Functionality

10 Provide for corouties.

11 6 Rationale

- 12 In many cases when a "library" procedure needs access to user-provided code, the user-provided code
- 13 needs access to entities of which the libary procedure is unaware. There are at least four ways by which
- the user-provided code can gain access to these entities:
- The user-provided code can be implemented as a procedure that is invoked either directly or by way of a dummy procedure, the extra entities can be made public entities of some module, and accessed in the user-provided procedure by use association.
- The user-provided code can be implemented as a procedure that is invoked either directly or by way of a dummy procedure, and the extra entities can be put into common if they're data objects.
 - The user-provided code can be implemented as a procedure that takes a dummy argument of extensible type, which procedure is invoked either directly or by way of a dummy procedure, and the extra entities can be put into an extension of that type.
- The library procedure can provide for *reverse communication*, that is, when it needs access to user-provided code it returns instead of calling a procedure. When the user-provided code reinvokes the library procedure, it somehow finds its way back to the appropriate place.
- 26 Each of these solutions has drawbacks. Entities that are needlessly public increase maintenance expense.
- 27 The maintenance expense of common is well known. If the user-provided procedure expects to find its
- 28 extra information in an extension of the type of an argument passed through the library procedure, the
- 29 dummy argument has to be polymorphic, and the user-provided code has to execute a SELECT TYPE
- 30 construct to access the extension. Reverse communication causes a mess that requires GO TO statements
- 31 to resume the library procedure where it left off, which compromises the ability to use well-structure
- 32 control constructs.
- 33 Reverse communication is, however, a blunt-force simulation of a well-behaved control structure that
- 34 has been well-known to computer scientists for decades: The coroutine. Coroutines would allow user-
- 35 provided code needed by library procedures more easily to gain access to entities of which the library

13 January 2004 Page 1 of 2

13 January 2004 J3/04-149r1

- 1 procedure is unaware, without causing the disruption of the control structure of the library procedure
- 2 that reverse communication now causes.
- 3 Coroutines are also useful to implement iterators, which are procedures that can be used both to enu-
- 4 merate the elements of a data structure and to control iteration of a loop that is processing those
- 5 elements.

6 7 Estimated Impact

7 Small. Minor additions to Section 12.

8 Detailed Specification

- 9 Provide two new statements, which we shall here call SUSPEND and RESUME,
- 10 If a subrutine suspends its execution by executing a SUSPEND statement, and its execution is subse-
- 11 quently resumed by executing a RESUME statement, execution resumes after the SUSPEND statement.
- Otherwise (either execution of the subroutine was terminated by execution of a RETURN or END state-
- ment, or it was invoked by a CALL statement), execution continues with the first executable statement
- 14 of the invoked subroutine.
- 15 It would be reasonable to restrict coroutines to be nonrecursive, and to prohibit a SUSPEND and
- 16 ENTRY statement to appear in the same subroutine.
- 17 A third statement, viz. COROUTINE could replace the SUBROUTINE statement, indicating that the
- 18 program unit could contain a SUSPEND statement and could not contain an ENTRY statement. This
- would add some complication, as all references to the terms "subroutine" and "procedure" would need
- 20 to be examined to determine whether it is necessary to add the term "coroutine" to the discussion. This
- 21 may be necessary in order for implementations to make dummy coroutines work.
- 22 The RESUME statement need not appear in the same subprogram as the CALL statement that initiated
- 23 execution of the coroutine.
- 24 It is not necessary or useful to prohibit internal subroutines to be coroutines.
- 25 Coroutines should be allowed to be actual arguments and procedure pointer targets.
- 26 The question whether the entire instance of the procedure survives execution of a SUSPEND statement,
- or only those data entities that have the SAVE attribute survive, can be decided later. Similarly, the
- 28 question whether modules and common blocks accessed from the coroutine survive can be decided later.
- 29 Fortran already has a limited form of coroutine: The relation between an input/output item list and a
- 30 format is a coroutine relation.

8.1 Inferior alternative

- 32 An inferior alternative is to allow an ENTRY statement within a construct other than WHERE, FORALL
- 33 or DO with loop-control consisting of do-variable = scalar-int-expr, scalar-int-expr [, scalar-int-expr].
- 34 This is inferior because it puts the onus on the user to return to the correct place in the library code. It
- 35 is a step forward from the current situation because it doesn't require to disrupt the control structure
- 36 to implement reverse communication. All in all, it's a relatively crappy solution.

37 9 History

- 38 This proposal was discussed and eventually rejected at meeting 166. The argument that led to its
- 39 rejection was that one could always put the extra information for user-defined code into an extensible
- 40 type. It was not considered at the time, however, that this requires the dummy argument of the
- 41 user-provided subprogram to be polymorphic, and that the user-provided subprogram must execute a
- 42 SELECT TYPE construct to gain access to the extra information. This overhead would not be necessary
- 43 in a coroutine interaction. Furthermore, type extension cannot be applied to iterator construction.