Subject: Editorial comments on Section 12
From: Van Snyder

1   Edits

Edits refer to 02-007r3. Page and line numbers are displayed in the margin. Absent other instructions, a page and line number or line number range implies all of the indicated text is to be replaced by associated text, while a page and line number followed by + (-) indicates that associated text is to be inserted after (before) the indicated line. Remarks are noted in the margin, or appear between [and] in the text.

[This isn't the definition of "abstract interface." Editor: Disembolden "abstract interface".]	255:31
[Editor: Delete the last sentence of the paragraph. It duplicates one at [255:32-33].]	255:39-40
[Editor: "all of … the" ⇒ "an".]	255:41
[The two sentences "The characteristics … (12.3.2.3)." are irrelevant to generic interfaces, and are already covered by [255:28-29]. Editor: Delete them.]	257:3-5
[Editor: "operators" ⇒ "operations".]	259:5+4
[A dummy procedure pointer is a dummy procedure (see [252:9]), so we need to exclude it here. Editor: After "procedure" insert "without the POINTER attribute".]	267:15
[Editor: After "pointer" insert "that is associated with a procedure" twice.]	267:16,17
[This sentence overlooks DTIO. Editor: "or" ⇒ ","; after "(7.4.1.4)" insert ", or user-defined derived-type input/output (9.5.3.7.1)".]	272:10
[This sentence overlooks DTIO. Editor: "or" ⇒ ","; after second "statement" insert ", or the processing of an input or output list item".]	272:13
[The nearby material isn't about *prefix-spec*s; those discussions are two paragraphs and a note below. Editor: Move this paragraph to be between Note 12.37 and [276:30].]	276:14-18
[The remainder of the paragraph discusses "the function;" this sentence suddenly discusses "a function." Editor, for consistency, "a" ⇒ "the" and the second "the value" ⇒ "that".]	276:24-25
[Too wordy, and sounds like one can't specify INTENT(IN) for pointer arguments. Use the term "dummy data object" for a dummy data object.]	282:1-2
C1267 The *specification-part* of a pure function subprogram shall specify that all nonpointer dummy data objects have INTENT(IN).	
[Too wordy, and sounds like one can't specify INTENT for pointer arguments. Use the term "dummy data object" for a dummy data object.]	282:3-5
C1268 The *specification-part* of a pure subroutine subprogram shall specify the intents of all nonpointer dummy data objects.	
[Too wordy. Editor: "dummy arguments that are procedure arguments" ⇒ "dummy procedures".]	282:9-10