Subject: Issue 79 (again)

From: Van Snyder

1   Edits

Edits refer to 00-007. Page and line numbers are displayed in the margin. Absent other instructions, a page and line number or line number range implies all of the indicated text is to be replaced by immediately following text, while a page and line number followed by + (-) indicates that immediately following text is to be inserted after (before) the indicated line. Remarks for the editor are noted in the margin, or appear between [and] in the text.

[Editor: Move 258:8-9 to here. It should remain a separate paragraph.]	257:40+
[Editor: After "allocated" insert ", or the dummy argument is a pointer and the associated actual argument is associated with a target" to cover the pointer case. The nonpointer / nonallocatable case doesn't exist because they can't have deferred parameters.]	257:41
[Editor: Delete note 79.]	258:1-7
[Editor: Delete – reintroduced more efficiently at at a better place (259:22) below.]	258:10-11
[Editor: Delete "types," and the comma after "parameters" because it's wrong for the polymorphic case and covered by 257:8-13.]	258:18-19
[Editor: Delete "If the dummy ... pointer" because it's covered by 257:11-13.]	258:19-20
[Editor: After "array," insert "the rank of the actual argument shall be the same as the rank of the dummy argument and". Delete "or a scalar" to the end of the paragraph because the "rank" part of this edit covers it.]	259:22-23
[Editor: Move 258:12-17 to here.]	259:23+
[Editor: Editor: Delete "types" and the comma after "parameters" because it's wrong for the polymorphic case and covered by 257:8-13. Then move the whole paragraph to replace the text deleted by the above edit at 258:19-20. It seems to fit better at the end of that paragraph than as a separate one here.]	259:24-26

2   Nothing to do with issue 79

The second paragraph of 12.4.1.3 on page 260 is parallel to 258:21-29. My attention was drawn to this when studying the structure of 12.4.1.2. It's too parallel.

[Editor: Delete "the pointer ... this" because it's wrong.]	260:25-27