13 November 2002 J3/02-278r1

Subject: Editorial comments on Section 4

From: Van Snyder

## 1 Edits

```
Edits refer to 02-007r3. Page and line numbers are displayed in the margin. Absent other
   instructions, a page and line number or line number range implies all of the indicated text is to
   be replaced by associated text, while a page and line number followed by + (-) indicates that
   associated text is to be inserted after (before) the indicated line. Remarks are noted in the
   margin, or appear between [ and ] in the text.
   The introductory waffle mostly ignores type-bound procedures, but where it doesn't, it's wrong.
   Editor: After "type" at [41:8] insert a comma; after "components" at [41:8] insert "and type-
   bound procedures". Delete the first sentence at [41:21] because it's no longer needed. Delete
   the second sentence at [41:21-22] because it's wrong.]
10
   Repeats verbatim material from 4.2, after which it's upside-down: The attribute determines 45:28-46:1
11
   what variety of a parameter it is. All that's necessary here is the last sentence (but alone it
12
   would have a dangling antecedent:
13
   The type-param-attr-spec explicitly specifies whether a type parameter is a kind parameter or
   a nonkind parameter.
15
   [Editor: In lines 10-11 of Note 4.24: "TYPE(MEMBER)" \Rightarrow "TYPE(MEMBER(9))" twice.]
                                                                                                    47:Note 4.24
16
   [Wrong in the case that there's no procedure-name, i.e., the type-bound procedure's name is the 49:4
17
   same as its binding name. Editor: After "procedure-name" insert "if procedure-name appears
18
   or by the procedure having the same name as binding-name otherwise".
19
   Sounds like the final subroutine calls the other final subroutines. Editor: Delete "calling" from 50:18+7-8
   the second line of Note 4.33 and insert "are called" after "type" on the third line.
21
   The possibility to use a type-alias name for a parent type name was deleted between 02-007r2 54:5+2
22
   and 02-007r3. Compare versions of Constraint 417. Editor: Delete "a type-alias name or".]
23
   The sentence "If the object ... undefined" has nothing to do with when an object is finalized, 60:20-21
   but rather what happens if it's not finalized. A more logical place for it is in 4.5.10.0. Editor:
25
   Move the sentence to [60:13+], making it a separate paragraph. Notice, however, that if 02-290
26
   passes it deletes this.]
27
   [Editor: "which" \Rightarrow "that" at the first line of Note 4.58.]
                                                                                                    61:0+2
28
   [Editor: replace "or" by a comma at [61:10] and insert ", or a previously defined type alias" 61:10-11
   after "type" at [61:11].]
   [A scalar-int-initialization-expr cannot possibly be a dummy argument. Editor: "present" \Rightarrow 62:28
31
   "specified".
32
```

13 November 2002 Page 1 of 1