26 June 2002 J3/02-220r1

Subject: Comments on Section 6

From: Van Snyder

1 Edits

₂ Edits refer to 02-007r2. Page and line numbers are displayed in the margin. Absent other

- instructions, a page and line number or line number range implies all of the indicated text is to
- 4 be replaced by associated text, while a page and line number followed by + (-) indicates that
- associated text is to be inserted after (before) the indicated line. Remarks are noted in the
- 6 margin, or appear between [and] in the text.

 $_{7}$ [The syntax term scalar-int-expr is used inappropriately here: A syntax term doesn't have 104:17

a value. Editor: "starting and ending points" ⇒ "values of the starting and ending point

9 expressions".]

Not sure what to do - Actually, do we have time to do anything?

11 The definition of variable could be construed to be circular: A variable is a designator is an

- object-name (see R601-603). C505 requires an object name to be the name of a data object.
- Subclause 2.4.3.1 specifies that a data object is a variable (among other things). Admittedly,
- the apparent circularity depends on the perceived equivalence of "variable" and "variable".
- There's nothing that says a variable is denoted by a variable (maybe there is but I couldn't
- 16 find it), so the reader is left to infer it. Upon inferring it, the definition is circular.
- Subclause 6.1.2 has gone overboard in its use of syntax terms. It says that syntax terms have
- properties, while it's really the entities they represent that have those properties.
- 19 At [105:7] we see that a part-ref and a part-name have a rank, and the rank of the part-name
- is the rank of the part-name. Hmmm, does this get us anywhere?
- 21 At [105:12-13] we see that a data-ref and a part-ref have a rank, and a data-ref has a base
- 22 object.
- 23 At [105:14] we see that a data-ref has a type and type parameters.
- At [105:15-17] we see that a data-ref is a subobject and that a part-name is a pointer.
- 25 We don't have ordinary terms for the entities for which we're presently using syntax terms.
- Putting in "the entity identified by" or some such equally verbose circumlocution will make the
- prose awkward. But it's wrong now.

26 June 2002 Page 1 of 1