Fortran 202Y Suggestions

July 19, 2022

WG5 members were asked to submit a list of five suggested features/changes for Fortran 202Y. This paper collates the suggestions received to date, with a first attempt to break out by subgroup. Where available, links to elaboration are provided. If more than one person suggested an idea, the number of submissions is noted and sorted to top. Other than that, no order is implied.

Note: Several people suggested generics/templates, but this is already accepted for 2Y by WG5

Data

- 1. (3) Delete default implicit typing https://github.com/j3-fortran/fortran-proposals/issues/90
- 2. (2) Delete implied SAVE for initialized variables https://github.com/j3-fortran/fortran-proposals/issues/40
- Namespace for modules: https://github.com/j3-fortran/fortran_proposals/issues/1
- 4. (2) Make it easier to process assumed-rank arguments in Fortran code https://github.com/j3-fortran/fortran-proposals/issues/144
- 5. Obsolete (not delete) default implicit typing
- 6. Pointer intent (deferred from 202X) https://github.com/j3-fortran/fortran proposals/issues/5
- 7. <u>bf16</u> AND <u>fp16</u>
- 8. Somehow fix the issue of mixed precision
- 9. Augmented assignment (+=, etc.) https://github.com/j3-fortran/fortran_proposals/issues/113
- 10. Enable Polymorphic Outputs from Pure/Simple Procedures: https://github.com/j3-fortran/fortran_proposals/issues/196
- 11. Revisit strings fortran remains frustratingly bad at strings, surely we can do better for 202Y?!
- 12. <u>Default values for optional args</u>
- 13. Simple Functions in Constant Expressions: https://github.com/j3-fortran/fortran_proposals/issues/253
- 14. Option to derive an inextensible derived type https://j3-fortran.org/doc/year/19/19-186.txt
- 15. "Proper" enumeration types https://j3-fortran.org/doc/year/19/19-229.txt
- 16. Review all the restrictions on polymorphic entities and remove as many as is reasonable.

JOR

- (5) Standardize cpp-like preprocessor https://github.com/j3-fortran/fortran_proposals/issues/65
- 2. (2) Better error handling https://github.com/j3-fortran/fortran_proposals/issues/6
- 3. (2) scan / prefix sum https://github.com/j3-fortran/fortran/proposals/issues/273
- 4. Obsolete D format edit descriptor https://github.com/j3-fortran/fortran_proposals/issues/226
- Disallow use of specific (standard provides a list) new-to-2Y features in a program unit that also uses a deprecated or deleted feature https://github.com/j3-fortran/fortran-proposals/issues/280
- 6. Surprising results of LBOUND and UBOUND when argument has zero extent https://github.com/j3-fortran/fortran proposals/issues/254

- 7. Change floating point model to reflect IEEE 754 so that intrinsic examples aren't as surprising. https://github.com/j3-fortran/fortran/proposals/issues/268
- 8. log2 https://github.com/j3-fortran/fortran_proposals/issues/222
- 9. Go thru all the processor dependencies, and try to eliminate as many as practical, without undue burden on the implementors or host/target operating system, ... Perhaps add something similar to ISO_ENV stuff to give the user compile/runtime knowledge of what are now processor dependencies in some cases.
- 10. Intrinsics to return details of where call originated doable with macros, but horrid!
- 11. ASSERT would be nice.
- 12. A shorthand for immutability: https://github.com/j3-fortran/fortran proposals/issues/221
- 13. "scan clause for do concurrent reduce" https://github.com/j3-fortran/fortran proposals/issues/224
- **14.** Program-specified default KINDs for constants and intrinsics · Issue #78 · j3-fortran/fortran proposals (github.com)
- 15. Add CONSTEXPR procedures in Fortran · Issue #214 · j3-fortran/fortran proposals (github.com)

HPC

- 1. fetch-and-op atomics in DO CONCURRENT fetch-and-op atomics in DO CONCURRENT https://github.com/j3-fortran/fortran_proposals/issues/270
- 2. asynchronous blocks / tasks (equivalent to OpenACC async and OpenMP non-dependent tasks)
- 3. https://github.com/llvm/llvm-project/blob/main/flang/docs/DoConcurrent.md documents problems with DO CONCURRENT. These problems have also been discussed at https://github.com/j3-fortran/fortran_proposals/issues/62 and in J3 paper 19-134 (https://j3-fortran.org/doc/year/19/19-134.txt).
- 4. allow immediate return from collective subroutines https://github.com/j3-fortran/fortran-proposals/issues/272
- 5. additional collective subroutines https://github.com/j3-fortran/fortran proposals/issues/223
- 6. co_scan {inclusive, exclusive} https://github.com/j3-fortran/fortran_proposals/issues/223
- 7. co_reduce_scatter
- 8. co_gather
- 9. co_scatter
- 10. Refer to polymorphic entities on other images: https://github.com/j3-fortran/fortran proposals/issues/251
- 11. Asynchronous/task-based parallel features: https://github.com/j3-fortran/fortran proposals/issues/274
- 12. Extend collective semantics https://j3-fortran.org/doc/year/22/22-163.txt

Edit

1. Make an effort to re-organize the standard to make it more readable. I don't know if this is practical, and maybe we don't have enough editorial resources to do this, but a small piece at a time approach is likely the best way to start and see if this suggestion is viable.

| Add a second project editor. This is generally a good practice, even if the primary editor continues to do most of the work. |
|--|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |