

### Homework #5 = 2.19 / 2.23

2.19) Suppose we have  $k$  sorted arrays with  $n$  elements each, & we will combine them into 1  $kn$  element array

a) Using merge (pg 51), complexity in  $k$  &  $n$

Linear  $\leftarrow$  function merge ( $x[1..k], y[1..l]$ ) pg. 51)

if  $k=0$  return  $y[1..l]$

if  $l=0$  return  $x[1..k]$

if  $x[1] \leq y[1]$ :

return  $x[1] \circ \text{merge}(x[2..k], y[1..l])$

else:

return  $y[1] \circ \text{merge}(x[1..k], y[2..l])$

Merging 2 arrays of  $k$  length has time complexity  $O(k+n)$ . Because the next array is merged after the previous one finishes, the third array would merge at  $O(2n+n)$  or  $O(3n)$ . A total of  $k-1$  merges will occur, so the final merge will take  $O((k-1)n)$ .

b) The linear performance happens because the algorithm iterates over each array more than once until they are combined into one large array. A better solution would take the total  $k$  arrays & recursively divide  $k$  arrays by 2 until arrays are in groups of 2. These groups can be merged & returned, then merged again until the array is completed. The merge still takes the same time, but happens much less,  $\log k$  times, so the time complexity would be  $O(n \log k)$ , which is improved over the original example.

merge( $x[1..k], y[1..l]$ )

if  $k = 0$ : return  $y[1..l]$

if  $l = 0$ : return  $x[1..k]$

if  $x[1] \leq y[1]$ :

return  $x[1] \circ \text{merge}(x[2..k], y[1..l])$

else:

return  $y[1] \circ \text{merge}(x[1..k], y[2..l])$

mergesort( $\text{arrays}[0..k-1]$ )

result =  $\text{arrays}[0]$

for  $i = 0 < (k-1)/2$

mergearray =  $\text{merge}(\text{arrays}[i], \text{arrays}[i+1])$

resultarray[i] = mergearray

if resultarray.length = 1

return resultarray[0]

else

mergesort(resultarray)



2.23a) majority value ( $A[1..n]$ )

if  $A$  length = 1

return  $A[1]$

$A_1 = A[1..n/2]$

$A_2 = A[n/2+1..n]$

$A1_{majority} = \text{majorityvalue}(A_1)$

$A2_{majority} = \text{majorityvalue}(A_2)$

if  $A1_{majority} == A2_{majority}$

return  $A1_{majority}$

$A1_{hasmajority} = \text{ismajority}(A_1, A1_{majority})$

$A2_{hasmajority} = \text{ismajority}(A_2, A2_{majority})$

if  $A1_{hasmajority}$  is true

return  $A1_{majority}$

else if  $A2_{hasmajority}$  is true

return  $A2_{majority}$

else

return null

Split Array in  $1/2$  each iteration until length = 1.

This allows for  $\log n$  iterations.

linear search for majority value  $O(n)$

from previous iteration

if either half of the array

has a majority value return it.

if not then return null. If both

halves don't have a majority value

the whole cannot.

$\text{ismajority}(A, \text{majority})$

for  $i$  in  $A$

if  $A[i] == \text{majority}$

count ++

if count >  $A$  length / 2

return true

else

return false

$O(n)$ , only iterates once through array to count a value

$\text{majorityvalue}(A) = O(\log n)$

$\text{ismajority}(A, \text{majority}) = O(n)$

Total time complexity =  $O(n \log n)$

23.3 b) majorityLinear(A[1..n])  
 if A.length = 2  
   if A[1] = A[2] ] if final 2 values are the same, A[1]/A[2]  
   return A[1]     ] is the majority value  
 else  
   return null ] Else there is none  
 Create majorityvalue array  
 for i in A.length/2 ] Iterate half through array to look for  
   if A[i] == A[i+1] ] matching pairs to test for possible majority  
   add A[i] to majority value ] Add to new array if pair matches  
 return majorityLinear(majorityvalue) ] Recursive call on array that is now  
   n/2 size

Using the master theorem,  $a=1$   $b=2$   $d=1$  because the pre work before the recursive call is  $O(n/2)$  or  $O(n)$  to iterate through the array. Plugging into the master theorem we get

$$T(n) = 1T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + O(n) = T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + O(n)$$

If we plug in for  $\frac{a}{b^d}$  we get  $\frac{1}{2^1} = \frac{1}{2} < 1$ , so our complexity is  $O(n^1)$ , which is also  $O(n)$ , confirming the linear time complexity.