

Module Interface Specification for Software Engineering

Team #23, Project Proxi
Savinay Chhabra
Amanbeer Singh Minhas
Gourob Podder
Ajay Singh Grewal

November 11, 2025

1 Revision History

Date	Version	Notes
2025-11-02	1.0	Initial draft created. Included module hierarchy and core Proxi design overview.
2025-11-03	1.1	Added detailed MIS for HH-IO, HH-Auto, and BH-Input modules.
2025-11-04	1.2	Completed BH-NLU, BH-Plan, BH-Safety, BH-Feedback, and BH-UI sections with semantics and tables.
2025-11-07	1.3	Added refined symbols and abbreviations and reflection section
2025-11-11	1.4	Final formatting, line-width cleanup, and rubric alignment for submission.

2 Symbols, Abbreviations and Acronyms

See SRS documentation at <https://github.com/gkpodder/Capstone/blob/design/docs/SRS-Volere/SRS.pdf>

Additional symbols, abbreviations, and acronyms specific to this document are listed below.

Symbol / Term	Definition
$:=$	Assignment operator used for state transitions.
\rightarrow	Function mapping or transition arrow.
\geq, \leq	Greater than or equal to, less than or equal to.
HH	Hardware-Hiding module (e.g., HH-IO, HH-Auto).
BH	Behaviour-Hiding module (e.g., BH-Input, BH-Plan).
SD	Software-Decision module (e.g., SD-Types, SD-Log).
STT	Speech-to-Text (audio input converted to text).
TTS	Text-to-Speech (text output converted to speech).
MCP	Modular Command Protocol agent interface.
UI	User Interface.
SRS	System Requirements Specification.
V&V	Verification and Validation Plan.
FUNC.R.#	Functional requirement number from the SRS.
Hazard ID	Identifier from Hazard Analysis (e.g., H1, H2).
QA	Quality Assurance (software testing process).
Plan	Structured action sequence from BH-Plan.
Intent	Structured interpretation of user command text.
Action	Atomic executable system behaviour.
RiskLevel	Safety classification for user actions.
ExecStatus	Execution result (Pending, Success, or Failed).
InputMode	Input type (VoiceOnly, TextOnly, Mixed).
OutputMode	Output type (VoiceOnly, TextOnly, Both).

Contents

1 Revision History	i
2 Symbols, Abbreviations and Acronyms	ii
3 Introduction	1
4 Notation	1
5 Module Decomposition	2
6 MIS of HH-IO (Audio Adapter)	5
6.1 Module	5
6.2 Uses	5
6.3 Syntax	5
6.3.1 Exported Constants	5
6.3.2 Exported Access Programs	5
6.4 Semantics	5
6.4.1 State Variables	5
6.4.2 Environment Variables	5
6.4.3 Assumptions	5
6.4.4 Access Routine Semantics	6
6.4.5 Local Functions	6
7 MIS of HH-Auto (System Control)	6
7.1 Module	6
7.2 Uses	6
7.3 Syntax	6
7.3.1 Exported Constants	6
7.3.2 Exported Access Programs	7
7.4 Semantics	7
7.4.1 State Variables	7
7.4.2 Environment Variables	7
7.4.3 Assumptions	7
7.4.4 Access Routine Semantics	7
7.4.5 Local Functions	8
8 MIS of BH-Input (Voice & Text Manager)	8
8.1 Module	8
8.2 Uses	8
8.3 Syntax	8
8.3.1 Exported Constants	8
8.3.2 Exported Access Programs	8

8.4 Semantics	9
8.4.1 State Variables	9
8.4.2 Environment Variables	9
8.4.3 Assumptions	9
8.4.4 Access Routine Semantics	9
8.4.5 Local Functions	10
9 MIS of BH-NLU (Intent Parser)	10
9.1 Module	10
9.2 Uses	10
9.3 Syntax	11
9.3.1 Exported Access Programs	11
9.4 Semantics	11
9.4.1 State Variables	11
9.4.2 Environment Variables	11
9.4.3 Assumptions	11
9.4.4 Access Routine Semantics	11
9.4.5 Local Functions	11
10 MIS of BH-Plan (Task Executor)	11
10.1 Module	11
10.2 Uses	12
10.3 Syntax	12
10.3.1 Exported Constants	12
10.3.2 Exported Access Programs	12
10.4 Semantics	12
10.4.1 State Variables	12
10.4.2 Environment Variables	12
10.4.3 Assumptions	12
10.4.4 Access Routine Semantics	12
10.4.5 Planning Logic	13
10.4.6 Local Functions	14
11 MIS of BH-Safety (Confirmation Gate)	14
11.1 Module	14
11.2 Uses	14
11.3 Syntax	14
11.3.1 Exported Constants	14
11.3.2 Exported Access Programs	14
11.4 Semantics	14
11.4.1 State Variables	14
11.4.2 Environment Variables	14
11.4.3 Assumptions	15

11.4.4	Access Routine Semantics	15
11.4.5	Risk Policy Table	16
11.4.6	Local Functions	16
12	MIS of BH-Feedback (Response Manager)	16
12.1	Module	16
12.2	Uses	16
12.3	Syntax	16
12.3.1	Exported Constants	16
12.3.2	Exported Access Programs	17
12.4	Semantics	17
12.4.1	State Variables	17
12.4.2	Environment Variables	17
12.4.3	Assumptions	17
12.4.4	Access Routine Semantics	17
12.4.5	Local Functions	18
13	MIS of BH-UI (Proxi Interface)	19
13.1	Module	19
13.2	Uses	19
13.3	Syntax	19
13.3.1	Exported Constants	19
13.3.2	Exported Access Programs	19
13.4	Semantics	19
13.4.1	State Variables	19
13.4.2	Environment Variables	19
13.4.3	Assumptions	19
13.4.4	Access Routine Semantics	20
13.4.5	Local Functions	20
14	Appendix	22

3 Introduction

This document presents the Module Interface Specification (MIS) for Proxi, an intelligent voice first assistant developed as part of the SFWRENG 4G06A Capstone Design Project at McMaster University. Proxi enables users to interact with their computer using speech or text commands and executes actions through a modular command protocol (MCP) agent system. The goal is to improve accessibility and user productivity by providing hands free, context aware computer control.

The MIS defines the interface and behaviour of each module described in the Module Guide. Each module encapsulates a single responsibility and follows the principles of information hiding and low coupling. Together these modules define how Proxi captures user input, interprets intent, plans actions, confirms operations, and provides feedback.

Complementary documents include:

- System Requirements Specification (SRS): <https://github.com/gkpodder/Capstone/blob/design/docs/SRS-Volere/SRS.pdf>
- Verification and Validation Plan (V&V): <https://github.com/gkpodder/Capstone/blob/design/docs/VnVPlan/VnVPlan.pdf>
- Hazard Analysis Report: <https://github.com/gkpodder/Capstone/blob/design/docs/HazardAnalysis/HazardAnalysis.pdf>

All documents are stored in the team's public repository at <https://github.com/gkpodder/Capstone/>. The SRS and V&V Plan define the measurable requirements and testing criteria that this MIS traces to. The Hazard Analysis identifies potential risks, such as unintended system actions, which are addressed by the BH-Safety module.

This MIS uses the structure and conventions from [Hoffman and Strooper \(1995\)](#) and [Ghezzi et al. \(2003\)](#). Each module is presented with clear syntax, semantics, and local function definitions, ensuring traceability between requirements, design, and testing. The notation style for states, transitions, and functions follows the mathematical conventions outlined in Section 4. Overall, this document provides a formal yet readable description of Proxi's modular design, supporting future implementation, testing, and maintenance.

4 Notation

The structure of the MIS for modules comes from [Hoffman and Strooper \(1995\)](#), with the addition that template modules have been adapted from [Ghezzi et al. \(2003\)](#). The mathematical notation comes from Chapter 3 of [Hoffman and Strooper \(1995\)](#). For instance, the symbol $::=$ is used for a multiple assignment statement and conditional rules follow the form $(c_1 \Rightarrow r_1 | c_2 \Rightarrow r_2 | \dots | c_n \Rightarrow r_n)$.

The following table summarizes the basic and derived data types used by Proxi modules.

Data Type	Description
char	A single character or digit.
integer	Whole number in the range $(-\infty, \infty)$.
real	Number with fractional part, used for timing or duration.
boolean	Logical value in {true, false}.
string	Sequence of characters.
sequence(T)	Ordered list of elements of type T.
tuple(T ₁ , T ₂ , ...)	Finite ordered group of typed values.
AudioStream	Representation of sampled voice input.
Intent	Structured record containing type and parameters.
Plan	Record defining tool, parameters, and execution time.
Action	Atomic operation triggered by a plan or intent.
RiskLevel	Enum {Low, Medium, High} for safety checks.
ExecStatus	Enum {Pending, Success, Failed} for task outcomes.

The specification of Software Engineering uses some derived data types: sequences, strings, and tuples. Sequences are lists filled with elements of the same data type. Strings are sequences of characters. Tuples contain a list of values, potentially of different types. In addition, Software Engineering uses functions, which are defined by the data types of their inputs and outputs.

Derived functions and transitions are written in the form $f : A \rightarrow B$ to indicate a function mapping from type A to type B. Local functions such as *nextState* or *policy* are used to describe the intended mathematical behaviour of modules but may not exist as explicit code implementations.

5 Module Decomposition

The Proxi, is decomposed into a hierarchy of modules following the design principles of information hiding and separation of concerns. Each module corresponds to a well-defined secret and is independently assignable to a developer. The decomposition balances hardware hiding, behaviour hiding, and software decision modules.

Level 1	Level 2 Modules (Secrets / Responsibilities)
Hardware-Hiding	<p>HH-IO (Audio Adapter) – manages microphone input and audio output across different platforms.</p> <p>HH-Auto (System Control) – performs basic desktop actions such as typing, clicking, or launching applications.</p>
Behaviour-Hiding	<p>BH-Input (Voice & Text Manager) – captures user input, converts speech to text, and normalizes text commands. Implements <i>FUNC.R.1–R.2</i>.</p> <p>BH-NLU (Intent Parser) – interprets text into structured intents and parameters based on defined command patterns. Implements <i>FUNC.R.3</i>.</p> <p>BH-Plan (Task Executor) – determines which agent or tool should handle a command and coordinates its execution. Implements <i>FUNC.R.4</i>.</p> <p>BH-Safety (Confirmation Gate) – validates actions that may affect files or system settings and requests confirmation. Implements <i>FUNC.R.9</i>.</p> <p>BH-Session (Context Manager) – maintains user session data, history, and undo information for continuity. Supports <i>FUNC.R.4</i>.</p> <p>BH-Feedback (Response Manager) – converts textual responses into spoken or visual feedback for the user. Implements <i>FUNC.R.5–R.6</i>.</p> <p>BH-UI (Proxi Interface) – presents status updates, confirmations, and results; supports full voice-only interaction. Implements <i>FUNC.R.8</i>.</p>
Software-Decision	<p>SD-Types (Core Structures) – defines abstract data types for Command, Intent, and Plan.</p> <p>SD-ToolRegistry (Action Map) – maintains the mapping between recognized intents and available system actions.</p> <p>SD-Store (Local Storage) – handles persistent storage for user preferences, session history, and logs.</p> <p>SD-STT/TTS Config – specifies configuration for speech and text synthesis models and supported languages.</p> <p>SD-Log (Event Logger) – records system actions and feedback events for debugging and validation.</p>

Table 1: Module Hierarchy for Proxi Voice Assistant

Likely Changes:

- The choice of speech recognition or text-to-speech library (for example, switching from Whisper API to a local model).
- Adjustments to the user interface layout or how voice commands trigger visible feedback or audio playback.
- Fine-tuning thresholds for speech detection and timing between input and response based on user testing.
- Updating supported voice commands or adding new MCP tools as features are expanded.

Unlikely Changes:

- The main processing loop of Input → Interpret → Plan → Execute → Feedback.
- The core data structures used for storing Commands, Intents, and Action Plans.
- The communication pattern between modules through the MCP agent interface.

Traceability to SRS:

- **BH-Input** fulfills *FUNC.R.1–R.2*: speech and text input handling with accuracy $\geq 90\%$.
- **BH-NLU** fulfills *FUNC.R.3*: intent recognition accuracy $\geq 90\%$.
- **BH-Plan** fulfills *FUNC.R.4*: agent planning and execution success rate $\geq 85\%$.
- **BH-Feedback** fulfills *FUNC.R.5–R.6*: provides feedback and spoken confirmation within response time $\leq 2\text{ s}$.
- **BH-UI** fulfills *FUNC.R.8*: supports full hands-free operation for accessibility.
- **BH-Safety** fulfills *FUNC.R.9*: requests confirmation before executing high-risk or destructive actions.
- **Support modules (SD, HH)** enable non-functional goals on latency, reliability, and auditability through structured logging.

6 MIS of HH-IO (Audio Adapter)

6.1 Module

HH-IO (Audio Adapter)

6.2 Uses

System audio interface

6.3 Syntax

6.3.1 Exported Constants

None.

6.3.2 Exported Access Programs

Name	Input	Output	Errors
openMic	N/A	N/A	MicNotFound
closeMic	N/A	N/A	CloseFailed
recordAudio	seconds: real	sound: AudioStream	RecordFailed
playAudio	sound: AudioStream	N/A	PlaybackFailed

6.4 Semantics

6.4.1 State Variables

- micOpen : Boolean
- lastSignal : AudioStream

6.4.2 Environment Variables

- micDevice : physical microphone
- speakerDevice : physical speaker or headset

6.4.3 Assumptions

- At least one working microphone and speaker device exists.
- Only one module controls the microphone at a time.

6.4.4 Access Routine Semantics

openMic():

- transition: micOpen := true if micDevice is available.
- exception: MicNotFound if micDevice is missing or busy.

closeMic():

- transition: micOpen := false if open.
- exception: CloseFailed if device cannot close.

recordAudio(seconds):

- output: returns AudioStream of given duration.
- transition: lastSignal := captured audio.
- exception: RecordFailed if capture fails or micOpen = false.

playAudio(sound):

- transition: lastSignal := sound.
- output: sound played through speakerDevice.
- exception: PlaybackFailed if playback fails.

6.4.5 Local Functions

None.

7 MIS of HH-Auto (System Control)

7.1 Module

HH-Auto (System Control)

7.2 Uses

Operating system automation interface

7.3 Syntax

7.3.1 Exported Constants

None.

7.3.2 Exported Access Programs

Name	Input	Output	Errors
moveCursor	p: ScreenPos	N/A	ActionError
leftClick	N/A	N/A	ActionError
typeText	t: String	N/A	ActionError
openApp	id: AppId	N/A	ActionError

7.4 Semantics

7.4.1 State Variables

- currentPos : ScreenPos

7.4.2 Environment Variables

- desktopEnv : user desktop environment
- keyboardDevice : keyboard input channel
- pointingDevice : mouse or trackpad

7.4.3 Assumptions

- The user session allows simulated input events.
- Screen coordinates are valid for the active display.
- AppId refers to an installed and accessible application.

7.4.4 Access Routine Semantics

moveCursor(p):

- transition: currentPos := p.
- output: N/A.
- exception: ActionError if cursor move fails.

leftClick():

- transition: none.
- output: N/A.
- exception: ActionError if click event fails.

typeText(t):

- transition: none.
- output: N/A.
- exception: ActionError if key input fails.

openApp(id):

- transition: none.
- output: N/A.
- exception: ActionError if app launch fails.

7.4.5 Local Functions

None.

8 MIS of BH-Input (Voice & Text Manager)

8.1 Module

BH-Input (Voice & Text Manager)

8.2 Uses

HH-IO, SD-STT/TTS Config, SD-Types, SD-Log

8.3 Syntax

8.3.1 Exported Constants

None.

8.3.2 Exported Access Programs

Name	Input	Output	Errors
startCapture	mode: InputMode	N/A	MicUnavailable, AlreadyCapturing
stopCapture	N/A	N/A	NotCapturing
getLastText	N/A	text: String	NoInputAvailable
getStatus	N/A	s: InputStatus	N/A

8.4 Semantics

8.4.1 State Variables

- `inputState` : `InputState`
- `currentMode` : `InputMode`
- `lastText` : `String`
- `partialText` : `String`
- `lastError` : `InputError` or `null`

`InputState` = {Idle, Listening, Processing}

`InputMode` = {VoiceOnly, TextOnly, Mixed}

`InputStatus` is a record:

- `state` : `InputState`
- `hasText` : `Boolean`
- `hasError` : `Boolean`

8.4.2 Environment Variables

- `micStream` : handled by HH-IO for live audio
- `sttService` : speech-to-text service
- `now` : system clock for timing

8.4.3 Assumptions

- The microphone and STT component are available when started.
- Only one capture session runs at a time.
- Calling modules handle all exceptions raised.

8.4.4 Access Routine Semantics

`startCapture(mode)`:

- transition: if `inputState` = Idle and `micStream` ready then

inputState := Listening, currentMode := mode, partialText := ""

- exception: `MicUnavailable` if device fails, `AlreadyCapturing` if `inputState` ≠ Idle.

stopCapture():

- transition: if $\text{inputState} \neq \text{Idle}$ then $\text{inputState} := \text{Idle}$.
- exception: NotCapturing if $\text{inputState} = \text{Idle}$.

getLastText():

- output: returns lastText if not empty.
- exception: NoInputAvailable if lastText is empty.

getStatus():

- output: returns a record s with $s.state = \text{inputState}$, $s.hasText = (\text{lastText} \neq "")$, $s.hasError = (\text{lastError} \neq \text{null})$.

8.4.5 Local Functions

Let $\text{Event} = \{\text{StartCmd}, \text{StopCmd}, \text{Chunk}, \text{Error}, \text{Timeout}\}$
 $\text{nextState} : \text{InputState} \times \text{Event} \rightarrow \text{InputState}$

$$\text{nextState}(s, e) = \begin{cases} \text{Listening} & \text{if } s = \text{Idle} \wedge e = \text{StartCmd} \\ \text{Idle} & \text{if } s = \text{Listening} \wedge e = \text{StopCmd} \\ \text{Processing} & \text{if } s = \text{Listening} \wedge e = \text{Chunk} \\ \text{Processing} & \text{if } s = \text{Processing} \wedge e = \text{Chunk} \\ \text{Idle} & \text{if } e = \text{Error} \vee e = \text{Timeout} \\ s & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

During execution BH-Input updates

$$\text{inputState} := \text{nextState}(\text{inputState}, e)$$

for each event e . When transcription ends, partialText moves into lastText .

9 MIS of BH-NLU (Intent Parser)

9.1 Module

BH-NLU (Intent Parser)

9.2 Uses

SD-Types, SD-Log

9.3 Syntax

9.3.1 Exported Access Programs

Name	Input	Output	Errors
parseText	text: String	i: Intent	ParseError

9.4 Semantics

9.4.1 State Variables

None.

9.4.2 Environment Variables

None.

9.4.3 Assumptions

- Input text is in English and grammatically valid.
- Command patterns are defined in SD-Types.

9.4.4 Access Routine Semantics

`parseText(text):`

- output: produces an Intent record with fields

$$i.type = detectCommand(text), \quad i.params = extractParams(text)$$

- exception: ParseError if text cannot be matched to any pattern.

9.4.5 Local Functions

$$detectCommand : String \rightarrow IntentType$$

$$extractParams : String \rightarrow ParamSet$$

10 MIS of BH-Plan (Task Executor)

10.1 Module

BH-Plan (Task Executor)

10.2 Uses

BH-NLU, SD-ToolRegistry, SD-Types, SD-Log, HH-Auto

10.3 Syntax

10.3.1 Exported Constants

ExecStatus = {Pending, Success, Failed}

10.3.2 Exported Access Programs

Name	Input	Output	Errors
planAction	i: Intent	p: Plan	NoToolFound
executePlan	p: Plan	s: ExecStatus	ExecError
cancelPlan	N/A	N/A	NoPendingPlan
getLastStatus	N/A	s: ExecStatus	N/A

10.4 Semantics

10.4.1 State Variables

- currentPlan : Plan or null
- lastStatus : ExecStatus

10.4.2 Environment Variables

- toolSet : accessible system tools or MCP agents
- now : system clock for execution timing

10.4.3 Assumptions

- The input intent has been validated by BH-NLU.
- Each available tool in SD-ToolRegistry exposes a run() routine.
- MCP agents or automation tools are reachable when requested.

10.4.4 Access Routine Semantics

planAction(i):

- output: generates a Plan record p with:

$$p.tool = \text{matchTool}(i.type), \quad p.parameters = i.params, \quad p.time = \text{now}$$

- transition: $\text{currentPlan} := p$.
- exception: NoToolFound if matchTool fails.

executePlan(p):

- transition:
 - $\text{currentPlan} := p$.
 - $s := \text{runTool}(p.\text{tool}, p.\text{parameters})$.
- output: returns s of type ExecStatus .
- exception: ExecError if runTool fails.

cancelPlan():

- transition: $\text{currentPlan} := \text{null}$, $\text{lastStatus} := \text{Failed}$.
- exception: NoPendingPlan if $\text{currentPlan} = \text{null}$.

getLastStatus():

- output: returns lastStatus .
- transition: none.

10.4.5 Planning Logic

To model the planning stage, define:

$$\text{matchTool} : \text{IntentType} \rightarrow \text{ToolId}$$

$$\text{runTool} : \text{ToolId} \times \text{ParamSet} \rightarrow \text{ExecStatus}$$

The planning decision can be expressed as:

$$\text{planAction}(i) = \begin{cases} p = (\text{matchTool}(i.\text{type}), i.\text{params}, \text{now}) & \text{if a tool exists for } i.\text{type} \\ \text{NoToolFound error} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Execution behaviour follows:

$$\text{executePlan}(p) = \begin{cases} \text{Success} & \text{if } \text{runTool}(p.\text{tool}, p.\text{parameters}) = \text{true} \\ \text{Failed} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

10.4.6 Local Functions

- **matchTool(t)**: searches SD-ToolRegistry for a matching tool.
- **runTool(id, params)**: calls the linked MCP or system command.

11 MIS of BH-Safety (Confirmation Gate)

11.1 Module

BH-Safety (Confirmation Gate)

11.2 Uses

BH-UI, SD-Types, SD-Log

11.3 Syntax

11.3.1 Exported Constants

RiskLevel = Low, Medium, High

SafetyDecision = AutoAllow, AskUser, Block

ApprovalResult = Approved, Denied, Cancelled

11.3.2 Exported Access Programs

Name	Input	Output	Errors
classifyAction	a: Action	r: RiskLevel	N/A
decidePolicy	a: Action	d: SafetyDecision	N/A
confirmAction	a: Action	res: ApprovalResult	UserTimeout

11.4 Semantics

11.4.1 State Variables

- pendingAction : Action or null
- lastDecision : SafetyDecision or null

11.4.2 Environment Variables

- uiChannel : connection to BH-UI for user prompts
- now : system clock for time limits

11.4.3 Assumptions

- BH-UI can show a yes/no prompt and return a user response.
- Every Action record includes a defined riskLevel field.
- The system clock is monotonic for timeout checks.

11.4.4 Access Routine Semantics

classifyAction(a):

- output: returns a.riskLevel.
- transition: none.

decidePolicy(a):

- output: returns d of type SafetyDecision, where

$$d = \begin{cases} AutoAllow & \text{if } a.riskLevel = Low \\ AskUser & \text{if } a.riskLevel = Medium \\ Block & \text{if } a.riskLevel = High \wedge a.isIrreversible \\ AskUser & \text{if } a.riskLevel = High \wedge \neg a.isIrreversible \end{cases}$$

- transition: lastDecision := d.

confirmAction(a):

- transition: pendingAction := a.
- output:
 - If decidePolicy(a)=AutoAllow then res=Approved.
 - If decidePolicy(a)=Block then res=Denied.
 - If AskUser then BH-UI prompts user; waits for yes/no.
- exception: UserTimeout if no answer before time limit.

11.4.5 Risk Policy Table

Risk	Irreversible?	Decision	Example
Low	N/A	AutoAllow	Open folder, read file
Medium	N/A	AskUser	Rename or move file
High	false	AskUser	Delete to recycle bin
High	true	Block	Permanently delete data

11.4.6 Local Functions

$$policy : RiskLevel \times Bool \rightarrow SafetyDecision$$

$$policy(r, irr) = \begin{cases} AutoAllow & \text{if } r = Low \\ AskUser & \text{if } r = Medium \\ Block & \text{if } r = High \wedge irr = true \\ AskUser & \text{if } r = High \wedge irr = false \end{cases}$$

decidePolicy(a) = policy(a.riskLevel, a.isIrreversible)

This module fulfills *FUNC.R.9* by ensuring confirmation or blocking of high-risk actions, reducing hazards identified in the safety analysis.

12 MIS of BH-Feedback (Response Manager)

12.1 Module

BH-Feedback (Response Manager)

12.2 Uses

HH-IO, SD-STT/TTS Config, SD-Types, SD-Log

12.3 Syntax

12.3.1 Exported Constants

OutputMode = {VoiceOnly, TextOnly, Both}

FeedbackStatus = {Idle, Speaking, Completed, Failed}

12.3.2 Exported Access Programs

Name	Input	Output	Errors
queueMessage	msg: String, m: OutputMode	N/A	QueueFull
speakNow	msg: String, m: OutputMode	s: FeedbackStatus	TtsError
getLastStatus	N/A	s: FeedbackStatus	N/A
cancelAll	N/A	N/A	N/A

12.4 Semantics

12.4.1 State Variables

- outputQueue : sequence of (String, OutputMode)
- lastStatus : FeedbackStatus
- isSpeaking : Boolean

12.4.2 Environment Variables

- audioOut : speaker connection through HH-IO
- ttsService : text-to-speech component

12.4.3 Assumptions

- ttsService can turn any short message into speech in less than the required response time from the SRS.
- HH-IO can play audio without blocking the whole system.
- The output queue has a fixed maximum size.

12.4.4 Access Routine Semantics

queueMessage(msg, m):

- transition:
 - If the queue is not full then append (msg, m) to outputQueue.
- output: N/A.
- exception: QueueFull if appending would exceed the limit.

speakNow(msg, m):

- transition:
 - `isSpeaking := true; lastStatus := Speaking.`
 - If `m = VoiceOnly` or `m = Both` then send `msg` to `ttsService` and play through `audioOut`.
 - If `m = TextOnly` or `m = Both` then write `msg` to the log or UI channel.
- output:
 - If playback and any text output succeed then `lastStatus := Completed` and `s = Completed`.
 - Otherwise `lastStatus := Failed` and `s = Failed`.
- exception: `TtsError` if `ttsService` cannot produce speech.

getLastStatus():

- output: returns `lastStatus`.
- transition: none.

cancelAll():

- transition: clear `outputQueue`; `isSpeaking := false`; `lastStatus := Idle`.
- output: N/A.
- exception: N/A.

12.4.5 Local Functions

We model the processing of the queue with a helper function:

nextMessage : sequence of (String, OutputMode) → (String, OutputMode) ∪ {None}

$$nextMessage(q) = \begin{cases} \text{first element of } q & \text{if } q \neq [] \\ \text{None} & \text{if } q = [] \end{cases}$$

BH-Feedback periodically checks `outputQueue`. If `nextMessage` returns a pair (`msg, m`), it behaves as in `speakNow(msg, m)` and then removes that entry from the queue. If None, it leaves the state unchanged.

This module fulfills *FUNC.R.5–R.6* by providing timely spoken and visual feedback to the user, and by reporting a clear status that can be logged or shown in the interface.

13 MIS of BH-UI (Proxi Interface)

13.1 Module

BH-UI (Proxi Interface)

13.2 Uses

BH-Feedback, BH-Safety, BH-Input, SD-Log, SD-Types

13.3 Syntax

13.3.1 Exported Constants

UIState = {Idle, Listening, Waiting, Displaying, Error}

13.3.2 Exported Access Programs

Name	Input	Output	Errors
updateView	s: UIState	N/A	RenderError
showMessage	msg: String	N/A	RenderError
promptUser	q: String	ans: Bool	Timeout
showStatus	st: FeedbackStatus	N/A	N/A
clearScreen	N/A	N/A	N/A

13.4 Semantics

13.4.1 State Variables

- uiState : UIState
- lastMsg : String
- lastError : String or null

13.4.2 Environment Variables

- display : visual interface (screen or console)
- micLED : visual cue showing listening status

13.4.3 Assumptions

- Display device is available and writable.
- Voice cues or LEDs can toggle quickly without delay.
- Text is short enough to fit within screen limits.

13.4.4 Access Routine Semantics

updateView(s):

- transition: uiState := s.
- output: updates micLED or text based on state.
- exception: RenderError if update fails.

showMessage(msg):

- transition: lastMsg := msg; uiState := Displaying.
- output: renders msg visually or as voice through BH-Feedback.
- exception: RenderError if display or output fails.

promptUser(q):

- transition: uiState := Waiting.
- output: shows q; waits for yes/no reply by voice or keypress.
- exception: Timeout if no input received in time limit.

showStatus(st):

- output: shows latest FeedbackStatus or progress.
- transition: none.

clearScreen():

- transition: uiState := Idle; lastMsg := "".
- output: clears visual area.

13.4.5 Local Functions

We define a helper that maps states to display text:

$$stateText : UIState \rightarrow String$$

$$stateText(s) = \begin{cases} "Listening..." & \text{if } s = Listening \\ "Waitingforinput..." & \text{if } s = Waiting \\ "Processing..." & \text{if } s = Displaying \\ "Idle" & \text{if } s = Idle \\ "Error" & \text{if } s = Error \end{cases}$$

This mapping helps BH-Feedback and BH-Safety show consistent notifications through both visual and voice channels. This module fulfills *FUNC.R.8* by ensuring full hands-free interaction and clear accessibility feedback for all system states.

References

Carlo Ghezzi, Mehdi Jazayeri, and Dino Mandrioli. *Fundamentals of Software Engineering*. Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ, USA, 2nd edition, 2003.

Daniel M. Hoffman and Paul A. Strooper. *Software Design, Automated Testing, and Maintenance: A Practical Approach*. International Thomson Computer Press, New York, NY, USA, 1995. URL <http://citeseer.ist.psu.edu/428727.html>.

14 Appendix

[Extra information if required —SS]

Appendix — Reflection

[Not required for CAS 741 projects —SS]

The information in this section will be used to evaluate the team members on the graduate attribute of Problem Analysis and Design.

The purpose of reflection questions is to give you a chance to assess your own learning and that of your group as a whole, and to find ways to improve in the future. Reflection is an important part of the learning process. Reflection is also an essential component of a successful software development process.

Reflections are most interesting and useful when they're honest, even if the stories they tell are imperfect. You will be marked based on your depth of thought and analysis, and not based on the content of the reflections themselves. Thus, for full marks we encourage you to answer openly and honestly and to avoid simply writing "what you think the evaluator wants to hear."

Please answer the following questions. Some questions can be answered on the team level, but where appropriate, each team member should write their own response:

1. What went well while writing this deliverable?
2. What pain points did you experience during this deliverable, and how did you resolve them?
3. Which of your design decisions stemmed from speaking to your client(s) or a proxy (e.g. your peers, stakeholders, potential users)? For those that were not, why, and where did they come from?
4. While creating the design doc, what parts of your other documents (e.g. requirements, hazard analysis, etc), if any, needed to be changed, and why?
5. What are the limitations of your solution? Put another way, given unlimited resources, what could you do to make the project better? (LO_ProbSolutions)
6. Give a brief overview of other design solutions you considered. What are the benefits and tradeoffs of those other designs compared with the chosen design? From all the potential options, why did you select the documented design? (LO_Explores)

Amanbeer Minhas Reflection

1. What went well while writing this deliverable?

Once I had the SRS, VnV Plan, and Hazard Analysis in place, this design doc felt much more manageable. Breaking the system into BH-Input, BH-Plan, BH-Safety, BH-Feedback, and BH-UI made it easier to see how everything fit together. Courses like COMPSCI/SFWRENG 3RA3 (Requirements) helped me think in terms of clear responsibilities and traceable requirements. My QA co-op experience also helped because I naturally thought about how each module would be tested while I was specifying it.

2. What pain points did you experience during this deliverable, and how did you resolve them?

The main pain point was finding the right level of abstraction. At first, I wrote the MIS in terms of specific tools and APIs, which made the design feel tied to one implementation. After revisiting the lecture notes and examples, I rewrote the modules to focus on behaviour and information hiding instead. Another challenge was formal notation for state and transitions. I drew on ideas from SFWRENG 2DM3 (Discret Maths) to think in terms of states, events, and transitions, then simplified that down to the most important pieces so the document would still be readable.

3. Which of your design decisions stemmed from speaking to your client(s) or a proxy (e.g. peers, stakeholders, users)?

Most of our client feedback came from our team mates parents/relatives trying early voice-based prototypes. Some people wanted fewer confirmations so the system felt faster, while others were worried about accidental destructive actions. This made us directly include the BH-Safety module: we introduced risk levels and different behaviours for low, medium, and high risk actions. Ideas around accessibility and clear feedback were reinforced by discussions in ENGINEER 4A03, where we talked about inclusivity, ethics, and duty of care. Where we did not have direct user input, I leaned on course examples and the capstone lectures for reference.

4. While creating the design doc, what parts of your other documents (e.g., requirements, hazard analysis, etc), if any, needed to be changed, and why?

While writing the MIS, I noticed that some requirements in the SRS were too vague to map cleanly to modules. We will refine a few of them to have clearer success conditions (for example, accuracy targets and timing bounds), so they matched the behaviour of BH-Input and BH-Feedback. The Hazard Analysis also needs change: some risks originally assigned to “the system” were moved specifically to BH-Safety, since that is where confirmation and blocking actually happen. The VnV Plan needs change to add module-level tests for voice accuracy, plan execution success, and safety prompts, reflecting what I learned about test design in my QA co-op and SFWRENG 3S03(Software testing).

5. What are the limitations of your solution? Put another way, given unlimited resources, what could you do to make the project better? (LO_ProbSolutions)

Right now, the design assumes relatively simple commands and a limited amount of context. The NLU is closer to pattern matching than full natural language understanding. The system also depends on reliable speech models and may not perform as well in noisy environments or for all accents. With more time and resources, we would explore more robust language models, better noise handling, and wider accessibility testing with real users. We would also add more automation around logging and replaying user sessions for regression testing, drawing from ideas in my QA work and SFWRENG 3S03 and STATS 3Y03 for analyzing failure patterns.

6. Give a brief overview of other design solutions you considered. What are the benefits and tradeoffs of those other designs compared with the chosen design? From all the potential options, why did you select the documented design? (LO Explores)

We considered three main designs. The first was a single monolithic voice assistant where input, planning, and safety were all handled in one loop. This would have been easier to code quickly but very hard to test or change without breaking things. The second option relied mostly on an external cloud assistant, which might give better speech accuracy but raises privacy and reliability concerns. The third, which we chose, is the modular design in this document, with separate BH modules and clear interfaces. It fits the ideas from SFWRENG 2OP3, 2C03, 3RA3, and 3XB3 about modularity, testing, and requirements traceability. We chose it because it balances what we can realistically implement as a student with the level of structure and safety we have learned to aim for in software engineering.