

1 High-level rules of translation

This section is dedicated to describe the rules of the translator we define. Based on these rules.

1.1 Translator input

The input is a TLA+ Spec that has the following properties.

1.1.1 Proc

This constant is a set of integers and strings which is used to declare the processes identifiers. The user is supposed to define it as a part of the input.

1.1.2 TypeOK

As mentioned before, the TLA+ Spec is supposed to contain an invariant *TypeOK*. This predicate is required to obtain the types of variables. In Listing (1), the objects *Set_1* . . . *Set_n* are sets of integers boolean or function sets. For the last case, *Set_i* is of the form [*Proc_Subset* \rightarrow *Target_i*] where *Proc_Subset* is a subset of *Proc* and *Target_i* is a set of integers, boolean or strings. Notice that the formula $xi = value_i$ is equivalent to $xi \in Set_i$ with *Set_i* is a singleton. Hence, we allow $xi = value_i$ syntactically ¹.

```
1 VARIABLES x1, . . . , xn
2
3 TypeOK ==
4     /\ x1 \in Set_1
5     . . .
6     . . .
7     /\ xn \in Set_n
```

Listing 1: The explicit form of the predicate *TypeOK*.

1.1.3 Structure

We are going to assume that the TLA+ Spec has a simple structure. In particular, no labeled predicates are used as sub-expressions of (resp. next-) state relations or invariants. If any, we simply expand all expressions to get the following:

```
1 CONSTANTS c1, . . . , cm
2
3
4 VARIABLES x1, . . . , xn
5
```

¹Note that for the case of functions, we have the form $xi = [Obj \in Proc_Subset \mapsto Expression(Obj)]$

```

6 TypeOK == /\ x1 \in Set_1
7         ....
8         ....
9         /\ xn \in Set_n
10
11 Invariants == (* Defining the invariants of the Spec *)
12
13 (* I_i is a state predicate that determines the initial state of x_i *)
14
15 Init == /\ I_1
16         /\ I_2
17         ....
18         ....
19         /\ I_n
20
21 (* N_i is a next-state relation (more details are below *)
22
23 Next == \/ N1
24         \/ N2
25         ...
26         ...
27         \/ N_k
28
29 Spec == Init \/ []Next_<<x1, .. xn>>

```

We are going to give a more precise form later on.

1.2 Predicate & Quantified logic

We discuss here the translation of state predicates, i.e., boolean-value expressions containing variables and constants that are not next-state relations (no primed variables).

We consider the following cases:

1.2.1 Simple data types

For a variable x of a simple data type (integer, boolean or string)

- (a) The simple (sub-)expression $x = value$, where x is a variable and $value$ is an integer or a boolean constant. In this case, the translation is simple and straightforward.
- (b) For the (sub-)expression $x = value$, where $value$ is a string, a Cubicle abstract type is defined that encodes all values of string-type variables. To compute the values, we recognize two cases:
 - (i) If the TypeOk invariant states that x takes values on a finite set of strings, that is, $x \in StringSet_x$, then we are done with this case.

- (ii) Otherwise, we scan the whole TLA-spec looking for (sub)expressions of the form $x = \text{value}$ or $x' = \text{value}$ computing a set StringSet_x .

After computing StringSet of all string-type variables, we define $\text{String_values} = \{S1, \dots, Sk\}$ that is the union of all StringSet_x . In the Cubicle spec, we define an abstract type String_type and x_i for each string-type variable as follows:

```
1 String_type = S1 | S2 | ... | Sk
2 var x : String_type
```

After that, every (sub-)expression of the form $x = Si$ is translated to

$$x = Si;$$

1.2.2 Complex date types

We restrict our selves to the following cases:

- (a) For the (sub-)expression $x = \text{Value}$, where Value of the form:

$$[c1...ck \setminus \text{in Proc_Subset} \mapsto \text{Value}_{c1,...,ck}],$$

where $\text{Value}_{c1,...,ck}$ is an integer, a boolean or a string value. $x = \text{Value}$ is translated to:

$$x(z1...zk) = \text{Translation}(\text{Value}_{c1,...,ck})$$

where $\text{Translation}(\text{Value}_{c1,...,ck})$ is computed as in Sec 1.2.1.

- (b) For the (sub-)expressions $x = \text{Value}$, $x' = \text{Value}$ where Value of the form:

$$[\text{Value}_{old} \text{ EXCEPT } ![c1] = e1, \dots, ![ck] = ek],$$

where $e1, \dots, ek$ are integers, booleans or strings values and Value_{old} is a constant function of the same type of the one defined in (a), then the expression $x = \text{Value}$ is translated to:

$$\begin{aligned} x(z) = & \text{case} \\ & | z = c1 : e1 \\ & | z = c2 : e2 \\ & \dots \\ & | z = ck : ek \\ & | _ : \text{Value}_{old}; \end{aligned}$$

1.2.3 Locating the translation of state predicates

Depending on the position of the TLA-expressions, we its the translation:

- (a) (sub-)expressions appearing in the TLA++ initial state are translated to predicates in the initial Cubicle one.
- (b) The translation of (sub-)expressions of invariant negations are located in the unsafe predicate in the Cubicle Spec.
- (c) The (sub-)expressions in the next state are translated to the *requesters* part of a Cubicle *transition*.

1.3 Initial state

Suppose that the spec has the variables x_1, \dots, x_n of types determined by the invariant `TypeOK` as described in Sec 1.1.2. We consider the following form of the initial state:

```

1 Init == /\ x1= Value_1
2         /\ x2= Value_2
3         .....
4         .....
5         /\ xn= Value_n

```

George: The blue parts of the rest concern the multi-dimensional case. I keep them, however, I ignore them in the algorithm and the grammar for the moment. We are going to describe in details how to translate the initial state depending on the "shape" of $Value_i$: Let h be the maximal dimension of the tuple variables, i.e., $h = \max\{dim(xi) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ ² The translation of *Init* is parametrized by h variables, that is, the Cubicle initial state takes the form

```

1 init (z1 ... zh) { Translation(x1= Value_1)
2                   && Translation(x2= Value_2)
3                   ...
4                   && Translation(xn= Value_n) }

```

Now we determine (when possible) more explicitly how to compute $Trans(xi= Value_i)$. We consider the following cases:

- (a) $Value_i$ is an integer or boolean value, then the translation is straightforward. For the case where $Value_i$ is a string, an abstract type is already defined with $Value_i$ is one of its values (Section 1.2).
- (b) $Value_i = [Obj \setminus in Proc_Subset \mapsto Target_i]$, where $Target_i$ is a constant function (not necessarily declared as a constant³) with the domain $Proc_Subset$. Then, $xi = Value_i$ is translated to

²By dimension we mean the minimum number of indexes needed to parametrize xi .

³For example, $Value_i = [Obj \setminus in Proc_Subset \mapsto k]$ with k is an integer.

$xi[X_i] = Target_i[X_i]$, where $X_i = \{z1 \dots zi\}$ and $i = dim(Proc_Subset)$. A condition to check is $dim(Proc_Subset) = dim(xi)$. The type of $Target_i[X_i]$ is integer, boolean or string. Again, for the case where $Target_i[X_i]$ is a string, an abstract type is already defined with $Target_i[X_i]$ is one of its values (Sec 1.2).

- (c) For a constant $Proc_Subset$, $case_1, \dots, case_k$ are state predicates, and $Value_i1, \dots, Value_ik$ are state functions of integer, boolean or string type, if xi is initialized as follows:

```
1 xi = [self \in Proc\_Subset |-> CASE case_1 -> Value_i1
2       [] case_2 -> Value_i2
3       ....
4       [] case_k -> Value_ik
```

then the translation is of the form:

```
1 (x_1[X1] = Trans(Value_i1) && Trans(case_1) )
2 || (x_2[X2] = Trans(Value_i2) && Trans(case_2))
3   ...
4   ...
5 || (x_k[Xk] = Trans(Value_ik) && Trans(case_k))
```

See Sec 1.2 for the translation and the restrictions on the state predicates $case_1, \dots, case_k$ and state functions.

- (d) As a special case $xi \setminus in \{e1, \dots, ek\}$, where ei is of type integer, boolean or string. Then, the translation is

```
1 x_i = e1
2 || x_i = e2
3   ...
4   ...
5 || x_i = ek
```

1.4 Next state

Assume that the Next predicate is of the form:

```
1 Next == \ / N1
2         \ / N2
3         ...
4         ...
5         \ / N_k
```

where Ni is one of the following:

- (a) $Ni = P_p / (xi' = P_n)$, with P_n and P_p are state function and state predicate respectively. Then, the translation is of the form:

```

1 transition Ni()
2 requires { Trans(P_p) }
3 { xi := Trans(P_n);}

```

$Trans(P_p)$ and P_n can be computed as in Sec 1.2

- (b) $Ni = \setminus E \ z \ \setminus in \ Proc_Subset : P_p(z) / (\setminus xi' = P_n(z))$, with P_n and P_p are state function and state predicate respectively. Then, the translation (with the abuse of notation for z) is of the form: ⁴

```

1 transition Ni(z)
2 requires { Trans(P_p(z)) }
3 { Trans(xi = P_n(z))}

```

- (c) George: The idea of Case(c) is a remark that you mentioned on a meeting. I hope that I understood it collectedly. I still do not considered it in the Algo, until you confirm it. Suppose we have that:

```

1 Ni== \E z \in Proc : (\A y \in Proc : x[y]'= Value_y)

```

where $Value_y$ is an integer, boolean or string. Then the translation of Ni is computed as in the case:

```

1 Ni== x'=[y \in |-> Value_y ]

```

- (d) A generalization of (b) is the multivariable case z_1, \dots, z_k can be analogously done.

2 Grammar of the fragment

Since the illustration of codes below is still too bad, I suggest to read the grammar details in "*TlaplusToCubicle/grammer/Trans_Input_Gram.tla*" until I find a way to improve it as a Latex environment.

```

1 ----- MODULE FragGram -----
2 ( * We use BNFGrammars https://github.com/tlaplus/Examples/blob/master/specifications/SpecifyingSystem
3
4
5
6 Spec == Init
7     & tok("\/")
8     & tok("[[")
9     & Next
10    & tok("]")
11    & VARIABLES
12

```

⁴Clearly, the sub-formula $(\setminus xi' = P_n(z))$ in this case can be generalized to the multi-variable one.

```

13
14 VARIABLES == tok("<<")
15             & ( Identifier
16               & (tok(",",) & Identifier)^* )
17             & tok(">>")
18
19
20 (* ##### *)
21 (* ##### Defining the Init part ##### *)
22 (* ##### *)
23
24 Init == (tok('/\')) & Simple_Propositional_Exp)^+
25 Simple_Propositional_Exp == (Identifier & tok("=") & VALUE)
26                           | (Identifier & tok("\in") & Finite_Set)
27
28 Finite_Set == tok('{')
29              & Value
30              & (tok(",",) & Value)^*
31              & tok('}')
32              | Numeral^+ & tok("..") & Numeral^+
33              | Numeral^+ & tok("..") & Identifier
34              | Identifier & tok("..") & Numeral^+
35              | Identifier & tok("..") & Identifier
36
37
38 VALUE == Identifier (* I have a constant in mind *)
39           | Numeral^+
40           | Numeral^+ & tok(".") & Numeral^*
41           | STRING
42           | Boolean
43           | Function
44           | Identifier & tok("[") & (TERM) & tok("]")
45           | Identifier & tok("(") & (TERM) & tok(")")
46
47
48 Function == tok('[')
49            & Identifier
50            & tok(' \in ')
51            & Identifier (* I have a subset of Proc in mind*)
52            & tok('|->')
53            & TERM
54            & tok(']')
55
56
57 (* In the following, Tok(P) means that the set of all terms of the form P *)
58 STRING == Tok (tok(" " ) & NameChar^* & tok(" " ) ) \ ReservedWords (* The same ReservedWords defin
59
60 Boolean == tok("True") | tok("False")
61

```

```

62 Identifier == Name \ ReservedWords
63 Name == Tok((NameChar^* & Letter & NameChar^*))
64 NameChar == Letter \cup Numeral \cup {"_"}
65 Letter == OneOf("abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyzABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZ")
66 Numeral == OneOf("0123456789")
67
68
69 (* ##### *)
70 (* ##### Defining the Next part ##### *)
71 (* ##### *)
72
73 Next == (tok('\/' ) & Next_State_Exp)^+
74
75
76 Next_State_Exp == (tok('\/' ) & Predicate)^*
77 & (tok('\/' ) & Primed_Exp)^+
78
79 (* ##### *)
80 (* ##### Defining Predicate ##### *)
81 (* ##### *)
82
83 Predicate == Propositional_Exp
84 | tok("(")
85 & (tok('\E') | tok('\A'))
86 & Identifier (* For the moment, let us stay in the one-variable case *)
87 & tok("\in")
88 & Identifier (* I have a subset of Proc in mind *)
89 & tok(' : ')
90 & Predicate
91 & tok(")")
92
93 Propositional_Exp == Simple_Propositional_Exp
94 | tok("(") & TERM & OPERATOR & TERM & tok(")")
95 | tok('~') & tok("(") & Propositional_Exp & tok(")")
96 | tok("(")
97 & Propositional_Exp
98 & Logical_Junctions
99 & Propositional_Exp
100 & tok(")")
101
102
103 OPERATOR == tok("=") | tok("#") | tok("~=") | tok("<") | tok("<=")
104
105
106 Logical_Junctions == tok("/\") | tok("\/")
107
108
109 TERM == VALUE | Open_Prpos
110

```



```

111
112
113 Open_Prpos == Identifier
114     | Identifier & tok("[") & TERM & tok("]")
115     | Identifier & tok("(") & TERM & tok(")")
116     (* generalize it more? *)
117
118 (* ##### *)
119 (* ##### Defining Primed_Exp ##### *)
120 (* ##### *)
121
122
123 Primed_Exp == Identifier
124     & tok(' ' )
125     & tok("=")
126     & (TERM | Function_Except)
127
128 Function_Except == tok("[")
129     & Identifier
130     & tok("EXCEPT")
131     & ( tok('![') & Identifier & tok(']=') & TERM
132         & ( tok('![') & Identifier & tok(']=') & TERM )^+ )
133     & tok("]")

```


3 Translating algorithm

Input : A TLA++ Spec based on the grammar of Section 2 with *TypeOk* (Section 1.1.2 invariant and constant *Proc* (Section 1.1.1).

Output: A Cubicle Spec that is equivalent to the one in the input.

Data-Type Preparation

```

1 | Simply structure as mentioned in Sec 1.1.3
2 | String_values := {}
3 | for all variables  $x_i$  do
4 |   Compute all  $Type(x_i)$  from Invariant TypeOk
5 |   if  $Type(x_i) = String$  then
6 |     Find all possible values of  $x_i$  and add them to String_values. This can be obtained from
       TypeOk invariant or scanning all possible values of  $x_i$  as described in Sec 1.2.1.

```

Translating Init

```

// Recall that Init ==  $\bigwedge_{i=1}^n (x_i = Value_i \text{ or } x_i \in Finite\_set_i)$ 
for  $i = 1$  to  $n$  do
  if  $Type(Value) \in \mathbb{Z} \cup \{True, False\}$  then
7 |   |  $Translation(x_i = Value_i) := x_i = Value_i$ 
  else if  $Value_i = "Text_i"$  then
8 |   |  $Translation(x_i = Value_i) := x_i = Text_i$ 
  // In the following, Proc_Subset is a subset of Proc
  else if  $Value_i = [z \setminus in Proc\_Subset \mid - > Value_{i,z}]$  then
    // with  $Type(Value_{i,z}) \in \{Integer, Boolean, String\}$ 
9 |   |  $Translation(x_i = Value_i) := x_i(z) = Value_{i,z}$ 
    George: Will consider multi-dim functions later
  else if  $x_i \in Finite\_set_i := \{e_1, \dots, e_p\}$  then
    // s.th any element  $Type(e_i)$  is in  $\{Integer, Boolean, String\}$ 
10 |   |
        $Translation(x_i \setminus in Finite\_set_i) := x_i = e\_1 || \dots || x_i = e\_p$ 

```

```

11 | The translation of Init is:
      1 init (z) { Translation(x1= Value_1)
      2               && Translation(x2= Value_2)
      3               ...
      4               && Translation(xn= Value_n)}

```

Translating Next

```

// Recall that Next ==  $\bigvee_{i=1}^k (Predicate_i \wedge (x'_i = Value_i))$ 
1 | for  $i = 1$  to  $k$  do
2 |   Define a Cubicle-transition  $T_i$  as follows

```

Algorithm 1 TLA++ - Cubicle Translator

Defining Transition T_i

(13) Compute $Translation(Predicate_i)$ as in Section 1.2 and place it in T_i "requires"-part
(14) **if** $Type(Value_i) \in \{Integer, Boolean\}$ **then**
(15) $Translation(x'_i = Value_i) := (x_i := Value_i)$
 else if $Value_i = "Text_i"$ **then**
(16) $Translation(x_i = Value_i) := (x_i = Text_i)$
 else if $[Value_{old} EXCEPT ![c] = e]$ **then**
(17) $\begin{array}{l} 1 \text{ } x(z) = \text{case } | \text{ } z = c : e \\ 2 \text{ } \quad | _ : Value_{\{old\}}[z] \end{array}$
(18) The translation of Next is:

```
1 transition Ti (z)
2 requires {
3     Translation(Predicate_i) }
4     {
(19)  Translation(xj1=Valuej1);    ;
5     ...
6     ...
7     Translation(xjh=Valuejh);
8     }
9
```

Translating invariant

// Recall that $Invar_k == \backslash E \text{ } Obj \backslash in \text{ } Proc : P(Obj)$,
 // where P is a proposition respecting the rules of Sec 1.2
(20) *George: next step: considering invariants with $Obj1, Obj2 in Proc$*
(22) Translate the negation of each invariant $Invar_1, \dots, Invar_s$ as in Section 1.2 ;
 $\begin{array}{l} 1 \text{ } unsafe(z) = Translating(\sim Invar_1) \\ 2 \text{ } \quad || Translating(\sim Invar_2) \\ (24) \text{ Define } unsafe(z) \text{ as;} 3 \text{ } \quad \dots \\ 4 \text{ } \quad \dots \\ 5 \text{ } \quad || Translating(\sim Invar_s) \end{array}$
return Cubicle-spec with the computed $unsafe(z)$, $Init(z)$ and $T_1(z), \dots, T_k(z)$.
