

What Shape Cools Fastest?

Heat Transfer Analysis of Square vs. Circular Rods

Gabriel Kret

March 7, 2025

1 Introduction

Heat transfer from a hot rod to a cooler ambient environment occurs primarily through convection at the rods surface as well as conduction within the rod. This report compares two aluminum rods—one with a circular cross section and one with a square cross section—to determine which geometry cools faster. Both rods have the same surface area (A_s) and length (L), ensuring a fair comparison of their heat dissipation characteristics. We use the Lumped Capacitance Method (LCM), justified by calculating the Biot number.

2 Thermal Properties and Parameters

The rod material is aluminum, with the following properties:

- **Thermal Conductivity:** $k = 237 \text{ W}/(\text{m} \cdot \text{K})$
- **Density:** $\rho = 2702 \text{ kg}/\text{m}^3$
- **Specific Heat Capacity:** $c_p = 903 \text{ J}/(\text{kg} \cdot \text{K})$
- **Thermal Diffusivity:** $\alpha = \frac{k}{\rho c_p} \approx 9.75 \times 10^{-5} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$

The rods are initially at $T_i = 100^\circ\text{C}$ and are exposed to still air at $T_\infty = 20^\circ\text{C}$. We can take the convective heat transfer coefficient $h = 10 \text{ W}/\text{m}^2\cdot\text{K}$ for free convection. This value falls between the values of $2 - 25 \text{ W}/\text{m}^2\cdot\text{K}$ given in Table 1.1. (While radiation could be considered at higher temperatures, it is often negligible compared to convection at near-ambient conditions, so we focus primarily on convective cooling.)

3 Rod Geometry and Surface Area Matching

3.1 Circular Rod

- **Length:** $L = 6 \text{ in} = 0.1524 \text{ m}$
- **Diameter:** $D = 9.525 \text{ mm} = 0.009525 \text{ m}$
- **Surface Area (excluding ends):** $A_s = 0.00455 \text{ m}^2$. This A_s corresponds to the cylindrical lateral surface area πDL if the rod ends are excluded.

3.2 Square Rod

To keep the same lateral surface area, we set $4wL = A_s$, giving

$$w = \frac{A_s}{4L} = 7.475 \text{ mm.} \quad (1)$$

Hence, the square rod has cross-sectional side $w = 0.007475 \text{ m}$ and the same length $L = 0.1524 \text{ m}$.

4 Heat Transfer Modes and Assumptions

Modes of heat transfer:

- **Conduction:** Inside the rod, heat flows from the hot interior to the surface.
- **Convection:** At the surface, heat is transferred from the rod to the air.
- **Radiation (neglected in this analysis):** At temperatures near 100°C we can say convection dominates.

Key Assumptions:

- The rod properties are homogeneous and isotropic.
- Temperature within the rod is spatially uniform (justified if $\text{Bi} < 0.1$). This allows use of the Lumped Capacitance Method.
- The convective heat transfer coefficient h is constant over the rod's surface.
- The rods are cooled only by convection from their lateral surfaces, the ends of the rod are perfectly insulated and their surface areas are excluded.

5 Biot Number Analysis

The Biot number (Bi) measures the ratio of internal conduction resistance to external convection resistance:

$$\text{Bi} = \frac{hL_c}{k}, \quad \text{where} \quad L_c = \frac{V}{A_s}. \quad (2)$$

For the LCM to be valid, we require $\text{Bi} < 0.1$, indicating that conduction inside the rod is rapid compared to surface convection. As an example for the circular rod:

- $V_{\text{circle}} \approx 0.0000109 \text{ m}^3, \quad A_s = 0.00455 \text{ m}^2.$
- $L_{c,\text{circle}} = \frac{V_{\text{circle}}}{A_s} \approx 0.00238125 \text{ m}.$
- $\text{Bi} = \frac{hL_{c,\text{circle}}}{k} = \frac{10 \text{ W}/(\text{m}^2 \text{ K}) \times 2.38 \times 10^{-3} \text{ m}}{237 \text{ W}/(\text{m K})} \approx 1.0 \times 10^{-4} \ll 0.1.$

Analogous calculations for the square rod give a similarly small Biot number, validating LCM.

6 Lumped Capacitance Cooling: Time Constant and Cooling Rate

6.1 General Lumped Capacitance Formulation

Under LCM, the rod's temperature $T(t)$ satisfies:

$$\frac{dT}{dt} = -\frac{hA_s}{\rho c_p V} [T(t) - T_\infty], \quad (3)$$

whose solution is an exponential decay:

$$T(t) = T_\infty + [T_i - T_\infty] \exp\left(-\frac{hA_s}{\rho V c_p} t\right), \quad (4)$$

where the time constant τ is:

$$\tau = \frac{\rho c_p V}{hA_s}. \quad (5)$$

A smaller τ indicates faster cooling.

6.2 Numerical Values for Each Geometry

We can calculate V , m , and τ for each shape:

Circular Rod

- Volume: $V_{\text{circle}} = \pi \left(\frac{D}{2}\right)^2 L \approx 1.087 \times 10^{-5} \text{ m}^3$.
- Mass: $m_{\text{circle}} = \rho V_{\text{circle}} \approx 0.02936 \text{ kg}$.
- Time constant: $\tau_{\text{circle}} = \frac{m_{\text{circle}} c_p}{hA_s} \approx 581 \text{ s} \approx 9.7 \text{ min}$.

Square Rod

- Volume: $V_{\text{square}} = w^2 L \approx 8.52 \times 10^{-6} \text{ m}^3$.
- Mass: $m_{\text{square}} = \rho V_{\text{square}} \approx 0.0230 \text{ kg}$.
- Time constant: $\tau_{\text{square}} = \frac{m_{\text{square}} c_p}{hA_s} \approx 454 \text{ s} \approx 7.6 \text{ min}$.

6.3 Heat Transfer Rate

Since we are primarily dealing with convection, the heat transfer rate at time t is:

$$q(t) = hA_s [T(t) - T_\infty]. \quad (6)$$

Initially, $q(0) = hA_s [T_i - T_\infty]$. Both rods have the same A_s , so they begin with the same $q(0)$. However, the rod with lower thermal mass ($\rho c_p V$) cools more quickly, reducing $[T(t) - T_\infty]$ faster.

7 Discussion of Results

From the computed time constants, the square rod ($\tau_{\text{square}} \approx 454 \text{ s}$) cools faster than the circular rod ($\tau_{\text{circle}} \approx 581 \text{ s}$). This is a reduction of about 22% in time constant. Though both rods have the *same* lateral surface area, the square rod has a smaller cross-sectional area and hence a smaller volume (and mass). With a lower thermal mass per unit surface area, the square is shown to cool more quickly.