# Session 4

Multi-test, multi-population models

Matt Denwood 2021-06-29

# Why stop at two tests?

In *traditional* diagnostic test evaluation, one test is assumed to be a gold standard from which all other tests are evaluated

 So it makes no difference if you assess one test at a time or do multiple tests at the same time

# Why stop at two tests?

In *traditional* diagnostic test evaluation, one test is assumed to be a gold standard from which all other tests are evaluated

 So it makes no difference if you assess one test at a time or do multiple tests at the same time

Using a latent class model each new test adds new information - so we should analyse all available test results in the same model

### Simulating data

Simulating data using an arbitrary number of independent tests is quite straightforward:

```
# Parameter values to simulate:
N < -200
sensitivity \leftarrow c(0.8, 0.9, 0.95)
specificity \leftarrow c(0.95, 0.99, 0.95)
Populations <- 2
prevalence \leftarrow c(0.25,0.5)
data <- tibble(Population = sample(seq len(Populations), N,

    replace=TRUE)) %>%

 mutate(Status = rbinom(N, 1, prevalence[Population])) %>%
 mutate(Test1 = rbinom(N, 1, sensitivity[1]*Status +
 mutate(Test2 = rbinom(N, 1, sensitivity[2]*Status +
 mutate(Test3 = rbinom(N, 1, sensitivity[3]*Status +
 select(-Status)
```

Like for two tests, except it is now a 2x2x2 table

Like for two tests, except it is now a 2x2x2 table

```
Tally[1:8,p] ~ dmulti(prob[1:8,p], TotalTests[p])
# Probability of observing Test1- Test2- Test3-
prob[1,p] \leftarrow prev[p] * ((1-se[1])*(1-se[2])*(1-se[3]) +
              (1-prev[p]) * (sp[1]*sp[2]*sp[3])
# Probability of observing Test1+ Test2- Test3-
prob[2,p] \leftarrow prev[p] * (se[1]*(1-se[2])*(1-se[3])) +
              (1-prev[p]) * ((1-sp[1])*sp[2]*sp[3])
## snip ##
# Probability of observing Test1+ Test2+ Test3+
prob[3,p] <- prev[p] * (se[1]*se[2]*se[3]) +
              (1-prev[p]) * ((1-sp[1])*(1-sp[2])*(1-sp[3]))
```

Like for two tests, except it is now a 2x2x2 table

```
Tally[1:8,p] ~ dmulti(prob[1:8,p], TotalTests[p])
# Probability of observing Test1- Test2- Test3-
prob[1,p] \leftarrow prev[p] * ((1-se[1])*(1-se[2])*(1-se[3]) +
              (1-prev[p]) * (sp[1]*sp[2]*sp[3])
# Probability of observing Test1+ Test2- Test3-
prob[2,p] \leftarrow prev[p] * (se[1]*(1-se[2])*(1-se[3])) +
              (1-prev[p]) * ((1-sp[1])*sp[2]*sp[3])
## snip ##
# Probability of observing Test1+ Test2+ Test3+
prob[3,p] <- prev[p] * (se[1]*se[2]*se[3]) +
              (1-prev[p]) * ((1-sp[1])*(1-sp[2])*(1-sp[3]))
```

• We need to take extreme care with these equations, and the multinomial tabulation!!!

# Are the tests conditionally independent?

- Example: we have one blood, one milk, and one faecal test
  - But the blood and milk test are basically the same test
  - Therefore they are more likely to give the same result

# Are the tests conditionally independent?

- Example: we have one blood, one milk, and one faecal test
  - But the blood and milk test are basically the same test
  - Therefore they are more likely to give the same result
- Example: we test people for COVID using an antigen test on a nasal swab, a PCR test on a throat swab, and the same antigen test on the same throat swab
  - The virus may be present in the throat, nose, neither, or both
  - But we use the same antigen test twice
    - Might it cross-react with the same non-target virus?

### Are the tests conditionally independent?

- Example: we have one blood, one milk, and one faecal test
  - But the blood and milk test are basically the same test
  - Therefore they are more likely to give the same result
- Example: we test people for COVID using an antigen test on a nasal swab, a PCR test on a throat swab, and the same antigen test on the same throat swab
  - The virus may be present in the throat, nose, neither, or both
  - But we use the same antigen test twice
    - Might it cross-react with the same non-target virus?
- In both situations we have pairwise correlation between some of the tests

#### Dealing with correlation

It helps to consider the data simulation as a (simplified) biological process (where my parameters are not representative of real life!):

```
# The probability of infection with COVID in two populations:
prevalence <- c(0.01, 0.05)
# The probability of shedding COVID in the nose conditional on
nose_shedding <- 0.8
# The probability of shedding COVID in the throat conditional on
throat_shedding <- 0.8
# The probability of detecting virus with the antigen test:
antigen detection <- 0.75
# The probability of detecting virus with the PCR test:
pcr detection <- 0.999
# The probability of random cross-reaction with the antiqen test:
antigen crossreact <- 0.05
# The probability of random cross-reaction with the PCR test:
pcr crossreact <- 0.01
```

#### Dealing with correlation

It helps to consider the data simulation as a (simplified) biological process (where my parameters are not representative of real life!):

```
# The probability of infection with COVID in two populations:
prevalence <- c(0.01, 0.05)
# The probability of shedding COVID in the nose conditional on
nose_shedding <- 0.8
# The probability of shedding COVID in the throat conditional on
throat_shedding <- 0.8
# The probability of detecting virus with the antigen test:
antigen detection <- 0.75
# The probability of detecting virus with the PCR test:
pcr detection <- 0.999
# The probability of random cross-reaction with the antiqen test:
antigen crossreact <- 0.05
# The probability of random cross-reaction with the PCR test:
pcr crossreact <- 0.01
```

Note: cross-reactions are assumed to be independent!

#### Simulating latent states:

#### Simulating test results:

```
covid data <- covid data %>%
  ## The nose swab antigen test may be false or true positive:
 mutate(NoseAG = case when(
   Nose == 1 ~ rbinom(N, 1, antigen_detection),
   Nose == 0 ~ rbinom(N, 1, antigen_crossreact)
 )) %>%
 ## The throat swab antigen test may be false or true positive:
 mutate(ThroatAG = case when(
   Throat == 1 ~ rbinom(N, 1, antigen_detection),
   Throat == 0 ~ rbinom(N, 1, antigen crossreact)
 )) %>%
  ## The PCR test may be false or true positive:
 mutate(ThroatPCR = case_when(
   Throat == 1 ~ rbinom(N, 1, pcr_detection),
   Throat == 0 \sim rbinom(N, 1, pcr crossreact)
 ))
```

The overall sensitivity of the tests can be calculated as follows:

#### The overall specificity of the tests is more straightforward:

```
covid_specificity <- c(
    # Nose antigen:
    1 - antigen_crossreact,
    # Throat antigen:
    1 - antigen_crossreact,
    # Throat PCR:
    1 - pcr_crossreact
)
covid_specificity
## [1] 0.95 0.95 0.99</pre>
```

The overall specificity of the tests is more straightforward:

```
covid_specificity <- c(
    # Nose antigen:
    1 - antigen_crossreact,
    # Throat antigen:
    1 - antigen_crossreact,
    # Throat PCR:
    1 - pcr_crossreact
)
covid_specificity
## [1] 0.95 0.95 0.99</pre>
```

However: this assumes that cross-reactions are independent!

```
prob[1,p] <- prev[p] * ((1-se[1])*(1-se[2])*(1-se[3])
                         +covse12 +covse13 +covse23) +
              (1-prev[p]) * (sp[1]*sp[2]*sp[3]
                              +covsp12 +covsp13 +covsp23)
prob[2,p] \leftarrow prev[p] * (se[1]*(1-se[2])*(1-se[3])
                            -covse12 -covse13 +covse23) +
               (1-prev[p]) * ((1-sp[1])*sp[2]*sp[3]
                               -covsp12 -covsp13 +covsp23)
## snip ##
# Covariance in sensitivity between tests 1 and 2:
covse12 ~ dunif( (se[1]-1)*(1-se[2]).
                     \min(se[1], se[2]) - se[1]*se[2])
# Covariance in specificity between tests 1 and 2:
covsp12 \sim dunif((sp[1]-1)*(1-sp[2]),
                     min(sp[1], sp[2]) - sp[1]*sp[2])
```

```
prob[1,p] <- prev[p] * ((1-se[1])*(1-se[2])*(1-se[3])
                         +covse12 +covse13 +covse23) +
              (1-prev[p]) * (sp[1]*sp[2]*sp[3]
                              +covsp12 +covsp13 +covsp23)
prob[2,p] \leftarrow prev[p] * (se[1]*(1-se[2])*(1-se[3])
                            -covse12 -covse13 +covse23) +
               (1-prev[p]) * ((1-sp[1])*sp[2]*sp[3]
                               -covsp12 -covsp13 +covsp23)
## snip ##
# Covariance in sensitivity between tests 1 and 2:
covse12 ~ dunif( (se[1]-1)*(1-se[2]).
                     \min(se[1], se[2]) - se[1]*se[2])
# Covariance in specificity between tests 1 and 2:
covsp12 \sim dunif((sp[1]-1)*(1-sp[2]),
                     min(sp[1], sp[2]) - sp[1]*sp[2])
```

It is quite easy to get the terms slightly wrong!

#### Template Hui-Walter

The model code and data format for an arbitrary number of populations (and tests) can be determined automatically using the template\_huiwalter function from the runjas package:

```
template_huiwalter(
  covid_data %>% select(Population, NoseAG, ThroatAG, ThroatPCR),
  outfile = 'covidmodel.txt')
```

This generates self-contained model/data/initial values etc

```
model{
    ## Observation layer:
    # Complete observations (N=20000):
    for(p in 1:Populations){
        Tally_RRR[1:8,p] ~ dmulti(prob_RRR[1:8,p], N_RRR[p])
        prob_RRR[1:8,p] <- se_prob[1:8,p] + sp_prob[1:8,p]</pre>
    }
    ## Observation probabilities:
    for(p in 1:Populations){
        # Probability of observing NoseAG- ThroatAG- ThroatPCR- from a
        \hookrightarrow true positive::
        se_{prob}[1,p] \leftarrow prev[p] * ((1-se[1])*(1-se[2])*(1-se[3])
        \hookrightarrow +covse12 +covse13 +covse23)
        # Probability of observing NoseAG- ThroatAG- ThroatPCR- from a
        sp_prob[1,p] \leftarrow (1-prev[p]) * (sp[1]*sp[2]*sp[3] +covsp12
        \hookrightarrow +covsp13 +covsp23)
        # Probability of observing NoseAG+ ThroatAG- ThroatPCR- from a
```

```
"se" <- c(0.5, 0.99, 0.5)
"sp" \leftarrow c(0.99, 0.75, 0.99)
"prev" <- c(0.05, 0.95)
# "covse12" <- 0
# "covse13" <- 0
# "covse23" <- 0
# "covsp12" <- 0
# "covsp13" <- 0
# "covsp23" <- 0
inits{
"se" <- c(0.99, 0.5, 0.99)
"sp" <- c(0.75, 0.99, 0.75)
"prev" <- c(0.95, 0.05)
# "covse12" <- 0
# "covse13" <- 0
# "covse23" <- 0
# "covsp12" <- 0
# "covsp13" <- 0
# "covsp23" <- 0
## Data:
data{
"Populations" <- 2
```

## Inits:
inits{

14

### And can be run directly from R:

```
results <- run.jags('covidmodel.txt')
## Loading required namespace: rjags
results</pre>
```

	Lower95	Median	Upper95	SSeff	psrf
 se[1]	0.580	0.631	0.681	8424	1
se[2]	0.714	0.767	0.815	7613	1
se[3]	0.945	0.982	1.000	6057	1
sp[1]	0.944	0.947	0.951	12226	1
sp[2]	0.947	0.951	0.954	11651	1
sp[3]	0.987	0.989	0.991	7260	1
prev[1]	0.005	0.007	0.009	9856	1
prev[2]	0.037	0.042	0.046	8043	1
covse12	0.000	0.000	0.000	NA	NA
covsp12	0.000	0.000	0.000	NA	NA
covse13	0.000	0.000	0.000	NA	NA
covsp13	0.000	0.000	0.000	NA	NA
covse23	0.000	0.000	0.000	NA	NA
covsp23	0.000	0.000	0.000	NA	NA

#### Template Hui-Walter

- Modifying priors must still be done directly in the model file
  - Same for adding .RNG.seed and the deviance monitor
- The model needs to be re-generated if the data changes
  - But remember that your modified priors will be reset
- There must be a single column for the population (as a factor), and all of the other columns (either factor, logical or numeric) are interpreted as being test results

#### Template Hui-Walter

- Modifying priors must still be done directly in the model file
  - Same for adding .RNG.seed and the deviance monitor
- The model needs to be re-generated if the data changes
  - But remember that your modified priors will be reset
- There must be a single column for the population (as a factor), and all of the other columns (either factor, logical or numeric) are interpreted as being test results
- Covariance terms are all deactivated by default

#### **Activating covariance terms**

Find the lines for the covariances that we want to activate (i.e. the two Throat tests):

```
# Covariance in sensitivity between ThroatAG and ThroatPCR tests: # covse23 ~ dunif( (se[2]-1)*(1-se[3]) , min(se[2],se[3]) - se[2]*se[3] \hookrightarrow ) ## if the sensitivity of these tests may be correlated covse23 <- 0 ## if the sensitivity of these tests can be assumed to be \hookrightarrow independent # Covariance in specificity between ThroatAG and ThroatPCR tests: # covsp23 ~ dunif( (sp[2]-1)*(1-sp[3]) , min(sp[2],sp[3]) - sp[2]*sp[3] \hookrightarrow ) ## if the specificity of these tests may be correlated covsp23 <- 0 ## if the specificity of these tests can be assumed to be \hookrightarrow independent
```

#### And edit so it looks like:

```
# Covariance in sensitivity between ThroatAG and ThroatPCR tests: covse23 ~ dunif( (se[1]-1)*(1-se[2]) , min(se[1],se[2]) - se[1]*se[2] ) 

\hookrightarrow ## if the sensitivity of these tests may be correlated 

# covse23 <- 0 ## if the sensitivity of these tests can be assumed to 

\hookrightarrow be independent 

# Covariance in specificity between ThroatAG and ThroatPCR tests: covsp23 ~ dunif( (sp[1]-1)*(1-sp[2]) , min(sp[1],sp[2]) - sp[1]*sp[2] ) 

\hookrightarrow ## if the specificity of these tests may be correlated 

# covsp23 <- 0 ## if the specificity of these tests can be assumed to 

\hookrightarrow be independent
```

### [i.e. swap the comments around]

You will also need to uncomment out the relevant initial values for BOTH chains (on lines 117-122 and 128-133):

```
# "covse12" <- 0
# "covse13" <- 0
# "covse23" <- 0
# "covsp12" <- 0
# "covsp13" <- 0
# "covsp23" <- 0
```

#### So that they look like:

```
# "covse12" <- 0
# "covse13" <- 0
"covse23" <- 0
# "covsp12" <- 0
# "covsp13" <- 0
```

```
results <- run.jags('covidmodel.txt', sample=50000)
results
##
## JAGS model summary statistics from 100000 samples (chains = 2;
   adapt+burnin = 5000):
\hookrightarrow
##
##
                       Median
            Lower95
                                Upper95
                                            Mean
## se[1]
           0.59041 0.6558 0.7222 0.65673
## se[2]
                                0.77797 0.66427
       0.53956 0.66588
## se[3]
       0.74528 0.87417
                                0.99989 0.8705
## sp[1] 0.94492 0.94916
                                0.95336 0.94922
## sp[2] 0.94584 0.94929 0.95268 0.94927
## sp[3] 0.98547
                      0.98782
                                0.99005
                                         0.98779
## prev[1] 0.0051416 0.0073554 0.009905 0.0074351
## prev[2] 0.038011
                   0.044986
                              0.053142
                                        0.045337
## covse12
                                     0
                           0
## covsp12
                  0
                                     0
## covse13
                  0
                           0
                                     0
## covsp13
## covse23 -0.0090297 0.043758
                             0.091187
                                        0.042751
## covsp23 -0.00018958 0.00039107 0.00094698 0.00037786
##
                            MCerr MC%ofSD SSeff
##
                SD Mode
                                                AC.10
## se[1] 0.033552
                    --
                        0.00032462 1 10683 0.076119
## se[2]
       0.062353 --
                       0.0013778 2.2 2048 0.60594
## se[3]
       0.072497 --
                       0.0017054 2.4 1807
                                               0.66931
## sp[1]
         0.0021584 -- 0.000036536 1.7 3490
                                              0.30582
```

#### **Practical considerations**

- Correlation terms add complexity to the model in terms of:
  - Opportunity to make a coding mistake
  - Reduced identifiability

#### **Practical considerations**

- Correlation terms add complexity to the model in terms of:
  - Opportunity to make a coding mistake
  - Reduced identifiability
- The template\_huiwalter function helps us with coding mistakes
- Only careful consideration of covariance terms can help us with identifiability

#### **Practical considerations**

- Correlation terms add complexity to the model in terms of:
  - Opportunity to make a coding mistake
  - Reduced identifiability
- The template\_huiwalter function helps us with coding mistakes
- Only careful consideration of covariance terms can help us with identifiability
- We will return to these themes tomorrow!

# Practical session 4

#### Points to consider

- 1. How does including a third test impact the inference for the first two tests?
- 2. What happens if we include correlation between tests?
- 3. Can we include correlation if we only have 2 tests?

#### Summary

- Including multiple tests is technically easy
  - But philosophically more difficult!!!
- Complexity of adding correlation terms increases non-linearly with more tests
  - Probably best to stick to correlations with biological justification?
- Adding/removing test results may change the posterior for
  - Other test Se / Sp
  - Prevalence