# Session 6

Coping with missing data

Matt Denwood 2021-06-30

# Types of missingness

MCAR: Missing completely at random

- There is absolutely no pattern to the missingness
- This is the best kind

## Types of missingness

MCAR: Missing completely at random

- There is absolutely no pattern to the missingness
- This is the best kind

MAR: Missing at random

- There is a pattern to the missingness but we know what it is
- This is usually possible to deal with but needs some consideration

## Types of missingness

## MCAR: Missing completely at random

- There is absolutely no pattern to the missingness
- This is the best kind

### MAR: Missing at random

- There is a pattern to the missingness but we know what it is
- This is usually possible to deal with but needs some consideration

## MNAR: Missing not at random

- There is an unknown (or unrecorded) pattern to the missingness
- It is therefore possible that the prevalence is confounded with missingness

Missing samples can occur for any individual with equal probability

- Missingness is not correlated with anything
- There is no possibility of being confounded with prevalence

Missing samples can occur for any individual with equal probability

- Missingness is not correlated with anything
- There is no possibility of being confounded with prevalence

### Examples

- The animal was too aggressive to facilitate a blood sample
- Somebody dropped the samples on the way to the lab

Missing samples can occur for any individual with equal probability

- Missingness is not correlated with anything
- There is no possibility of being confounded with prevalence

## Examples

- The animal was too aggressive to facilitate a blood sample
- Somebody dropped the samples on the way to the lab

#### Possible solutions:

- Exclude individuals with incomplete data
- Allow template\_huiwalter to adjust the model code

Missing samples can occur for any individual with equal probability

- Missingness is not correlated with anything
- There is no possibility of being confounded with prevalence

### Examples

- The animal was too aggressive to facilitate a blood sample
- Somebody dropped the samples on the way to the lab

#### Possible solutions:

- Exclude individuals with incomplete data
- Allow template\_huiwalter to adjust the model code

This is a relatively rare kind of missingness, but it does happen

Missing samples occur due to a known pattern

 We can (and must) assess if this is likely be correlated with prevalence

Missing samples occur due to a known pattern

 We can (and must) assess if this is likely be correlated with prevalence

## Examples:

- Test A was not done in population 1 because of costs
- Test B was only done if Test A was positive

Missing samples occur due to a known pattern

 We can (and must) assess if this is likely be correlated with prevalence

### Examples:

- Test A was not done in population 1 because of costs
- Test B was only done if Test A was positive

Solution depends on whether the the missigness is potentially confounded with prevalence

- No -> treat as MCAR
- Yes -> we must model the confounding

Missing samples occur due to a known pattern

 We can (and must) assess if this is likely be correlated with prevalence

### Examples:

- Test A was not done in population 1 because of costs
- Test B was only done if Test A was positive

Solution depends on whether the the missigness is potentially confounded with prevalence

- No -> treat as MCAR
- Yes -> we must model the confounding

Very common type of missingness in practice

Missing samples occur due to an unknown/unrecorded pattern

• We must assume that this might be correlated with prevalence

Missing samples occur due to an unknown/unrecorded pattern

We must assume that this might be correlated with prevalence

## Examples:

- Test B was only done if the animal had (unrecorded) diarrhea
- Some patients choose to have Test B after knowing the result of Test A

Missing samples occur due to an unknown/unrecorded pattern

We must assume that this might be correlated with prevalence

## Examples:

- Test B was only done if the animal had (unrecorded) diarrhea
- Some patients choose to have Test B after knowing the result of Test A

#### Possible solutions:

- Exclude segments of the data that may be affected by structural missingness
- Give up and collect a better dataset

Missing samples occur due to an unknown/unrecorded pattern

We must assume that this might be correlated with prevalence

## Examples:

- Test B was only done if the animal had (unrecorded) diarrhea
- Some patients choose to have Test B after knowing the result of Test A

#### Possible solutions:

- Exclude segments of the data that may be affected by structural missingness
- Give up and collect a better dataset

A common type of missingness in secondary data

## Missingness and template Hui-Walter

We can simulate MCAR data as follows:

```
set.seed(2021-06-30)
# Parameter values to simulate:
N < -1000
sensitivity <-c(0.8, 0.9, 0.95)
specificity \leftarrow c(0.95, 0.99, 0.95)
Populations <- 2
prevalence \leftarrow c(0.25,0.5)
data <- tibble(Population = sample(seq len(Populations), N,

    replace=TRUE)) %>%

 mutate(Status = rbinom(N, 1, prevalence[Population])) %>%
 mutate(Test1 = rbinom(N, 1, sensitivity[1]*Status +
 mutate(Test2 = rbinom(N, 1, sensitivity[2]*Status +
 mutate(Test3 = rbinom(N, 1, sensitivity[3]*Status +
 select(-Status)
```

### Now introduce missingness in all 3 tests:

```
missingness <-c(0.1, 0.2, 0.3)
data <- data %>%
 mutate(Test1 = case when(
   rbinom(n(), 1, missingness[1]) == 1L ~ NA_integer_,
   TRUE ~ Test1
 )) %>%
 mutate(Test2 = case when(
   rbinom(n(), 1, missingness[2]) == 1L ~ NA_integer_,
   TRUE ~ Test2
 )) %>%
 mutate(Test3 = case_when(
   rbinom(n(), 1, missingness[3]) == 1L ~ NA_integer_,
   TRUE ~ Test3
 ))
```

```
data %>% count(Missing1 = is.na(Test1), Missing2 = is.na(Test2),
## # A tibble: 8 x 4
## Missing1 Missing2 Missing3
                       n
## <lgl> <lgl> <int>
## 1 FALSE FALSE FALSE 513
## 2 FALSE FALSE TRUE 210
## 3 FALSE TRUE FALSE 126
## 4 FALSE TRUE TRUE
                      56
## 5 TRUE FALSE FALSE 54
## 6 TRUE FALSE TRUE
                        20
## 7 TRUE
         TRUE FALSE
                        14
## 8 TRUE
         TRUE
                TRUE
```

### We can simply feed this data to template\_huiwalter:

What does that look like...?

```
model{
    ## Observation layer:

# Complete observations (N=513):
    for(p in 1:Populations){
        Tally_RRR[1:8,p] ~ dmulti(prob_RRR[1:8,p], N_RRR[p])

        prob_RRR[1:8,p] <- se_prob[1:8,p] + sp_prob[1:8,p]
}</pre>
```

```
# Partial observations (Test1: Recorded, Test2: Missing, Test3:
\hookrightarrow Missing; N=56):
for(p in 1:Populations){
    Tally_RMM[1:2,p] ~ dmulti(prob_RMM[1:2,p], N_RMM[p])
    prob_{RMM}[1:2,p] \leftarrow se_{prob}[c(1,2),p] + sp_{prob}[c(1,2),p] +
                           se_prob[c(3,4),p] + sp_prob[c(3,4),p] +
                           se prob[c(5,6),p] + sp prob[c(5,6),p] +
                           se prob[c(7,8),p] + sp prob[c(7,8),p]
}
# Partial observations (Test1: Recorded, Test2: Recorded, Test3:
\hookrightarrow Missing; N=210):
for(p in 1:Populations){
    Tally RRM[1:4,p] ~ dmulti(prob RRM[1:4,p], N RRM[p])
    prob_RRM[1:4,p] \leftarrow se_prob[c(1,2,3,4),p] +
    \hookrightarrow sp prob[c(1,2,3,4),p] +
                           se prob[c(5,6,7,8),p] +
                           \hookrightarrow sp_prob[c(5,6,7,8),p]
```

```
# Partial observations (Test1: Missing, Test2: Recorded, Test3:
for(p in 1:Populations){
    Tally MRR[1:4,p] ~ dmulti(prob MRR[1:4,p], N MRR[p])
    prob_MRR[1:4,p] \leftarrow se_prob[c(1,3,5,7),p] +
    \hookrightarrow sp prob[c(1,3,5,7),p] +
                        se prob[c(2,4,6,8),p] +
                        \hookrightarrow sp_prob[c(2,4,6,8),p]
}
# Partial observations (Test1: Missing, Test2: Recorded, Test3:
for(p in 1:Populations){
    Tally_MRM[1:2,p] ~ dmulti(prob_MRM[1:2,p], N_MRM[p])
    prob MRM[1:2,p] <- se prob[c(1,3),p] + sp prob[c(1,3),p] +
                        se prob[c(2,4),p] + sp prob[c(2,4),p] +
                        se_prob[c(5,7),p] + sp_prob[c(5,7),p] +
                        se_prob[c(6,8),p] + sp_prob[c(6,8),p]
```

NB: MMM combinations have been removed!

```
## Observation probabilities:
for(p in 1:Populations){
    # Probability of observing Test1- Test2- Test3- from a true
    → positive::
    se_{prob}[1,p] \leftarrow prev[p] * ((1-se[1])*(1-se[2])*(1-se[3])

→ +covse12 +covse13 +covse23)

    # Probability of observing Test1- Test2- Test3- from a true
    → negative::
    sp_prob[1,p] \leftarrow (1-prev[p]) * (sp[1]*sp[2]*sp[3] +covsp12
    \hookrightarrow +covsp13 +covsp23)
    # Probability of observing Test1+ Test2- Test3- from a true
    → positive::
    se_{prob}[2,p] \leftarrow prev[p] * (se[1]*(1-se[2])*(1-se[3]) -covse12
    \hookrightarrow -covse13 +covse23)
    # Probability of observing Test1+ Test2- Test3- from a true
    → negative::
    sp_prob[2,p] \leftarrow (1-prev[p]) * ((1-sp[1])*sp[2]*sp[3] -covsp12
    \hookrightarrow -covsp13 +covsp23)
    # Probability of observing Test1- Test2+ Test3- from a true
    \hookrightarrow positive::
    se_prob[3,p] \leftarrow prev[p] * ((1-se[1])*se[2]*(1-se[3]) -covse12

→ +covse13 -covse23)

    # Probability of observing Test1- Test2+ Test3- from a true
```

```
## Data:
data{
"Populations" <- 2
"N RRR" <- c(233, 280)
"Tally_RRR" <- structure(c(148, 8, 1, 2, 9, 4, 11, 50, 133, 3, 4, 5, 8,
\rightarrow 9, 20, 98), .Dim = c(8, 2))
"N RMR" <- c(65, 61)
"Tally_RMR" <- structure(c(51, 3, 1, 10, 29, 2, 11, 19), .Dim = c(4, 2))
"N RMM" <- c(22, 34)
"Tally_RMM" \leftarrow structure(c(16, 6, 20, 14), .Dim = c(2, 2))
"N RRM" <- c(100, 110)
"Tally_RRM" <- structure(c(74, 5, 2, 19, 58, 10, 5, 37), .Dim = c(4, 2))
"N MRR" \leftarrow c(27, 27)
"Tally_MRR" <- structure(c(18, 1, 4, 4, 15, 2, 1, 9), .Dim = c(4, 2))
"N MRM" <- c(10, 10)
"Tally MRM" <- structure(c(7, 3, 4, 6), .Dim = c(2, 2))
"N MMR" <- c(6, 8)
"Tally MMR" \leftarrow structure(c(4, 2, 2, 6), .Dim = c(2, 2))
}
```

# What about other types of missing?

#### MAR:

- As for MCAR
- As long as the randomness structure is not confounded with prevalence!

# What about other types of missing?

#### MAR:

- As for MCAR
- As long as the randomness structure is not confounded with prevalence!

#### MNAR:

- Solution depends entirely on the problem
- And sometimes there is no solution...

# What about other types of missing?

#### MAR:

- As for MCAR
- As long as the randomness structure is not confounded with prevalence!

#### MNAR:

- Solution depends entirely on the problem
- And sometimes there is no solution...

But remember: bigger datasets are not always better datasets...

# Making your data missing

## What happens if we eliminate:

- One population at a time (where we have >2)?
- One test at a time (where we have >2)?
- Do the results change?

# Making your data missing

## What happens if we eliminate:

- One population at a time (where we have >2)?
- One test at a time (where we have >2)?
- Do the results change?

If we have >2 populations *and* >2 tests then we can eliminate one combination at a time!

• This is a very useful form of cross-validation

#### How can we do this?

```
all_combinations <- data %>%
 pivot_longer(-Population, names_to = "Test", values_to = "Result") %%
 filter(!is.na(Result)) %>%
 count(Population, Test) %>%
 print()
## # A tibble: 6 x 3
## Population Test
##
         <int> <chr> <int>
## 1
            1 Test1 420
## 2
            1 Test2 370
## 3
            1 Test.3 331
## 4
          2 Test.1 485
## 5
        2 Test2 427
## 6
            2 Test3
                     376
```

```
all results <- vector('list', length=nrow(all combinations))
all summary <- vector('list', length=nrow(all combinations))</pre>
crossval data <- data %>%
  mutate(Test1 = case when(
    Population == 1 ~ NA integer .
   TRUE ~ Test1
  ))
template_huiwalter(crossval_data, "model_m11.txt")
all_results[[1]] <- run.jags("model_m11.txt")</pre>
## Loading required namespace: rjags
all_summary[[1]] <- summary(all_results[[1]], vars="^s") %>%
  as.data.frame() %>%
  rownames to column("Parameter") %>%
  mutate(Model = "M11") %>%
  select(Model, Parameter, Median, Lower95, Upper95)
```

```
all_summary[[1]]
##
    Model Parameter Median Lower95 Upper95
## 1 M11
         se[1] 0.8204339 0.7642243 0.8778354
    M11 se[2] 0.8944568 0.8421949 0.9444834
## 2
## 3
     M11
          se[3] 0.9453681 0.9067790 0.9788138
     M11
          sp[1] 0.9708049 0.9378288 0.9962416
## 4
## 5
     M11
          sp[2] 0.9853091 0.9677186 0.9999938
## 6
    M11
             sp[3] 0.9500475 0.9157365 0.9805095
```

```
crossval_data <- data %>%
  mutate(Test2 = case_when(
    Population == 1 ~ NA_integer_,
    TRUE ~ Test2
))

template_huiwalter(crossval_data, "model_m12.txt")
all_results[[2]] <- run.jags("model_m12.txt")
all_summary[[2]] <- summary(all_results[[2]], vars="^s") %>%
  as.data.frame() %>%
  rownames_to_column("Parameter") %>%
  mutate(Model = "M11") %>%
  select(Model, Parameter, Median, Lower95, Upper95)
```

```
crossval data <- data %>%
  mutate(Test2 = case when(
    Population == 1 ~ NA_integer_,
    TRUE ~ Test2
  ))
template_huiwalter(crossval_data, "model_m12.txt")
all_results[[2]] <- run.jags("model_m12.txt")</pre>
all_summary[[2]] <- summary(all_results[[2]], vars="^s") %>%
  as.data.frame() %>%
  rownames to column("Parameter") %>%
  mutate(Model = "M11") %>%
  select(Model, Parameter, Median, Lower95, Upper95)
etc. . . !
```

### Are there any substantial disagreements:

```
bind rows(all summary) %>%
 arrange(Parameter, Model)
     Model Parameter Median Lower95
##
                                            Upper95
## 1
                se[1] 0.8204339 0.7642243 0.8778354
       M11
## 2
       M11
                se[1] 0.8264980 0.7704417 0.8824894
## 3
     M11
                se[2] 0.8944568 0.8421949 0.9444834
## 4
      M11
                se[2] 0.8951691 0.8407406 0.9441909
## 5
       M11
                se[3] 0.9453681 0.9067790 0.9788138
## 6
       M11
                se[3] 0.9388151 0.8928106 0.9774963
## 7
       M11
                sp[1] 0.9708049 0.9378288 0.9962416
## 8
       M11
                sp[1] 0.9649471 0.9371025 0.9885741
## 9
       M11
                sp[2] 0.9853091 0.9677186 0.9999938
## 10
       M11
                sp[2] 0.9772172 0.9480005 0.9999880
## 11
       M11
                sp[3] 0.9500475 0.9157365 0.9805095
## 12
       M11
                sp[3] 0.9528096 0.9187219 0.9878882
```

# Practical session 6

### Points to consider

- 1. How does MCAR data impact your results?
- 2. What about if you have data using confirmatory tests?
- 3. How can we use cross-validation as a method of checking assumptions?

## Summary

- Observations that are MCAR are trivial to deal with using JAGS
- We can also treat MAR observations as if they are MCAR as long as the reason for missingness does not confound with expected prevalence, or we allow prevalence to differ between groups where the structural missingness differs
- MNAR is bad news
- Deliberately making observations missing is a good way to assess model assumptions