

## Session 7

Incorporating imperfect sensitivity and specificity into more complex models

---

Matt Denwood

2021-07-01

# Recap

Models for diagnostic test evaluation require:

- At least 2 tests
- At least 2 populations, but preferably 3 or more
- Quite a lot of data

# Recap

Models for diagnostic test evaluation require:

- At least 2 tests
- At least 2 populations, but preferably 3 or more
- Quite a lot of data

Fitting the models is technically quite straightforward

The real difficulty lies in the interpretation

- What exactly is the latent class?

## **Incorporating imperfect sensitivity and specificity into more complex models**

---

# Logistic regression in JAGS

```
model{  
  
  for(i in 1:N){  
    Observation[i] ~ dbern(prob[i])  
    logit(prob[i]) <- intercept + beta1[Category[i]] +  
      ↪ beta2*Covariate[i]  
  }  
  
  intercept ~ dnorm(0, 0.01)  
  beta1[1] <- 0  
  for(c in 2:NC){  
    beta1[c] ~ dnorm(0, 0.01)  
  }  
  beta2 ~ dnorm(0, 0.01)  
  
  #data# N, Observation, NC, Category, Covariate  
  #monitor# intercept, beta1, beta2  
  #inits# intercept, beta1, beta2  
}
```

```

model{

  for(i in 1:N){
    Observation[i] ~ dbern(obs_prob[i])
    obs_prob[i] <- prob[i]*se + (1-prob[i])*(1-sp)
    logit(prob[i]) <- intercept + beta1[Category[i]] +
      ↪ beta2*Covariate[i]
  }

  se ~ dbeta(1,1)T(1-sp, )
  sp ~ dbeta(1,1)

  intercept ~ dnorm(0, 0.01)
  beta1[1] <- 0
  for(c in 2:NC){
    beta1[c] ~ dnorm(0, 0.01)
  }
  beta2 ~ dnorm(0, 0.01)

  #data# N, Observation, NC, Category, Covariate
  #monitor# intercept, beta1, beta2, se, sp
  #inits# intercept, beta1, beta2, se, sp
}

```

```

model{

  for(i in 1:N){
    Observation[i] ~ dbern(obs_prob[i])
    obs_prob[i] <- prob[i]*se + (1-prob[i])*(1-sp)
    logit(prob[i]) <- intercept + beta1[Category[i]] +
      ↪ beta2*Covariate[i]
  }

  se ~ dbeta(148.43, 16.49)T(1-sp, )
  sp ~ dbeta(240.03, 12.63)

  intercept ~ dnorm(0, 0.01)
  beta1[1] <- 0
  for(c in 2:NC){
    beta1[c] ~ dnorm(0, 0.01)
  }
  beta2 ~ dnorm(0, 0.01)

  #data# N, Observation, NC, Category, Covariate
  #monitor# intercept, beta1, beta2, se, sp
  #inits# intercept, beta1, beta2, se, sp
}

```

```

model{

  for(i in 1:N){
    Observation[i] ~ dbern(obs_prob[i])
    obs_prob[i] <- prob[i]*se + (1-prob[i])*(1-sp)
    logit(prob[i]) <- intercept + beta1[Category[i]] +
      ↪ beta2*Covariate[i]
  }

  se <- 0.9
  sp <- 0.95

  intercept ~ dnorm(0, 0.01)
  beta1[1] <- 0
  for(c in 2:NC){
    beta1[c] ~ dnorm(0, 0.01)
  }
  beta2 ~ dnorm(0, 0.01)

  #data# N, Observation, NC, Category, Covariate
  #monitor# intercept, beta1, beta2
  #inits# intercept, beta1, beta2
}

```



```

model{

  for(i in 1:N){
    Observation[i] ~ dbern(obs_prob[i])
    obs_prob[i] <- prob[i]*se + (1-prob[i])*(1-sp)
    logit(prob[i]) <- intercept + beta1[Category[i]] +
      ↪ beta2*Covariate[i]
  }

  #data# se, sp

  intercept ~ dnorm(0, 0.01)
  beta1[1] <- 0
  for(c in 2:NC){
    beta1[c] ~ dnorm(0, 0.01)
  }
  beta2 ~ dnorm(0, 0.01)

  #data# N, Observation, NC, Category, Covariate
  #monitor# intercept, beta1, beta2
  #inits# intercept, beta1, beta2
}

```

```

model{

  for(i in 1:N){
    Observation[i] ~ dbern(obs_prob[i])
    obs_prob[i] <- prob[i]*se[Test[i]] + (1-prob[i])*(1-sp[Test[i]])
    logit(prob[i]) <- intercept + beta1[Category[i]] +
      ↪ beta2*Covariate[i]
  }

  #data# se, sp

  intercept ~ dnorm(0, 0.01)
  beta1[1] <- 0
  for(c in 2:NC){
    beta1[c] ~ dnorm(0, 0.01)
  }
  beta2 ~ dnorm(0, 0.01)

  #data# N, Observation, NC, Category, Covariate, Test
  #monitor# intercept, beta1, beta2
  #inits# intercept, beta1, beta2
}

```

## Other types of GL(M)M

You can use template.jags as inspiration:

```
template.jags(weight ~ group, family="gaussian", data=data,
  ↪ file="linear_model.txt")
## Your model template was created at "linear_model.txt" - it is highly
  ↪ advisable to examine the model syntax to be sure it is as intended
## You can then run the model using run.jags("linear_model.txt")
results <- run.jags("linear_model.txt")
## Loading required namespace: rjags
## module glm loaded
## module dic loaded
## Compiling rjags model...
## Calling the simulation using the rjags method...
## Note: the model did not require adaptation
## Burning in the model for 4000 iterations...
## Running the model for 10000 iterations...
## Simulation complete
## Calculating summary statistics...
## Note: The monitored variable 'group_effect[1]'
## appears to be non-stochastic; it will not be included
## in the convergence diagnostic
## Calculating the Gelman-Rubin statistic for 6
## variables....
## Finished running the simulation
```

results

##

## JAGS model summary statistics from 20000 samples (chains = 2;  
↳ adapt+burnin = 5000):

##

##	Lower95	Median	Upper95	Mean
## regression_precision	0.877	1.9921	3.4796	2.0653
## intercept	4.5658	5.0327	5.4977	5.0329
## group_effect[1]	0	0	0	0
## group_effect[2]	-1.0436	-0.36951	0.26252	-0.37097
## deviance	40.184	42.735	48.629	43.426
## resid.sum.sq	8.7293	9.4237	12.237	9.8261

##

##	SD	Mode	MCerr	MC%ofSD	SSEff
## regression_precision	0.68937	--	0.0054399	0.8	16059
## intercept	0.2362	--	0.001655	0.7	20369
## group_effect[1]	0	0	--	--	--
## group_effect[2]	0.33136	--	0.0023661	0.7	19613
## deviance	2.658	--	0.021438	0.8	15372
## resid.sum.sq	1.2374	--	0.0098384	0.8	15817

##

##	AC.10	psrf
## regression_precision	-0.010936	1
## intercept	0.0087506	1
## group_effect[1]	--	--
## group_effect[2]	0.0052194	1
## deviance	-0.0010354	1

Supported features:

- Gaussian, binomial, Poisson, negative binomial, ZIB, ZIP, ZINB
- Random intercepts

We can also add (currently manually):

- Random slopes
- Spline terms
- Interval censoring

## What about other models?

MCMC is highly flexible!

## What about other models?

MCMC is highly flexible!

We can have:

- Hidden Markov models
- State Space models
- Other types of latent class model

## What about other models?

MCMC is highly flexible!

We can have:

- Hidden Markov models
- State Space models
- Other types of latent class model

But does your data match your ambitions?

- All models can be specified
- Relatively few are identifiable



## Before you go...

- Feedback on the course would be extremely welcome!
  - I will send an email later today with a survey link

## Before you go...

- Feedback on the course would be extremely welcome!
  - I will send an email later today with a survey link
- Remember to keep an eye on the COST action website:
  - <http://harmony-net.eu>
  - Physical training schools are being run in September and accepting sign-ups now!

## **Practical session 7**

---

## Points to consider

1. When is there a benefit to adding imperfect test characteristics?
2. When is there no real benefit?