DRAFT: Discrete differential geometry in homotopy type theory

Greg Langmead

Carnegie Mellon University

April 2025

Carnegie Mellon University

Motivation

The motivation is to provide a deeper explanation for Chern-Weil theory by finding connections and curvature in HoTT principal bundles.

- Construct a classifying space of principal bundles
- Construct a type of manifolds
- Identify connections and curvature
- Put these to use in 2-d to prove that total curvature is an integer

Definition

Let G be a group with identity element e. A G-**set** is a set X equipped with a homomorphism $\phi : (G, e) \to \mathrm{Aut}(X)$. If we have

$$\mathsf{is\_torsor}(X, \phi) \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \|X\|_{-1} \times \prod_{x:X} \mathsf{is\_equiv}(\phi(-, x) : (G, e) \to (X, x))$$

we say (X, ϕ) is a G-**torsor**. Denote the type of G-torsors by BG.

Lemma

Point BG at G_{reg}, the G-torsor G acting on itself on the right. Then $\Omega_{G_{\mathrm{reg}}} BG \simeq G$, so BG is a $\mathrm{K}(G, 1)$.

Definition

$$\mathrm{EM}(G, n) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \mathrm{BAut}(\mathrm{K}(G, n)) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{Y:\mathcal{U}} \|Y \simeq \mathrm{K}(G, n)\|_{-1}$$

Definition

A $\mathrm{K}(G, n)$-**bundle** on a type M is a map $f : M \to \mathrm{EM}(G, n)$.

We further assume f factors through $\mathrm{K}(G, n+1)$ and so is principal.

Discrete manifolds in HoTT

- Recall the classical theory of simplicial complexes
- Define a realization functor via higher inductive types (pushouts)

Simplicial complexes







A Hasse diagram of a simplicial complex (vertices named for the colors on a Hungarian Cube)

Higher realization



The **link** of a vertex v in an n-complex is the $(n-1)$-subcomplex of faces not containing v but whose union with v is a face.

This will be our model of the tangent space.

Higher realization

$$
\begin{tikzcd}
M_1 \times \partial\Delta^1 \arrow[r, "\mathrm{pr}_1"] \arrow[d, "\mathbb{A}_0"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\Nearrow h_1"] & M_1 \arrow[d, "*_{\mathbb{M}_1}"] \\
M_0 = \mathbb{M}_0 \arrow[r] & \mathbb{M}_1 \arrow[ul, phantom, "\lrcorner", very near start]
\end{tikzcd}
$$

$$
\begin{tikzcd}
 & M_2 \times \partial\Delta^2 \arrow[r, "\mathrm{pr}_1"] \arrow[d, "\mathbb{A}_1"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "h_2 \Nearrow"] & M_2 \arrow[d, "*_{\mathbb{M}_2}"] \\
\mathbb{M}_0 \arrow[r] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\Searrow h_1"] & \mathbb{M}_1 \arrow[r] & \mathbb{M}_2 \\
M_1 \times \partial\Delta^1 \arrow[r, "\mathrm{pr}_1"'] \arrow[u, "\mathbb{A}_0"] & M_1 \arrow[u, "*_{\mathbb{M}_1}"'] &
\end{tikzcd}
$$

Form a pushout of edges to create a 1-type.

Then push out maps from a 1-type triangle to from a 2-dim type.

Carnegie Mellon University

Higher realization

$$
\begin{tikzcd}
 & M_2 \times \partial\Delta^2 \arrow[r, "\mathrm{pr}_1"] \arrow[d, "\mathbb{A}_1"'] \arrow[r, phantom, shift right=6, "\overset{h_2}{\Nearrow}"] & M_2 \arrow[d, "*_{\mathbb{M}_2}"] \\
\mathbb{M}_0 \arrow[r] & \mathbb{M}_1 \arrow[r] & \mathbb{M}_2 \\
M_1 \times \partial\Delta^1 \arrow[u, "\mathbb{A}_0"] \arrow[r, "\mathrm{pr}_1"'] \arrow[r, phantom, shift left=6, "\overset{h_1}{\Searrow}"] & M_1 \arrow[u, "*_{\mathbb{M}_1}"'] &
\end{tikzcd}
$$

$*_{\mathbb{M}_1}, *_{\mathbb{M}_2}$ provide hubs.

h_1, h_2 provide spokes.

Definition

An n-**gon** $\mathbb{C}(n)$ is the realization of a complex $C(n)$:

$$C(n)_0 = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$$

$$C(n)_1 = \{e_1 = \{v_1, v_2\}, \ldots, e_{n-1} = \{v_{n-1}, v_n\}, e_n = \{v_n, v_0\}\}$$

Toss in the non-complexes

$$\mathbb{C}(1) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} S^1, \quad \mathbb{C}(2) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=}$$



We sometimes denote a polygon with vertices $\{a, b, c\}$ with $[abc]$, its realization with $[\![abc]\!]$.

Lemma

$\mathbb{C}(2) \simeq \mathbb{C}(1)$ *and in general* $\mathbb{C}(n) \simeq \mathbb{C}(n-1)$.

Corollary

All n-gons are equivalent to S^1 *and so provide terms in* $\mathrm{EM}(\mathbb{Z}, 1)$.

Rotation

Let $R : [abcd] \to [abcd]$ send $a \mapsto b, b \mapsto c, c \mapsto d, d \mapsto a$.

Extend R to edges.

Lemma

$[\![R]\!] : [\![abcd]\!] \to [\![abcd]\!]$ *is homotopic to the identity, i.e. we have* $\prod_{x:[\![abcd]\!]} x = [\![R]\!](x)$.

Proof.

Use edges. $\square$

Definition

If $\mathbb{M} \overset{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{M}_0 \xrightarrow{\imath_0} \cdots \xrightarrow{\imath_{n-1}} \mathbb{M}_n$ is a cellular type and all the triangles commute in the diagram:

$$
\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{M}_0 \arrow[r, "\imath_0"] \arrow[drr, "f_0"'] & \mathbb{M}_1 \arrow[r, "\imath_1"] \arrow[dr, "f_1"] & \mathbb{M}_2 \arrow[r, "\imath_2"] \arrow[d, "f_2"] & \cdots \arrow[r, "\imath_{n-1}"] & \mathbb{M}_n \arrow[dll, "f_n"'] \\
& & \mathcal{U} & &
\end{tikzcd}
$$

- The map f_k is a k-**bundle** on $\mathbb{M}$.
- The pair given by the map f_k and the proof $f_k \circ \imath_{k-1} = f_{k-1}$, i.e. that f_k extends f_{k-1} is called a k-**connection on the** $(k-1)$-**bundle** f_{k-1}.

Definition

If $\mathbb{M}$ is the realization of a simplicial complex and we have

$$\begin{tikzcd}
M_k \times \partial\Delta^k \arrow[r, "\mathrm{pr}_1"] \arrow[d, "\mathbb{A}_{k-1}"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\overset{h_k}{\Nearrow}"] & M_k \arrow[d, "*_{\mathbb{M}_k}"] \\
\mathbb{M}_{k-1} \arrow[r, "\imath_{k-1}"] \arrow[dr, "f_{k-1}"'] & \mathbb{M}_k \arrow[d, "f_k"] \arrow[dl, phantom, "\Swarrow"] \\
& \mathcal{U}
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
\{m_k\} \times \partial\Delta^k \arrow[r, "!"] \arrow[d, "\mathbb{A}_{k-1}"'] & \mathbf{1} \arrow[d, "*_{\mathbb{M}_k}"] \arrow[dl, Rightarrow, "\flat_k"] \\
\mathbb{M}_{k-1} \arrow[r] & \mathcal{U}
\end{tikzcd}$$

then we say the filler $\flat_k$ is called a **flatness structure for the face** m_k, and its ending path is called **curvature at the face** m_k.

Classical proof



[26.2] *The difference* $\mathcal{R}(\Delta) - 2\pi\,\mathcal{I}_F(s)$ *can be found by summing over the edges* K_j *the change* $\Phi(K_j)$ *in the illustrated angle* $\angle F\mathbf{w}_{||}$, *i.e., the rotation of* $\mathbf{w}_{||}$ *relative to* $\mathbf{F}$.

Figure: from Tristan Needham, *Visual Differential Geometry and Forms*

- The classical proof is discrete-flavored.
- "$\angle Fw_{||}$" looked a lot like a pathover.
- Hopf's Φ is defined on edges, not loops. We imitated that too.