

# Connections on principal bundles

Greg Langmead

April 3, 2021

## Abstract

These notes are intended as a didactic presentation of connections on principal bundles. I assume the reader knows some topology, such as the definition of compactness, and the preliminaries of differential geometry such as the definition of smooth manifolds and Lie groups. The goal is to define connections and Chern-Weil theory and then go through the paper by Freed and Hopkins [1] and finally sketch how we can import all of these ideas into type theory.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Geometric intuition</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Infinitesimal trivialization</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Holonomy</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>In principal bundles</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Curvature</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Morphisms</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>8</b>	<b>In terms of downstairs bundles</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>9</b>	<b>Gauge transformations</b>	<b>9</b>

## 1 Introduction

If you are interested in these notes but worry about whether you have the prerequisites, let me offer two of my favorite resources. First is the book by John Baez and Javier Muniain [2] which is a compact and beautiful introduction to manifolds, connections, and the physics that uses these concepts. I'm still not sure why fate did not put this book in front of me in

graduate school, when I was voraciously hunting on an almost daily basis for books just like it.

If, like me, you do even better with recorded lectures, I strongly recommend the playlist of lectures by Frederic Schuller [3]. His course is efficient but very precise, and he is a great teacher who offers helpful intuition along the way. The scope is similar to the book by Baez and Muniain: he begins with topology and manifolds, defines connections and curvature, and then gets into physics.

The most complete treatment, with the most proofs, is found in Kobayashi and Nomizu's text [4], Volume 1.

We will make a beeline for gauge theory, passing through territories that you may need to go deeper into to understand the beeline. Those are:

1. Smooth manifolds
2. Tangent bundles and differential forms
3. Fiber bundles
4. Lie groups
5. Principal bundles
6. Connections
7. Holonomy
8. Curvature
9. And finally, gauge theory

## 2 Geometric intuition

Start with picture of  $S^2$  embedded in  $R^3$  and draw intuitive transport pictures.

Draw a triangle from N to Brazil to DR Congo back to N.

Perform transport of a Brazil-pointing vector. Result will point to DR Congo.

The embedding has selected a metric on  $S^2$ , pulled back from  $R^3$  by this embedding. A metric is more strictly structure than a connection. A connection captures this notion of transport without a full metric.

The Rubik's Cube is a discrete version of the sphere, and a discrete version of curvature arises. You can cause pieces to rotate by sending them away by a certain path and bringing them back by another.

## 3 Infinitesimal trivialization

A fiber bundle is a quadruple  $(E, p, M, F)$  such that

1.  $E, M, F$  are smooth manifolds
2.  $p : E \rightarrow M$  is a smooth surjective map
3.  $E$  is locally a product with the standard fiber  $F$ . i.e. there is an atlas of open sets  $\{U_i\}$  on  $M$  such that we have this commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 p^{-1}U_i & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\phi_i} & U_i \times F \\
 & \searrow p \quad \swarrow \text{pr}_1 & \\
 & U_i &
 \end{array}$$

We can look at the *descent data* of a fiber bundle, and this will serve as a lingua franca between principal bundles, vector bundles, and fiber bundles. On intersections  $U_{ij} = U_i \cap U_j$  the above data gives us a map  $\phi_{ij} : U_{ij} \rightarrow \text{Diffeo}(F)$ , satisfying the cocycle condition  $\phi_{ij} = \phi_{jk} \circ \phi_{ij}$ .

A fast way to say what a vector bundle is is to say  $F$  is a vector space and the descent maps factor through  $GL(V)$ .

The setting for connections is  $TE$ , the tangent bundle of the total space. Since  $E$  sort-of factorizes into  $F$  and  $M$ , the tangent bundle sort-of factorizes into  $TF$  and  $TM$ . Let's examine this short exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow VE \rightarrow TE \rightarrow p^*TM \rightarrow 0 \quad (\text{on } E) \quad (1)$$

where

1.  $VE$  is the kernel of  $Tp$ , i.e. a copy of  $TF$  at each point of  $E$ . They stick out vertically hence the  $V$ . It has the dimension of  $F$ .
2.  $TE$  is the full tangent space of  $E$ . It has the dimension of  $F$  plus the dimension of  $M$ .
3.  $p^*TM$  is the pullback of  $TM$  into the upstairs. It has the dimension of  $M$ . There's no single natural way to arrange it so as to be complementary to  $VE$ . It could tilt in various ways and still be complementary. (We have no metric so there's no actual tiling or angles available to us, that's just intuition.)

A connection in a fiber bundle is a splitting of this sequence, a choice of decomposition at each point of  $E$  of  $TE$  as the direct sum of  $VE$  and  $p^*TM$ . That's our first waypoint.

We can talk about this splitting in three ways.

1. A connection is a choice of complementary horizontal subspace of  $TE$  at every point, i.e. a bundle  $HE$  such that  $TE = VE \oplus HE$ . The horizontal subspace is isomorphic to  $p^*TM$  by dimension-counting.
2. A connection is a projection  $TE \rightarrow VE$ . The kernel of this operator is the horizontal subspace. This operator is in fact a 1-form because it takes as input tangent vectors (vector-valued, in fact with values in  $VE$ ). We usually denote a connection 1-form with  $\omega \in \Omega^1(E, VE)$ . It's a 1-form *upstairs*.

3. A connection lifts some of the structure of  $TM$  up to  $TE$ . Given a tangent vector  $v_x$  in  $T_x M$  and a point  $e$  over  $x$ , we get a point in  $p^*TM$ . A splitting is then a map into  $TE$ , which embeds  $p^*TM$  as a horizontal subspace of  $TE$ . We package this as a lifting map  $C : TM \times_M E \rightarrow TE$ . We have  $\omega \circ C = 0$  because we lift vectors to horizontal ones.

Connections exist. You prove this using the basic theory of differential equations, similar to various theorems about vector fields and their integral curves.

We can parlay the lifting of tangent vectors into the lifting of curves. Given the tangent vector field along a curve in  $M$ , we can lift that field and then integrate it to get a horizontal curve upstairs. A proof is needed that this lifting is well-defined and can be integrated. We'll prove it elegantly in a moment.

## 4 Holonomy

We can parlay the lifting of tangent vectors into the lifting of curves. This is strictly 1-dimensional stuff! Given a curve in  $M$ , say  $c : (-1, 1) \rightarrow M$  where  $c(0) = x$ , we can pull back  $E$  and its connection to  $I$ , called  $c^*E$ . The horizontal distribution must be integrable here since the Lie bracket vanishes on vectors pointing along the same linear subspace. So this connection is flat and we can form the vector field  $X$  along  $c$  in the base, lift  $X$  to a horizontal vector field, then integrate it. Then we can push it back into  $E$ . This is the lift of  $c$  to a horizontal curve (i.e. its tangent vectors are horizontal).

Lifting requires a connection and a curve in the base. It produces a map between fibers.

$$\text{lift} : (\omega : \Omega_1(E; VE)) \rightarrow (c : [0, 1] \rightarrow M) \rightarrow E_{c(0)} \rightarrow E_{c(1)}$$

This is another waypoint for us. This is now “parallel transport,” because we have gone from the infinitesimal to the local, and can flow to nearby fibers.

Some results

1. Reversing the curve provides an inverse map. Transport gives an isomorphism on fibers.

$$\text{lift}(\omega, c_{\leftarrow}) = \text{lift}(\omega, c_{\rightarrow})^{-1}$$

2. Given  $c : [0, 1] \rightarrow M$  and  $d : [0, 1] \rightarrow M$  with  $c(1) = d(0)$ , we can compose the curves and form  $c \cdot d$ . We have

$$\text{lift}(\omega, c \cdot d) = \text{lift}(\omega, d) \circ \text{lift}(\omega, c).$$

3. Reparameterizing the curve with any piecewise-smooth function  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $f(0) = 0$  and  $f(1) = 1$  preserves the holonomy map.

$$\text{lift} : (\omega : \Omega_1(E; VE)) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_1(M) \rightarrow E_{c(0)} \rightarrow E_{c(1)}$$

where  $\mathcal{P}_1(M)$  is the *path groupoid* of  $M$ , whose objects are the points of  $M$  and morphisms are piecewise-smooth paths under concatenation (and rescaling to fit back into  $[0, 1]$ ). Or curves that come to rest at the endpoints and so we can change “piecewise smooth” to “with sitting instants”. At dimension 2 we have the thin homotopies between paths, which are the reparameterizations.

Remember the sphere, where a contractible loop still gives nontrivial holonomy.

4. In the case of a loop  $f(0) = f(1) = x$ , we get a subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(E_x)$  called the holonomy group, denoted  $\Phi(x)$ .

$$\Phi(x) = \text{Image}(\text{lift}(\omega)) \subset \text{Aut}(E_x).$$

5. Restricting to contractible loops gives the *restricted holonomy* group denoted  $\Phi_0(x)$ .

Bring in pictures from Rupert Way paper.

## 5 In principal bundles

A principal bundle is a fiber bundle where  $F$  is a Lie group, plus more conditions:

1. The local trivializations respect the left action of  $G$  on itself (equivariant).
2.  $G$  acts freely and transitively on  $E$  on the right and  $M$  is the quotient of this action:  $M = E/G$ .

A connection is a horizontal distribution like before, plus the condition that the horizontal subspaces be equivariant under the action of  $G$ . So the splitting is equivariant. The elements of  $G$  push points up and down vertically, and the derivative of these maps push the tangent spaces around. These derivatives must preserve the splitting.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 VE \oplus HE & \xrightarrow{TR_g} & VE \oplus HE & & \\
 \cong \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong & & \\
 TE & \xrightarrow{TR_g} & TE & & \\
 \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi & & \\
 E & \xrightarrow{R_g} & E & & \\
 p \downarrow & & \downarrow p & & \\
 M & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & M & & 
 \end{array}$$
  

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & VE & \longrightarrow & TE & \longrightarrow & p^*TM \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow TR_g & & \downarrow TR_g & & \downarrow \text{id} \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & VE & \longrightarrow & TE & \longrightarrow & p^*TM \longrightarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

This is the usual setting for a connection. The detour with fiber bundles allowed us to talk about it without the equivariance complication. Connections are very often confusing when first introduced because there is too much going on. You're welcome. (Actually, thank Kolar et al [5]).

More results:

1.  $G$  acts on horizontal curves: composing a horizontal curve with the right group action produces another horizontal curve.
2. Take  $u \in E_x$  in the principal bundle  $E$  and again consider all horizontal loops. This gives a subgroup of  $G$ , denoted similarly  $\Phi(u)$  with restricted version  $\Phi_0(u)$ . The type of  $u : E$  versus  $x : M$  determines the type of the holonomy group we're referring to.

$$\Phi(u) = \text{Image}(\text{lift}(\omega)(u)).$$

For example, imagine on the sphere if  $P$  was the frame bundle. Imagine the connection is the one we implicitly used, which preserves length and angles. Then this image would in fact be contained in  $O(2)$  instead of  $GL(2)$ .

3. If  $M$  is connected, all  $\Phi_u$  are conjugate and hence isomorphic.
4. If  $M$  is connected and paracompact,  $\Phi_0(u)$  is a connected subgroup of  $G$  and a normal subgroup of  $\Phi(u)$  and  $\Phi(u)/\Phi_0(u)$  is countable.
5. If  $M$  is connected and paracompact, we can form the subset  $E(u)$  of all points joinable to  $u$  by horizontal curves. This is a principal bundle with structure group  $\Phi(u)$  and there is an induced connection. This is a reduction of the original bundle, licensed by the connection. In the earlier example this would form the  $O(2)$  bundle.
6. Ambrose-Singer Theorem: The Lie algebra of  $\Phi_0(u)$  is the span of all elements of  $\mathfrak{g}$  of the form  $\Omega_v(X, Y)$  for  $v \in P(u)$  with  $X, Y$  horizontal. Slogan: curvature is infinitesimal holonomy.

There's another moving part now that we can bring in which is the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  of  $G$ . This soups up what's going on vertically.

1. There is a bijection between  $\mathfrak{g}$  and equivariant vertical vector fields over a point  $x$ . The bijection is given by
2. Starting with a vector  $v \in T_e E$  at some point, whether vertical, horizontal, or mixture, we can push it all up and down the fiber to extend that to a vector field, by the derivative of the action of  $G$ , i.e. with  $\mathfrak{g}$ .
3. The vertical bundle is trivial.
4. How  $\text{ad}(P)$  appears.

We can move the exact sequence to the base (Atiyah-Bott p.547). Because the base is a quotient by the  $G$ -action, and because the upstairs constructions are  $G$ -invariant, there is

← do it

←  
Prop  
1.1  
Kob-  
Nom p.  
64

an equivalent exact sequence on the base that we are splitting.

$$0 \rightarrow \text{ad}(P) \rightarrow E/G \rightarrow TM \rightarrow 0$$

Connections can be pulled back and pushed forward!

## 6 Curvature

On to curvature. In the general context of smooth manifolds, we have the Lie bracket of vector fields, which gives another vector field. The space of vector fields is hence a Lie algebra.

Draw an infinitesimal picture of the bracket at a point. The tips can meet or not meet.

Suppose we have what's called a *distribution*, i.e. a sub-bundle of the tangent bundle. This is an infinitesimal thingie, a subspace at each point. Now suppose we have vector fields that live in the sub-bundle. Will their Lie bracket live there? Let's give some names.

1. Let  $M$  be a manifold and  $p : TM \rightarrow M$  its tangent bundle. Let  $m = \dim M$ .
2. Let  $D \subset TM$  be a distribution, i.e.  $D$  is a vector bundle over  $M$  and  $D_x \subset T_x M$  is a smoothly varying sub-vector space at each point. Let  $d = \dim D$ .
3. Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be two vector fields, i.e. smooth sections of  $p$ . Suppose  $X$  and  $Y$  are in  $D$ .
4. Consider  $[X, Y]$ .

A question we ask about distributions is whether they are *integrable* i.e. whether there is a *foliation*, which is like a vector field flow but in the dimension of  $D$ . A local product structure of  $M$  as a product of  $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^{m-d}$  such that  $D$  is the tangent bundle of the  $d$ -dimensional part at every point.

Whether a distribution can be integrated is a purely local question! Whether we can move from infinitesimal to local. These foliations don't need to extend to anything global such as an embedding. Imagine a line of irrational slope in the torus.

If  $D$  *could* be integrated, then our hypothetical vector fields would have to satisfy  $[X, Y] \subset D$ , since there's a manifold structure and bracketing is closed. So being "closed under bracket" is necessary for a distribution to be integrable. It's also sufficient (19th c, see the Wikipedia page for Frobenius' theorem).

Draw a picture where the parallelogram doesn't connect up at the far corner.

In this language, a connection is a horizontal foliation. If we have two horizontal vector fields, will their bracket be horizontal? If so, the horizontal bundle is integrable and you can slide around in there locally and stay horizontal. The connection is said to be *flat*.

More facts:

1.  $\Phi_0(u) = \{u\}$ . Contractible loops must live in one leaf of the foliation and return to the same point  $u$  in the fiber.
2. Two curves representing the same class of  $\pi_1(M, x)$  lift to the same holonomy element.
3. Thus we get a map  $\pi_1(M, x) \rightarrow \Phi(u) \subset G$ .
4. The restricted bundle  $P(u)$  is connected and so is a cover of  $M$ .
5. If  $M$  is simply connected and the connection is flat, then  $E$  is trivializable.

## 7 Morphisms

Given  $f : P'(M', G') \rightarrow P(M, G)$  and a corresponding morphism  $G' \rightarrow G$  and which is a diffeo on the base, we can push connections forward with the derivative of  $f$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 G' & \longrightarrow & G \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 P' & \longrightarrow & P \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 M' & \xrightarrow{\cong} & M
 \end{array}$$

$$\Gamma' \longrightarrow \Gamma$$

Given  $f : P'(M', G') \rightarrow P(M, G)$  and a corresponding *isomorphism*  $G' \rightarrow G$  and which is a smooth map on the base, we can pull connections back by pulling back the 1-form.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 G' & \xrightarrow{\cong} & G \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 P' & \longrightarrow & P \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 M' & \longrightarrow & M
 \end{array}$$

$$\Gamma' \longleftarrow \Gamma$$



$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & 0 & & & 0 & \\
& & \downarrow & & & \downarrow & \\
& & \Gamma V E^G & \xleftarrow{\cong} & \mathfrak{g} & \xleftarrow{\text{pr}_2} & E \times \mathfrak{g} \xleftarrow{\cong} V E \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \omega \\
0 & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \Gamma H E^G & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \Gamma T E^G & & T G \longrightarrow T E \longrightarrow T E \longrightarrow H E \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \downarrow \omega \\
& & & & G & \xrightarrow{\triangleleft -} & E & \xrightarrow{t} & E & \xrightarrow{x: \Gamma T E} & E \\
& & & & \downarrow p & & \downarrow p & & \downarrow p & & \downarrow p \\
& & & & M & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & M & & M & & M
\end{array}$$

## 8 In terms of downstairs bundles

## 9 Gauge transformations

Gauge transformations. A gauge transformation is a  $G$ -equivariant diffeomorphism  $P \rightarrow P$  that is the identity on  $M$ . So these act on each fiber separately in a smooth way. You can also see it as a smooth choice of element of  $G$  acting on each fiber, but the association with  $G$  can only be chosen locally of course.

We can think of GTs as analogous to changes of coordinate chart. Physicists seek theories that are gauge-invariant, i.e. invariant under the group of gauge transformations. This is a giagintic infinite-dimensional space, whose type is morally similar to  $M \rightarrow G$ .

GTs act on connections. At a point they slide the horizontal subspaces up and down, and because they vary point to point, they may add some tilt.

GTs conjugate the curvature by a variable element of  $G$ . They cannot make a non-flat bundle flat.

The type of connections mod gauge is of paramount importance in physics and in fancy high-powered physics-derived invariants of  $M$ , such as in Donaldson theory on a 4-manifold, which starts with an  $SU(2)$  bundle over  $M$  and looks at  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{G}$ .

One last thing you can do with horizontal lifts of vectors is precompose lifting with exterior differentiation upstairs.

$$\begin{aligned}
h &: \Gamma(TM) \rightarrow \Gamma(HE) \\
d &: \Omega^\bullet(T^*E) \rightarrow \Omega^{\bullet+1}(T^*E) \\
d \circ h &: \Omega^\bullet(T^*M) \rightarrow \Omega^{\bullet+1}(T^*M)
\end{aligned}$$

$g^{-1}dg$  and such.

Affine. Lie algebra-valued 1-forms.

Classifying space.

Theorems from gauge theory!

Quantum gauge theory.

## References

- [1] D. S. Freed and M. J. Hopkins, “Chern-weil forms and abstract homotopy theory,” 2013.
- [2] J. Baez and J. Muniain, *Gauge Fields, Knots And Gravity*, ser. Series On Knots And Everything. World Scientific Publishing Company, 1994. [Online]. Available: <https://books.google.com/books?id=qvw7DQAAQBAJ>
- [3] F. P. Schuller, T. W.-H. I. W. S. on Gravity, and Light. Central lecture course. YouTube. [Online]. Available: [https://www.youtube.com/playlist?list=PLFeEvEPtX\\_0S6vxxiiNPrJbLu9aK1UVC\\_](https://www.youtube.com/playlist?list=PLFeEvEPtX_0S6vxxiiNPrJbLu9aK1UVC_)
- [4] S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu, *Foundations of Differential Geometry*, ser. Foundations of Differential Geometry. Interscience Publishers, 1963, no. v. 1. [Online]. Available: <https://books.google.com/books?id=wn4pAQAAAMAJ>
- [5] I. Kolář, P. W. Michor, and J. Slovák, *Natural Operations in Differential Geometry*, 1993rd ed. Berlin ; New York: Springer, Jan. 1993.