## Information Integration – Exercise 2 – Gabriel Glaser

## Task 1: Heterogeneity

- a) Syntactic (Hardware, Software, Interface): No, only one source.
  - Structural (Data model, schematic): No, only one source
  - Semantic:
    - Name conflict: synonym labels "year" and "YEAR".
    - Identity: Some of the first results likely the same (, but couldn't be differentiated, because different and incomplete representations)
    - Value conflict: Track titles: You know you're right vs. You Know You're Right among first Nirvana results.
- b) Extensions to scenario to satisfy missing heterogeneity types:
  - Syntactic: Consider a CD data source from America which likely has a longer response time. (different hardware)
  - Structural: Consider a CD data source which returns an XML file. (different data model)

## Task 3: Distributed DBMS

- a) Distribution:
  - *Physical*: Servers are located in different physical/geographical locations. Therefore, they don't share hardware components (except network).
  - Logical: Result of application requirements, e.g., store data on different servers to handle network failure or implement caching for more speed.
- b) Contained autonomy types:
  - Design: Choose to store DB in 3NF (3<sup>rd</sup> normal form) or as a "BigTable" with less normalization.
  - Communication: Decision to communicate with SQL and web form (decision on specific query languages). Also, they decide to allow write access to older table but not on newer table (decision on what query capabilities to support).
- c) Execution autonomy is the last type of autonomy. For instance, a system could block queries from some countries.
- d) Syntactic heterogeneity:

- *Hardware*: This type of heterogeneity can be noticed when some datasources process a query faster than others (different CPU/bandwidth).
- Software: Different datasources are stored using different operation systems, e.g., need to use different file separators ("/" for Linux, "\" for Windows).
- *Interface*: For instance, access via URL containing various parameters vs. access via SQL query given to an URL as string.
- e) a) Value vs. relation heterogeneity:

```
Employee(<u>ID</u>, Firstname, Lastname, isManager, department, gender)
```

VS.

MaleEmployee(<u>ID</u>, Firstname, Lastname, isManager, department) FemaleEmployee(<u>ID</u>, Firstname, Lastname, isManager, department)

b) Value vs. attribute heterogeneity:

```
Employee(<u>ID</u>, Firstname, Lastname, isManager, department, gender)
```

vs.

Employee(<u>ID</u>, Firstname, Lastname, isManager, department, isFemale, isMale)

c) Different labels of attributes (in comparison with the original):

Employee(<u>ID</u>, Firstname, Lastname, isManager, workArea)

d) Normalized vs non-normalized schema (original is normalized):

Employee( $\underline{\text{ID}}$ , Firstname, Lastname, department) Managers( $\underline{\text{MID}}$ ,  $\underline{\text{ID}} \rightarrow \text{Employee}$ )

## Task 4: Integrating publication data

- a)
- b)
- c)