

Creating a HasCASL Library

G. M. Cabral A. V. Moura

Technical Report - IC-08-45 - Relatório Técnico

December - 2008 - Dezembro

The contents of this report are the sole responsibility of the authors. O conteúdo do presente relatório é de única responsabilidade dos autores.

Creating a HasCASL Library

Glauber Módolo Cabral* Arnaldo Vieira Moura[†]

Abstract

1 Introduction
In this report, we show the specification of a library in the HasCASL specification

The specifications, as much as possible.

The practical use of an specification language requires that a default library exists. This could be an example library, used to guide new specifications, or, preferably, a predefined library that can be imported to construct other larger specifications.

The HasCASL specification language does not have such a library. The CASLspecification language, from which the HasCASL is an extension, already owns a default library with lots of specifications covering topics from simple data types to complex algebraic structures. For continut for Our proposal was to produce a default library to the HasCASL language based on

the *Prelude* library, from the *Haskell* programming language. This report described. the specification and the verification of our library presenting comments over our

Rev: 239 (239) 1 2009-01-17 12:28

^{*}Instituto de Computação, Universidade Estadual de Campinas, 13081-970 Campinas, SP. Pesquisa desenvolvida com suporte financeiro parcial do CNPq

[†]Instituto de Computação, Universidade Estadual de Campinas, 13081-970 Campinas, SP.

and comments the difficulties use — conquers and discussions about the food

conquers and discussions about the faced-problems. We listed our specifications and their respective proofs, stating the proofs we couldn't finish.

This report is organized as follows. Section 2 introduces the languages involved in work and finilizes presenting our proposal. Section 3 describes our specifications, presenting their codes and comments about their contructions. Section 4 addresses the parsing and the verification of the specifications, presenting the tools and comments about our proofs. Section 5 discusses some problems we faced during the specification comments as the library. Section 6 lists some related specifications languages. Section 7 talks about open questions and future works. Section 8 presents our conclusions about our proposal. Appendix A lists our proof scripts written to verify the specifications.

2 Languages

This section intended to introduce the languages involved in our work. We started with a presentation of the CASL specification language, quickly describing its syntax and semantic. Next, we introduced the Haskell programming language with some interesting concepts that we had to deal latter in specifications. Following, described the HasCASL specification language, an CASL extension, which we used to write our specifications. We presented some main concepts of HasCASL and a small example. Latter, we introduced the HasCASL extention to CASL language and its related tool, namely Hets, which is responsible for parsing and translating our specifications to the theorem prover. Next, we introduced the Isabelle theorem prover with a quick presentation of its main features. Finally, we presented our proposal to this work.

2.1 CASL

The Common Algebraic Specification Language (CASL) emerged as the product of an international initiative to create an unified language for algebraic specifications containing the largest possible set of known language constructions. This section describes the CASL language [1].

With few exceptions, the characteristics of *CASL* are present in some form or another in other specifications languages. However, no previous single language had all the desired purposes: some sophisticated features require specific programming paradigms; on the other hand, methods for prototyping and generation of specifications work only in the absence of certain characteristics. For example, term rewriting requires specifications with equational or conditional equational axioms.

CASL was constructed to be the kernel of a family of languages: sub-languages are obtained through syntactic or semantic restrictions, while extensions are created to support the various programming paradigms. The language definition took into account previously planned extensions, such as the support to second order functions. CASL is divided into several parts that can be understood and used separately, namely:

- Basic Specifications: contain declarations (of types and operations), definitions (of operations) and axioms (related operations);
- Structured Specifications: allow Basic Specifications to be combined in larger specifications;
- Architectural Specifications: define how specifications should be separated in an implementation, allowing reuse of specifications with dependence relations;

• Specification libraries: similar specifications are joined together in these libraries; their syntax has constructions that allow version control and library distribution over the Internet.

Structured Specification language constructions are independent of the Basic Specifications, so, *CASL* sub-languages or extensions can be created by extending or restricting Basic Specification language constructions, without the need to change any of the other three language constructions. We now briefly describe the most important Basic Specification language constructions.

Basic Specification denotes a class of models which are many-sorted partial first order structures, i.e., many-sorted algebras with total and partial functions and predicates. These models are classified by signatures, which contain sort names, total and partial function names, predicate names and definitions (or profiles) for functions and predicates.

Specifications contain: declarations, which introduce components of the signature (operations or functions, and predicates), and axioms, which define properties of the structures that should be models of the specification. Operations may be declared total (by using '->') or partial (by using '->?'), and we can assign to this operations some common properties, such as associativity, avoiding the need for axiomatizing those properties for each different operation.

Partial operations are a simple way to treat errors (such as dividing by zero) and these errors are propagated to callers directly, as when an argument of an operation is not defined, the operation result is also not defined. The errors and exceptions can be treated by super-types and sub-types. The domain of a partial function can be defined as a sub-type of that function's argument type in order to make this partial function a total function over the sub-type. Functions can be declared total rather

than making them total by axioms.

Predicates are similar to operations but have no return type; only parameter types are declared. Predicates may be declared and defined at the same time, instead of having their declaration and axiom in separate sections.

Axioms are written as atomic first-order formulas. Variables used in axioms may be declared in three different ways: globally, before axiom declarations; locally to a list of formulas; or individually for each formula, using explicit quantification.

Formula are interpreted in two-valued first order logic (with values true and false). Definedness assertions are used to indicate when a term is defined or not. Assertions may be declared explicitly by a keyword or implicitly by means of an existential equation. An existential equation, declared by using '=e=' between two terms of the same type, is valid when both terms are defined and are equal; in contrast, strong equations, declared by using '=' between terms, are also valid when both terms are undefined.

Sub-sort membership, indicated by 'in', creates a predicate asserting the membership of an element to a sort. It's a good practice to use existential equations when defining properties and strong equations when defining partial functions inductively.

CASL uses loose semantic for Basic Specifications, i.e., all structures that meet the axioms are selected as models. This semantic is interesting during requirement analysis because it creates very restrictive specifications that may be refined later by other axioms.

A data type can be declared as free, changing its loose semantic into an initial semantic. Thus, values of the same type that differ only in the order of type constructor application are treated as different elements of that type.

The third semantic allowed in CASL forces data types to be generated only by

type constructor applications. This eliminates the confusion between terms, i.e., unless axioms force a term equality, all the terms of that type all different from each other. When needed, axioms can be used to reintroduce term equality.

Linear visibility is used to control term declaration except for type declarations, i.e., except in type declarations a term must be declared before its use.

2.2 Haskell

This section presents some general elements of the Haskell programming language. Information provided here as well as further concepts can be found online [8] or in books [22].

Haskell is a pure, strong typed functional programming language with lazy evaluation that resulted from the need of standardization in the field of functional languages. The language is functional because it implements the concepts of $\lambda-Calculus$, so the programming is done through function and computation applications. The language is strongly typed, i.e., the types of functions and values must be explicitly defined on compile time; otherwise, the compiler will try to bind those types to the broadest possible ones in the current context.

Concepts of lazy evaluation and strict evaluation relates to interpretation of the parameters of a function. Languages with strict evaluation evaluates all parameters of a function call before running its body. In the case of languages with lazy evaluation, such as Haskell, parameters of a function are evaluated only when they become necessary inside the function body.

The language is called purely functional because it does not allow a function application to change the global state of the program, only changes to variables and values local to the function execution are allowed. Changing the global state of the

A HasCASL Library 7

program is a kind of side effect which is common in imperative languages. Functional programming languages that allow side effects are called non-pure.

To allow operations that may cause side effects to be executed without causing side effects to the hole program, Haskell performs side-effect actions through a mathematical entity called a monad. Monads can sequence side-effect computations passing a copy of the actual global state implicitly to those computations. It prevents the side effects to change the real global state of the program.

Haskell functions can be declared just as in $\lambda-Calculus$ by Lambda Abstractions or in the Haskell syntax; in both styles we can name function definitions to later reuse. If a function type is not defined, the compiler will compute the broader type in the context. The Haskell syntax is preferred because it's easier and more practical for writing larger programs. Here we show the function add for summing two numbers defined with Lambda Abstractions and in the Haskell syntax, respectively; the compiler will calculate type Integer -> Integer to the functions as we haven't declared the type of the functions:

add =
$$\xy -> x+y$$

add x y = x+y

It is necessary to differentiate functions, as the previously defined add, from operators, as the operator +. A function in Haskell is always defined in a prefix way and an operator has an infix definition. Besides these differences, it's possible to simulate a function with an operator and vice versa. Operators can be used as functions if enclosed by parenthesis; a function can be used as an operator if enclosed by backquotes. We can use the operator + as a function like this: (+) x y and the function add as an operator like this: x `add` y

Just as in other functional languages, the main data representation in Haskell are lists. There can be lists of primitive types, lists of tuples, lists of lists, lists of functions, etc.; the only requirement is that all elements of the list have the same type. The order and the quantity of elements within a list are taken into account when comparing them for equality.

Two basic operators to manipulate lists are the operator ":" (list construction) and the operator "++" (list concatenation). A list is always constructed from an empty list and some element by the list construction operator and two lists can be concatenated only if their elements have the same type.

Another feature largely explored in Haskell is pattern matching. Functions can be defined by pattern matching their parameters, as follows:

```
fat :: Int -> Int
fat 1 = 1
fat x = x * fat(x-1)
```

Each call to the function fat will pattern match against each line of its definition, from the first one to the last one, until the parameters of the function call match parameters from one of the definitions. Thus, the more specific definitions must come before the generic one. In Haskell Source Code 2.2.1, on page 10, we can see pattern matching applied in case expressions, list constructors and let expressions.

A fundamental tool in Haskell is the data type construction. A data type must have at least one constructor that may be empty or may have type variables. Type variables are used to construct polymorphic data types; the constructor and its type variables may be enclosed by parenthesis in order to avoid ambiguity. In Haskell Source Code 2.2.1, on page 10, we defined the polimorphic type Split a b with one constructor (Split b [[a]]).

9

We can collect functions and data types from similar contexts in libraries. Haskell libraries are called modules and can control which functions and data types from that module should be exposed to users. We've created a module in Haskell Source Code 2.2.1, on page 10, and let all the functions to be exposed to the users. There is a standard library, called *Prelude*, which defines basic functions that operate on primitive types, such as: *Bool, Char, List, String*, numeric types and tuples involving those types. All Haskell compilers must implement the Prelude library as this implementation is part for the language definition.

```
Haskell Source Code 2.2.1 Haskell source code for GenSort sorting program.
```

```
module GenSort where
import Data.List
data Split a b = Split b [[a]]
genSort :: Ord a => ([a] -> Split a b) -> (Split a b -> [a]) -> [a] -> [a]
genSort split join 1 = case 1 of
  _ : _ : _ -> let Split c ls = split l in
               join $ Split c $ map (genSort split join) ls
  _ -> 1
splitInsertionSort :: [a] -> Split a a
splitInsertionSort (a : 1) = Split a [1]
joinInsertionSort :: Ord a => Split a a -> [a]
joinInsertionSort (Split a [1]) = insert a 1
insertionSort :: Ord a => [a] -> [a]
insertionSort = genSort splitInsertionSort joinInsertionSort
splitQuickSort :: Ord a => [a] -> Split a a
splitQuickSort (a : 1) =
  let (ls, gs) = partition (< a) l in Split a [ls, gs]</pre>
joinQuickSort :: Split a a -> [a]
joinQuickSort (Split a [ls, gs]) = ls ++ (a : gs)
quickSort :: Ord a \Rightarrow [a] \rightarrow [a]
quickSort = genSort splitQuickSort joinQuickSort
splitMergeSort :: [a] -> Split a ()
splitMergeSort 1 =
  let (11, 12) = splitAt (div (length 1) 2) 1 in Split () [11, 12]
joinMergeSort :: Ord a => Split a () -> [a]
joinMergeSort (Split [11, 12]) = merge 11 12
merge :: Ord a => [a] -> [a] -> [a]
merge 11 12 = case 11 of
  [] -> 12
  x1 : r1 \rightarrow case 12 of
     [] -> 11
     x2 : r2 \rightarrow if x1 < x2
       then x1: merge r1 12
       else x2 : merge 11 r2
mergeSort :: Ord a \Rightarrow [a] \rightarrow [a]
mergeSort = genSort splitMergeSort joinMergeSort
splitSelectionSort :: Ord a => [a] -> Split a a
splitSelectionSort 1 =
  let m = minimum l in Split m [delete m l]
joinSelectionSort :: Split a a -> [a]
joinSelectionSort (Split a [1]) = a : 1
selectionSort :: Ord a => [a] -> [a]
selectionSort = genSort splitSelectionSort joinSelectionSort
```

2.3 HasCASL

This section presents the language HasCASL[18]. The formal language definition can be found in another document [19].

The language HasCASL is an extension of CASL with concepts of higher-order logic such as high order types and functions, polymorphism and type constructors. HasCASL was planned to have Haskell as its subset; this should make it possible to transform a HasCASL specification in a Haskell program in a simple way.

Standard higher-order logic does not allow recursive types and functions widely used in functional languages. HasCASL tries to solve this problem without using denotational semantic, by creating an internal logic to λ -abstractions which is not a primitive concept, but that emerges from the constructions. Thus, although higher-order properties can be obtained, HasCASL remains close to the CASL language.

The sentences in HasCASL differ from those in CASL in two respects:

- Quantifiers (universal, existential and unique existential) can be applied on type variables and have restrictions related to sub-types;
- CASL predicates are replaced by terms of the type Unit.

Unlike in functional programming languages, polymorphic operators must be explicitly instantiated, since it is not yet clear, theoretically, how they relate to resolution of sub-type overloads and implicit instantiation.

As HasCASL tries to keep as close as possible to CASL, its semantic is also based on set theory. Intentional Henkin models are chosen to model higher-order signatures in the HasCASL semantic. In this model, the types of functions are interpreted by arbitrary sets equipped with an application function of the appropriate type (opposed to a partial type s ->? t been interpreted by the complete set of all partial functions

from s to t). The interpretation of the λ -terms is part of the model structure rather than just being an existential axiom.

The intensional Henkin model has some advantages, including: it eliminates the completeness problem; allows initial models of signatures containing partial functions; and allows the operational semantics of functional programming languages to be applied, instead of directly using higher-order logic operational semantic.

Unlike Haskell, in which function evaluation is lazy, the evaluation of functions in HasCASL is strict, i.e., undefined arguments always result in undefined values. One way to emulate the lazy evaluation is to move a parameter with type a to the unit type Unit ->? a.

To illustrate the language syntax, we'll take a look into the Specification 2.3.1, on page 13. Types are defined by the reserved word type, which may be preceded by the qualifiers free and generated, as in *CASL*. Defining types which contain function types as constructor parameters and recursion only on the right side of the arrow should be done with the reserved word cofree; when recursion is present in both sides of the arrow, the types must be defined with the reserved word free. Type Bool was defined as free type with two constructors (True and False).

Functions may be defined by the word fun, which differs from the command op with relation to their behaviour over sub-typing [20]. A lazy type differs from a strict one by a question mark in front of the type, as in ?Bool. Functions in mixfix notation have their parameters indicated by the placeholder __ and the parameter types must be defined as tuples. Thus, the function __&&__ expects two elements of type Bool (indicated by: ?Bool * ?Bool) and returns one element of that type (indicated by: -> ?Bool). Curried functions are defined applying them to the parameters in opposite to using the placeholder and the types of the parameters should be separated by ->

in place of *.

Variables are introduced by the word var followed by a list of one or more variables and the type of these variables, separated from the list of variables by a colon.

Axioms and theorems are introduced by a final point. Annotations are included in front of axioms and theorems to make it easier to reference them and to allow their use by tools; the annotation should be a name between %(and)%.

Specification 2.3.1 Initial Bool Specification from scratch

```
spec Bool = %mono
     free type Bool ::= True | False
     fun Not__: ?Bool -> ?Bool
     fun __&&__: ?Bool * ?Bool -> ?Bool
     fun __||_: ?Bool * ?Bool -> ?Bool
     fun otherwise: ?Bool
     vars x,y: ?Bool
     . Not(False) = True
                                        %(Not_False)%
     . Not(True) = False
                                        %(Not_True)%
     . False && False = False
                                        %(And def1)%
     . False && True = False
                                        %(And_def2)%
     . True && False = False
                                        %(And def3)%
     . True && True = True
                                        %(And def4)%
     . x \mid \mid y = Not(Not(x) && Not(y))
                                        %(Or def)%
     . otherwise = True
                                        %(Otherwise_def)%
end
```

2.4 Heterogeneous Specifications: HetCASL and Hets

Nowadays, in the formal method area, different logics and methods are used to specify large systems because there isn't a single best solution to achieve all the desired functionalities. These heterogeneous specifications must have a formal interoperability between the languages involved in such a way that each language may have its own proof method and all formal proofs must be consistent when viewed in terms of

the heterogeneous specification.

The various sub-languages and extensions of CASL maybe linked by the language $Heterogeneous\ CASL\ (HetCASL)\ [14],$ which has the structural constructions of CASL. HetCASL extends the semantic properties of CASL by being institution independent with constructions that forcing the relationship between translations of specifications that occur in conjunction with translations of the logics. It is worth emphasizing that HetCASL preserves the fact that the logic used by individual specifications are orthogonal to CASL.

The Heterogeneous Tool Set (Hets) [14] is a syntactic analyzer and a proof manager for HetCASL specifications, implemented in Haskell, which combines the various proof tools for each individual logic used in various sub-languages and extensions of CASL. Hets is based on a graph of logics and languages, providing a clear semantic and a proof calculus for heterogeneous specifications.

Each logic of the graph is represented by a set of types and functions in Haskell. The syntax and semantics of the heterogeneous specifications in HetCASL and their implementations are parametrized by an arbitrary graph of logics inside Hets. This allows easily management of each Hets module implementation using software engineering techniques.

HasCASL specifications are translated to the Isar language, which is the language used by the Isabelle theorem prover [15], a semi-automatic theorem prover for higher-order logics. Hets supports other first-order theorem provers for proving CASL specifications. Other CASL sub-languages or extensions maybe proved by translating them to CASL or HasCASL.

The structure of proofs in *Hets* is based on the formalism of development graphs [13], widely used for specifications of industrial systems. The graph structure allows

for a direct visualization of the specification structure and facilitates the management of specifications with many sub-specifications.

A development graph consists of a number of nodes (corresponding to complete specifications or parts+ of specifications) and a set of edges called definition links that indicate dependency between the various specifications and their sub-specifications. Each node is associated with a signature and a local set of axioms; these axioms are inherited by other nodes which depend on this node through definition links. Different types of edges are used to indicate when the logic is changed between two nodes.

A second type of edge, a theorem link, is used to indicate relations between different theories, serving to represent proof needs that arise during the specification development. Theorem links can be global or local (represented by edges with different shapes in the graph): global links indicate that all valid axioms in the source node are valid in the target node; local links indicate that only axioms defined in the source node are valid in target node.

Global theory links are broken down into simpler links (global or local) through proof calculus for development graphs. Local links may be proved by transforming them into local proof goals; this transformation will mark the node corresponding to that goal to be proved using the theorem prover for the logic represented on this node.

2.5 Isabelle

This section describes the theorem prover Isabelle [10]; a full description can be found on the manual [15].

Isabelle is a generic theorem prover that allows the use of several logics as formal calculus to assist in theorem proofs. Hets uses Isabelle to prove theorems in higher-

order logic, but the prover allows, for example, the use of axiomatized set theory, among other logics. Support for multiple logic is one of the prominent features of the tool.

The prover has an excellent support for mathematical notation: new symbols may be included using common mathematical syntax and proofs can be described in a structured way or as a sequence of proof commands. Proofs may include TeX codes so that formatted documents can be generated directly from the proof source.

The major limitation of theorem provers is the usual need for an extensive previous experience from the users. In order to facilitate the process of proof construction, *Isabelle* has some tools that automate some proof contents, such as equations, basic arithmetic and mathematical formulas.

The higher-order language (HOL) is used to write theories. Its syntax is very similar to those of functional programming languages because it is based on the typed λ -calculus. This language allows construction of data types, types with functions as parameters and other constructions common in functional languages. Translation of HasCASL specifications to HOL theories are automatically made by the Hets tool.

Isabelle has an extension, called Isar, which allows one to describe proofs that can be read by humans and can be easily interpreted by computers. It has an extensive library of mathematical theories already proved (for example, in topics like algebra and set theory), and also many examples of proofs carried out in a formal verification context. In this work, proofs were written using proof commands, although they are less powerful than the notation used in Isar.

2.6 Proposal

A prerequisite for the practical use of a specification language is the availability of a set of standard specifications previously defined [17]. CASL language has such set of specifications defined in "CASL Basic Datatypes" [16]; instead of providing common blocks for reuse as programming languages usually do, this document provides complete specification examples that illustrate the use of CASL both in terms of Basic Specifications and Structured Specification. There are two groups of examples: one with basic data types and one with specifications that express properties of complex structures. In the first case, we can find simple data types, such as numbers and characters, as well as structured data types, such as lists, vectors and matrices; the second group contains algebraic structures such as rings and monoids and mathematical properties such as equivalence relation and partial order.

Currently, HasCASL language does not have a library along the lines of CASL library. According Scröder, data types described in "CASL Basic Datatypes" can serve as a basis for building a standard library to each CASL extensions. In case of HasCASL, it is suggested the inclusion of new specifications that involve higher order features such as completeness of partial orders as well as extending data types and changing parameterization for real type dependence. As example, higher order functions operating on lists, such as map, filter and fold, can be specified after importing already defined functions on List data type from CASL library to improve reuse.

Based on these suggestions, we propose to build a library for HasCASL based on CASL library and Haskell Prelude library. Creating such a library can be very useful to increase HasCASL usage in real projects by providing predefined specifications for reuse. As Prelude library must be implemented by all Haskell compilers, having its data types already specified in HasCASL can contribute to automatic code generation

in the future as, once these data types are already specified, verified and refined to Haskell code, larger specifications using them can be created and translated to Haskell in an easier way.

Creation of such a library required studing how Haskell functions and types operate and finding solutions to include these elements on our library with maximum reuse of *CASL* library data types. Learning *CASL*, *HasCASL* and *Isabelle* and dealing with their peculiarities were the center of the project difficulties.

All generated specifications were verified by *Hets* tool and most of them were proved using *Isabelle* to ensure their correctness.

3 Specifying the library

In this section we intended to explain what we've been working on. We started by discussing the choices we'd to do when we started the project. Later, for each specification we'd done, we listed its source and explained some problems we've faced and some choices we've made when writing that specification.

3.1 Initial choices

To fully capture Haskell features, our library should use laziness, be refined to use continuous, this allowing infinite data types. Since starting with all these functionalities would require using the most advanced constructions of the *HasCASL* language and would require deep know-how of *Isabelle* proof scripts, it would not be the best first approach to use as an algebraic specification methodology. Thus, we decided that the library should be specified using strict types and more advanced Haskell features should be left for a latter refinement.

19

Different from Haskell, HasCASL doesn't allow the same function to be used both in prefix and infix notation. Thus, all functions from the CASL library which were defined in a mixfix way (and thus expected tuples as parameters) wouldn't be compatible with Haskell curried functions. To solve this problem, we redefined functions from the CASL library in a mixfix way and, for each mixfix definition, we created a curried version whose name would be formed by enclosing the name of the mixfix function between brackets. This solution created a pattern for naming curried functions that was easy to remember and allowed all of our functions to be curried with other functions.

To write our library, we used names from Prelude functions and types. When importing, we changed the imported name to the one used by the Prelude version using CASL renaming syntax. When there was any function in Prelude that had no equivalent in an existent CASL specification, we included that function in our HasCASL type to match Prelude types and functions as much as possible.

3.2 Our first specification: Bool

We started our library by importing type Boolean from the *CASL* library, like show in Specification 3.2.1, on page 20.

As we were still pondering about using laziness, we decided that it should be better to specify Boolean from scratch, since the one imported from *CASL* had only total functions. This tentative is shown in Specification 2.3.1, on page 13.

Next, we've decided to use only stric types, as we could, later, refine our specifications to use laziness. We have also included curried versions for both boolean operations that are mixfix in the *CASL* version, as well as some axioms that whoul be needed later in *Isabelle* proofs that couldn't be done automatically. As "otherwise"

Specification 3.2.1 Initial Bool Specification importing *CASL* type

Specification 3.2.2 Initial Bool Specification from scratch

```
spec Bool = %mono
    free type Bool ::= True | False
    fun Not__: ?Bool ->? ?Bool
    fun __&&__: ?Bool * ?Bool ->? ?Bool
    fun || : ?Bool * ?Bool ->? ?Bool
    fun otherwise: ?Bool
    vars x,y: ?Bool
     . Not(False) = True
                                       %(Not_False)%
     . Not(True) = False
                                       %(Not_True)%
     . False && False = False
                                       %(And def1)%
     . False && True = False
                                       %(And def2)%
     . True && False = False
                                       %(And_def3)%
     . True && True = True
                                       %(And def4)%
     x \mid y = Not(Not(x) && Not(y))
                                       %(Or def)%
     . otherwise = True
                                       %(Otherwise_def)%
end
```

21

is an Isabelle reserved word, we appended an H, from Haskell, to its name. We thus achieved Specification 3.2.3, on page 21.

```
Specification 3.2.3 Boolean Specification
```

```
spec Bool = %mono
free type Bool ::= True | False
fun Not__ : Bool -> Bool
fun && : Bool * Bool -> Bool
fun <&&> : Bool -> Bool -> Bool
fun __||__ : Bool * Bool -> Bool
fun <||> : Bool -> Bool -> Bool
fun otherwiseH: Bool
vars x,y: Bool
. Not(False) = True
                                     %(NotFalse)%
. Not(True) = False
                                     %(NotTrue)%
. False && x = False
                                     %(AndFalse)%
. True && x = x
                                     %(AndTrue)%
%(AndSym)%
x \mid y = Not(Not(x) && Not(y))
                                     %(OrDef)%
. otherwiseH = True
                                     %(OtherwiseDef)%
. < \&\&> x y = x \&\& y
                                     %(AndPrefixDef)%
|\cdot| < |\cdot| > x y = x |\cdot| y
                                     %(OrPrefixDef)%
%%
. Not x = True \iff x = False
                                     %(NotFalse1)% %implied
                                     %(NotTrue1)% %implied
. Not x = False \iff x = True
. not (x = True) \iff Not x = True
                                     %(notNot1)% %implied
. not (x = False) \iff Not x = False \%(notNot2)\% \%implied
end
```

3.3 The Specification for Equality

After defining the Bool type, the next step was to specify equality functions. As we were working over Bool, we could not use HasCASL predicates and their related operations. We thus had to redefine all functions and operations related to element comparison to use our Bool type. As in the Haskell Prelude, equality functions were

grouped in a class named Eq, giving us Specification 3.3.1, on page 23.

Equality was defined including axioms for symmetry, reflexivity and transitivity. An axiom mapping HasCASL equality to our equality was created, namelly, "(EqualTDef)", since the opposite map cannot be created because it would be too restrictive. Negation was defined by negating equality, as any equation involving negation could be translated to a negated equality and thus proved using the equality axioms. Curried versions for both functions were also defined. Seven auxiliary theorems were created and proved to be used by Isabelle, if needed.

Type instances were declared, as it's done in Prelude, for Bool and Unit data types. In the first case, although Bool is a free data type and, hence, True is different from False, this difference had to be axiomatized by the axiom %(IBE3)% because our equality is not mapped to the HasCASL equality; all the other theorems for Bool instance declarations should follow from %(IBE3)% and the other Eq axioms. In the second case, as () is the only element from type Unit, instance definitions should be theorems as they follow from the Eq axioms.

3.4 The Specification for Ordering

The next specification we defined was Ord, for Ordering relations. Our first approach was to import the partial order defined by the Ord specification inside the library HasCASL/Metatheory/Ord. As importing this library would cause problems to our strict library, because the imported one uses lazy types, we decided to specify our own version.

To create the Ord specification we defined the Ordering data type and declared this type as an instance of the Eq class: three axioms relate the three constructors and the other theorems follow from them. See Specification 3.4.1, on page 25, for details.

Specification 3.3.1 Equality specification

```
spec Eq = Bool then
class Eq {
var a: Eq
fun __==__ : a * a -> Bool
fun <==> : a -> a -> Bool
fun _{-}/=_{-}: a * a -> Bool
fun </=> : a-> a-> Bool
vars x,y,z: a
x = y \Rightarrow (x == y) = True
                                                            %(EqualTDef)%
x == y = y == x
                                                          %(EqualSymDef)%
(x == x) = True
                                                          %(EqualReflex)%
. (x == y) = True / (y == z) = True \Rightarrow (x == z) = True
                                                          %(EqualTransT)%
(x /= y) = Not (x == y)
                                                             %(DiffDef)%
. <==> x y = x === y
                                                       %(EqualPrefixDef)%
. </=> x y = x /= y
                                                        %(DiffPrefixDef)%
(x /= y) = (y /= x)
                                                  %(DiffSymDef)% %implied
                                                    %(DiffTDef)% %implied
(x \neq y) = True \iff Not (x == y) = True
(x /= y) = False <=> (x == y) = True
                                                    %(DiffFDef)% %implied
(x == y) = False \Rightarrow not (x = y)
                                               %(TE1)% %implied
. Not (x == y) = True \iff (x == y) = False
                                               %(TE2)% %implied
. Not (x == y) = False \iff (x == y) = True
                                               %(TE3)% %implied
. not ((x == y) = True) \iff (x == y) = False \%(TE4)\% \%implied
}
type instance Bool: Eq
. (True == True) = True
                                         %(IBE1)% %implied
                                         %(IBE2)% %implied
. (False == False) = True
. (False == True) = False
                                         %(IBE3)%
. (True == False) = False
                                         %(IBE4)% %implied
. (True /= False) = True
                                         %(IBE5)% %implied
. (False /= True) = True
                                         %(IBE6)% %implied
. Not (True == False) = True
                                         %(IBE7)% %implied
. Not (Not (True == False)) = False
                                         %(IBE8)% %implied
type instance Unit: Eq
(() == ()) = True %(IUE1)% %implied
. (() /= ()) = False \%(IUE2)\% \%implied
end
```

As in Haskell, we defined the Ord class to be a subclass of class Eq. We specified a total order function __<_ and all the other ordering functions were defined using this function. Irreflexivity, asymmetry, transitivity and totality properties appear as theorems over the ordering functions plus __<_.

Next, four axioms defining equality in function of functions, four axioms to swap equal variables in the __<_ function, and two axioms relating total and partial ordering involving equality were defined. Twenty one theorems relating ordering functions guarantee that these functions work as expected. Curried version for ordering functions were defined, followed by the definition of the compare, min and max functions. Next, two theorems relating min and max functions were specified and proved. Seven auxiliary theorems were included, as some of them were needed in *Isabelle* proofs later, specially %(T06)%, which relates ordering functions and the function Not__.

The folloing types were declared instances of the Ord class: Ordering, Bool, Nat and Unit. For the first two data types we needed to axiomatically define how __<__ works because they have more than one type constructor. For the type Nat we only declared the type to be an instance of Ord, but we didn't define the axioms. For the type Unit all functions can be proved because there is only one member of this type.

Specification 3.4.1 Ord Specification - Part 1

```
spec Ord = Eq and Bool then
free type Ordering ::= LT | EQ | GT
type instance Ordering: Eq
. (LT == LT) = True %(IOE01)% %implied
. (EQ == EQ) = True \%(IOEO2)\% %implied
. (GT == GT) = True %(IOE03)% %implied
. (LT == EQ) = False \%(IOE04)%
. (LT == GT) = False \%(IOE05)%
. (EQ == GT) = False \%(IOE06)%
. (LT /= EQ) = True \%(IOE07)\% %implied
. (LT /= GT) = True %(IOE08)% %implied
. (EQ /= GT) = True
                      %(IOE09)% %implied
class Ord < Eq {</pre>
var a: Ord
fun compare: a -> a -> Ordering
 fun < : a * a -> Bool
 fun <<> : a -> a -> Bool
 fun > : a * a -> Bool
 fun <>> : a -> a -> Bool
 fun __<=_ : a * a -> Bool
 fun <<=> : a -> a -> Bool
 fun >= : a * a -> Bool
 fun <>=> : a -> a -> Bool
 fun min: a -> a -> a
 fun max: a -> a -> a
        x, y, z, w: a
 . (x == y) = True => (x < y) = False
. (x < y) = True => y < x = False
                                              %(LeIrreflexivity)%
                                              %(LeTAsymmetry)% %implied
 . (x < y) = True / (y < z) = True \Rightarrow (x < z) = True %(LeTTransitive)%
```

```
Specification 3.4.1 Ord Specification - Part 2
 . (x < y) = True \setminus / (y < x) = True
 %(LeTTotal)%
 (x > y) = (y < x)
                                                 %(GeDef)%
 (x == y) = True \Rightarrow (x > y) = False
                                             %(GeIrreflexivity)% %implied
 (x > y) = True \Rightarrow (y > x) = False
                                                %(GeTAsymmetry)% %implied
 ((x > y) \&\& (y > z)) = True
 \Rightarrow (x > z) = True
                                               %(GeTTransitive)% %implied
 . (((x > y) || (y > x)) || (x == y)) = True %(GeTTotal)% %implied
 (x \le y) = (x < y) \mid | (x == y)
                                                 %(LeqDef)%
 (x \le x) = True
                                              %(LeqReflexivity)% %implied
 . ((x \le y) \&\& (y \le z)) = True
 => (x <= z) = True
                                              %(LeqTTransitive)% %implied
 (x \le y) & (y \le x) = (x == y)
                                                 %(LeqTTotal)% %implied
 (x \ge y) = ((x > y) \mid | (x == y))
                                                 %(GeqDef)%
 (x \ge x) = True
                                              %(GeqReflexivity)% %implied
 . ((x \ge y) \&\& (y \ge z)) = True
 \Rightarrow (x \Rightarrow z) = True
                                              %(GeqTTransitive)% %implied
 . (x >= y) && (y >= x) = (x == y)
                                                 %(GeqTTotal)% %implied
 . (x == y) = True \iff (x < y) = False / (x > y) = False %(EqTSOrdRel)%
 . (x == y) = False <=> (x < y) = True \/ (x > y) = True \% (EqFSOrdRel)%
 . (x == y) = True \iff (x \iff y) = True /\ (x \implies y) = True %(EqTOrdRel)%
 . (x == y) = False <=> (x <= y) = True \/ (x >= y) = True %(EqFOrdRel)%
 . (x == y) = True / (y < z) = True => (x < z) = True
                                                        %(EqTOrdTSubstE)%
. (x == y) = True / (y < z) = False => (x < z) = False %(EqTOrdFSubstE)%
 . (x == y) = True / (z < y) = True => (z < x) = True
                                                        %(EqTOrdTSubstD)%
. (x == y) = True / (z < y) = False => (z < x) = False %(EqTOrdFSubstD)%
 (x < y) = True
 \langle = \rangle (x > y) = False /\ (x == y) = False
                                                         %(LeTGeFEqFRel)%
 (x < y) = False
<=> (x > y) = True \/ (x == y) = True
                                                         %(LeFGeTEqTRel)%
 . (x < y) = True \iff (y > x) = True
                                                   %(LeTGeTRel)% %implied
 (x < y) = False \iff (y > x) = False
                                                   %(LeFGeFRel)% %implied
 (x \le y) = True \le (y \ge x) = True
                                                 %(LeqTGetTRel)% %implied
 . (x \le y) = False \le (y \ge x) = False
                                                 %(LeqFGetFRel)% %implied
 (x > y) = True \iff (y < x) = True
                                                   %(GeTLeTRel)% %implied
 (x > y) = False \iff (y < x) = False
                                                   %(GeFLeFRel)% %implied
 (x >= y) = True <=> (y <= x) = True
                                                 %(GeqTLeqTRel)% %implied
 . (x \ge y) = False \iff (y \le x) = False
                                                 %(GeqFLeqFRel)% %implied
 . (x \le y) = True \le (x > y) = False
                                                  %(LeqTGeFRel)% %implied
 . (x \le y) = False \le (x > y) = True
                                                  %(LeqFGeTRel)% %implied
```

Specification 3.4.1 Ord Specification - Part 3

```
(x > y) = True
\langle = \rangle (x < y) = False /\ (x == y) = False
                                                 %(GeTLeFEqFRel)% %implied
 (x > y) = False
<=> (x < y) = True \/ (x == y) = True
                                                 %(GeFLeTEqTRel)% %implied
 . (x \ge y) = True \iff (x < y) = False
                                                   %(GeqTLeFRel)% %implied
 (x \ge y) = False \le (x < y) = True
                                                   %(GeqFLeTRel)% %implied
 (x \le y) = True
<=> (x < y) = True \/ (x == y) = True
                                               %(LeqTLeTEqTRel)% %implied
 . (x \le y) = False
\langle x \rangle (x \langle y) = False / (x == y) = False
                                               %(LeqFLeFEqFRel)% %implied
 (x \ge y) = True
<=> (x > y) = True \/ (x == y) = True
                                               %(GeqTGeTEqTRel)% %implied
 (x \ge y) = False
\langle = \rangle (x > y) = False /\ (x == y) = False
                                               %(GeqFGeFEqFRel)% %implied
 (x < y) = True \iff (x >= y) = False
                                                   %(LeTGeqFRel)% %implied
 (x > y) = True \iff (x \iff y) = False
                                                   %(GeTLeqFRel)% %implied
 (x < y) = (x <= y) && (x /= y)
                                                    %(LeLeqDiff)% %implied
 . <<> x y = x < y
                                                    %(LePrefixDef)%
 . <<=> x y = x <= y
                                                    %(LeqPrefixDef)%
 . \iff x y = x > y
                                                    %(GePrefixDef)%
 . <>=> x y = x >= y
                                                    %(GeqPrefixDef)%
 . (compare x y == LT) = (x < y)
                                                    %(CmpLTDef)%
 . (compare x y == EQ) = (x == y)
                                                    %(CmpEQDef)%
 . (compare x y == GT) = (x > y)
                                                    %(CmpGTDef)%
 (\max x y == y) = (x <= y)
                                                    %(MaxYDef)%
 (\max x y == x) = (y <= x)
                                                    %(MaxXDef)%
 . (\min x y == x) = (x <= y)
                                                    %(MinXDef)%
 . (\min x y == y) = (y <= x)
                                                    %(MinYDef)%
 . (max x y == y) = (max y x == y)
                                                    %(MaxSym)% %implied
   (\min x y == y) = (\min y x == y)
                                                    %(MinSym)% %implied
. (x == y) = True \ \ (x < y) = True <=> (x <= y) = True %(TO1)% %implied
(x == y) = True \Rightarrow (x < y) = False
                                                          %(TO2)% %implied
. Not (Not (x < y)) = True \setminus / Not (x < y) = True
                                                          %(TO3)% %implied
. (x < y) = True \Rightarrow Not (x == y) = True
                                                          %(TO4)% %implied
. (x < y) = True / (y < z) = True / (z < w) = True
=> (x < w) = True
                                                          %(TO5)% %implied
. (z < x) = True \Rightarrow Not (x < z) = True
                                                          %(TO6)% %implied
(x < y) = True <=> (y > x) = True
                                                          %(T07)% %implied
```

```
Specification 3.4.1 Ord Specification - Part 4
type instance Ordering: Ord
(LT < EQ) = True
                                             %(I0013)%
(EQ < GT) = True
                                             %(I0014)%
(LT < GT) = True
                                             %(IOO15)%
(LT \le EQ) = True
                                             %(I0016)% %implied
(EQ \le GT) = True
                                             %(I0017)% %implied
. (LT \le GT) = True
                                             %(I0018)% %implied
 (EQ >= LT) = True
                                             %(I0019)% %implied
(GT \ge EQ) = True
                                             %(I0020)% %implied
(GT >= LT) = True
                                             %(I0021)% %implied
                                             %(I0022)% %implied
(EQ > LT) = True
(GT > EQ) = True
                                             %(I0023)% %implied
(GT > LT) = True
                                             %(I0024)% %implied
. (\max LT EQ == EQ) = True
                                             %(I0025)% %implied
. (max EQ GT == GT) = True
                                             %(I0026)% %implied
. (max LT GT == GT) = True
                                             %(I0027)% %implied
. (min LT EQ == LT) = True
                                             %(I0028)% %implied
. (min EQ GT == EQ) = True
                                             %(I0029)% %implied
. (min LT GT == LT) = True
                                             %(I0030)% %implied
. (compare LT LT == EQ) = True
                                             %(I0031)% %implied
. (compare EQ EQ == EQ) = True
                                             %(I0032)% %implied
. (compare GT GT == EQ) = True
                                             %(I0033)% %implied
type instance Bool: Ord
. (False < True) = True
                                             %(IBO5)%
. (False >= True) = False
                                             %(IBO6)% %implied
. (True >= False) = True
                                             %(IBO7)% %implied
. (True < False) = False
                                             %(IBO8)% %implied
. (max False True == True) = True
                                             %(IBO9)% %implied
. (min False True == False) = True
                                             %(IB010)% %implied
. (compare True True == EQ) = True
                                             %(IB011)% %implied
. (compare False False == EQ) = True
                                             %(IB012)% %implied
type instance Nat: Ord
type instance Unit: Ord
(() <= ()) = True
                                             %(IU001)% %implied
. (() < ()) = False
                                             %(IU002)% %implied
(() >= ()) = True
                                             %(IU003)% %implied
(() > ()) = False
                                             %(IU004)% %implied
(\max () () == ()) = True
                                             %(IU005)% %implied
(\min () () == ()) = True
                                             %(IU006)% %implied
. (compare () () == EQ) = True
                                             %(IU007)% %implied
end
```

3.5 Maybe, Either, MaybeMonad and EitherFunctor Specifications

The data type Maybe a, where a is a type variable, has constructors: Just a and Nothing, as shown in Specification 3.5.1, on page 30. It has an associated maybe function that applies a function to the value x of a constructor Just x, and returns this application's result or returns a default value, received as parameter.

We declare the type Maybe to be an instance of the class Eq by defining how equality works on two elements of the Just constructor. Next, we prove that it works as expected on two Nothing constructors and then define the result of comparing both Just and Nothing constructors.

The type instance declaration for class Ord defines how function __<_ compares
Just and Nothing constructors, and how it compares two different Just elements.
Comparing two elements of the Nothing constructor doesn't need to be defined because they always compare two equal elements (two copies of the Nothing constructor). The theorems prove that the other comparing functions work as expected when comparing Just and Nothing constructors. More theorems involving two elements of the Just constructor could be proved just as we did for Just and Nothing. We decided not to write them because all of them should follow from the ordering theorems after applying some comparing axioms and the axioms %(IMO12)% and %(IMEO3)%. Unless Isabelle needs them later, writing these theorems would only take a lot of time and wouldn't change the way the specification is defined.

Data type Either a b, where a and b are types, has constructors Left a and Right b, as shown in Specification 3.5.2, on page 32. The associated function either receives as parameters two functions and an Either a b element. Then function

Specification 3.5.1 Maybe Specification

```
spec Maybe = Eq and Ord then
var a,b,c : Type;
    e : Eq;
    o : Ord;
free type Maybe a ::= Just a | Nothing
var x : a;
    y : b;
    ma : Maybe a;
    f : a \rightarrow b
fun maybe : b \rightarrow (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow Maybe a \rightarrow b
. maybe y f (Just x: Maybe a) = f x
                                                           %(MaybeJustDef)%
. maybe y f (Nothing: Maybe a) = y
                                                        %(MaybeNothingDef)%
type instance Maybe e: Eq
var x,y : e;
. (Just x == Just y) = True \langle = \rangle (x == y) = True
                                                            %(IMEO1)%
. ((Nothing : Maybe e) == (Nothing: Maybe e)) = True
                                                         %(IMEO2)% %implied
. Just x == Nothing = False
                                                            %(IMEO3)%
type instance Maybe o: Ord
var x,y : o;
. (Nothing < Just x) = True
                                                            %(IMOO1)%
. (Just x < Just y) = (x < y)
                                                            %(IMOO2)%
. (Nothing \geq Just x) = False
                                                         %(IMO03)% %implied
. (Just x \ge Nothing) = True
                                                         %(IMOO4)% %implied
. (Just x < Nothing) = False
                                                         %(IMO05)% %implied
. (compare Nothing (Just x) == EQ)
     = (Nothing == (Just x))
                                                         %(IMO06)% %implied
. (compare Nothing (Just x) == LT)
     = (Nothing < (Just x))
                                                         %(IMO07)% %implied
. (compare Nothing (Just x) == GT)
    = (Nothing > (Just x))
                                                         %(IMO08)% %implied
. (Nothing <= (Just x))</pre>
     = (max Nothing (Just x) == (Just x))
                                                         %(IMO09)% %implied
. ((Just x) <= Nothing)</pre>
    = (max Nothing (Just x) == Nothing)
                                                         %(IMO10)% %implied
. (Nothing <= (Just x))</pre>
    = (min Nothing (Just x) == Nothing)
                                                         %(IMO11)% %implied
. ((Just x) <= Nothing)</pre>
    = (min Nothing (Just x) == (Just x))
                                                         %(IMO12)% %implied
end
```

either applies the first function received to the element in case its constructor is the Left a constructor; the second functions is applied to the same element in case the constructor is Right b.

Either was declared an an instance of the class Eq by three equality comparisons: first, between two elements with the constructor Left a; next, between two elements with the constructor Right b; and last, between one element whith each of those constructors.

The type declaration for class Ord defines how the function __<_ works with two different constructors and with two elements of each constructor. The theorems were, again, defined by relating two elements of distinct constructors with the ordering relations, as done in the Maybe data type specification.

We separated the functor and monadic functions for Maybe and Either data types in different specifications, as show in Specification 3.5.3, on page 33, and in Specification 3.5.4, on page 33, respectively. At this time, *Hets* cannot translate functions from constructor classes, as the Monad class. Thus, these specifications can only be syntactically checked by *Hets*, but not translated to and neither proved by *Isabelle*. Our approach was to declare all functions from the Functor and Monad classes as theorems, so that later, if some of them must be redefined as axioms, we can remove the %implied directive and change the theorems into axioms.

```
Specification 3.5.2 Either Specification
spec Either = Eq and Ord then
var a, b, c : Type; e, ee : Eq; o, oo : Ord;
free type Either a b ::= Left a | Right b
var x : a; y : b; z : c; eab : Either a b; f : a -> c; g : b -> c
fun either : (a \rightarrow c) \rightarrow (b \rightarrow c) \rightarrow Either a b \rightarrow c
. either f g (Left x: Either a b) = f x
                                                         %(EitherLeftDef)%
. either f g (Right y: Either a b) = g y
                                                        %(EitherRightDef)%
type instance Either e ee: Eq
var x, y : e; z, w : ee;
. ((Left x : Either e ee) ==
   (Left y : Either e ee)) = (x == y)
                                                             %(IEE01)%
. ((Right z : Either e ee) ==
   (Right w : Either e ee)) = (z == w)
                                                             %(IEE02)%
. ((Left x : Either e ee) ==
   (Right z : Either e ee)) = False
                                                             %(IEE03)%
type instance Either o oo: Ord
var x,y : o; z,w : oo;
. ((Left x : Either o oo) < (Right z : Either o oo)) = True
                                                                  %(IEO01)%
. ((Left x : Either o oo) < (Left y : Either o oo)) = (x < y)
                                                                  %(IEO02)%
. ((Right z : Either o oo) < (Right w : Either o oo)) = (z < w) %(IE003)%
. ((Left x : Either o oo) >= (Right z : Either o oo))
     = False
                                                        %(IEOO4)% %implied
. ((Right z : Either o oo) >= (Left x : Either o oo))
                                                        %(IE005)% %implied
. ((Right z : Either o oo) < (Left x : Either o oo))
     = False
                                                        %(IE006)% %implied
. (compare (Left x : Either o oo) (Right z : Either o oo) == EQ)
    = ((Left x) == (Right z))
                                                        %(IE007)% %implied
. (compare (Left x : Either o oo) (Right z : Either o oo) == LT)
    = ((Left x) < (Right z))
                                                        %(IE008)% %implied
. (compare (Left x : Either o oo) (Right z : Either o oo) == GT)
    = ((Left x) > (Right z))
                                                        %(IE009)% %implied
. ((Left x : Either o oo) <= (Right z : Either o oo))</pre>
    = (max (Left x) (Right z) == (Right z))
                                                        %(IE010)% %implied
. ((Right z : Either o oo) <= (Left x : Either o oo))</pre>
    = (max (Left x) (Right z) == (Left x))
                                                        %(IEO11)% %implied
. ((Left x : Either o oo) <= (Right z : Either o oo))
    = (\min (\text{Left } x) (\text{Right } z) == (\text{Left } x))
                                                        %(IE012)% %implied
. ((Right z : Either o oo) <= (Left x : Either o oo))
    = (\min (\text{Left } x) (\text{Right } z) == (\text{Right } z))
                                                        %(IE013)% %implied
end
```

Specification 3.5.3 MaybeMonad Specification

from HasCASL/Metatheory/Monad get Functor, Monad

```
spec MaybeMonad = Maybe and Monad then
var a,b,c : Type; e : Eq; o : Ord;
type instance Maybe: Functor
vars x: Maybe a; f: a -> b; g: b -> c
. map (\ y: a .! y) x = x
                                                        %(IMF01)% %implied
. map (\ y: a .! g (f y)) x = map g (map f x)
                                                        %(IMF02)% %implied
type instance Maybe: Monad
vars x, y: a;
      p: Maybe a;
      q: a ->? Maybe b;
      r: b ->? Maybe c;
      f: a ->? b
. def q x \Rightarrow ret x \Rightarrow q = q x
                                                        %(IMMO1)% %implied
. p >>= (\ x: a . ret (f x) >>= r)
     = p >>= \ x: a . r (f x)
                                                        %(IMMO2)% %implied
                                                        %(IMMO3)% %implied
p \gg ret = p
. (p >>= q) >>= r = p >>= \ x: a . q x >>= r
                                                        %(IMMO4)% %implied
. (ret x : Maybe a) = ret y \Rightarrow x = y
                                                        %(IMMO5)% %implied
var x : Maybe a; f : a \rightarrow b;
. map f x = x \gg (y:a \cdot ret (f y))
                                                         %(T01)% %implied
end
```

Specification 3.5.4 EitherFunctor Specification

from HasCASL/Metatheory/Monad get Functor, Monad

3.6 Composition and Function Specifications

To define Haskell functions, we had to define or import function composition. We preferred to define then, because the available definition used lambda expression. Later, we defined some auxiliary functions present in Prelude, as the identity function id, and functions to swap between curried and uncurried versions of other functions. These specifications can be seen on Specification 3.6.1, on page 34.

```
Specification 3.6.1 Composition and Function Specifications
```

```
spec Composition =
vars a,b,c : Type
fun o : (b \rightarrow c) * (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow (a \rightarrow c);
vars a,b,c : Type; y:a;
     f : b \rightarrow c;
     g : a \rightarrow b
((f \circ g) y) = f (g y)
                                               %(Comp1)%
end
spec Function = Composition then
var a,b,c: Type;
    x: a;
    y: b;
    f: a -> b -> c;
    g: (a * b) -> c
fun id: a -> a
fun flip: (a -> b -> c) -> b -> a -> c
fun fst: (a * b) -> a
fun snd: (a * b) \rightarrow b
fun curry: ((a * b) -> c) -> a -> b -> c
fun uncurry: (a \rightarrow b \rightarrow c) \rightarrow (a * b) \rightarrow c
. id x = x
                                     %(IdDef)%
. flip f y x = f x y
                                     %(FlipDef)%
. fst (x, y) = x
                                     %(FstDef)%
. snd (x, y) = y
                                     %(SndDef)%
                                     %(CurryDef)%
. curry g \times y = g (x, y)
. uncurry f(x,y) = f(x,y)
                                     %(UncurryDef)%
end
```

3.7 List Specification

The list specification was the largest one and it still doesn't aggregate all the functions that the *Haskell Prelude* defines, specially those involving numeric types. Once again, we had to redefine our specification to remove laziness. We divided this specification in six parts in order to bring related functions together, in almost the same way as the *Haskell Prelude* does. See Specification 3.7.1, on page 37.

The first step was to define the free type List a, depending on a type a, with constructors Nil and Cons a (List a). The next step was to redefine basic functions to work without laziness. Two of these functions, head and tail must be partial, as they are not defined when applied on an empty list.

The second part of the specification contains the type instance declarations. To declare List as an instance of the class Eq we had to define how equality should work and to prove that comparing Nil lists worked as expected. To instanciate the declaration to class Ord, we proved that comparing Nil lists worked correctly. Next, we defined how function __<_ compares two lists and, finally, we proved that all the other ordering functions respected their respective specifications.

The third part contains eight theorems involving some functions of the first part of the specification. These theorems are needed in order to specify how those functions interact. They should not be axioms because they must follow from the function definitions. As can be seen, we used the **%implies** directive after the then keyword in order to mark all the equations in this part as theorems.

The forth part contains five functions that are listed in the *Haskell Prelude* as List operations. They complete the function operations from the first part. Again, some of these functions had to be partial as they are not defined on empty lists. The fifth part aggregates some special kind of folding functions or functions that create

sublists. The last part of this specification brings in functions related to Lists and that are not defined in the *Haskell Prelude*, but are implemented on every compiler and are necessary even to write basic programs.

Specification 3.7.1 List Specification - Part 1

```
spec List = Nat and Function and Ord then
var a : Type
free type List a ::= Nil | Cons a (List a)
var a,b : Type
fun length : List a -> Nat;
fun head : List a ->? a;
fun tail : List a ->? List a;
fun foldr : (a -> b -> b) -> b -> List a -> b;
fun foldl : (a \rightarrow b \rightarrow a) \rightarrow a \rightarrow List b \rightarrow a;
fun map : (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow List a \rightarrow List b;
fun filter : (a -> Bool) -> List a -> List a;
fun ++ : List a * List a -> List a;
fun <++> : List a -> List a -> List a;
fun zip : List a -> List b -> List (a * b);
fun unzip : List (a * b) -> (List a * List b)
vars a,b : Type;
     f : a -> b -> b;
     g : a -> b -> a;
     h : a \rightarrow b;
     p : a -> Bool;
     x,y,t:a;
     xs,ys,1 : List a;
     z,s:b;
     zs : List b;
     ps : List (a * b)
. length (Nil : List a) = 0
                                                              %(LengthNil)%
. length (Cons x xs) = suc(length xs)
                                                             %(LengthCons)%
. not def head (Nil : List a)
                                                             %(NotDefHead)%
. head (Cons x xs) = x
                                                                %(HeadDef)%
. not def tail (Nil : List a)
                                                             %(NotDefTail)%
. tail (Cons x xs) = xs
                                                                %(TailDef)%
. foldr f s Nil = s
                                                               %(FoldrNil)%
. foldr f s (Cons x xs)
     = f x (foldr f s xs)
                                                              %(FoldrCons)%
. foldl g t Nil = t
                                                               %(FoldlNil)%
. foldl g t (Cons z zs)
     = foldl g (g t z) zs
                                                              %(FoldlCons)%
. map h Nil = Nil
                                                                 %(MapNil)%
. map h (Cons x xs)
     = (Cons (h x) (map h xs))
                                                                %(MapCons)%
. Nil ++ 1 = 1
                                                                 %(++Nil)%
. (Cons x xs) ++ 1 = Cons x (xs ++ 1)
                                                                 %(++Cons)%
\cdot <++> xs ys = xs ++ ys
                                                            %(++PrefixDef)%
```

```
Specification 3.7.1 List Specification - Part 2
. filter p Nil = Nil
                                                            %(FilterNil)%
px = True
    => filter p (Cons x xs) = Cons x (filter p xs)
                                                          %(FilterConsT)%
px = False
    => filter p (Cons x xs) = filter p xs
                                                          %(FilterConsF)%
. zip (Nil : List a) l = Nil
                                                               %(ZipNil)%
. 1 = Nil
    => zip (Cons x xs) l = Nil
                                                           %(ZipConsNil)%
. 1 = (Cons y ys)
    \Rightarrow zip (Cons x xs) l = Cons (x,y) (zip xs ys)
                                                          %(ZipConsCons)%
. unzip (Nil : List (a * b)) = (Nil, Nil)
                                                             %(UnzipNil)%
. unzip (Cons (x,z) ps) = let (ys, zs) = unzip ps in
     (Cons x ys, Cons z zs)
                                                            %(UnzipCons)%
then
var a : Eq; x,y: a; xs, ys: List a
type instance List a: Eq
. ((Nil: List a) == (Nil: List a)) = True
                                                       %(ILEO1)% %implied
. ((Cons x xs) == (Cons y ys)) = ((x == y) && (xs == ys)) \%(ILEO2)%
var b : Ord; z,w: b; zs, ws: List b
type instance List b: Ord
. ((Nil: List b) < (Nil: List b)) = False</pre>
                                                       %(ILO01)% %implied
. ((Nil: List b) <= (Nil: List b)) = True</pre>
                                                       %(ILO02)% %implied
. ((Nil: List b) > (Nil: List b)) = False
                                                       %(IL003)% %implied
. ((Nil: List b) >= (Nil: List b)) = True
                                                       %(IL004)% %implied
. (z < w) = True \Rightarrow ((Cons z zs) < (Cons w ws)) = True
                                                                %(ILO05)%
(z == w) = True => ((Cons z zs) < (Cons w ws)) = (zs < ws) %(IL006)%
. (z < w) = False / (z == w) = False
     \Rightarrow ((Cons z zs) < (Cons w ws)) = False
                                                                %(ILO07)%
. ((Cons z zs) \leftarrow (Cons w ws)) = ((Cons z zs) \leftarrow (Cons w ws))
    | | ((Cons z zs) == (Cons w ws))
                                                       %(IL008)% %implied
((Cons z zs) > (Cons w ws))
    = ((Cons w ws) < (Cons z zs))
                                                       %(ILO09)% %implied
. ((Cons z zs) >= (Cons w ws)) = ((Cons z zs) > (Cons w ws))
    | | ((Cons z zs) == (Cons w ws))
                                                       %(ILO10)% %implied
. (compare (Nil: List b) (Nil: List b) == EQ)
    = ((Nil: List b) == (Nil: List b))
                                                       %(ILO11)% %implied
. (compare (Nil: List b) (Nil: List b) == LT)
    = ((Nil: List b) < (Nil: List b))
                                                       %(ILO12)% %implied
. (compare (Nil: List b) (Nil: List b) == GT)
    = ((Nil: List b) > (Nil: List b))
                                                       %(ILO13)% %implied
. (compare (Cons z zs) (Cons w ws) == EQ)
    = ((Cons z zs) == (Cons w ws))
                                                       %(ILO14)% %implied
```

```
Specification 3.7.1 List Specification - Part 3
. (compare (Cons z zs) (Cons w ws) == LT)
    = ((Cons z zs) < (Cons w ws))
                                                         %(ILO15)% %implied
. (compare (Cons z zs) (Cons w ws) == GT)
    = ((Cons z zs) > (Cons w ws))
                                                         %(ILO16)% %implied
. (max (Nil: List b) (Nil: List b) == (Nil: List b))
    = ((Nil: List b) <= (Nil: List b))
                                                         %(ILO17)% %implied
. (min (Nil: List b) (Nil: List b) == (Nil: List b))
    = ((Nil: List b) <= (Nil: List b))
                                                         %(ILO18)% %implied
. ((Cons z zs) \le (Cons w ws))
   = (max (Cons z zs) (Cons w ws) == (Cons w ws))
                                                         %(ILO19)% %implied
. ((Cons w ws) \leftarrow (Cons z zs))
   = (\max (\text{Cons z zs}) (\text{Cons w ws}) == (\text{Cons z zs}))
                                                         %(ILO20)% %implied
. ((Cons z zs) \le (Cons w ws))
   = (min (Cons z zs) (Cons w ws) == (Cons z zs))
                                                         %(ILO21)% %implied
. ((Cons w ws) \leftarrow (Cons z zs))
   = (min (Cons z zs) (Cons w ws) == (Cons w ws))
                                                         %(ILO22)% %implied
then %implies
vars a,b,c : Ord;
     f : a \rightarrow b;
     g : b -> c;
     h : a -> a -> a;
     i : a -> b -> a;
     p : b -> Bool;
     x:a;
     y:b;
     xs,zs : List a;
     ys,ts : List b;
     z,e:a;
     xxs : List (List a)
. foldl i e (ys ++ ts)
    = foldl i (foldl i e ys) ts
                                                            %(FoldlDecomp)%
. map f(xs ++ zs)
    = (map f xs) ++ (map f zs)
                                                              %(MapDecomp)%
. map (g \circ f) xs = map g (map f xs)
                                                             %(MapFunctor)%
. filter p (map f xs)
    = map f (filter (p o f) xs)
                                                             %(FilterProm)%
. length (xs) = 0 \iff xs = Nil
                                                             %(LengthNil1)%
. length (Nil : List a) = length ys
    => ys = (Nil : List b)
                                                         %(LengthEqualNil)%
. length (Cons x xs) = length (Cons y ys) =>
 length xs = length ys
                                                       %(LengthEqualCons)%
. length xs = length ys
    => unzip (zip xs ys) = (xs, ys)
                                                                %(ZipSpec)%
```

Specification 3.7.1 List Specification - Part 4

```
then
vars a,b : Type;
     x : a;
     xs : List a;
     f: a -> a -> a;
fun init: List a ->? List a;
fun last: List a ->? a;
fun null: List a -> Bool;
fun reverse: List a -> List a;
fun foldr1: (a \rightarrow a \rightarrow a) \rightarrow List a \rightarrow ? a;
fun foldl1: (a -> a -> a) -> List a ->? a;
. not def init (Nil: List a)
                                                                %(InitNil)%
. init (Cons x (Nil: List a)) = (Nil:List a)
                                                            %(InitConsNil)%
. init (Cons x xs) = Cons x (init xs)
                                                           %(InitConsCons)%
. not def last (Nil: List a)
                                                                %(LastNil)%
. last (Cons x (Nil: List a)) = x
                                                            %(LastConsNil)%
. last (Cons x xs) = last xs
                                                           %(LastConsCons)%
. null (Nil:List a) = True
                                                                %(NullNil)%
. null (Cons x xs) = False
                                                               %(NullCons)%
. reverse (Nil: List a) = (Nil: List a)
                                                             %(ReverseNil)%
. reverse (Cons x xs)
   = (reverse xs) ++ (Cons x (Nil: List a))
                                                            %(ReverseCons)%
. not def foldr1 f (Nil: List a)
                                                              %(Foldr1Nil)%
. foldr1 f (Cons x (Nil: List a)) = x
                                                         %(Foldr1ConsNil)%
. foldr1 f (Cons x xs) = f x (foldr1 f xs)
                                                        %(Foldr1ConsCons)%
. not def foldl1 f (Nil: List a)
                                                              %(Foldl1Nil)%
. foldl1 f (Cons x (Nil: List a)) = x
                                                         %(Foldl1ConsNil)%
. foldl1 f (Cons x xs) = f x (foldr1 f xs)
                                                         %(Foldl1ConsCons)%
then
vars a,b,c : Type;
     d : Ord;
     x, y : a;
     xs, ys, zs : List a;
     xxs : List (List a);
     r, s : d;
     ds : List d;
     bs : List Bool;
     f : a -> a -> a;
     p, q : a -> Bool;
     g : a -> List b;
     n,nx: Nat;
```

%(SplitAtNil)%

Specification 3.7.1 List Specification - Part 5 fun andL : List Bool -> Bool; fun orL : List Bool -> Bool; fun any : (a -> Bool) -> List a -> Bool; fun all : (a -> Bool) -> List a -> Bool; fun concatMap : (a -> List b) -> List a -> List b; fun concat : List (List a) -> List a; fun maximum : List d -> d; fun minimum : List d -> d; fun takeWhile : (a -> Bool) -> List a -> List a fun dropWhile : (a -> Bool) -> List a -> List a fun span : (a -> Bool) -> List a -> (List a * List a) fun break : (a -> Bool) -> List a -> (List a * List a) fun splitAt: Nat -> List a -> (List a * List a) . andL bs = foldr < & True bs %(AndLDef)% . orL bs = foldr <||> False bs %(OrLDef)% . any p xs = orL (map p xs)%(AnyDef)% . all p xs = andL (map p xs)%(AllDef)% . concat xxs = foldr <++> (Nil: List a) xxs %(ConcatDef)% . concatMap g xs = concat (map g xs) %(ConcatMapDef)% . maximum ds = foldl1 max ds %(MaximumDef)% . minimum ds = foldl1 min ds %(MinimumDef)% . takeWhile p (Nil: List a) = Nil: List a %(TakeWhileNil)% . $p x = True \Rightarrow takeWhile p (Cons x xs)$ = Cons x (takeWhile p xs) %(TakeWhileConsT)% . $p x = False \Rightarrow takeWhile p (Cons x xs) = Nil: List a$ %(TakeWhileConsF)% . dropWhile p (Nil: List a) = Nil: List a %(DropWhileNil)% . p x = True => dropWhile p (Cons x xs) = dropWhile p xs %(DropWhileConsT)% . $p x = False \Rightarrow dropWhile p (Cons x xs) = Cons x xs$ %(DropWhileConsF)% . span p (Nil: List a) = ((Nil: List a), (Nil: List a)) %(SpanNil)% . $p x = True \Rightarrow span p (Cons x xs)$ = let (ys, zs) = span p xs in ((Cons x ys), zs)%(SpanConsT)% . $p x = False \Rightarrow span p (Cons x xs)$ = let (ys, zs) = span p xs in ((Nil: List a), (Cons x xs)) %(SpanConsF)% . span p xs = (takeWhile p xs, dropWhile p xs) %(SpanThm)% %implied . break p xs = let q = (Not_ o p) in span q xs %(BreakDef)% . break p xs = span (Not o p) xs %(BreakThm)% %implied . splitAt 0 xs = ((Nil: List a), xs) %(SplitAtZero)%

= let (ys,zs) = splitAt (nx) xs in (Cons x ys, zs) %(SplitAt)%

. splitAt n (Nil: List a) = ((Nil: List a), Nil)

. $def(pre(n)) / nx = pre(n) \Rightarrow splitAt n (Cons x xs)$

Specification 3.7.1 List Specification - Part 6

```
then
vars a,b,c : Type;
     d : Ord;
     e: Eq;
     x, y : a;
     xs, ys : List a;
     q, r : d;
     qs, rs : List d;
     s,t: e;
     ss,ts: List e;
     p: a -> Bool
fun insert: d -> List d -> List d
fun delete: e -> List e -> List e
fun select: (a \rightarrow Bool) \rightarrow a \rightarrow (List a * List a) \rightarrow (List a * List a)
fun partition: (a -> Bool) -> List a -> (List a * List a)
. insert q (Nil: List d) = Cons q Nil
                                                               %(InsertNil)%
. (q \le r) = True \Rightarrow insert q (Cons r rs)
    = (Cons q (Cons r rs))
                                                             %(InsertCons1)%
(q > r) = True \Rightarrow insert q (Cons r rs)
    = (Cons r (insert q rs))
                                                             %(InsertCons2)%
. delete s (Nil: List e) = Nil
                                                                %(DeleteNil)%
(s == t) = True \Rightarrow delete s (Cons t ts) = ts
                                                             %(DeleteConsT)%
(s == t) = False \Rightarrow delete s (Cons t ts)
    = (Cons t (delete s ts))
                                                             %(DeleteConsF)%
. (p x) = True \Rightarrow select p x (xs, ys) = ((Cons x xs), ys)
                                                                  %(SelectT)%
. (p x) = False \Rightarrow select p x (xs, ys) = (xs, (Cons x ys))
                                                                  %(SelectF)%
. partition p xs = foldr (select p) ((Nil: List a),(Nil)) xs %(Partition)%
. partition p xs
  = (filter p xs, filter (Not o p) xs)
                                                  %(PartitionProp)% %implied
end
```

3.8 Char and String Specifications

In order to create Char specification, we imported the CASL Char specification and then declared the Char type as an instance of the classes Eq and Ord See Specification 3.8.1, on page 43. We defined, respectively for each of those type instances, the equality and the __<_ function. Other theorems were proved just as in the previous specifications.

```
Specification 3.8.1 Char Specification
```

```
from Basic/CharactersAndStrings get Char |-> IChar
```

```
spec Char = IChar and Eq and Ord then
vars x, y: Char
type instance Char: Eq
. (ord(x) == ord(y)) = (x == y)
                                                                  %(ICE01)%
. Not(ord(x) == ord(y)) = (x /= y)
                                                          %(ICEO2)% %implied
type instance Char: Ord
. (ord(x) < ord(y)) = (x < y)
                                                                  %(ICO04)%
(\operatorname{ord}(x) \leq \operatorname{ord}(y)) = (x \leq y)
                                                          %(ICO05)% %implied
. (ord(x) > ord(y)) = (x > y)
                                                          %(ICOO6)% %implied
. (ord(x) >= ord(y)) = (x >= y)
                                                          %(ICO07)% %implied
. (compare x y == EQ) = (ord(x) == ord(y))
                                                          %(ICOO1)% %implied
. (compare x y == LT) = (ord(x) < ord(y))
                                                          %(ICOO2)% %implied
. (compare x y == GT) = (ord(x) > ord(y))
                                                          %(ICOO3)% %implied
. (ord(x) \le ord(y)) = (max x y == y)
                                                          %(ICO08)% %implied
. (ord(y) \le ord(x)) = (max x y == x)
                                                          %(ICO09)% %implied
. (ord(x) \le ord(y)) = (min x y == x)
                                                          %(ICO10)% %implied
. (ord(y) \le ord(x)) = (min x y == y)
                                                          %(ICO11)% %implied
end
```

The String specification was created importing our Char and List specifications. We defined String as a list of characters, just as the *Haskell Prelude* does. We declared String as an instance of the classes Eq and Ord. Because Char and List are also instances of those classes, we didn't need to define axioms to instanciate

declarations. To prove this fact, we wrote five theorems involving the equality and ordering functions.

3.9 Example Specifications

end

To exemplify the use of our library, we created two example specifications involving ordering algorithms. In the first specification, seen at Specification 3.9.1, on page 45, we used two sorting algorithms: Quick Sort and Insertion Sort. They were defined using functions from our library (filter, __++__ and insert) and total lambda expressions as parameters for the filter functions; the lambda expressions are made total by using ! just after the final point that separates variables from expressions. In

Specification 3.9.1 ExamplePrograms Specification

```
spec ExamplePrograms = List then
var a: Ord;
    x,y: a;
    xs,ys: List a
fun quickSort: List a -> List a
fun insertionSort: List a -> List a
. quickSort (Nil: List a) = Nil
                                                       %(QuickSortNil)%
. quickSort (Cons x xs)
     = ((quickSort (filter (\ y:a .! y < x) xs))</pre>
        ++ (Cons x Nil))
       ++ (quickSort (filter (\ y:a .! y >= x) xs))
                                                      %(QuickSortCons)%
. insertionSort (Nil: List a) = Nil
                                                   %(InsertionSortNil)%
. insertionSort (Cons x Nil) = (Cons x Nil)
                                                    %(InsertionSortConsNil)%
. insertionSort (Cons x xs) = insert x (insertionSort xs) %(InsertionSortConsCons)%
then %implies
var a: Ord;
    x,y: a;
    xs,ys: List a
. andL (Cons True (Cons True Nil))) = True
                                                          %(Program01)%
. quickSort (Cons True (Cons False (Nil: List Bool)))
    = Cons False (Cons True Nil)
                                                           %(Program02)%
. insertionSort (Cons True (Cons False (Nil: List Bool)))
    = Cons False (Cons True Nil)
                                                          %(Program03)%
end
```

order to prove the correctness of the specification, we created four theorems involving the sorting functions.

The second specification uses a new data type (Split a b), as an internal representation for the sorting functions. See Specification 3.9.2, on page 49. We used the idea that we can split a list and then rejoin their elements, following each algorithm. We defined a general sorting function, GenSort, which is responsible for applying the splitting and the joining functions over a list.

The Insertion Sort algorithm in implemented by a joining function that uses the

insert function to insert splited elements into the list. The Quick Sort algorithm uses a splitting function that separates the list in two new lists: the first containing elements smaller than the first element of the original list and the second with the other elements. The joining function inserts an element in the middle of two lists.

The Selection Sort algorithm uses a splitting function that relies on the minimum function to extract the smaller element from the rest of the list. The joining function just joins two lists. The Merge Sort algorithm is implemented by splitting the initial list in the middle, using the splitting function, and then merging the elements using joining function. The latter takes the smaller head of both lists and then merges the other list and the remaining elements of the list from which the head was taken.

We specified two predicates found in the *CASL* library repository (but not in the *CASL* Library itself). isOrdered guarantees that a list is correctly ordered; permutation guarantees that one list is a permutation of the other, i.e., both lists have the same elements. Finally, we created theorems to verify that the application of the algorithms, in pairs, resulted in the same list; to verify that applying each algorithm to a list results in an ordered list; and to verify that a list is a permutation of the list returned by the application of each algorithm.

Specification 3.9.2 SortingPrograms Specification - Part 1

```
spec SortingPrograms = List then
var a,b : Ord;
free type Split a b ::= Split b (List (List a))
var x,y,z,v,w: a;
   r,t: b;
   xs,ys,zs,vs,ws: List a;
   rs,ts: List b;
   xxs: List (List a);
    split: List a -> Split a b;
    join: Split a b -> List a;
   n: Nat
fun genSort: (List a -> Split a b) -> (Split a b -> List a) -> List a -> List a
fun splitInsertionSort: List b -> Split b b
fun joinInsertionSort: Split a a -> List a
fun insertionSort: List a -> List a
fun splitQuickSort: List a -> Split a a
fun joinQuickSort: Split b b -> List b
fun quickSort: List a -> List a
fun splitSelectionSort: List a -> Split a a
fun joinSelectionSort: Split b b -> List b
fun selectionSort: List a -> List a
fun splitMergeSort: List b -> Split b Unit
fun joinMergeSort: Split a Unit -> List a
fun merge: List a -> List a -> List a
fun mergeSort: List a -> List a
. xs = (Cons x (Cons y ys)) / split xs = Split r xxs
    => genSort split join xs
         = join (Split r (map (genSort split join) xxs))
                                                          %(GenSortT1)%
. xs = (Cons x (Cons y Nil)) / split xs = Split r xxs
    => genSort split join xs
         = join (Split r (map (genSort split join) xxs)) %(GenSortT2)%
. xs = (Cons x Nil) \ / xs = Nil
    => genSort split join xs = xs
                                                           %(GenSortF)%
. splitInsertionSort (Cons x xs)
   = Split x (Cons xs (Nil: List (List a)))
                                                  %(SplitInsertionSort)%
. joinInsertionSort (Split x (Cons xs (Nil: List (List a))))
   = insert x xs
                                                  %(JoinInsertionSort)%
. insertionSort xs
   = genSort splitInsertionSort joinInsertionSort xs
                                                       %(InsertionSort)%
```

```
Specification 3.9.2 SortingPrograms Specification - Part 2
. splitQuickSort (Cons x xs)
     = let (ys, zs) = partition (<<> x) xs
     in Split x (Cons ys (Cons zs Nil))
                                                      %(SplitQuickSort)%
. joinQuickSort (Split x (Cons ys (Cons zs Nil)))
    = ys ++ (Cons x zs)
                                                       %(JoinQuickSort)%
. quickSort xs = genSort splitQuickSort joinQuickSort xs
                                                           %(QuickSort)%
    => unzip (zip xs ys) = (xs, ys)
                                                             %(ZipSpec)%
. splitSelectionSort xs = let x = minimum xs
 in Split x (Cons (delete x xs) (Nil: List(List a)))
                                                       %(SplitSelectionSort)%
. joinSelectionSort (Split x (Cons xs Nil)) = (Cons x xs) %(JoinSelectionSort)%
. selectionSort xs
   = genSort splitSelectionSort joinSelectionSort xs
                                                        %(SelectionSort)%
. def((length xs) div 2) /  n = ((length xs) div 2)
     => splitMergeSort xs = let (ys,zs) = splitAt n xs
      in Split () (Cons ys (Cons zs Nil))
                                                      %(SplitMergeSort)%
                                                            %(MergeNil)%
. xs = (Nil: List a) => merge xs ys = ys
. xs = (Cons v vs) / ys = (Nil: List a)
    => merge xs ys = xs
                                                        %(MergeConsNil)%
. xs = (Cons \ v \ vs) / \ ys = (Cons \ w \ ws) / \ (v < w) = True
    => merge xs ys = Cons v (merge vs ys)
                                                      %(MergeConsConsT)%
. xs = (Cons v vs) / vs = (Cons w ws) / (v < w) = False
    => merge xs ys = Cons w (merge xs ws)
                                                      %(MergeConsConsF)%
. joinMergeSort (Split () (Cons ys (Cons zs Nil)))
    = merge vs zs
                                                       %(JoinMergeSort)%
. mergeSort xs = genSort splitMergeSort joinMergeSort xs %(MergeSort)%
```

Specification 3.9.2 SortingPrograms Specification - Part 3

```
then
vars a: Ord;
     x,y: a;
     xs,ys: List a
preds isOrdered: List a;
      permutation: List a * List a
. isOrdered (Nil: List a)
                                                        %(IsOrderedNil)%
. isOrdered (Cons x (Nil: List a))
                                                       %(IsOrderedCons)%
. isOrdered (Cons x (Cons y ys))
   <=> (x <= y) = True /\ isOrdered(Cons y ys)
                                                    %(IsOrderedConsCons)%
. permutation ((Nil: List a), Nil)
                                                      %(PermutationNil)%
. permutation (Cons x (Nil: List a), Cons y (Nil: List a))
    \ll (x==y) = True
                                                     %(PermutationCons)%
. permutation (Cons x xs, Cons y ys) <=>
     ((x==y) = True / permutation (xs, ys))
      \/ (permutation(xs, Cons y (delete x ys)))
                                                     %(PermutationConsCons)%
then %implies
var a,b : Ord;
    xs, ys : List a;
. insertionSort xs = quickSort xs
                                                           %(Theorem01)%
. insertionSort xs = mergeSort xs
                                                           %(Theorem02)%
. insertionSort xs = selectionSort xs
                                                           %(Theorem03)%
. quickSort xs = mergeSort xs
                                                           %(Theorem04)%
                                                           %(Theorem05)%
. quickSort xs = selectionSort xs
. mergeSort xs = selectionSort xs
                                                           %(Theorem06)%
. isOrdered(insertionSort xs)
                                                           %(Theorem07)%
. isOrdered(quickSort xs)
                                                           %(Theorem08)%
. isOrdered(mergeSort xs)
                                                           %(Theorem09)%
. isOrdered(selectionSort xs)
                                                           %(Theorem10)%
. permutation(xs, insertionSort xs)
                                                           %(Theorem11)%
. permutation(xs, quickSort xs)
                                                           %(Theorem12)%
. permutation(xs, mergeSort xs)
                                                           %(Theorem13)%
. permutation(xs, selectionSort xs)
                                                           %(Theorem14)%
end
```

4 Parsing and verifying the specifications

In this section we talked about *Hets* and *Isabelle* uses. We started by describing how the specifications were grouped to be parsed by *Hets*. Next, we describe how we did the parsing and showed the resulting graph or theories. Finally, we described how we made proofs with *Isabelle* and we related which proofs could not yet be finished.

4.1 Hets in action

All the specifications from the previous section were placed together in a single file. As the specification growed, we could separate the full specification in smaller sets of related specifications or even write one specification per file. *Hets* can deal with all these scenarios.

Although *Hets* is a command line program, it has also a mode integrated with the Emacs text editor, which can also be used to interact with *Isabelle* using the ProofGeneral interface. In that way, we could edit specifications in Emacs and parse them with *Hets* using the CMD + r keyboard shortcut. Another option is to parse the specifications with the CMD + g keyboard shortcut, which can generate the graph of theories based on the syntactic analysis. Parsing our specifications generated the graph shown in Figure 4.1.1, on page 51.

As can be seen, all the red (dark gray) nodes indicate specifications that have one or more theorems. The green (light gray) ones don't have theorems or, either, their proofs are already done. The rectangular nodes indicate imported specifications and the elliptical ones indicate specifications taken from our file. Some nodes, as ExamplePrograms and SortingPrograms, do have theorems but are marked green because the theorems are inserted in sub-specifications.

Bool Int Eq Nat **IChar** Composition Ord Functor Monad Function Char Either Maybe EitherFunctor MaybeMonad SortingPrograms ExamplePrograms String MonadicList

Figure 4.1.1 Initial state of the proof graph.

We started our proofs by using the automatic proof mode of *Hets* (menu: Edit -> Proofs -> Automatic). This method analyzed the theories and directives (%mono, %implies, etc) and then revealed the nodes from sub-specifications that created theorems, for example, by the %implied directive.

The next step was to prove each red node. To do so, we did a right click on a node and chose the option *Prove* from the *node menu*. This opened the Emacs text editor. After *Isabelle* had parsed the full theory file (and proved it or not, according to *Isabelle* rules), we closed the Emacs window and thus the proof status for that theory was reported back to *Hets* by *Isabelle*. If the node was proved, its color was chanced to green; otherwise, it kept the red color. If sub-nodes were proved, they were omitted again by *Hets*. At this point, we could not yet prove all the theorems we had created. Most of the unproved nodes had yet one or two theorems to be proved. The actual status of our proofs can be seen in Figure 4.1.2, on page 53.

Figure 4.1.2 Actual state of the proof graph. IChar Int Bool Nat Monad Functor Composition Eq Function Ord Maybe Either Char List ExamplePrograms MaybeMonad SortingPrograms EitherFunctor MonadicList String

4.2 Doing proofs with Isabelle

As part of specifying our library, the task of proving its theorems were a major undertaking. Although some theorems remained unproved, we verified almost all of them. Next, we indicate how we constructed our proofs using excerpts from interesting proofs. Our full proof scripts can be found in Appendix A, on page 71.

The four theorems form Specification 3.2.3, on page 21, were translated by *Hets* to *Isabelle* theorems like the one shown at Isabelle Proof Script Excerpt 4.2.1, on page 54.

```
Isabelle Proof Script Excerpt 4.2.1 Proof for theorem NotFalse1 from Bool specification
```

```
theorem NotFalse1 : "ALL x. Not' x = True' = (x = False')"

apply auto

apply (case_tac x)

apply auto

done
```

All the proofs for the theorems of Bool specification followed this pattern:

• apply (auto):

This command tries to simplify the actual goal automatically as deep as it can. In this case, the command could only eliminate the universal quantifier, getting the result:

```
goal (1 subgoal):
1. !!x. Not' x = True' ==> x = False'
```

• *apply* (case_tac x):

case_tac method executes a case distinction over all constructors of the data type of variable x. In this case, because the type of x is Bool, x was instantiated to True and False:

• apply (auto):

At this time, this command could finalize all the proof automatically.

goal:

No subgoals!

One example of a proof for an Eq theorem is shown in the Isabelle Proof Script Excerpt 4.2.2, on page 56. In this proof, we used a new command: simp add:. This command expects a list of axioms and previously proved theorems as parameters to be used in an automatic tentative of proving the actual goal. This command uses other axioms from the theory, together with the theorems passed as parameters, when trying to simplify the goal. If the goal cannot be reduced, the command produces an error; otherwise, a new goal is received.

Almost all Ord theorem proofs used the same commands and tactics from the previous proofs. One interesting proof was the the one for the axiom %(LeTAsymmetry)%, presented in the Isabelle Proof Script Excerpt 4.2.3, on page 57. Sometimes, *Isabelle* expect us to rewrite axioms to match goals because it cannot change the axioms to

Isabelle Proof Script Excerpt 4.2.2 Equality proof

```
theorem DiffTDef :
"ALL x. ALL y. x /= y = True' = (Not' (x ==' y) = True')"
apply(auto)
apply(simp add: DiffDef)
apply(case_tac "x ==' y")
apply(auto)
apply(simp add: DiffDef)
done
```

all their equivalent forms. We applied the command rule ccontr to start a proof by contradiction. After some simplification, *Isabelle* was not able to use the axiom <code>%(LeIrreflexivity)%</code> to simplify the goal:

```
goal (1 subgoal):
1. !!x y. [| x <' y = True'; y <' x = True' |] ==> False
```

We needed to define an auxiliary lemma, LeIrreflContra, which *Isabelle* automatically proved. This theorem is interpreted internally by *Isabelle* as:

```
?x <' ?x = True' ==> False
```

Hence, we could tell *Isabelle* to use this lemma, thus forcing it to attribute the variable x to each ?x variable in the lemma using the command rule_tac x="x" in LeIrreflContra. The same tactic was used to force the use of the axiom %(LeTTransitive)%. The command by auto was used to finalize the proof.

We started most of our proofs by applying the command apply(auto), as we wanted *Isabelle* to act automatically as much as possible. Sometimes this command could do some reduction; sometimes it could only remove HOL universal quantifiers; sometimes it got into a loop.

Isabelle Proof Script Excerpt 4.2.3 Proof for the axiom LeTAsymmetry from specification Ord.

```
lemma LeIrreflContra : " x <' x = True' ==> False"
by auto

theorem LeTAsymmetry :
"ALL x. ALL y. x <' y = True' --> y <' x = False'"
apply(auto)
apply(rule ccontr)
apply(rule ccontr)
apply(simp add: notNot2 NotTrue1)
apply(rule_tac x="x" in LeIrreflContra)
apply(rule_tac y="y" in LeTTransitive)
by auto</pre>
```

An example of a loop occurred when proving theorems from the Maybe and Either specifications. To avoid the loop, we applied the universal quantifier rule directly, using the command apply(rule all1). The command rule applies the specified theorem directly. When there were more then one quantified variable, we could use the + sign after the rule, in order to tell *Isabelle* to apply the command as many times as it could.

After we removed the quantifiers, we could use the command simp only: to do some simplification. Differently from simp add:, the command simp only: tries to rewrite only the rules passed as parameters, when simplifying the actual goal. Most of the times they could be used interchangeably. Sometimes, however, simp add: got into a loop and simp only: had to be used with other proof commands. Two theorems from the Maybe specification exemplifie the use of the previous commands, as shown in the Isabelle Proof Script Excerpt 4.2.4, on page 58.

The List specification still had two unproved theorems (FoldlDecomp and ZipSpec) inside one of its sub-nodes; the other nodes could have all its theorems proved. Almost all theorems in this specification needed induction to be proved. *Isabelle* exe-

Isabelle Proof Script Excerpt 4.2.4 Proof for theorems IMO05 and IMO08 from specification Maybe.

```
theorem IMO05 : "ALL x. Just(x) <' Nothing = False'"
apply(rule allI)
apply(case_tac "Just(x) <' Nothing")
apply(auto)
done

theorem IMO08 :
"ALL x. compare Nothing (Just(x)) ==' GT = Nothing >' Just(x)"
apply(rule allI)+
apply(simp add: GeDef)
done
```

cutes induction over a specified variable using the command induct_tac. it expects as parameter an expression or a variable over which to execute the induction. In the Isabelle Proof Script Excerpt 4.2.5, on page 59, we can see one example of proof by induction for a List theorem.

The specification Char was another case where we had to use the rule command to remove universal quantification by hand in order to avoid loops. Besides this problem, all theorems needed only one or two applications of the command simp add: to be proved. An example can be seen in the Isabelle Proof Script Excerpt 4.2.6, on page 59.

The specification for String also used few commands in order to have its theorems proved. Almost all proofs were done with combinations of the auto and the simp add: commands. the In Isabelle Proof Script Excerpt 4.2.7, on page 60, we show the largest proof in the String theory.

Proofs for the ExamplePrograms theorems were very long. They were done using basically three commands: simp only:, case_tac and simp add:. The latter was used as the last command to allow *Isabelle* finish the proofs with fewer commands. Before using simp only: applications, we tried the simp add: command without

Isabelle Proof Script Excerpt 4.2.5 Proof for theorem FilterProm from pecification List.

```
theorem FilterProm :
"ALL f.
ALL p.
ALL xs.
   X_filter p (X_map f xs) = X_map f (X_filter (X__o_X (p, f)) xs)"
apply(auto)
apply(induct_tac xs)
apply(auto)
apply(case_tac "p(f a)")
apply(auto)
apply(simp add: MapCons)
apply(simp add: FilterConsT)
apply(simp add: FilterConsT)
done
```

Isabelle Proof Script Excerpt 4.2.6 Proof for theorem ICO07 from specification Char.

```
theorem ICO07 : "ALL x. ALL y. ord'(x) >='' ord'(y) = x >='' y"
apply(rule allI)+
apply(simp only: GeqDef)
apply(simp add: GeDef)
done
```

Isabelle Proof Script Excerpt 4.2.7 Proof for theorem StringT2 from specification String.

```
theorem StringT2 :
"ALL x.
ALL xs.
ALL y.
ALL ys. xs /= ys = True' --> X_Cons x ys ==' X_Cons y xs = False'"
apply(auto)
apply(simp add: ILEO2)
apply(case_tac "x ==' y")
apply(auto)
apply(simp add: EqualSymDef)
apply(simp add: DiffDef)
apply(simp add: NotFalse1)
done
```

success. We then used the simp only: command directly when the theorem used as a parameter previously failed when using the simp add: command. In the Isabelle Proof Script Excerpt 4.2.8, on page 61, we show the proof for an insertionSort function application.

All the theorems from our last proof, SortingPrograms, still couldn't be proved. Although for all of them we could prove some goals, the last one, representing the general case, is yet unproved. To show our progress in the proofs, we show an example in the Isabelle Proof Script Excerpt 4.2.9, on page 61, with some comments inserted. The command prefer is used to choose which goal to prove in *Isabelle* interactive mode and the command oops indicates that we could not prove the theorem, and that we gave up the proof.

Isabelle Proof Script Excerpt 4.2.8 Proof for theorem Program03, an example of insertionSort function application from specification ExamplePrograms.

```
theorem Program03 :
"insertionSort(X_Cons True' (X_Cons False' Nil')) =
   X_Cons False' (X_Cons True' Nil')"
   apply(simp only: InsertionSortConsCons)
   apply(simp only: InsertionSortNil)
   apply(simp only: InsertNil)
   apply(case_tac "True' >'' False'")
   apply(simp only: GeFLeTEqTRel)
   apply(simp add: LeqTLeTEqTRel)
   apply(simp only: InsertCons2)
   apply(simp only: InsertNil)
   done
```

Isabelle Proof Script Excerpt 4.2.9 Actual status of the proof for theorem Theorem07 of specification SortingPrograms.

```
theorem Theorem07 : "ALL xs. isOrdered(insertionSort(xs))"
apply(auto)
apply(case_tac xs)
(* Proof for xs=Nil *)
prefer 2
apply(simp only: InsertionSort)
apply(simp add: GenSortF)
(* Proof for general case *)
apply(simp only: InsertionSort)
apply(case tac List)
apply(auto)
apply(case tac "X splitInsertionSort (X Cons a (X Cons aa Lista))")
(* Proof for xs= Cons a Nil *)
prefer 2
apply(simp add: GenSortF)
(* Proof for xs=Cons a as*)
apply(case tac Lista)
apply(auto)
prefer 2
(* Proof for xs = Cons a (Cons b Nil)*)
oops
```

5 Discussion and difficulties

We faced some interesting difficulties that we will briefly discuss here. The first problem was dealing with the HasCASL and CASL languages. Although both languages can be used together, we intended to use the HasCASL features, but separating both syntax were a little troublesome. The HasCASL language doesn't yet have a definitive and complete manual as does the CASL language. So, we started reading the CASLmanual and then the HasCASL definitions. This created some difficulties when using the HasCASL syntax because some of the constructions may be used interchangeably between both languages.

Another difficulty we faced was when distinguish between the logic relations of the HasCASL language and our functions. This relates to the logical equivalence between some axioms. Although these axioms were equivalent, their uses as rewriting rules were different. Axioms could be defined by equality, as in

.
$$(x > y) = (y < x)$$
 or by $HasCASL$ equivalence, as in

.
$$(x > y) = True \iff (y < x) = True$$

The first case is a better choice when using axioms and theorems to refine rewrite rules into basic axioms. The second case must be used then defining basic axioms. Otherwise, *Isabelle* will never be able to use these axioms. This relates to the fact that axioms defining relations should use the Bool type to allow *Isabelle* to conclude that rules are true or false and, then, proceed to prove goals.

We had some problems dealing with Isabelle itself. We started using HOL in place of Isar and this seems to have complicated some proof scripts of larger proofs. We

A HasCASL Library 63

also had to get used to the way Isabelle uses axioms as rewriting rules. If a predicate P implies a predicate Q (P ==> Q), Isabelle matches the predicate Q with the actual goal, constructing the proof in a bottom up manner that is not usual.

As HasCASL is a work-in-progress project, the tool is not fully implemented and we got some errors because the tool could not translate some specifications to HOL. The solutions to those errors were kindly explained by the HasCASL research team, thus minimizing our difficulties.

6 Related Frameworks

There are other formal specification frameworks available. All of them include example libraries, to serve as basis to new specifications, or predefined libraries, to be imported by larger specifications.

Larch [6] and VSE-2 [9] are two examples of specification languages based on first-order logic. VDM [11] and Z [21] are model-oriented specification languages, i.e., their specifications model one specific single input-output behavior. HasCASL, in contrast, contains loose specifications, that can model a variety of similar behaviors in an abstract manner, allowing them to be refined later to a more specific behavior. CafeOBJ [4] and Maude [3] are specification languages that are directly executable; the price paid for this property is the reduced expressiveness of their logic in comparison with HasCASL.

Extended ML [12] creates a higher order specification language on top of the programming language ML, this approach resulted in a large language that is very difficult to manage. Another silimar approach was taken by the Programatica framework [7], which provides a specification logic for Haskell language, called P-logic. The

similarities between HasCASL and P-logic includes the support for polymorphism and the recursion based on an axiomatic treatment of complete partial orders. Because P-logic is built directly on top of Haskell, it is less general than HasCASL. This means that one HasCASL specification can be loosely speficied with generic higher order logic in mind and later refined to the logic of Haskell programs. In opposite, P-logic can only specify programs to the logic of Haskell programs, with all its specialities like puch as lazyness. HasCASL also includes support for class based overloading and constructor classes, needed for the specification of monads, and Hoare logic for imperative (monad-based) programs.

Other

Higher order frameworks for software specification include Spectrum [2] and RAISE [5]. The first one is considered a precursor of HasCASL and differs from that one by using a three-valued logic and by limiting higher order mechanisms to continuous functions, as it doesn't have a propr higher-order speficication language. The language from the RAISE framework differs from HasCASL because of the three-valued logic and the lack of support for polymorphism.

7 Future Works

As presented before, our library still lacks some proofs as well as other *Haskell Prelude* functions. We are trying to finish open proofs in order to get a fully verified subset of the *Haskell Prelude* functions.

An open question is how to deal with numbers. The alternative of recreating all the lemmas needed by *Isabelle*, which are already written in HOL, definitely is not a good approach. One solution could be to create an isomorphism between the builtin *Isabelle* numeric types and the types specified in the *CASL* library. If we call this

A HasCASL Library

65

After solving the problem with numeric specifications, we could specify the *Haskell Prelude* functions that involve numbers. Many functions that should have been specified on the specification List, for example, are absent because importing the numeric specifications wouldn't allow their proofs to be constructed.

The next natural stage would be to use laziness in our library. This would require a rewrite of almost all the specifications. An alternative would be to study transformations that could help us to reuse the proofs we have already written.

Another point of interest would be to refine our library in order to use the *Has-CASL* language subset. This subset contains structures like infinite data types and allows specifications to be converted to *Haskell* programs. This last step could also be used to verify existing *Haskell Prelude* implementations or to serve as a guide for new ones.

8 Conclusions

In this report, we described our steps to specify a library to the *HasCASL* language. The specific based on the *Prelude* library, from the *Haskell* language. We focused on describing our technical choices and how we managed the work.

We specified a major part of the *Prelude* library, including almost all the data

types and various functions. We decided to use strict types because a posterior refinament can modify the library to include lazyness. We proved almost all the proposed theorems from our specifications using the *Isabelle*.

Althought we didn't use the *HasCASL*'s subset that can be translated to *Haskell* programs, our specification can be used to specify small programs involving basic data types and structures. To exemplify, we presented two specifications involving sorting algorithms over lists.

An open issue is how to deal with numbers. Although numeric specifications can be imported from the *CASL* library to write specifications, this libraries cannot be used to write proofs in *Isabelle* because they lack auxiliary theorems that the prover needs to use as rewrite rules. One solution to this problem were found but needed to he rewritten to be used with the actual stage of the tools. If large pieces of solutions to the study of rules to refine the specifications can

The next steps to our work should be the the study of rules to refine the specifications in order to include lazyness and infinite data types. Another point of interest should be the use of the subset of the HasCASL language that can generate Haskell programs.

The next steps to our work should be the study of rules to refine the specification.

References

- [1] Egidio Astesiano, Michel Bidoit, Hélène Kirchner, Bernd K. Brückner, Peter D. Mosses, Donald Sannella, and Andrzej Tarlecki. CASL: The Common Algebraic Specification Language. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 2002. URL http://citeseer.ist.psu.edu/astesiano01casl.html.
- [2] M. Broy, C. Facchi, R. Grosu, R. Hettler, H. Hussmann, D. Nazareth, F. Regensburger, O. Slotosch, and K. Stølen. The Requirement and Design Speci-

fication Language SPECTRUM. An Informal Introduction. Version 1.0. Part I. Technical Report TUM-I9311, Technische Universität München. Institut für Informatik, May 1993. URL http://www4.informatik.tu-muenchen.de/proj/korso/papers/v10.html.

- [3] Manuel Clavel, Francisco Durán, Steven Eker, Patrick Lincoln, Narciso Martí-Oliet, José Meseguer, and Carolyn Talcott. Predefined data modules. In Manuel Clavel, Francisco Durán, Steven Eker, Patrick Lincoln, Narciso Martí-Oliet, José Meseguer, and Carolyn Talcott, editors, All About Maude A High-Performance Logical Framework, volume 4350 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 231–305. Springer; Berlin; http://www.springer.de, July 2007. doi: 10.1007/978-3-540-71999-1. URL http://www.springerlink.com/content/d04x717n54562031/?p=215e4d3d15eb4b5a84b22ff05307789e&pi=8.
- [4] Răzvan Diaconescu and Kokichi Futatsugi. CafeOBJ Report: The Language, Proof Techniques, and Methodologies for Object-Oriented Algebraic Specification, volume 6 of AMAST Series in Computing. World Scientific Publishing Co., Singapure, July 1998.
- [5] The RAISE Language Group. *The RAISE Specification Language*. The BCS Practitioners Series. Prentice-Hall, Inc., January 1993. ISBN 0-13-752833-7.
- [6] John V. Guttag and James J. Horning. Larch: languages and tools for formal specifications. Springer-Verlag New York, Inc., New York, NY, USA, 1993. ISBN 0-387-94006-5. URL http://nms.lcs.mit.edu/Larch/pub/larchBook.ps.
- [7] Thomas Hallgren, James Hook, Mark P. Jones, and Richard B. Kieburtz. An overview of the programatica toolset. In *High Confidence Software and Sys*-

tems Conference (HCSS04), 2004. URL http://www.cse.ogi.edu/~hallgren/Programatica/HCSS04.

- [8] Haskell Team. Learning Haskell, 2007. URL http://www.haskell.org/haskellwiki/Learning_Haskell.
- [9] Dieter Hutter, Heiko Mantel, Georg Rock, Werner Stephan, Andreas Wolpers, Michael Balser, Wolfgang Reif, Gerhard Schellhorn, and Kurt Stenzel8. VSE: Controlling the complexity in formal software developments. In Dieter Hutter, Werner Stephan, Paolo Traverso, and Markus Ullmann, editors, Applied Formal Methods FM-Trends 98 International Workshop on Current Trends in Applied Formal Method, volume 1641 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 351–358. Springer; Berlin; http://www.springer.de, 1998. doi: 10.1007/3-540-48257-1_26. URL http://www.springerlink.com/content/51rg550q1061q005/.
- [10] Isabelle Comunity. Isabelle Overview, 2007. URL http://isabelle.in.tum.de/overview.html.
- [11] Cliff B. Jones. Systematic software development using VDM. Prentice-Hall, Inc., 2nd edition, 1990. ISBN 0-13-880733-7.
- [12] Stefan Kahrs, Donald Sannella, and Andrzej Tarlecki. The definition of extended ml: a gentle introduction. *Theor. Comput. Sci.*, 173(2):445–484, 1997. ISSN 0304-3975. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3975(96)00163-6. URL http://homepages.inf.ed.ac.uk/dts/eml/gentle-tcs.ps.
- [13] Till Mossakowski, Serge Autexier, and Dieter Hutter. Development graphs- Proof management for structured specifications. Journal of Logic and Al-

69

- gebraic Programming, 67(1-2):114-145, 2006. URL http://www.informatik.uni-bremen.de/~till/papers/dgh_journal.ps.
- [14] Till Mossakowski, Christian Maeder, and Klaus Lüttich. The Heterogeneous Tool Set. In Bernhard Beckert, editor, VERIFY 2007, 4th International Verification Workshop, volume 259 of CEUR Workshop Proceedings, pages 119–135. 2007. URL http://CEUR-WS.org/Vol-259.
- [15] Tobias Nipkow, Lawrence C. Paulson, and Markus Wenzel. *Isabelle/HOL A Proof Assistant for Higher-Order Logic*, volume 2283 of *LNCS*. Springer, 2002.
- [16] Markus Roggenbach, Till Mossakowski, and Lutz Schröder. CASL libraries. In CASL Reference Manual, LNCS Vol. 2960 (IFIP Series), part V. Springer, 2004.
- [17] Lutz Schröder. Higher Order and Reactive Algebraic Specification and Development. PhD thesis, Feb 2006. URL http://www.informatik.uni-bremen.de/~lschrode/papers/Summary.ps.
- [18] Lutz Schröder and Till Mossakowski. HasCASL: Towards integrated specification and development of functional programs. In Hélène Kirchner and Christophe Ringeissen, editors, Algebraic Methodology And Software Technology (AMAST 2002), volume 2422 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 153–180. Springer; Berlin; http://www.springer.de, September 2002. doi: 10.1007/3-540-45719-4_8. URL http://www.springerlink.com/content/r0kvr9r2aek7kdyw/?p=61b6f018bc104444a13ab5153afdcba0&pi=7.
- [19] Lutz Schröder, Till Mossakowski, and Christian Maeder. HasCASL integrated functional specification and programming. (language summary). March

2004. URL http://www.informatik.uni-bremen.de/agbkb/forschung/formal_methods/CoFI/HasCASL/hascasl_summary.pdf.

- [20] Lutz Schröder and Till Mossakowski. HasCASL integrated higher-order specification and program development. URL http://www.informatik. uni-bremen.de/agbkb/forschung/formal_methods/CoFI/HasCASL/hascasl_ overview.pdf.
- [21] J. M. Spivey. *The Z Notation: a Reference Manual.* Prentice-Hall, Inc., 2nd edition, June 1992. ISBN 0-13-983768-X. URL http://spivey.oriel.ox.ac.uk/mike/zrm/.
- [22] Simon Thompson. Haskell: The Craft of Functional Programming (2nd Edition).

 Addison Wesley, March 1999. ISBN 0201342758. URL http://www.amazon.ca/
 exec/obidos/redirect?tag=citeulike09-20&path=ASIN/0201342758.

Appendices

A Isabelle Proof Scripts

We transcribed here the proof contents of each theory file generated by Hets. For a question of readibility, we didn't transcribed the automatic generated sections of each theory, as they can be regenerated with our previous listed specifications.

Isabelle Proof Script A.1

Prelude Bool.thy

```
theorem NotFalse1 : "ALL x. Not' x = True' = (x = False')"
apply auto
apply(case_tac x)
apply auto
done

ML "Header.record \"NotFalse1\""

theorem NotTrue1 : "ALL x. Not' x = False' = (x = True')"
apply auto
apply(case_tac x)
apply auto
done
```

```
ML "Header.record \"NotTrue1\""

theorem notNot1 : "ALL x. (- x = True') = (Not' x = True')"
apply(auto)
apply(case_tac x)
apply(auto)
done

ML "Header.record \"notNot1\""

theorem notNot2 : "ALL x. (- x = False') = (Not' x = False')"
apply(auto)
apply(case_tac x)
apply(case_tac x)
apply(auto)
done

ML "Header.record \"notNot2\""
.
```

Isabelle Proof Script A.2 Prelude_Eq.thy

```
theorem DiffSymDef : "ALL x. ALL y. x /= y = y /= x"
apply(auto)
apply(simp add: DiffDef)
apply(simp add: EqualSymDef)
done

ML "Header.record \"DiffSymDef\\""

theorem DiffTDef :
"ALL x. ALL y. x /= y = True' = (Not' (x ==' y) = True')"
apply(auto)
apply(simp add: DiffDef)
```

```
apply(case_tac "x ==' y")
apply(auto)
apply(simp add: DiffDef)
done

ML "Header.record \"DiffTDef\""

theorem DiffFDef :
   "ALL x. ALL y. x /= y = False' = (x ==' y = True')"
apply(auto)
apply(simp add: DiffDef)
apply(case_tac "x ==' y")
apply(auto)
apply(simp add: DiffDef)
```

```
ML "Header.record \"IBE2\""
                                                                      theorem IBE4 : "True' ==' False' = False'"
ML "Header.record \"DiffFDef\""
                                                                      apply(simp add: EqualSymDef)
theorem TE1 : "ALL x. ALL y. x ==' y = False' --> \sim x = y"
                                                                      ML "Header.record \"IBE4\""
ML "Header.record \"TE1\""
                                                                      theorem IBE5 : "True' /= False' = True'"
theorem TE2 :
                                                                      apply(simp add: DiffDef)
"ALL x. ALL y. Not' (x ==' y) = True' = (x ==' y = False')"
                                                                      apply(simp add: IBE4)
apply(case_tac "x ==' y")
apply auto
                                                                      ML "Header.record \"IBE5\""
done
                                                                      theorem IBE6 : "False' /= True' = True'"
ML "Header.record \"TE2\""
                                                                      apply(simp add: DiffDef)
theorem TE3 :
"ALL x. ALL y. Not' (x ==' y) = False' = (x ==' y = True')"
                                                                      ML "Header.record \"IBE6\""
apply(auto)
apply(case_tac "x ==' y")
                                                                      theorem IBE7 : "Not' (True' ==' False') = True'"
apply auto
                                                                      apply(simp add: IBE4)
                                                                      done
done
ML "Header.record \"TE3\""
                                                                      ML "Header.record \"IBE7\""
                                                                      theorem IBE8 : "Not' Not' (True' ==' False') = False'"
theorem TE4 :
"ALL x. ALL y. (\sim x ==' y = True') = (x ==' y = False')"
                                                                      apply(simp add: IBE4)
apply(case_tac "x ==' y")
                                                                      ML "Header.record \"IBE8\""
apply auto
done
                                                                      theorem IUE1 : "() == ' () = True'"
                                                                      by auto
ML "Header.record \"TE4\""
                                                                      ML "Header.record \"IUE1\""
theorem IBE1 : "True' ==' True' = True'"
                                                                      theorem IUE2 : "() /= () = False'"
by auto
                                                                      apply(simp add: DiffDef)
ML "Header.record \"IBE1\""
theorem IBE2 : "False' == ' False' = True'"
                                                                      ML "Header.record \"IUE2\""
by auto
```

Isabelle Proof Script A.3 Prelude_Ord.thy

theorem IOE01 : "LT == LT = True'"

by aut

ML "Header.record \"IOE01\""

```
theorem IOEO2 : "EQ ==' EQ = True'"
by auto
                                                                      theorem GeTAsymmetry :
                                                                      "ALL x. ALL y. x >' y = True' --> y >' x = False'"
ML "Header.record \"IOEO2\""
                                                                      apply(auto)
                                                                      apply(simp add: GeDef)
theorem IOEO3 : "GT ==' GT = True'"
                                                                      apply(simp add: LeTAsymmetry)
by auto
ML "Header.record \"IOE03\""
                                                                      ML "Header.record \"GeTAsymmetry\""
                                                                      theorem GeTTransitive :
theorem IOE07 : "LT /= EQ = True'"
apply(simp add: DiffDef)
                                                                      "ALL x.
                                                                      ALL y. ALL z. (x >' y) && (y >' z) = True' --> x >' z = True'"
done
                                                                      apply(simp add: GeDef)
ML "Header.record \"IOE07\""
                                                                      apply(rule_tac x="z" and y="y" and z="x" in LeTTransitive)
theorem IOE08 : "LT /= GT = True'"
                                                                      apply(auto)
apply(simp add: DiffDef)
                                                                      apply(case_tac "z <' y")
done
                                                                      apply(auto)
                                                                      apply(case_tac "y <' x")
ML "Header.record \"IOE08\""
                                                                      apply(auto)
                                                                      apply(case_tac "y <' x")
theorem IOE09 : "EQ /= GT = True'"
                                                                      apply(auto)
apply(simp add: DiffDef)
                                                                      done
                                                                      ML "Header.record \"GeTTransitive\""
ML "Header.record \"IOE09\""
                                                                      theorem GeTTotal :
lemma LeIrreflContra : " x <' x = True' ==> False"
                                                                      "ALL x. ALL y. ((x >' y) || (y >' x)) || (x ==' y) = True'"
                                                                      apply(auto)
                                                                      apply(simp add: OrDef)
theorem LeTAsymmetry :
                                                                      apply(case_tac "x >' y")
"ALL x. ALL y. x <' y = True' --> y <' x = False'"
                                                                      apply(auto)
apply(auto)
                                                                      apply(case_tac "y >' x")
apply(rule ccontr)
                                                                      apply(auto)
apply(simp add: notNot2 NotTrue1)
                                                                      apply(case_tac "x ==' y")
thm LeIrreflContra
                                                                      apply(auto)
apply(rule_tac x="x" in LeIrreflContra)
                                                                      apply(simp add: GeDef)
apply(rule_tac y = "y" in LeTTransitive)
                                                                      apply(simp add: LeFGeTEqTRel)
by auto
                                                                      apply(auto)
                                                                      apply(simp add: GeDef)
ML "Header.record \"LeTAsymmetry\""
                                                                      apply(simp add: LeTAsymmetry)
                                                                      apply(simp add: EqualSymDef)
theorem GeIrreflexivity :
                                                                      done
"ALL x. ALL y. x ==' y = True' --> x >' y = False'"
                                                                      ML "Header.record \"GeTTotal\""
apply(auto)
apply(simp add: GeDef)
apply(simp add: EqualSymDef LeIrreflexivity)
                                                                      theorem LeqReflexivity : "ALL x. x <=' x = True'"
done
                                                                      apply(auto)
                                                                      apply(simp add: LeqDef)
ML "Header.record \"GeIrreflexivity\""
                                                                      apply(simp add: OrDef)
```

```
apply(auto)
                                                                       done
ML "Header.record \"LeqReflexivity\""
                                                                       lemma Le4E [rule_format]:
lemma EqualL1 [rule_format]:
                                                                        "ALL x y z.
"ALL x z.
                                                                       (y == 'x) = True' & (x < 'z) = False' \clongrightarrow> (y < 'z) = False'"
((x ==' z) = True') & ((x ==' z) = False') \<longrightarrow> False"
                                                                       apply (auto)
bv auto
                                                                       apply(rule EqTOrdFSubstE)
                                                                        apply(auto)
lemma EqualL2 [rule_format]:
                                                                       done
"ALL x. ALL y. ALL z.
((x ==' y) = True') & ((y ==' z) = True') \<longrightarrow>
                                                                       lemma Le4D [rule_format]:
((x == 'z) = False') \leq nrightarrow False'
                                                                       "ALL x y z.
apply(simp add: EqualL1)
                                                                        (y == 'x) = True' & (z < 'x) = False' < longrightarrow > (z < 'y) = False''
apply(simp add: notNot2 NotTrue1)
                                                                       apply (auto)
                                                                       apply(rule EqTOrdFSubstD)
apply(auto)
apply(rule EqualTransT)
                                                                       apply(auto)
                                                                       done
applv(auto)
done
                                                                       lemma Le5 [rule_format]:
lemma Le1E [rule_format]:
                                                                        "ALL x y.
                                                                        (x <' y) = False' \closerightarrow> (x <' y) = True'
(y == 'x) = True' & (x < 'z) = True' \clongrightarrow> (y < 'z) = True'"
                                                                       \<longrightarrow> False"
apply (auto)
                                                                       by auto
apply(rule EqTOrdTSubstE)
                                                                       lemma Le6E [rule_format]:
apply(auto)
done
                                                                       "ALL x y z.
                                                                        (y == 'x) = True' & (x < 'z) = False' \clongrightarrow> (y < 'z) = True'
lemma Le2 [rule_format]:
                                                                       \<longrightarrow> False"
"ALL x y.
                                                                        apply (auto)
(x <' y) = True' \closerightarrow> (x <' y) = False'
                                                                       apply(rule Le5)
                                                                       apply(rule EqTOrdFSubstE)
\<longrightarrow> False"
by auto
                                                                       apply(auto)
                                                                       done
lemma Le3E [rule_format]:
"ALL x y z.
                                                                       lemma Le7 [rule_format]:
(y == 'x) = True' & (x < 'z) = True' < longrightarrow > (y < 'z) = False'
                                                                       "ALL x y.
\<longrightarrow> False"
                                                                       x <' y = True' & x <' y = False' \<longrightarrow> False"
apply (auto)
                                                                       by auto
apply(rule Le2)
apply(rule EqTOrdTSubstE)
                                                                       theorem LeqTTransitive :
                                                                        "ALL x.
apply(auto)
                                                                        ALL y. ALL z. (x <=' y) && (y <=' z) = True' --> x <=' z = True'"
done
                                                                       apply(auto)
lemma Le3D [rule_format]:
                                                                        apply(simp add: LeqDef)
                                                                        apply(simp add: OrDef)
"ALL x y z.
                                                                       apply(case_tac "x <' y")
(y == 'x) = True' & (z < 'x) = True' < longrightarrow > (z < 'y) = False'
\<longrightarrow> False"
                                                                       apply(auto)
apply (auto)
                                                                       apply(case_tac "x ==' y")
apply(rule Le2)
                                                                       apply(auto)
apply(rule EqTOrdTSubstD)
                                                                       apply(case_tac "y <' z")
```

```
apply(auto)
                                                                       apply(auto)
apply(case_tac "y ==' z")
                                                                       apply(simp add: EqualSymDef)+
apply(auto)
apply(case_tac "x <' z")
                                                                       apply(simp add: EqualSymDef)
apply(auto)
                                                                       apply(simp add: EqualSymDef)
apply(case_tac "x ==' z")
                                                                       apply(simp add: EqualSymDef)
apply(auto)
(*Here we needed the first aux lemma*)
                                                                       (*Verify until here.*)
apply(rule EqualL2)
                                                                       (*The proof for the last goal.*)
apply(auto)
                                                                       apply(case_tac "x <' y")
apply(simp add: NotFalse1 NotTrue1)
                                                                       apply(auto)
apply(case_tac "Not' (x <' z)")
                                                                       apply(case_tac "x <' z")
apply(simp add: AndFalse)
                                                                       apply(auto)
apply(simp add: NotFalse1 NotTrue1)
                                                                       apply(case_tac "x ==' z")
apply(rule ccontr)
                                                                       apply(auto)
apply(simp add: notNot1 NotFalse1)
                                                                       apply(drule Le5)
apply(erule Le2)
                                                                       apply(rule LeTTransitive)
apply(rule Le4E)
                                                                       apply(auto)
apply(auto)
                                                                      done
apply(simp add: EqualSymDef)
(*End of the proof of the first thm that needed an aux lemma*)
                                                                       ML "Header.record \"LeqTTransitive\""
apply(case_tac "y <' z")
applv(auto)
                                                                       theorem LegTTotal :
                                                                       "ALL x. ALL y. (x <=' y) && (y <=' x) = x ==' y"
apply(case_tac "y ==' z")
apply(auto)
                                                                       apply(auto)
apply(case_tac "x <' z")
                                                                       apply(simp add: LeqDef)
apply(auto)
                                                                       apply(simp add: OrDef)
apply(case_tac "x ==' z")
                                                                       apply(case_tac "x <' y")
apply(auto)
                                                                       apply(auto)
(*From now on I guess the proof must be verified. It seems that \boldsymbol{I}
                                                                       apply(case_tac "x ==' y")
inserted some loops in the proof. *)
                                                                       apply(auto)
apply(simp add: LeTGeFEqFRel)
                                                                       apply(case_tac "x ==' y")
apply(auto)
                                                                       apply(auto)
apply(simp add: LeFGeTEqTRel)
                                                                       apply(case_tac "y <' x")
apply(simp add: EqTSOrdRel)
                                                                       apply(auto)
apply(simp add: EqFSOrdRel)
                                                                       apply(case_tac "y ==' x")
apply(auto)
                                                                       apply(auto)
apply(simp add: GeDef)
                                                                       apply(case_tac "y ==' x")
apply(simp add: LeTGeFEqFRel LeFGeTEqTRel)
                                                                       apply(auto)
apply(auto)
                                                                       apply(case_tac "y <' x")
apply(simp add: GeDef)
                                                                       apply(auto)
apply(simp add: LeTAsymmetry LeIrreflexivity LeTTotal)
                                                                       apply(case_tac "y ==' x")
apply(simp add: GeDef)+
                                                                       apply(auto)
(*
                                                                       apply(simp add: EqualSymDef)
                                                                       apply(simp add: EqualSymDef)
apply(simp add: GeDef)
apply(simp add: GeDef)
                                                                       apply(case_tac "x ==' y")
                                                                       apply(auto)
apply(simp add: EqualSymDef LeTGeFEqFRel LeFGeTEqTRel )
                                                                       apply(case_tac "y <' x")
apply(simp add: GeDef)
                                                                       apply(auto)
                                                                       apply(case_tac "y ==' x")
(*The real proof seems to be in the next 3 lines.*)
apply(rule Le3E)
                                                                       apply(auto)
```

```
apply(simp add: LeTAsymmetry)
                                                                      apply(auto)
                                                                      apply(case_tac "x ==' z")
done
                                                                      apply(auto)
ML "Header.record \"LeqTTotal\""
                                                                      (*From now on I guess the proof must be verified. It seems that I
                                                                      inserted some loops in the proof. *)
theorem GeqReflexivity : "ALL x. x >= ' x = True'"
                                                                      apply(simp add: LeTGeFEqFRel)
apply(auto)
                                                                      apply(auto)
apply(simp add: GeqDef)
                                                                      apply(simp add: LeFGeTEqTRel)
apply(simp add: GeDef)
                                                                      apply(simp add: EqTSOrdRel)
                                                                      apply(simp add: EqFSOrdRel)
apply(simp add: OrDef)
done
                                                                      apply(auto)
                                                                      apply(simp add: GeDef)+
ML "Header.record \"GeqReflexivity\""
                                                                      apply(simp add: LeFGeTEqTRel LeTGeFEqFRel)
                                                                      apply(auto)
theorem GeqTTransitive :
                                                                      apply(simp add: GeDef)
"ALL x.
                                                                      apply(simp add: LeTAsymmetry LeIrreflexivity LeTTotal)
ALL y. ALL z. (x \ge y) & (y \ge z) = True' --> x \ge z = True''
                                                                      apply(simp add: GeDef)+
apply(auto)
                                                                      apply(simp add: EqualSymDef LeTGeFEqFRel LeFGeTEqTRel )
apply(simp add: GeqDef)
                                                                      apply(simp add: GeDef)
apply(simp add: OrDef GeDef)
                                                                      (*The real proof seems to be in the next 3 lines.*)
apply(case_tac "y <' x")
                                                                      apply(rule Le3D)
apply(auto)
                                                                      apply(auto)
apply(case_tac "x ==' y")
                                                                      apply(simp add: EqualSymDef)+
                                                                      (*Verify until here.*)
apply(auto)
                                                                      apply(simp add: GeDef)+
apply(case_tac "z <' y")
apply(auto)
                                                                      apply(simp add: LeTAsymmetry)
apply(case_tac "y ==' z")
                                                                      apply(simp add: GeDef)+
apply(auto)
                                                                      (*The proof for the last goal.*)
                                                                      apply(case_tac "z <' x")
apply(case_tac "z <' x")
apply(auto)
                                                                      apply(auto)
apply(case_tac "x ==' z")
                                                                      apply(case_tac "x ==' z")
apply(auto)
                                                                      apply(auto)
(*Here we needed the first aux lemma*)
                                                                      apply(drule Le5)
apply(rule EqualL2)
                                                                      apply(rule LeTTransitive)
apply(auto)
                                                                      apply(auto)
apply(simp add: NotFalse1 NotTrue1)
                                                                      done
apply(case_tac "Not' (z <' x)")
apply(simp add: AndFalse)
                                                                      ML "Header.record \"GeqTTransitive\""
apply(simp add: NotFalse1 NotTrue1)
apply(rule ccontr)
                                                                      theorem GeqTTotal :
apply(simp add: notNot1 NotFalse1)
                                                                       "ALL x. ALL y. (x >=' y) && (y >=' x) = x ==' y"
apply(erule Le2)
                                                                      apply(auto)
apply(rule EqTOrdFSubstD)
                                                                      apply(simp add: GeqDef)
apply(auto)
                                                                      apply(simp add: OrDef)
                                                                      apply(case_tac "x >' y")
apply(simp add: EqualSymDef)
(*End of the proof of the first thm that needed an aux lemma*)
                                                                      apply(auto)
apply(case_tac "z <' y")
                                                                      apply(case_tac "x ==' y")
apply(auto)
                                                                      apply(auto)
apply(case_tac "y ==' z")
                                                                      apply(case_tac "y >' x")
apply(auto)
                                                                      apply(auto)
apply(case_tac "z <' x")
                                                                      apply(case_tac "y ==' x")
```

```
apply(auto)
                                                                       apply(auto)
apply(case_tac "y ==' x")
                                                                       apply(case_tac "x ==' y")
apply(auto)
                                                                       apply(auto)
apply(case_tac "y >' x")
                                                                      apply(simp add: EqualSymDef)
                                                                      apply(simp add: GeDef)
apply(auto)
apply(case_tac "y ==' x")
                                                                      apply(simp add: GeqDef LeqDef)
apply(auto)
                                                                      apply(simp add: OrDef)
apply(simp add: EqualSymDef)
                                                                      apply(case_tac "y >' x")
apply(simp add: EqualSymDef)
                                                                       apply(auto)
apply(case_tac "x ==' y")
                                                                      apply(case_tac "y ==' x")
apply(auto)
                                                                      apply(auto)
apply(case_tac "y >' x")
                                                                      apply(case_tac "x <' y")
                                                                      apply(auto)
apply(auto)
apply(case_tac "y ==' x")
                                                                       apply(case_tac "x ==' y")
apply(simp add: GeDef)
                                                                      apply(auto)
apply(simp add: LeTAsymmetry)
                                                                      apply(simp add: EqualSymDef)
apply(simp add: EqualSymDef)
                                                                      apply(case_tac "x <' y")
apply(case_tac "y >' x")
                                                                      apply(auto)
apply(auto)
                                                                      apply(case_tac "x ==' y")
done
                                                                      apply(auto)
                                                                      apply(simp add: GeDef)
ML "Header.record \"GeqTTotal\""
theorem LeTGeTRel :
                                                                      ML "Header.record \"LeqTGetTRel\""
"ALL x. ALL y. x <' y = True' = (y >' x = True')"
                                                                      theorem LeqFGetFRel :
apply(auto)
apply(simp add: GeDef)
                                                                      "ALL x. ALL y. x \leftarrow y = False' = (y >= 'x = False')"
apply(simp add: GeDef)
                                                                      apply(auto)
done
                                                                      apply(simp add: GeqDef LeqDef)
                                                                       apply(simp add: OrDef)
ML "Header.record \"LeTGeTRel\""
                                                                      apply(case_tac "x <' y")
                                                                      apply(auto)
theorem LeFGeFRel :
                                                                      apply(case_tac "x ==' y")
                                                                      apply(auto)
"ALL x. ALL y. x <' y = False' = (y >' x = False')"
apply(auto)
                                                                       apply(case_tac "y >' x")
apply(simp add: GeDef)
                                                                      apply(auto)
apply(simp add: GeDef)
                                                                      apply(case_tac "y ==' x")
                                                                      apply(auto)
                                                                      apply(simp add: EqualSymDef)
ML "Header.record \"LeFGeFRel\""
                                                                       apply(simp add: GeDef)
                                                                       apply(simp add: GeqDef LeqDef)
                                                                      apply(simp add: OrDef)
theorem LeqTGetTRel :
"ALL x. ALL y. x \le y = True' = (y \ge x = True')"
                                                                      apply(case_tac "y >' x")
apply(auto)
                                                                      apply(auto)
                                                                       apply(case_tac "y ==' x")
apply(simp add: GeqDef LeqDef)
apply(simp add: OrDef)
                                                                       apply(auto)
apply(case_tac "y >' x")
                                                                      apply(case_tac "x <' y")
apply(auto)
                                                                      apply(auto)
                                                                      apply(case_tac "x ==' y")
apply(case_tac "y ==' x")
apply(auto)
                                                                      apply(auto)
apply(case_tac "x <' y")
                                                                      apply(simp add: EqualSymDef)
```

```
apply(simp add: GeDef)
                                                                       apply(auto)
                                                                       apply(simp add: EqualSymDef)
done
                                                                       apply(case_tac "x >' y")
ML "Header.record \"LeqFGetFRel\""
                                                                      apply(auto)
                                                                      apply(case_tac "x ==' y")
theorem GeTLeTRel :
                                                                      apply(auto)
"ALL x. ALL y. x >' y = True' = (y <' x = True')"
                                                                      apply(simp add: GeDef)
apply(auto)
apply(simp add: GeDef)
apply(simp add: GeDef)
                                                                      ML "Header.record \"GeqTLeqTRel\""
done
                                                                      theorem GeqFLeqFRel :
ML "Header.record \"GeTLeTRel\""
                                                                       "ALL x. ALL y. x \geq=' y = False' = (y <=' x = False')"
theorem GeFLeFRel :
                                                                      apply(simp add: GeqDef LeqDef)
"ALL x. ALL y. x >' y = False' = (y <' x = False')"
                                                                      apply(simp add: OrDef)
                                                                      apply(case_tac "x >' y")
apply(auto)
apply(simp add: GeDef)
                                                                      apply(auto)
apply(simp add: GeDef)
                                                                       apply(case_tac "x ==' y")
done
                                                                       apply(auto)
                                                                      apply(case_tac "y <' x")
ML "Header.record \"GeFLeFRel\""
                                                                      apply(auto)
                                                                      apply(case_tac "y ==' x")
theorem GeqTLeqTRel :
                                                                      apply(auto)
"ALL x. ALL y. x >=' y = True' = (y <=' x = True')"
                                                                       apply(simp add: EqualSymDef)
apply(auto)
                                                                      apply(simp add: GeDef)
apply(simp add: GeqDef LeqDef)
                                                                      apply(simp add: GeqDef LeqDef)
apply(simp add: OrDef)
                                                                      apply(simp add: OrDef)
apply(case_tac "x >' y")
                                                                      apply(case_tac "y <' x")
apply(auto)
                                                                       apply(auto)
apply(case_tac "x ==' y")
                                                                      apply(case_tac "y ==' x")
apply(auto)
                                                                      apply(auto)
apply(case_tac "y <' x")
                                                                      apply(case_tac "x >' y")
apply(auto)
                                                                      apply(auto)
apply(case_tac "y ==' x")
                                                                       apply(case_tac "x ==' y")
apply(auto)
                                                                      apply(auto)
                                                                      apply(simp add: EqualSymDef)
apply(simp add: EqualSymDef)
apply(case_tac "y <' x")
                                                                      apply(simp add: GeDef)
apply(auto)
apply(case_tac "y ==' x")
apply(auto)
                                                                      ML "Header.record \"GeqFLeqFRel\""
apply(simp add: GeDef)
apply(simp add: GeqDef LeqDef)
                                                                      theorem LeqTGeFRel :
apply(simp add: OrDef)
                                                                      "ALL x. ALL y. x \le y = True' = (x > y = False')"
apply(case_tac "y <' x")
                                                                       apply(auto)
                                                                       apply(simp add: GeDef LeqDef OrDef)
apply(auto)
apply(case_tac "y ==' x")
                                                                      apply(case_tac "x <' y")
apply(auto)
                                                                      apply(auto)
apply(case_tac "x >' y")
                                                                      apply(case_tac "x ==' y")
apply(auto)
                                                                      apply(auto)
apply(case_tac "x ==' y")
                                                                      apply(simp add: EqualSymDef LeIrreflexivity)
```

```
apply(simp add: LeTAsymmetry)
                                                                      apply(simp add: notNot1)
apply(simp add: LeqDef OrDef)
                                                                      apply(case_tac "x ==' y")
apply(case_tac "x <' y")
                                                                      apply(auto)
apply(auto)
                                                                      apply(simp add: GeDef)
apply(case_tac "x ==' y")
                                                                      apply(simp add: LeTAsymmetry)
apply(auto)
                                                                      apply(simp add: GeDef)
apply(simp add: EqFSOrdRel)
                                                                      apply(simp add: EqualSymDef LeIrreflexivity)
ML "Header.record \"LegTGeFRel\""
                                                                      ML "Header.record \"GeFLeTEqTRel\""
theorem LeqFGeTRel :
                                                                      theorem GeqTLeFRel :
"ALL x. ALL y. x <=' y = False' = (x >' y = True')"
                                                                      "ALL x. ALL y. x \ge y = True' = (x < y = False')"
apply(auto)
apply(simp add: GeDef LeqDef OrDef)
                                                                      apply(simp add: GeqDef OrDef)
                                                                      apply(case_tac "x >' y")
apply(case_tac "x <' y")
apply(auto)
                                                                      apply(auto)
apply(case_tac "x ==' y")
                                                                      apply(case_tac "x ==' y")
apply(auto)
                                                                      apply(auto)
apply(simp add: EqFSOrdRel)
                                                                      apply(simp add: GeDef)
apply(simp add: GeDef)
                                                                      apply(simp add: LeTAsymmetry)
apply(simp add: LeqDef OrDef)
                                                                      apply(simp add: GeqDef OrDef)
apply(case_tac "x <' y")
                                                                      apply(case_tac "x >' y")
                                                                      apply(auto)
apply(auto)
apply(case_tac "x ==' y")
                                                                      apply(case_tac "x ==' y")
                                                                      apply(auto)
apply(auto)
apply(simp add: EqTSOrdRel)
                                                                      apply(simp add: GeDef)
apply(simp add: GeDef LeTAsymmetry)
                                                                      apply(simp add: EqFSOrdRel)
                                                                      apply(simp add: GeDef)
done
ML "Header.record \"LeqFGeTRel\""
                                                                      ML "Header.record \"GeqTLeFRel\""
theorem GeTLeFEqFRel :
"ALL x.
                                                                      theorem GeaFLeTRel :
ALL y. x >' y = True' = (x <' y = False' & x ==' y = False')"
                                                                      "ALL x. ALL y. x \ge y = False' = (x < y = True')"
apply(auto)
                                                                      apply(auto)
apply(simp add: GeDef LeTAsymmetry)
                                                                      apply(simp add: GeqDef OrDef)
apply(simp add: GeDef)
                                                                      apply(case_tac "x >' y")
                                                                      apply(auto)
apply(simp add: EqFSOrdRel)
apply(auto)
                                                                      apply(case_tac "x ==' y")
apply(simp add: GeDef)
                                                                      apply(auto)
apply(simp add: EqFSOrdRel)
                                                                      apply(simp add: GeDef)
                                                                      apply(simp add: EqFSOrdRel)
                                                                      apply(simp add: GeDef)
ML "Header.record \"GeTLeFEqFRel\""
                                                                      apply(simp add: GeqDef OrDef)
                                                                      apply(case_tac "x >' y")
theorem GeFLeTEqTRel :
                                                                      apply(auto)
"ALL x.
                                                                      apply(case_tac "x ==' y")
ALL y. x >' y = False' = (x <' y = True' | x ==' y = True')"
                                                                      apply(auto)
apply(auto)
                                                                      apply(simp add: GeDef)
apply(simp add: LeTGeFEqFRel)
                                                                      apply(simp add: LeTAsymmetry)
```

```
ML "Header.record \"GeqTGeTEqTRel\""
ML "Header.record \"GeqFLeTRel\""
                                                                      theorem GeqFGeFEqFRel :
                                                                       "ALL x.
                                                                       ALL y. x \ge y = False' = (x \ge y = False' & x == y = False')"
theorem LegTLeTEgTRel :
"ALL x.
                                                                      apply(auto)
ALL y. x <=' y = True' = (x <' y = True' | x ==' y = True')"
                                                                      apply(simp add: GeqDef OrDef)
applv(auto)
                                                                      apply(case_tac "x >' y")
apply(simp add: LeqDef OrDef)
                                                                      apply(auto)
apply(case_tac "x <' y")
                                                                      apply(simp add: GeqDef OrDef)
apply(auto)
                                                                      apply(case_tac "x >' y")
apply(case_tac "x ==' y")
                                                                      apply(auto)
apply(auto)
                                                                      apply(case_tac "x ==' y")
apply(simp add: LeqDef OrDef)
                                                                      apply(auto)
apply(simp add: LeqDef OrDef)
                                                                      apply(simp add: GeqDef OrDef)
done
                                                                      done
ML "Header.record \"LeqTLeTEqTRel\""
                                                                      ML "Header.record \"GeqFGeFEqFRel\""
                                                                      theorem LeTGeqFRel :
theorem LeqFLeFEqFRel :
                                                                      "ALL x. ALL y. x < ' y = True' = (x >= ' y = False')"
"ALL x.
ALL y. x <=' y = False' = (x <' y = False' & x ==' y = False')"
                                                                      apply(auto)
apply(auto)
                                                                      apply(simp add: LeTGeFEqFRel)
apply(simp add: LeqDef OrDef)
                                                                      apply(simp add: GeqDef)
apply(case_tac "x <' y")
                                                                      apply(simp add: OrDef)
                                                                      apply(simp add: GeqFGeFEqFRel)
apply(auto)
apply(simp add: LeqDef OrDef)
                                                                      apply(simp add: LeTGeFEqFRel)
apply(case_tac "x <' y")
apply(auto)
apply(case_tac "x ==' y")
                                                                      ML "Header.record \"LeTGeqFRe1\""
apply(auto)
apply(simp add: LeqDef OrDef)
                                                                      theorem GeTLeqFRel :
                                                                      "ALL x. ALL y. x >' y = True' = (x <=' y = False')"
done
                                                                      apply(auto)
ML "Header.record \"LeqFLeFEqFRel\""
                                                                      apply(simp add: GeTLeFEqFRel)
                                                                      apply(simp add: LeqDef)
theorem GeqTGeTEqTRel :
                                                                      apply(simp add: OrDef)
"ALL x.
                                                                      apply(simp add: LeqFLeFEqFRel)
ALL y. x >=' y = True' = (x >' y = True' | x ==' y = True')"
                                                                      apply(simp add: GeTLeFEqFRel)
apply(auto)
apply(simp add: GeqDef OrDef)
apply(case_tac "x >' y")
                                                                      ML "Header.record \"GeTLeqFRel\""
apply(auto)
apply(case_tac "x ==' y")
                                                                      theorem LeLeqDiff : "ALL x. ALL y. x <' y = (x <=' y) && (x /= y)"
apply(auto)
                                                                      apply(auto)
apply(simp add: GeqDef OrDef)
                                                                      apply(simp add: LeqDef OrDef)
                                                                      apply(case_tac "x <' y")
apply(simp add: GeqDef OrDef)
apply(case_tac "x >' y")
                                                                      apply(auto)
                                                                      apply(case_tac "x ==' y")
apply(auto)
                                                                      apply(auto)
done
                                                                      apply(case_tac "x /= y")
```

```
apply(auto)
apply(simp add: DiffDef)
                                                                      theorem TO4 :
apply(simp add: LeTGeFEqFRel)
                                                                      "ALL x. ALL y. x <' y = True' --> Not' (x ==' y) = True'"
apply(simp add: DiffDef)
                                                                      apply(auto)
                                                                      apply(case_tac "x ==' y")
done
                                                                      apply(auto)
ML "Header.record \"LeLeqDiff\""
                                                                      done
theorem MaxSym : "ALL x. ALL y. X_max x y ==' y = X_max y x ==' y"
                                                                      ML "Header.record \"TO4\""
by auto
                                                                      theorem TO5 :
ML "Header.record \"MaxSym\""
                                                                      "ALL w.
                                                                       ALL x.
theorem MinSym : "ALL x. ALL y. X_min x y ==' y = X_min y x ==' y"
                                                                       ALL y.
by auto
                                                                       (x <' y = True' & y <' z = True') & z <' w = True' -->
ML "Header.record \"MinSym\""
                                                                      x <' w = True'"
                                                                      apply auto
theorem TO1 :
                                                                      apply(rule_tac y="y" in LeTTransitive)
                                                                      apply auto
ALL y. (x ==' y = True' | x <' y = True') = (x <=' y = True')"
                                                                      apply(rule_tac y="z" in LeTTransitive)
apply(auto)
                                                                      by auto
apply(simp add: LeqDef)
                                                                      ML "Header.record \"T05\""
apply(simp add: OrDef)
apply(case_tac "x <' y")
apply(auto)
                                                                      theorem TO6 :
apply(simp add: LeqDef)
                                                                      "ALL x. ALL z. z < ' x = True' --> Not' (x < ' z) = True'"
apply(simp add: OrDef)
                                                                      apply auto
apply(case_tac "x ==' y")
                                                                      apply(case_tac "x <' z")
apply(auto)
                                                                      apply auto
apply(simp add: LeqDef)
                                                                      apply (simp add: LeTAsymmetry)
apply(simp add: OrDef)
apply(case_tac "x <' y")
apply(auto)
                                                                      ML "Header.record \"TO6\""
done
                                                                      theorem TO7 : "ALL x. ALL y. x < ' y = True' = (y > ' x = True')"
ML "Header.record \"T01\""
                                                                      apply auto
                                                                      apply(simp add: GeDef)+
theorem TO2 : "ALL x. ALL y. x ==' y = True' --> x <' y = False'"
by auto
                                                                      ML "Header.record \"T07\""
ML "Header.record \"TO2\""
                                                                      theorem IOO16 : "LT <=' EQ = True'"
                                                                      apply(simp add: LeqDef OrDef)
"ALL x. ALL y. Not' Not' (x <' y) = True' | Not' (x <' y) = True'"
                                                                      done
apply(case_tac "x <' y")
                                                                      ML "Header.record \"I0016\""
apply(auto)
                                                                      theorem I0017 : "EQ <=' GT = True'"
done
                                                                      apply(simp add: LeqDef OrDef)
ML "Header.record \"TO3\""
                                                                      done
```

```
ML "Header.record \"I0017\""
                                                                      theorem IOO26 : "X max EQ GT ==' GT = True'"
                                                                      apply(simp add: MaxYDef)
theorem IOO18 : "LT <= GT = True "
                                                                      apply(simp add: LeqDef OrDef)
apply(simp add: LeqDef OrDef)
                                                                     done
done
                                                                     ML "Header.record \"I0026\""
ML "Header.record \"I0018\""
                                                                      theorem IOO27 : "X_max LT GT ==' GT = True'"
theorem IOO19 : "EQ >=' LT = True'"
                                                                      apply(simp add: MaxYDef)
apply(simp add: GeqDef OrDef GeDef)
                                                                      apply(simp add: LeqDef OrDef)
done
                                                                     done
ML "Header.record \"I0019\""
                                                                      ML "Header.record \"I0027\""
theorem IOO20 : "GT >=' EQ = True'"
                                                                      theorem IOO28 : "X_min LT EQ ==' LT = True'"
apply(simp add: GeqDef OrDef GeDef)
                                                                      apply(simp add: MaxYDef)
                                                                      apply(simp add: LeqDef OrDef)
ML "Header.record \"I0020\""
                                                                      ML "Header.record \"I0028\""
theorem IOO21 : "GT >=' LT = True'"
apply(simp add: GeqDef OrDef GeDef)
                                                                     theorem IOO29 : "X_min EQ GT ==' EQ = True'"
                                                                      apply(simp add: MinXDef)
done
                                                                      apply(simp add: LeqDef OrDef)
ML "Header.record \"I0021\""
                                                                     done
theorem IOO22 : "EQ >' LT = True'"
                                                                      ML "Header.record \"I0029\""
apply(simp add: GeDef OrDef)
                                                                      theorem IOO30 : "X_min LT GT ==' LT = True'"
ML "Header.record \"I0022\""
                                                                      apply(simp add: MaxYDef)
                                                                      apply(simp add: LeqDef OrDef)
theorem IOO23 : "GT >' EQ = True'"
apply(simp add: GeDef OrDef)
done
                                                                     ML "Header.record \"I0030\""
ML "Header.record \"I0023\""
                                                                      theorem IOO31 : "compare LT LT ==' EQ = True'"
                                                                      by auto
theorem IOO24 : "GT >' LT = True'"
apply(simp add: GeDef OrDef)
                                                                      ML "Header.record \"I0031\""
done
                                                                      theorem IOO32 : "compare EQ EQ ==' EQ = True'"
ML "Header.record \"I0024\""
                                                                      by auto
theorem IOO25 : "X_max LT EQ ==' EQ = True'"
                                                                      ML "Header.record \"I0032\""
apply(simp add: MaxYDef)
                                                                      theorem IOO33 : "compare GT GT ==' EQ = True'"
apply(simp add: LeqDef OrDef)
done
                                                                      by auto
ML "Header.record \"I0025\""
                                                                      ML "Header.record \"I0033\""
```

```
by auto
theorem IBO6 : "False' >= ' True' = False'"
apply(simp add: GeqDef OrDef GeDef)
                                                                      ML "Header.record \"IB012\""
apply (case_tac "True' <' False'")
                                                                      theorem IU001 : "() <= ' () = True '"
apply(auto)
apply(simp add: LeTGeFEqFRel)
                                                                      apply (simp add: LeqDef OrDef)
apply(simp add: GeDef)
                                                                      ML "Header.record \"IU001\""
ML "Header.record \"IBO6\""
                                                                      theorem IU002 : "() <' () = False'"
theorem IBO7 : "True' >=' False' = True'"
                                                                      by auto
apply(simp add: GeqDef OrDef GeDef)
                                                                      ML "Header.record \"IU002\""
ML "Header.record \"IBO7\""
                                                                      theorem IU003 : "() >=' () = True'"
                                                                      apply(simp add: GeqDef GeDef OrDef)
theorem IBO8 : "True' <' False' = False'"
apply(simp add: LeFGeTEqTRel)
                                                                      ML "Header.record \"IU003\""
apply(simp add: GeDef)
                                                                      theorem IU004 : "() > ' () = False'"
ML "Header.record \"IBO8\""
                                                                      apply(simp add: GeDef)
                                                                      done
theorem IBO9 : "X_max False' True' ==' True' = True'"
                                                                      ML "Header.record \"IU004\""
apply(simp add: MaxYDef)
apply(simp add: LeqDef OrDef)
done
                                                                      theorem IU005 : "X_max () () ==' () = True'"
                                                                      by auto
ML "Header.record \"IBO9\""
                                                                      ML "Header.record \"IU005\""
theorem IBO10 : "X_min False' True' == ' False' = True'"
apply(simp add: MaxYDef)
                                                                      theorem IU006 : "X_min () () == ' () = True'"
apply(simp add: LeqDef OrDef)
                                                                      by auto
done
                                                                      ML "Header.record \"IU006\""
ML "Header.record \"IB010\""
                                                                      theorem IU007 : "compare () () ==' EQ = True'"
theorem IB011 : "compare True' True' ==' EQ = True'"
                                                                      bv auto
by auto
                                                                      ML "Header.record \"IU007\""
ML "Header.record \"IBO11\""
theorem IBO12 : "compare False' False' ==' EQ = True'"
```

Isabelle Proof Script A.4 Prelude_Maybe.thy

```
theorem IMEO2 : "Nothing == ' Nothing = True'" by auto
```

```
ML "Header.record \"IMEO2\""
theorem IMO03 : "ALL x. Nothing >=' Just(x) = False'"
apply(rule allI)
```

```
apply(simp only: GeqDef)
                                                                     apply(rule allI)+
                                                                     apply(simp add: GeDef)
apply(simp only: GeDef OrDef)
apply(case_tac "Just(x) <' Nothing")
apply(auto)
                                                                     ML "Header.record \"IMOO8\""
done
ML "Header.record \"IMOO3\""
                                                                     theorem IMO09 :
                                                                     "ALL x. Nothing <=' Just(x) = X_max Nothing (Just(x)) ==' Just(x)"
theorem IMOO4 : "ALL x. Just(x) >= ' Nothing = True'"
                                                                     apply(rule allI)+
apply(rule allI)
                                                                     apply(simp add: LeqDef)
apply(simp only: GeqDef)
                                                                     done
apply(simp only: GeDef OrDef)
apply(case_tac "Nothing <' Just(x)")
                                                                     ML "Header.record \"IMOO9\""
apply(auto)
done
                                                                     theorem IMO10 :
                                                                     "ALL x. Just(x) <=' Nothing = X_max Nothing (Just(x)) ==' Nothing"
ML "Header.record \"IMO04\""
                                                                     apply(rule allI)+
                                                                     apply(simp add: LeqDef)
theorem IMO05 : "ALL x. Just(x) <' Nothing = False'"
apply(rule allI)
                                                                     ML "Header.record \"IMO10\""
apply(case_tac "Just(x) <' Nothing")
apply(auto)
                                                                     theorem IMO11 :
done
                                                                     "ALL x. Nothing <=' Just(x) = X_min Nothing (Just(x)) ==' Nothing"
ML "Header.record \"IMO05\""
                                                                     apply(rule allI)+
                                                                     apply(simp add: LeqDef)
theorem IMO06 :
"ALL x. compare Nothing (Just(x)) == ' EQ = Nothing == ' Just(x)"
by auto
                                                                     ML "Header.record \"IMO11\""
ML "Header.record \"IMO06\""
                                                                     theorem IMO12 :
                                                                     "ALL x. Just(x) \leftarrow Volting = X_min Nothing (Just(x)) == Volting (Just(x))
theorem IMO07 :
                                                                     apply(rule allI)+
"ALL x. compare Nothing (Just(x)) == 'LT = Nothing <' Just(x)"
                                                                     apply(simp add: LeqDef)
ML "Header.record \"IMO07\""
                                                                     ML "Header.record \"IMO12\""
theorem IMOO8 :
                                                                     end
"ALL x. compare Nothing (Just(x)) == 'GT = Nothing > 'Just(x)"
     Isabelle Proof Script A.5
```

Prelude_Either.thy

```
theorem IEO04 : "ALL x. ALL z. Left'(x) >= 'Right'(z) = False'"
apply(rule allI)
apply(simp only: GeqDef)
apply(simp only: GeDef OrDef)
apply(case_tac "Right'(y) <' Left'(x)")
apply(auto)
```

```
ML "Header.record \"IE004\""
theorem IEO05 : "ALL x. ALL z. Right'(z) >= ' Left'(x) = True'"
apply(rule allI)
apply(simp only: GeqDef)
apply(simp only: GeDef OrDef)
apply(case_tac "Left'(x) <' Right'(y)")
```

```
apply(auto)
                                                                   theorem IEO10 :
                                                                    "ALL x.
done
                                                                    ALL z.
ML "Header.record \"IE005\""
                                                                    Left'(x) <=' Right'(z) =
                                                                    X_{max} (Left'(x)) (Right'(z)) ==' Right'(z)"
theorem IEO06 : "ALL x. ALL z. Right'(z) <' Left'(x) = False'"
                                                                   apply(rule allI)+
apply(rule allI)
                                                                   apply(simp add: LeqDef)
apply(case_tac "Right'(y) <' Left'(x)")
apply(auto)
                                                                   ML "Header.record \"IE010\""
done
ML "Header.record \"IE006\""
                                                                   theorem IEO11 :
                                                                   "ALL x.
theorem IEO07 :
"ALL x.
                                                                    \label{eq:Right'(z) <=' Left'(x) = X_max (Left'(x)) (Right'(z)) ==' Left'(x)"} \\
ALL z.
                                                                   apply(rule allI)+
compare (Left'(x)) (Right'(z)) ==' EQ = Left'(x) ==' Right'(z)"
                                                                   apply(simp add: LeqDef)
apply(rule allI)+
apply(simp add: LeqDef)
                                                                   ML "Header.record \"IE011\""
done
ML "Header.record \"IE007\""
                                                                   theorem IEO12 :
                                                                   "ALL x.
theorem IEO08 :
                                                                    ALL z.
"ALL x.
                                                                    ALL z.
                                                                   apply(rule allI)+
compare (Left'(x)) (Right'(z)) ==' LT = Left'(x) <' Right'(z)"
                                                                   apply(simp add: LeqDef)
apply(rule allI)+
apply(simp add: LeqDef)
                                                                   ML "Header.record \"IE012\""
ML "Header.record \"IEO08\""
                                                                   theorem IEO13 :
                                                                   "ALL x.
theorem IEO09 :
                                                                    ALL z.
"ALL x.
                                                                    Right'(z) <=' Left'(x) =
                                                                    X_min (Left'(x)) (Right'(z)) ==' Right'(z)"
compare (Left'(x)) (Right'(z)) ==' GT = Left'(x) >' Right'(z)"
                                                                   apply(rule allI)+
apply(rule allI)+
                                                                   apply(simp add: LeqDef)
apply(simp add: GeDef)
                                                                   ML "Header.record \"IE013\""
ML "Header.record \"IE009\""
```

Isabelle Proof Script A.6 Prelude_List.thy

```
theorem PartitionProp :
"ALL p.
ALL xs.
```

```
partition p xs =
  (X_filter p xs, X_filter (X__o_X (Not__X, p)) xs)"
apply(auto)
apply(simp only: Partition)
apply(induct_tac xs)
apply(case_tac "p a")
```

```
apply(simp only: FoldrCons)

apply(simp only: FilterConsF)

apply(auto)

ML "Header.record \"PartitionProp\""

apply(simp add: FilterConsT)

apply(simp add: FoldrCons)

apply(simp only: FilterConsT)
```

Isabelle Proof Script A.7 Prelude List E1.thy

```
ML "Header.record \"SpanThm\""
theorem SpanThm :
"ALL p. ALL xs. span p xs = (X_takeWhile p xs, X_dropWhile p xs)"
applv(auto)
                                                                      theorem BreakThm :
apply(case_tac xs)
                                                                      "ALL p. ALL xs. break p xs = span (X__o_X (Not__X, p)) xs"
apply(auto)
                                                                      apply(auto)
                                                                      apply(case_tac xs)
apply(induct tac List)
apply(case_tac "p a")
                                                                      apply(auto)
apply(simp add: TakeWhileConsF DropWhileConsF SpanConsF)
                                                                      apply(simp add: BreakDef)
apply(case_tac "p aa")
                                                                      apply(simp add: Let_def)
apply(simp add: TakeWhileConsT DropWhileConsT SpanConsT TakeWhileConsF
                                                                      apply(simp add: BreakDef)
DropWhileConsF SpanConsF TakeWhileNil DropWhileNil SpanNil)+
apply(simp only: Let_def)
apply(simp add: split_def)
                                                                      ML "Header.record \"BreakThm\""
apply(case_tac "p a")
apply(simp add: TakeWhileConsT DropWhileConsT SpanConsT TakeWhileConsF end
```

Isabelle Proof Script A.8 Prelude_List_ E4.thy

```
theorem ILEO1: "Nil' ==' Nil' = True'"

by auto

ML "Header.record \"ILEO1\""

theorem ILO01: "Nil' <'' Nil' = False'"

by auto

ML "Header.record \"ILO01\""

theorem ILO02: "Nil' <='' Nil' = True'"

by auto

ML "Header.record \"ILO02\""

theorem ILO03: "Nil' >'' Nil' = False'"

by auto

ML "Header.record \"ILO03\""
```

```
theorem ILO04 : "Nil' >='' Nil' = True'"
ML "Header.record \"IL004\""
theorem ILO08 :
"ALL w.
 ALL ws.
 ALL z.
 ALL zs.
 X_Cons z zs <='' X_Cons w ws =
 (X_Cons z zs <'' X_Cons w ws) || (X_Cons z zs ==' X_Cons w ws)"
apply(rule allI)+
apply(simp only: LeqDef)
done
ML "Header.record \"IL008\""
theorem ILO09 :
"AT.I. w
 ALL ws.
 ALL z.
 ALL zs. X_Cons z zs >'' X_Cons w ws = X_Cons w ws <'' X_Cons z zs"
```

DropWhileConsF SpanConsF TakeWhileNil DropWhileNil SpanNil)+

```
apply(rule allI)+
                                                                      ALL ws.
apply(case_tac "X_Cons z zs >'' X_Cons w ws")
                                                                      ALL z.
apply(simp only: GeFLeFRel)
                                                                      ALL zs.
apply(simp only: GeTLeTRel)
                                                                      compare (X_Cons z zs) (X_Cons w ws) == ' LT =
                                                                      X_Cons z zs <'' X_Cons w ws"
done
                                                                      apply(rule allI)+
ML "Header.record \"ILO09\""
                                                                      apply(simp only: CmpLTDef)
theorem ILO10 :
"ALL w.
ALL ws.
                                                                     ML "Header.record \"IL015\""
ALL z.
ALL ZS
                                                                     theorem ILO16 :
X_Cons z zs >='' X_Cons w ws =
                                                                      "ALL w.
(X_Cons z zs >'' X_Cons w ws) || (X_Cons z zs ==' X_Cons w ws)"
                                                                      ALL ws.
                                                                      ALL z.
apply(rule allI)+
apply(simp only: GeqDef)
                                                                      compare (X_Cons z zs) (X_Cons w ws) == GT =
done
                                                                      X_Cons z zs >'' X_Cons w ws"
ML "Header.record \"ILO10\""
                                                                      apply(rule allI)+
                                                                      apply(simp only: CmpGTDef)
theorem ILO11 : "compare Nil' Nil' ==' EQ = Nil' ==' Nil'"
by auto
                                                                     ML "Header.record \"IL016\""
ML "Header.record \"ILO11\""
                                                                      theorem ILO17 : "X_maxX2 Nil' Nil' ==' Nil' = Nil' <='' Nil'"
theorem ILO12 : "compare Nil' Nil' ==' LT = Nil' <'' Nil'"
by auto
                                                                      ML "Header.record \"ILO17\""
ML "Header.record \"IL012\""
                                                                      theorem ILO18 : "X_minX2 Nil' Nil' ==' Nil' = Nil' <='' Nil'"
theorem ILO13 : "compare Nil' Nil' ==' GT = Nil' >'' Nil'"
                                                                      by auto
by auto
                                                                     ML "Header.record \"IL018\""
ML "Header.record \"IL013\""
                                                                      theorem ILO19 :
theorem ILO14 :
                                                                      "ALL w.
"ALL w.
                                                                      ALL ws.
ALL ws.
                                                                      ALL z.
ALL z.
                                                                      ALL zs.
                                                                      X_Cons z zs <='' X_Cons w ws =
compare (X_Cons z zs) (X_Cons w ws) ==' EQ =
                                                                      X_maxX2 (X_Cons z zs) (X_Cons w ws) == ' X_Cons w ws"
X_Cons z zs ==' X_Cons w ws"
                                                                      apply(rule allI)+
apply(rule allI)+
                                                                      apply(simp add: LeqDef)
apply(simp only: CmpEQDef)
                                                                     done
done
                                                                     ML "Header.record \"IL019\""
ML "Header.record \"IL014\""
                                                                      theorem ILO20 :
                                                                      "ALL w.
theorem ILO15 :
"ALL w.
                                                                      ALL ws.
```

```
ALL z.
                                                                      theorem MapDecomp :
ALL zs.
                                                                      "ALL f.
X_Cons w ws <='' X_Cons z zs =
                                                                       ALL xs. ALL zs. X_map f (xs +++ zs) = X_map f xs +++ X_map f zs
X_maxX2 (X_Cons z zs) (X_Cons w ws) ==' X_Cons z zs"
                                                                      apply(auto)
apply(rule allI)+
                                                                      apply(induct_tac xs)
apply(simp add: LeqDef)
                                                                      apply(auto)
                                                                      apply(simp add: MapCons XPlusXPlusCons)
done
ML "Header.record \"ILO20\""
                                                                      ML "Header.record \"MapDecomp\""
theorem ILO21 :
"ALL w.
                                                                      theorem MapFunctor :
ALL ws.
                                                                      "ALL f.
ALL z.
                                                                       ALL g. ALL xs. X_map (X__o__X (g, f)) xs = X_map g (X_map f xs)"
ALL zs.
                                                                      apply(auto)
X_Cons z zs <='' X_Cons w ws =
                                                                      apply(induct_tac xs)
X_minX2 (X_Cons z zs) (X_Cons w ws) ==' X_Cons z zs"
                                                                      apply(auto)
apply(rule allI)+
                                                                      apply(simp add: MapNil MapCons Comp1)
apply(simp add: LeqDef)
done
                                                                      ML "Header.record \"MapFunctor\""
ML "Header.record \"ILO21\""
                                                                      theorem FilterProm :
theorem ILO22 :
                                                                      "AT.I. f
"ALL w.
                                                                       ALL p.
ALL ws.
                                                                       AI.I. ys
ALL z.
                                                                       X_{filter} p (X_{map} f xs) = X_{map} f (X_{filter} (X_{o_X} (p, f)) xs)
ALL zs.
                                                                      apply(auto)
X_Cons w ws <='' X_Cons z zs =
                                                                      apply(induct_tac xs)
X_minX2 (X_Cons z zs) (X_Cons w ws) ==' X_Cons w ws"
                                                                      apply(auto)
apply(rule allI)+
                                                                      apply(case_tac "p(f a)")
apply(simp add: LeqDef)
                                                                      apply(auto)
                                                                      apply(simp add: MapCons)
done
                                                                      apply(simp add: FilterConsT)
ML "Header.record \"ILO22\""
                                                                      apply(simp add: MapCons)
                                                                      apply(simp add: FilterConsT)
theorem FoldlDecomp :
                                                                      done
"ALL e.
ALL i.
                                                                      ML "Header.record \"FilterProm\""
ALL ys. X_foldl i e (ys ++' ts) = X_foldl i (X_foldl i e ys) ts"
                                                                      theorem LengthNil1 : "ALL xs. length'(xs) = 0' = (xs = Nil')"
apply(auto)
                                                                      apply(auto)
apply(case_tac "ys ++' ts")
                                                                      apply(case_tac xs)
apply(auto)
                                                                      apply(auto)
apply(simp add: FoldlCons)
                                                                      done
apply(induct_tac List)
apply(simp add: FoldlCons)
                                                                      ML "Header.record \"LengthNil1\""
                                                                      theorem LengthEqualNil :
                                                                      "ALL ys. length'(Nil') = length'(ys) --> ys = Nil'"
ML "Header.record \"FoldlDecomp\""
                                                                      apply(auto)
```

```
apply(case_tac ys)
                                                                       assume "length'(Nil') = length'(ys)"
                                                                       then have "ys = Nil'" by (simp add: LengthEqualNil)
apply(auto)
done
                                                                      then show "unzip(X_zip Nil' ys) = (Nil', ys)" by (simp add: ZipNil UnzipNil)
                                                                      next
ML "Header.record \"LengthEqualNil\""
                                                                      fix x
                                                                       fix xs::"'a List"
                                                                       fix vs::"'b List"
theorem LengthEqualCons :
"ALL x.
                                                                       assume 1: "!!ys::'b List. length'(xs) = length'(ys) ==>
ALL xs.
                                                                         unzip(X_zip xs ys) = (xs, ys)"
ALL v.
                                                                       assume 2: "length'(X_Cons x xs) = length'(ys)"
ALL ys.
                                                                       then obtain z zs where ys: "ys = X_{cons} z zs" and
length'(X_Cons x xs) = length'(X_Cons y ys) -->
                                                                         length: "length'(xs) = length'(zs)"
length'(xs) = length'(ys)"
                                                                         sorry
                                                                       hence H: "unzip(X_zip xs zs) = (xs, zs)" using 1 by simp
                                                                      have "unzip(X_zip (X_Cons x xs) ys) = unzip(X_zip (X_Cons x xs) (X_Cons z zs))"
ML "Header.record \"LengthEqualCons\""
                                                                         using ys by simp
                                                                       also have "... = unzip(X_Cons (x, z) (X_zip xs zs))"
                                                                         by (metis ZipConsCons ys)
theorem ZipSpec :
"ALL xs.
                                                                       also have "... = (X_Cons x xs, X_Cons z zs)"
ALL ys.
                                                                         using H by (simp add: UnzipCons Let_def)
length'(xs) = length'(ys) --> unzip(X_zip xs ys) = (xs, ys)"
                                                                       also have "... = (X_Cons x xs, ys)" using ys by simp
                                                                      finally show "unzip(X_zip(X_Cons x xs) ys) = (X_Cons x xs, ys)".
oops
(*
                                                                      qed
theorem ZipSpec:
                                                                      *)
assumes "length'(xs) = length'(ys)"
shows "unzip(X_zip xs ys) = (xs, ys)"
                                                                      ML "Header.record \"ZipSpec\""
using assms proof (induct xs arbitrary: ys)
fix ys
                                                                      end
```

Isabelle Proof Script A.9 Prelude Char.thy

```
theorem ICEO2: "ALL x. ALL y. Not' (ord'(x) ==' ord'(y)) = x /= y"
apply(auto)
apply(simp add: DiffDef)
done

ML "Header.record \"ICEO2\""

theorem ICO05: "ALL x. ALL y. ord'(x) <='' ord'(y) = x <='' y"
apply(rule all!)+
apply(simp add: LeqDef)
done

ML "Header.record \"ICO05\""

theorem ICO06: "ALL x. ALL y. ord'(x) >'' ord'(y) = x >'' y"
apply(rule all!)+
apply(rule all!)+
apply(simp add: GeDef)
```

done

```
ML "Header.record \"ICOO6\""

theorem ICOO7 : "ALL x. ALL y. ord'(x) >='' ord'(y) = x >='' y"
apply(rule allI)+
apply(simp only: GeqDef)
apply(simp add: GeDef)
done

ML "Header.record \"ICOO7\""

theorem ICOO1 :
"ALL x. ALL y. compare x y ==' EQ = ord'(x) ==' ord'(y)"
by auto

ML "Header.record \"ICOO1\""

theorem ICOO2 :
"ALL x. ALL y. compare x y ==' LT = ord'(x) <'' ord'(y)"
by auto</pre>
```

```
ML "Header.record \"ICO02\""
                                                                      done
theorem ICO03 :
                                                                      ML "Header.record \"ICOO9\""
"ALL x. ALL y. compare x y ==' GT = ord'(x) >'' ord'(y)"
apply(rule allI)+
                                                                      theorem ICO10 :
apply(simp add: GeDef)
                                                                      "ALL x. ALL y. ord'(x) <='' ord'(y) = X_minX2 x y ==' x"
                                                                      apply(rule allI)+
done
                                                                      apply(simp add: LeqDef)
ML "Header.record \"ICOO3\""
                                                                      ML "Header.record \"ICO10\""
theorem ICOO8 :
"ALL x. ALL y. ord'(x) <='' ord'(y) = X_maxX2 x y ==' y"
apply(rule allI)+
                                                                      theorem ICO11 :
apply(simp add: LeqDef)
                                                                      "ALL x. ALL y. ord'(y) <='' ord'(x) = X_minX2 x y ==' y"
done
                                                                      apply(rule allI)+
                                                                      apply(simp add: LeqDef)
ML "Header.record \"ICOO8\""
theorem ICO09 :
                                                                      ML "Header.record \"ICO11\""
"ALL x. ALL y. ord'(y) <='' ord'(x) = X_maxX2 x y ==' x"
apply(rule allI)+
                                                                      end
apply(simp add: LeqDef)
```

end

Isabelle Proof Script A.10 Prelude_String.thy

```
theorem StringT1 :
"ALL x.
ALL xs.
ALL y. x == 'y = True' --> X_Cons x xs == 'X_Cons y xs = True''
apply(auto)
apply(simp add: ILE02)
ML "Header.record \"StringT1\""
theorem StringT2 :
"ALL x.
ALL xs.
ALL ys. xs /= ys = True' --> X_Cons x ys ==' X_Cons y xs = False'"
apply(auto)
apply(simp add: ILEO2)
apply(case_tac "x ==' y")
apply(auto)
apply(simp add: EqualSymDef)
apply(simp add: DiffDef)
apply(simp add: NotFalse1)
ML "Header.record \"StringT2\""
```

```
theorem StringT3 :
"ALL a. ALL b. a /= b = True' --> a ==' b = False'"
apply(auto)
apply(simp add: DiffDef)
apply(simp add: NotFalse1)
ML "Header.record \"StringT3\""
theorem StringT4 :
"ALL x.
ALL y. x <'' y = True' --> X_Cons x xs <'' X_Cons y xs = True'"
by auto
ML "Header.record \"StringT4\""
theorem StringT5 :
"ALL x.
ALL y.
x <'' y = True' & y <'' z = True' -->
X_Cons x (X_Cons z Nil') <'' X_Cons x (X_Cons y Nil') = False'"</pre>
by auto
ML "Header.record \"StringT5\""
```

Isabelle Proof Script A.11 Prelude ExamplePrograms E1.thy

```
theorem Program01 :
"andL(X_Cons True' (X_Cons True' (X_Cons True' Nil'))) = True'"
apply(simp only: AndLDef)
apply(simp only: FoldrCons)
apply(simp only: FoldrNil)
apply(simp add: AndPrefixDef)
done
ML "Header.record \"Program01\""
theorem Program02 :
"quickSort(X Cons True' (X Cons False' Nil')) =
X_Cons False' (X_Cons True' Nil')"
apply(simp only: QuickSortCons)
apply(case_tac "(%y. y <'' True') False'")
apply(simp only: FilterNil FilterConsT FilterConsF)
apply(simp only: QuickSortNil)
apply(simp only: XPlusXPlusNil)
apply(simp only: XPlusXPlusCons)
apply(simp only: XPlusXPlusNil)
apply(case_tac "(%y. y >='' True') False'")
apply(simp only: FilterNil FilterConsT FilterConsF)
apply(simp only: QuickSortNil)
apply(simp add: LeFGeTEqTRel)
apply(simp only: FilterNil FilterConsT FilterConsF)
apply(simp only: QuickSortCons)
apply(simp only: FilterNil FilterConsT FilterConsF)
apply(simp only: QuickSortNil)
apply(simp only: XPlusXPlusNil)
apply(simp only: XPlusXPlusCons)
apply(simp only: XPlusXPlusNil)
apply(simp only: IBO5)
apply(simp only: FilterNil FilterConsT FilterConsF)
apply(simp only: QuickSortCons)
apply(simp only: FilterNil FilterConsT FilterConsF)
apply(simp only: QuickSortNil)
apply(simp only: XPlusXPlusNil)
apply(simp only: XPlusXPlusCons)
apply(simp only: XPlusXPlusNil)
apply(case_tac "(%y. y >='' True') False'")
apply(simp only: FilterNil FilterConsT FilterConsF)
apply(simp only: QuickSortNil)
```

```
apply(simp only: XPlusXPlusNil)
apply(simp only: FilterNil FilterConsT FilterConsF)
apply(simp only: QuickSortCons)
apply(simp only: FilterNil FilterConsT FilterConsF)
apply(simp only: QuickSortNil)
apply(simp only: XPlusXPlusNil)
apply(simp only: XPlusXPlusCons)
apply(simp only: XPlusXPlusNil)
apply(simp add: LeFGeTEqTRel)
ML "Header.record \"Program02\""
theorem Program03 :
"insertionSort(X_Cons True' (X_Cons False' Nil')) =
X Cons False' (X Cons True' Nil')"
apply(simp only: InsertionSortConsCons)
apply(simp only: InsertionSortNil)
apply(simp only: InsertNil)
apply(case_tac "True' >'' False'")
apply(simp only: GeFLeTEqTRel)
apply(simp add: LeqTLeTEqTRel)
apply(simp only: InsertCons2)
apply(simp only: InsertNil)
ML "Header.record \"Program03\""
theorem Program04 : "ALL xs. insertionSort(xs) = quickSort(xs)"
apply(auto)
apply(induct_tac xs)
prefer 2
apply(simp only: InsertionSortNil QuickSortNil)
(* general case*)
apply(induct_tac List)
apply(simp only: InsertionSortConsCons)
apply(simp only: QuickSortCons)
apply(case_tac "aa <'' a")
apply(simp only: FilterConsF)
apply(case_tac "aa >='' a")
apply(simp only: FilterConsF)
apply(simp only: LeFGeTEqTRel)
apply(simp only: GeqFGeFEqFRel)
apply (erule disjE)
ML "Header.record \"Program04\""
```

Isabelle Proof Script A.12 Prelude_SortingPrograms_E1.thy

apply(simp only: XPlusXPlusCons)

```
theorem Theorem01 : "ALL xs. insertionSort(xs) = quickSort(xs)"
                                                                      apply(case_tac "X_splitMergeSort (X_Cons a (X_Cons aa Lista))")
apply(auto)
                                                                      oops
apply(case_tac xs)
                                                                      ML "Header.record \"Theorem04\""
apply(case_tac List)
apply(auto)
                                                                      theorem Theorem05 : "ALL xs. quickSort(xs) = selectionSort(xs)"
prefer 3
apply(simp add: InsertionSort QuickSort)
apply(simp add: GenSortF)
                                                                      ML "Header.record \"Theorem05\""
prefer 2
                                                                      theorem Theorem06 : "ALL xs. mergeSort(xs) = selectionSort(xs)"
apply(simp add: InsertionSort QuickSort)
                                                                      apply(auto)
apply(simp add: GenSortF)
                                                                      apply(case_tac xs)
                                                                      apply(case_tac List)
(* The first one*)
                                                                      apply(auto)
apply(simp add: InsertionSort QuickSort)
                                                                      prefer 3
apply(case_tac "X_splitQuickSort (X_Cons a (X_Cons aa Lista))")
                                                                      apply(simp add: MergeSort SelectionSort)
apply(case_tac "X_splitInsertionSort (X_Cons a (X_Cons aa Lista))")
                                                                      apply(simp add: GenSortF)
oops
                                                                      prefer 2
ML "Header.record \"Theorem01\""
                                                                      apply(simp add: MergeSort SelectionSort)
                                                                      apply(simp add: GenSortF)
theorem Theorem02 : "ALL xs. insertionSort(xs) = mergeSort(xs)"
oops
                                                                      (* The first one*)
ML "Header.record \"Theorem02\""
                                                                      apply(simp add: MergeSort SelectionSort)
                                                                      apply(case_tac "X_splitSelectionSort (X_Cons a (X_Cons aa Lista))")
theorem Theorem03 : "ALL xs. insertionSort(xs) = selectionSort(xs)"
                                                                      apply(case_tac "X_splitMergeSort (X_Cons a (X_Cons aa Lista))")
ML "Header.record \"Theorem03\""
                                                                      ML "Header.record \"Theorem06\""
                                                                      theorem Theorem07 : "ALL xs. isOrdered(insertionSort(xs))"
theorem Theorem04 : "ALL xs. quickSort(xs) = mergeSort(xs)"
apply(auto)
                                                                      apply(auto)
apply(case tac xs)
                                                                      apply(case tac xs)
apply(case_tac List)
                                                                      (* Proof for xs=Nil *)
apply(auto)
                                                                      prefer 2
                                                                      apply(simp only: InsertionSort)
prefer 3
                                                                      apply(simp add: GenSortF)
apply(simp add: MergeSort QuickSort)
                                                                       (* Proof for general case *)
apply(simp add: GenSortF)
                                                                      apply(simp only: InsertionSort)
                                                                      apply(case_tac List)
prefer 2
                                                                      apply(auto)
apply(simp add: MergeSort QuickSort)
                                                                      apply(case_tac "X_splitInsertionSort (X_Cons a (X_Cons aa Lista))")
                                                                       (* Proof for xs= Cons a Nil *)
apply(simp add: GenSortF)
                                                                      prefer 2
(* The first one*)
                                                                      apply(simp add: GenSortF)
                                                                      (* Proof for xs=Cons a as*)
apply(simp add: MergeSort QuickSort)
                                                                      apply(case_tac Lista)
apply(case_tac "X_splitQuickSort (X_Cons a (X_Cons aa Lista))")
                                                                      apply(auto)
```

```
prefer 2
(* Proof for xs = Cons a (Cons b Nil)*)
                                                                      ML "Header.record \"Theorem09\""
                                                                      theorem Theorem10 : "ALL xs. isOrdered(selectionSort(xs))"
ML "Header.record \"Theorem07\""
                                                                      oops
theorem Theorem08 : "ALL xs. isOrdered(quickSort(xs))"
                                                                      ML "Header.record \"Theorem10\""
apply(auto)
apply(case_tac xs)
                                                                      theorem Theorem11 : "ALL xs. permutation(xs, insertionSort(xs))"
(* Proof for xs=Nil *)
                                                                      apply(auto)
prefer 2
                                                                      apply(case_tac xs)
apply(simp only: QuickSort)
                                                                      (* Proof for xs=Nil *)
                                                                      prefer 2
apply(simp add: GenSortF)
(* Proof for general case *)
                                                                      apply(simp only: InsertionSort)
                                                                      apply(simp add: GenSortF)
apply(simp only: QuickSort)
apply(case_tac List)
                                                                      (* Proof for general case *)
apply(auto)
                                                                      apply(simp only: InsertionSort)
apply(case_tac "X_splitQuickSort (X_Cons a (X_Cons aa Lista))")
                                                                      apply(case_tac List)
(* Proof for xs= Cons a Nil *)
                                                                      apply(auto)
prefer 2
                                                                      apply(case_tac "X_splitInsertionSort (X_Cons a (X_Cons aa Lista))")
apply(simp add: GenSortF)
                                                                      (* Proof for xs= Cons a Nil *)
(* Proof for xs=Cons a as*)
                                                                      prefer 2
apply(case_tac Lista)
                                                                      apply(simp add: GenSortF)
                                                                      apply(simp add: PermutationCons)
apply(auto)
                                                                      (* Proof for xs=Cons a as*)
prefer 2
                                                                      apply(case_tac Lista)
(* Proof for xs = Cons a (Cons b Nil)*)
oops
                                                                      apply(auto)
                                                                      prefer 2
ML "Header.record \"Theorem08\""
                                                                      (* Proof for xs = Cons a (Cons b Nil)*)
theorem Theorem09 : "ALL xs. isOrdered(mergeSort(xs))"
apply(auto)
                                                                      ML "Header.record \"Theorem11\""
apply(case_tac xs)
(* Proof for xs=Nil *)
                                                                      theorem Theorem12 : "ALL xs. permutation(xs, quickSort(xs))"
prefer 2
                                                                      apply(auto)
apply(simp only: MergeSort)
                                                                      apply(case tac xs)
apply(simp add: GenSortF)
                                                                      (* Proof for xs=Nil *)
(* Proof for general case *)
                                                                      prefer 2
apply(simp only: MergeSort)
                                                                      apply(simp only: QuickSort)
apply(case_tac List)
                                                                      apply(simp add: GenSortF)
apply(auto)
                                                                       (* Proof for general case *)
apply(case_tac "X_splitMergeSort (X_Cons a (X_Cons aa Lista))")
                                                                      apply(simp only: QuickSort)
(* Proof for xs= Cons a Nil *)
                                                                      apply(case_tac List)
prefer 2
                                                                      apply(auto)
apply(simp add: GenSortF)
                                                                      apply(case_tac "X_splitQuickSort (X_Cons a (X_Cons aa Lista))")
(* Proof for xs=Cons a as*)
                                                                      (* Proof for xs= Cons a Nil *)
                                                                      prefer 2
apply(case_tac Lista)
apply(auto)
                                                                      apply(simp add: GenSortF)
                                                                      apply(simp add: PermutationCons)
prefer 2
                                                                      (* Proof for xs=Cons a as*)
(* Proof for xs = Cons a (Cons b Nil)*)
oops
                                                                      apply(case_tac Lista)
```

```
(* Proof for xs= Cons a Nil *)
apply(auto)
                                                                     prefer 2
prefer 2
(* Proof for xs = Cons a (Cons b Nil)*)
                                                                     apply(simp add: GenSortF)
                                                                     apply(simp add: PermutationCons)
oops
                                                                     (* Proof for xs=Cons a as*)
ML "Header.record \"Theorem12\""
                                                                     apply(case_tac Lista)
                                                                     apply(auto)
                                                                     prefer 2
theorem Theorem13 : "ALL xs. permutation(xs, mergeSort(xs))"
apply(auto)
                                                                     (* Proof for xs = Cons a (Cons b Nil)*)
apply(case_tac xs)
(* Proof for xs=Nil *)
prefer 2
                                                                     ML "Header.record \"Theorem13\""
apply(simp only: MergeSort)
apply(simp add: GenSortF)
                                                                     theorem Theorem14 : "ALL xs. permutation(xs, selectionSort(xs))"
(* Proof for general case *)
                                                                     oops
apply(simp only: MergeSort)
apply(case_tac List)
                                                                     ML "Header.record \"Theorem14\""
apply(auto)
apply(case_tac "X_splitQuickSort (X_Cons a (X_Cons aa Lista))")
```