

Research Statement

Gleb Romanyuk

**Contact
Information**

Littauer Center,
1805 Cambridge St.
Cambridge, MA 02138

Email: glebromanyuk@g.harvard.edu
Web: scholar.harvard.edu/gromanyuk
Phone: (617) 308-8394

My primary research interests lie in the area of microeconomic theory, market design, game theory, and industrial organization.

My job market paper “Ignorance is Strength: Improving Performance of Matching Markets by Limiting Information” develops a framework for analyzing information disclosure problem in matching markets. The model is a persuasion problem on the seller side of the market where sellers have preferences over buyers and limited capacity. I show that increased observability does not necessarily lead to market improvement. Specifically, full disclosure is Pareto-suboptimal for buyer and seller surpluses. Increased observability of buyer characteristic induces sellers to cream-skim, that is to reject inefficiently often. As a result, coarse information disclosure restores efficiency. The particular form of coarsening necessary to improve the efficiency depends on the details of the seller payoff function and private information. I characterize the optimal disclosure mechanism.

In a working paper “A Price Theoretic Model of Search Intermediation by Online Platforms” coauthored with Greg Lewis and Albert Wang, we analyze the incentives of online search intermediaries in environments where buyers must compete for limited supply (e.g. airlines, hotels). We find conditions on the primitives when an intermediary who maximizes seller revenue will optimally maintain positive search costs in order to steer searchers to the market where they generate the most revenue.

Research agenda. In the recent years, a number of innovative new companies appeared whose business model relies on some type of platform or market design. How should these markets be designed? Research questions on topics of marketplace design and platform strategy are fascinating and increasingly relevant¹. Concrete questions I want to explore include

- product company vs. a platform, endogenous network effects (e.g. [Hagi and Wright \(2015\)](#));
- “revenue maximizing matching”, design of matching markets with endogenous participation;

¹E.g., 19% of the total US adult population has engaged in a sharing economy transaction (2015, PWC); by 2025, online talent platforms could boost global GDP by \$2.7 trillion (McKinsey, 2015).

- the tradeoff between information elicitation and transaction costs (informally introduced in Einav *et al.* (2016));
- centralized vs. decentralized marketplaces;
- the role of coarse information disclosure/standards/conflation in financial markets;
- universal marketplace vs. niche verticals.

Given the abundance of data available on the digital economy, as well as other sectors affected by digitization, I am open to doing empirical work on these subjects.

References

- Einav, L., Farronato, C. and Levin, J. (2016) Peer-to-Peer Markets, *The Annual Review of Economics*, **8**, 615–635.
- Hagiui, A. and Wright, J. (2015) Marketplace or reseller?, *Management Science*, **61**.