

C++ Club Meeting Notes

Gleb Dolgich

2017-11-30

Meeting C++ Trip Report

- ▶ [Jean Guegant](#)

C++ Standards Meeting in Albuquerque, November 2017

Trip report by Botond Ballo

C++17 upgrades you should be using in your code

Article by [Julian Templeman](#)

- ▶ Structured bindings for tuples, arrays, and structs
- ▶ New library types and containers: `std::variant`, `std::byte`, `std::optional`, `std::any`

CppCon 2017: Adrien Devresse “Nix: A functional package manager for your C++ software stack”

- ▶ [YouTube](#)
- ▶ [Home](#)

- ▶ [Changelog](#)
- ▶ [Introduction](#)

C++ Modules Are a Tooling Opportunity, by GDR

PDF

- ▶ That opening Machiavelli quote :-)
- ▶ Modules TS introduces a concept of an artifact that depends on the sources and requires a build step, but doesn't specify how to do it
- ▶ Turning C++ compiler into a build system: not recommended, but possible
- ▶ *build2* understands module dependencies (a separate tool pass required)
- ▶ Different binary formats in different compilers (MSVC: open IFC format, Clang: own format, GCC: own format) ⇒ translation?
- ▶ No packaging support: an opportunity

Po8o4Ro: Impact of the Modules TS on the C++ Tools Ecosystem

Po8o4Ro

- ▶ The tool must now be able to resolve module import declarations to either the source code for the corresponding module interface unit, or to some module artifact that provides the exported entities for the module.
- ▶ Though module artifact are not intended to be a distribution format or an alternative to access to source code, motivation exists to use them in this way.

Modules in Build2

Docs

- ▶ From a consumer's perspective, a module is a collection of external names, called module interface, that become visible once the module is imported.
- ▶ A module does not provide any symbols, only C++ entity names.
- ▶ From the producer's perspective, a module is a collection of module translation units: one interface unit and zero or more implementation units.
- ▶ When building a shared library, some platforms (notably Windows) require that we explicitly export symbols that must be accessible to the library users.

Modules in Build2 (cont.)

- ▶ Module interface units are by default installed in the same location as headers (for example, `/usr/include`). However, instead of relying on a header-like search mechanism (`-I` paths, etc.), an explicit list of exported modules is provided for each library in its `.pc` (`pkg-config`) file.
- ▶ Mega-modules vs. mini-modules: The sensible approach is then to create modules of conceptually-related and commonly-used entities possibly complemented with aggregate modules for ease of importation.
- ▶ The sensible guideline is to have a separate module implementation unit except perhaps for modules with a simple implementation that is mostly inline/template.

Modules in Build2: module interface unit template

```
// Module interface unit.  
// <header includes>  
export module <name>;           // Start of module purview.  
// <module imports>  
// <special header includes> <- Configuration, export, etc.  
// <module interface>  
// <inline/template includes>
```

Modules in Build2: module implementation unit template

```
// Module implementation unit.  
// <header includes>  
module <name>; // Start of module purview.  
// <extra module imports>    <- Only additional to interface.  
// <module implementation>
```

Modules in Build2 (cont.)

The possible backwards compatibility levels are:

- ▶ modules-only (consumption via headers is no longer supported);
- ▶ modules-or-headers (consumption either via headers or modules);
- ▶ modules-and-headers (as the previous case but with support for consuming a library built with modules via headers and vice versa).

C++Now 2013 Keynote: Chandler Carruth “Optimizing the Emergent Structures of C++”

YouTube

- ▶ Terrible clipped sound
- ▶ Terrible jerky camera tracking and zooming (*operator, get your hands off the bloody camera!*)
- ▶ Good content made unwatchable by unprofessional video
- ▶ Antipattern: passing output parameter by reference instead of returning by value
- ▶ Value semantics allow compilers apply more optimisations
- ▶ Member function calls are evil (need to take address of this)

Trust the compiler. You have no idea how smart the compiler is. It's terrifying!

C++ poetry

Reddit

Variadic CRTP by Steve Dewhurst

[PDF](#)

“Making new friends” idiom by Dan Saks

Wikibooks

The goal is to simplify creation of friend functions for a class template.

```
template<typename T>
class Foo {
    T value;
public:
    Foo(const T& t) { value = t; }
    friend ostream& operator <<(ostream& os, const Foo<T>& b)
    {
        return os << b.value;
    }
};
```

[GitHub](#)