## C++ Club Meeting Notes

Gleb Dolgich

2020-02-06

## **Prague Trip Reports**

- · Bryce Lelbach et al.
  - · 252 attendees! 23 subgroups! 9 tracks!
  - · C++20 is done!
  - · C++23 roadmap!
- Herb Sutter

# CppCast Ep. 233: Large Scale C++ with John Lakos

- Audio
- · Video

### Follow-up: Aggregates

From CppReference: An aggregate is one of the following types:

- · array type
- · class type (typically, struct or union), that has
  - no private or protected direct (since C++17) non-static data members
  - no user-declared constructors (until C++11)
  - no user-provided constructors (explicitly defaulted or deleted constructors are allowed) (since C++11) (until C++17)
  - no user-provided, inherited, or explicit constructors (explicitly defaulted or deleted constructors are allowed) (since C++17) (until C++20)
  - no user-declared or inherited constructors (since C++20)
  - · no virtual, private, or protected (since C++17) base classes
  - · no virtual member functions
  - no default member initializers (since C++11) (until C++14)

### Concepts pushed to Clang master



## #clang #concepts #trunk.

```
O3:09:53 projects | llvm-project | clang | git push trunk master |
Enumerating objects: 48, done.
Counting objects: 100% (48/48), done.
Delta compression using up to 8 threads
Compressing objects: 100% (24/24), done.
Writing objects: 100% (25/25), 4.10 KiB | 2.05 MiB/s, done.
Total 25 (delta 23), reused 1 (delta 1)
remote: Resolving deltas: 100% (23/23), completed with 23 local objects
To github.com:llvm/llvm-project.git
a156da5fb36..b933d37cd37 master -> master
```

2:31 AM · Jan 22, 2020 · Twitter for Android

Reddit

## [C++ coroutines] Initial implementation pushed to GCC master

- Message
- · Reddit

This is not enabled by default (even for -std=c++2a), it needs -fcoroutines

### Structured Exceptions (Win32) and C++

- Raymond Chen: How can I handle both structured exceptions and C++ exceptions potentially coming from the same source?
  - Reddit
- Raymond Chen: Can I throw a C++ exception from a structured exception?

### "Making new friends" idiom by Dan Saks

#### Wikibooks

The goal is to simplify creation of friend functions for a class template.

```
1 template<typename T>
  class Foo {
      T value;
   public:
 5
      Foo(const T& t) { value = t: }
 6
      friend ostream& operator <<(ostream& os, const Foo<T>& b)
 8
         return os << b.value;</pre>
 9
10 };
```

## A hidden gem: inner\_product

Article

### A hidden gem: inner\_product



# Conor Hoekstra @code\_report @ocidb\_ns & @TartanLlama

This makes me so incredibly happy! I literally just yesterday googled, C++17 / C++20 zip to see if they had anything, because I wrote some code in both C++ and #Python and Python was so much more beautiful.

4

```
int solve(int h, vectorcint> w, vectorcint> 1) {
   int p = 0;
   for (int i = 0; i < w.size(); ++i)
        p = max(p, w[i] - 1[i] / 4);
   return max(0, p - h);
}

def solve(h, w, 1):
   p = max(a - b//4 for a, b in zip(w, 1))
   return max(0, p - h) =

29w * 03/12/2018 * 17:47</pre>
```



### Conor Hoekstra @code\_report

👣 @cjdb\_ns & @TartanLlama

Also, I just discovered std::inner\_product - a beautiful temporary solution to a lack of zip. #cpp #inner\_product

### A new decade, a new tool: libman

- · Colby Pike (vector-of-bool)
- Reddit
- GitHub
- · Specification

**libman** is a new level of indirection between package management and build systems.

dds is Drop-Dead Simple build and package manager.

· CppCon 2019: Robert Schumacher "How to Herd 1,000 Libraries"

# Unreal Engine Gameplay Framework Primer for C++

Article

## Twitter: Pure virtual function syntax (1/2)



# Shafik Yaghmour @shafikyaghmour Rereading "The Design and Evolution of C++"

= 0

syntax was used for pure virtual function in order to avoid having to add a new keyword such as pure or abstract because the feature was added close to the next release.

## Twitter: Pure virtual function syntax (2/2)

### 13.2.3 Syntax

The curious =0 syntax was chosen over the obvious alternative of introducing a keyword pure or abstract because at the time I saw no chance of getting a new keyword accepted. Had I suggested pure, Release 2.0 would have shipped without abstract classes. Given a choice between a nicer syntax and abstract classes, I chose abstract classes. Rather than risking delay and incurring the certain fights over pure, I used the traditional C and C++ convention of using 0 to represent "not there." The =0 syntax fits with my view that a function body is the initializer for a function and also with the (simplistic, but usually adequate) view of the set of virtual functions being implemented as a vector of function pointers (§3.5.1). In fact, =0 is not best implemented by putting a 0 in the vtbl. My implementation places a pointer to a function called \_\_pure\_virtual\_called in the vtbl; this function can then be defined to give a reasonable run-time error.

I chose a mechanism for specifying individual functions pure rather than a way of declaring a complete class abstract because the pure virtual function notion is more flexible. I value the ability to define a class in stages; that is, I find it useful to define some virtual functions and leave the definition of the rest to further derived classes.

### Quote

#### Oscar Godson:

One of the best programming skills you can have is knowing when to walk away for a while.