

Automatic prostate cancer detection through DCE-MRI images: all you need is a good normalization

Guillaume Lemaître^{a,c,*}, Robert Martí^c, Jordi Freixenet^c, Anke Meyer-Baese^e,
Joan C. Vilanova^d, Paul M. Walker^b, Fabrice Meriaudeau^{a,f}

^aLE2I UMR6306, CNRS, Arts et Métiers, Univ. Bourgogne Franche-Comté, 12 rue de la
Fonderie, 71200 Le Creusot, France

^bLE2I UMR6306, CNRS, Arts et Métiers, Univ. Bourgogne Franche-Comté, Avenue Alain
Savary, 21000 Dijon, France

^cViCOROB, Universitat de Girona, Campus Montilivi, Edifici P4, 17071 Girona, Spain

^dDepartment of Magnetic Resonance, Clínica Girona, Lorenzana 36, 17002 Girona, Spain

^eDepartment of Scientific Computing, 400 Dirac Science Library, Florida State University,
Tallahassee, FL 32306, United States

^fCISIR, Electrical & Electronic Engineering Department, Universiti Teknologi Petronas, 32610
Seri Iskandar, Perak, Malaysia

Abstract

This template helps you to create a properly formatted L^AT_EX manuscript.

Keywords: DCE-MRI, prostate cancer, normalization, classification,
quantification

1. Introduction

Prostate Cancer (PCa) is the second most frequently diagnosed men cancer,
accounting for 899,000 cases leading to 258,100 deaths (Ferlay et al., 2010). As
highlighted by the PI-RADS Steering Committee, the two main challenges to be
5 addressed are (Weinreb et al., 2016): (i) the improvement of detecting clinically
significant PCa and (ii) an increase of the confidence in benign or dormant cases,
avoiding unnecessary invasive medical exams. In this regard, multiparametric
Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) (mpMRI) is frequently used to build robust
Computer-Aided Detection and Diagnosis (CAD) systems to detect, localize,
10 and grade PCa. In general, CAD systems are based on mpMRI which combines

*Corresponding author.

Email address: g.lemaître58@gmail.com (Guillaume Lemaître)

several of the following modalities (Lemaître et al., 2015): T₂ Weighted (T₂-W)-MRI, Dynamic Contrast-Enhanced (DCE)-MRI, Apparent Diffusion Coefficient (ADC) maps, and Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy Imaging (MRSI).

In DCE-MRI, a contrast media is injected intravenously and a set of images
15 is acquired over time. Consequently, each voxel in the image is a dynamic signal which is related to the vascular properties of the tissue. In fact, these properties are automatically extracted using quantitative or semi-quantitative approaches (Lemaître et al., 2015).

The former group of approaches uses pharmacokinetic modelling based on a
20 bicompartiment model, namely Brix (Brix et al., 1991) and Tofts (Tofts et al., 1995) models. The parameters of the Brix model are found assuming a linear relationship between the media concentration and MRI signal intensity. This assumption has shown, however, to lead to inaccurate parameter calculation (Heilmann et al., 2006). In the contrary, Tofts model only requires a conversion from
25 MRI signal intensity to concentration, which can become a non-linear relationship using specific equation of MRI sequences (e.g., FLASH sequence). Tofts modelling suffers, however, from an higher complexity (Gliozzi et al., 2011). The conversion using the non-linear approach requires to acquire a T₁ map which is not always possible during clinical examination. Furthermore, the parameter
30 calculation require the Arterial Input Function (AIF) which is challenging to measure and can also lead to inaccurate estimation of the parameters.

The latter group of approaches are rather mathematical than pharmacokinetic modelling.

2. Methods

35 2.1. *Normalization of DCEMRI images*

2.2. *State-of-the-art quantification*

2.2.1. *Brix model*

2.2.2. *Tofts model*

2.2.3. *PUM model*

40 2.2.4. *Semi-quantitative modeling*

3. Experiments and results

4. Discussions

5. Conclusions and future works

References

- 45 Brix, G., Semmler, W., Port, R., Schad, L.R., Layer, G., Lorenz, W.J., 1991. Pharmacokinetic parameters in cns gd-dtpa enhanced mr imaging. *Journal of computer assisted tomography* 15, 621–628.
- Ferlay, J., Shin, H.R., Bray, F., Forman, D., Mathers, C., Parkin, D.M., 2010. Estimates of worldwide burden of cancer in 2008: Globocan 2008. *International journal of cancer* 127, 2893–2917. doi:10.1002/ijc.25516.
- 50 Gliozzi, A., Mazzetti, S., Delsanto, P.P., Regge, D., Stasi, M., 2011. Phenomenological universalities: a novel tool for the analysis of dynamic contrast enhancement in magnetic resonance imaging. *Physics in medicine and biology* 56, 573.
- 55 Heilmann, M., Kiessling, F., Enderlin, M., Schad, L.R., 2006. Determination of pharmacokinetic parameters in dce mri: consequence of nonlinearity between contrast agent concentration and signal intensity. *Investigative radiology* 41, 536–543. doi:10.1097/01.rli.0000209607.99200.53.

- Lemaître, G., Martí, R., Freixenet, J., Vilanova, J.C., Walker, P.M., Meri-
60 audeau, F., 2015. Computer-aided detection and diagnosis for prostate cancer
based on mono and multi-parametric mri: A review. *Computers in biology
and medicine* 60, 8–31. doi:10.1016/j.combiomed.2015.02.009.
- Tofts, P.S., Berkowitz, B., Schnall, M.D., 1995. Quantitative analysis of dynamic
gd-dtpa enhancement in breast tumors using a permeability model. *Magnetic*
65 *Resonance in Medicine* 33, 564–568. doi:10.1002/mrm.1910330416.
- Weinreb, J.C., Barentsz, J.O., Choyke, P.L., Cornud, F., Haider, M.A., Macura,
K.J., Margolis, D., Schnall, M.D., Shtern, F., Tempany, C.M., et al., 2016. Pi-
rads prostate imaging–reporting and data system: 2015, version 2. *European*
urology 69, 16–40.