# Trust Concerns for Data Repositories: The COVID-19 Data Domain

### **Technical Report**

Glenda Amaral<sup>1</sup>, Tiago Prince Sales<sup>1</sup>, Giancarlo Guizzardi<sup>1</sup>, João Paulo A. Almeida<sup>2</sup>, and Daniele Porello<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Conceptual and Cognitive Modeling Research Group (CORE), Free University of Bozen-Bolzano, Bolzano, Italy {gmouraamaral,tiago.princesales,giancarlo.guizzardi}@unibz.it <sup>3</sup>Ontology & Conceptual Modeling Research Group (NEMO), Federal University of Espírito Santo, Vitória, Brazil jpalmeida@ieee.org <sup>3</sup>ISTC-CNR Laboratory for Applied Ontology, Trento, Italy daniele.porello@loa.istc.cnr.it

July, 2020

# Contents

| 1  | Introduction  | 2 |
|----|---|---|
| 2  | Approaches to Trustworthy Information Sources  2.1 The TRUST Principles for Digital Data Repositories | 3 |
| 3  | Trust Concerns for COVID-19 Data  | 4 |
| 4  | Conclusions   | 6 |
| Bi | ibliography   | 6 |

# 1 Introduction

The COVID-19 pandemic created a global human crisis, which can ultimately be seen as a data-driven crisis. Over the past months, a huge number of datasets on COVID-19 have sprung up, yet not all of them are reliable, leading to potentially poor decision making with consequences for millions. As argued in [1] "as the international community responds to an outbreak of coronavirus [...] early and open data sharing — which are vital for its control — depend on the trust that the data will not be used without proper attribution to those who generated it". Furthermore, according to the Research Data Alliance COVID-19 Working Group [4], "during a pandemic like COVID-19, it is important to concentrate efforts on scrutinising reliable data sources that provide data and metadata of high quality and guarantee the authenticity and integrity of the information". The RDA Group argues that in addition to having a certification (such as the CoreTrusSeal [6]) or accreditation, trustworthy repositories should consider a wide range of community-based standardised quality criteria, best practices, and principles (e.g. TRUST Principles [3]).

The importance of understanding what composes trust in a particular COVID-19 data repository, has motivated us to conduct an investigation of the characteristics a COVID-19 repository should have in order to be held in a position of trust by the communities they intend to serve. We address this issue by making a comparison between the trust-related concerns about data repositories in the domain of COVID-19 raised in [5] and three acknowledged approaches to trustworthy information sources, namely the TRUST Principles for Digital Data Repositories [3], the CoreTrustSeal [6] and the Requirements of Trustworthy AI defined by the European High-Level Expert Group on Artificial Intelligence (AIHLEG) [2].

This report is structured as follows: Section 2 presents the TRUST Principles for Digital Data Repositories [3], the CoreTrustSeal [6] and the AIHLEG Requirements of Trustworthy AI [2]. In Section 3, we correlate the trust-related concerns about COVID-19 data repositories presented in [5] to the three approaches discussed in Section 2, focusing on in identifying similarities and verifying to which extend they are aligned with well established initiatives on the trustworthiness of information sources. We conclude in Section 4, with some final considerations.

# 2 Approaches to Trustworthy Information Sources

In this section, we present three approaches to trustworthy information sources, namely the TRUST Principles for Digital Data Repositories [3] (Section 2.1), the CoreTrustSeal [6] (Section 2.2) and the Requirements of Trustworthy AI defined by the European High-Level Expert Group on Artificial Intelligence (AIHLEG) [2] (Section 2.3). Whilst a comprehensive review of all initiatives on this subject is beyond the scope of this report, we here attempt to provide some of the most prominent approaches.

### 2.1 The TRUST Principles for Digital Data Repositories

The TRUST Principles for Digital Data Repositories [3] stand for "a set of guiding principles to demonstrate digital repository trustworthiness", collaboratively developed and endorsed by several stakeholders, representing various segments of the digital repository community. These principles were conceived in the context of the Open Science discourse, aiming at providing "a common framework to facilitate discussion and implementation of best practice in digital preservation by all stakeholders" [3]. Table 1 presents the five TRUST Principles for Digital Data Repositories. For a complete description of the TRUST Principles approach the reader should refer to [3].

Table 1: The TRUST Principles for Digital Data Repositories [3]

| P01 | Transparency   | To be transparent about specific repository services and data holdings |
|-----|----------------|--|
|     |                | that are verifiable by publicly accessible evidence.                   |
| P02 | Responsibility | To be responsible for ensuring the authenticity and integrity of data  |
|     |                | holdings and for the reliability and persistence of its service.       |
| P03 | User focus     | To ensure that the data management norms and expectations of target    |
|     |                | user communities are met.  |
| P04 | Sustainability | To sustain services and preserve data holdings for the long-term.      |
| P05 | Technology     | To provide infrastructure and capabilities to support secure, persis-  |
|     |                | tent, and reliable services.   |

#### 2.2 The CoreTrustSeal

The CoreTrustSeal Trustworthy Data Repository Requirements [6], were developed by the DSA–WDS Partnership Working Group on Repository Audit and Certification, a Working Group of the Research Data Alliance, aiming at creating a set of harmonized common trustworthy requirements for certification of repositories at the core level. Table 2 presents the CoreTrustSeal requirements. For a detailed description of these requirements reader should refer to [6].

Table 2: CoreTrustSeal Trustworthy Data Repositories Requirements [6]

| C01 | Provide access to and preserve data in its domain.   |
|-----|--|
| C02 | Maintains applicable licenses for data access and use and monitors compliance.                     |
| C03 | Has a continuity plan to ensure ongoing access to and preservation of its holdings.                |
| C04 | Ensures, to the extent possible, that data are created, curated, accessed, and used in             |
|     | compliance with disciplinary and ethical norms.  |
| C05 | Has adequate funding and sufficient numbers of qualified staff managed through a clear             |
|     | system of governance to effectively carry out the mission.   |
| C06 | Adopts mechanism(s) to secure ongoing expert guidance and feedback.                                |
| C07 | Guarantees the integrity and authenticity of the data.   |
| C08 | Accepts data and metadata based on defined criteria to   |
|     | ensure relevance and understandability for data users.   |
| C09 | Applies documented processes and procedures to manage data storage.                                |
| C10 | Assumes responsibility for long-term preservation and manages this function                        |
|     | in a planned and documented way.   |
| C11 | Has appropriate expertise to address technical data and metadata quality and ensures               |
|     | that <u>sufficient information is available for end users</u> to make quality-related evaluations. |
| C12 | Archiving is made according to defined workflows from ingest to dissemination.                     |
| C13 | Enables users to discover the data and refer to them in a persistent way through proper            |
|     | citation.  |
| C14 | Enables reuse of the data over time, ensuring that appropriate metadata are available              |
|     | to support the understanding and use of the data.  |
| C15 | Functions on well-supported operating systems and other core infrastructural software              |
|     | and is using <u>hardware and software technologies appropriate</u> to the services it provides     |
|     | to its designated community.   |

# 2.3 AIHLEG Requirements of Trustworthy AI

In the context of AI systems the High-Level Expert Group on Artificial Intelligence (AIHLEG), elaborated a set of ethics guidelines for trustworthy AI, as part of the European Strategy on Artificial Intelligence [2]. In its framework for achieving trustworthy AI, the AIHLEG identifies seven requirements for Trustworthy AI that should be met and offers guidance on the potential methods that can be used to realize it. They are presented in Table 3. The reader interested in thorough description of the AIHLEG Requirements of Trustworthy AIUFO is referred to [2].

Table 3: AIHLEG Requirements of Trustworthy AI [2]

| T01 | Human agency and oversight    | Fundamental rights, human agency and human over-        |
|-----|-------------------------------|---|
|     |                               | sight   |
| T02 | Technical robustness and      | Resilience to attack and security, fall back plan and   |
|     | safety                        | general safety, accuracy, reliability and reproducibil- |
|     |                               | ity   |
| T03 | Privacy and data governance   | Respect for privacy, quality and integrity of data,     |
|     |                               | and access to data                                      |
| T04 | Transparency                  | Traceability, explainability and communication          |
| T05 | Diversity, non-discrimination | Avoidance of unfair bias, accessibility and universal   |
|     | and fairness                  | design, and stakeholder participation                   |
| T06 | Societal and environmental    | Sustainability and environmental friendliness, social   |
|     | wellbeing                     | impact, society and democracy                           |
| T07 | Accountability                | Auditability, minimisation and reporting of negative    |
|     |                               | impact, trade-offs and redress.                         |

#### 3 Trust Concerns for COVID-19 Data

The COVID-19 pandemic is a global problem that requires cross-disciplinary strategies, combining scientific advances in areas such as virology, pharmacology, epidemiology, information technology, among others. The virus outbreak has led to a proliferation of data sharing initiatives to encourage research collaboration through digital platforms. Relying on digital data sources is of particular value, however there are concerns about the quality of data and publications performed under enormous time pressure and provided in near real-time.

In order to shed some light on how to separate the wheat from the chaff, in [], the authors raise some questions that should be taken into consideration when evaluating the trustworthiness of a particular COVID-19 information source. Table 4 presents a compilation of these trust concerns.

Table 4: Trust concerns for Covid-19 data [5]

Data representativeness transparency Analytic methods transparency Algorithms transparency Data provenance transparency Data semantics transparency Data quality level transparency Share interpretations uncertainties Data is provided in a meaningful, interpretable format Respect for privacy Respect for human rights Based on acknowledged scientific facts Fairness Follows ethical guidelines and is compliant with local and international law

Data and code are shared in safe and responsible ways

Staff Expertise

Quality of data fits the purpose

We compare the trust concerns for COVID-19 data presented in [5] to the TRUST principles [3], the CoreTrustSeal requirements [6] and the AIHLEG requirements [2], in order to find a common ground. Table 5 shows the comparisons results and correlations found.

Table 5: Trust concerns for Covid-19 data [5], TRUST Principles, CoreTrustSeal, and AIHLEG Requirements similarities

| Trust concerns for Covid-19 data   | TRUST Principle   | CoreTrustSeal Requirement  | AIHLEG Requirement  |
|--|---|--|---|
| Data representativeness transparency   |   |  |   |
| Analytic methods transparency  |   |  |   |
| Algorithms transparency  |   | (C08)Ensures relevance and understandability   |   |
| Data provenance transparency   | (D01) Transmanar  | (C11) Has appropriate expertise to address technical data  | (TOA) Transmanar  |
| Data semantics transparency  | (1 01) 11 ansparency  | and metadata quality and sufficient information is available   | (104) mansparency   |
| Data quality level transparency  |   | for end users  |   |
| Share interpretations uncertainties  |   |  |   |
| Data is provided in a meaningful, interpretable format                       |   |  |   |
| Respect for privacy  | (P02)Responsibility   | (C02) Maintains applicable licenses for data access and use  | (T03)Privacy and data governance  |
| Respect for human rights   | (P02)Responsibility   | (C04)Compliance with disciplinary and ethical norms  | (T01)Human agency and oversigh  |
| Based on acknowledged scientific facts                                       | (P02)Responsibility   | (C07)Guarantees the integrity and authenticity of the data; (C08)Ensure relevance and understandability  | (T02)Technical robustness and safety  |
| Fairness   | (P02)Responsibility;<br>(P03)User Focus                         | (C04)Compliance with disciplinary and ethical norms  | (T05)Diversity,non-discrimination and fairness  |
| Follows ethical guidelines and is compliant with local and international law | (P02)Responsibility;<br>(P03)User focus                         | (C02)Maintains applicable licenses for data access and use; (C04)Compliance with disciplinary and ethical norms  | (T03) Privacy and data governance;<br>(T05) Diversity, non-discr., fairness;<br>(T06) Societal and environmental well-being |
| Data and code are shared in safe and responsible ways                        | (P02)Responsibility;<br>(P04)Sustainability;<br>(P05)Technology | (C01)Provide access to and preserve data in its domain (C02)Maintains applicable licenses for data access and use (C03)Has a continuity plan to ensure ongoing access to data (C10)Assumes responsibility for long-term preservation in a planned and documented way | (T02) Technical robustness and safety;<br>(T03) Privacy and data governance;<br>(T06) Societal and environmental well-being |
| Staff Expertise  | (P05) Technology  | (C05) Has adequate funding and qualified staff   | (T02)Technical robustness and safety  |
| Quality of data fits the purpose   | (P05) Technology  | (C07) Guarantees the integrity and authenticity of the data; (C11) Has appropriate expertise to address technical data and metadata quality and sufficient information is available for end users  | (T02)Technical robustness and safety  |

# 4 Conclusions

In this report we presented a comparison that highlights the resemblance among different approaches to the trustworthiness of information sources. Moreover, we correlated these approaches to a set of trust concerns about COVID-19 data, in order to to find a common ground.

Due to the heterogeneous nature that data may have, just one set of specific principles or requirements may not be enough to deal with the particularities of different contexts. So, finding correspondences among them can be helpful in adding new evaluation guidelines.

Observing comparisons on Table 5, each of the sixteen trust concerns extracted from [5] is related to at least one principle or requirement of the other three approaches. Moreover, by similarities perspective, it is possible to identify that some concerns are a common ground among the four sets of principles, such as transparency, privacy of data, compliance to ethical norms, respect for human rights and data quality. In the future, we plan to expand our analysis to investigate the correlation between the initiatives presented here and further approaches, focused on the COVID-19 data domain.

### References

- [1] Rapid outbreak response requires trust. Nature Microbiology 5(2), 227–228 (Jan 2020)
- [2] Hleg, A.I.: Ethics Guidelines for Trustworthy AI. B-1049 Brussels (2019)
- [3] Lin, D., Crabtree, J., Dillo, I., Downs, R.R., Edmunds, R., Giaretta, D., De Giusti, M., L'Hours, H., Hugo, W., Jenkyns, R., et al.: The trust principles for digital repositories. Scientific Data 7(1), 1–5 (2020)
- [4] Research Data Alliance: Rda covid-19 guidelines and recommendations (2020)
- [5] Satchit Balsari, C.B., Khanna, T.: Which covid-19 data can you trust? Harvard Business Review (2020)
- [6] Standards, C., Board, C.: CoreTrustSeal Trustworthy Data Repositories Requirements 2020–2022 (Nov 2019)