ON UNIVERSAL CYCLES FOR k-SUBSETS OF AN n-SET

Glenn Hurlbert
Department of Mathematics
Arizona State University
Tempe, AZ 85287–1804

Abstract. A Universal Cycle, or Ucycle, for k-subsets of $[n] = \{1, \ldots, n\}$ is a cyclic sequence of $\binom{n}{k}$ integers with the property that each subset of [n] of size k appears exactly once consecutively in the sequence. Chung, Diaconis, and Graham have conjectured their existence for fixed k and large n when $n \mid \binom{n}{k}$. Here we exhibit Ucycles for k = 3, 4, 6 and large n relatively prime to k.

Key Words. Universal Cycle, de Bruijn Cycle.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications. 05B30, 05C38, 05C45, 94A55.

1. Introduction.

In 1894 the following binary sequence was discovered by Flye-Sainte Marie [M].

00011101

The interesting property of this sequence is that, when regarded as a cyclic sequence, every binary triple appears uniquely as a consecutive block of digits. Indeed, 000, 001, 011, 111, 110, 101, 010, 100 is the corresponding list of binary triples. It was also discovered that for all n and k analogous k-ary sequences exist listing k-ary n-tuples uniquely. This result went largely unnoticed until the sequences were rediscovered in 1946 by de Bruijn [B] and Good [G], and they have come to be known as de Bruijn Cycles. For interesting results, generalizations, applications, and history see [F], [H].

Recently, Chung, Diaconis, and Graham [C] have generalized such sequences so as to list combinatorial families other than k-ary n-tuples including permutations of [n], partitions of [n], k-subsets of [n], and k-dimensional subspaces of an n-dimensional vector space over a finite field. Such sequences they call $Universal\ Cycles$, or Ucycles.

In this paper we discuss only the case of Ucycles for k-subsets of [n]. An example with $k=3,\;n=8$ is as follows.

The list of subsets begins with 135, 356, 567, ..., and ends with ..., 258, 581, 813. (Often, we will leave out the brackets in set notation to improve readability.) And, of course, there are $\binom{8}{3} = 56$ digits in the sequence, one for each subset. In the example above, spaces were used only to help the reader notice that each block is just an additive translation of the previous block. That is, we add 5 modulo 8 to the digits of one block to obtain the digits of the next block. This will be an important feature of Ucycle construction.

We first remark that any ordering of [n] is a Ucycle both for 1- and (n-1)-subsets. These we call trivial Ucycles. Also, any listing of the vertices of an Eulerian circuit in the complete graph K_n (n odd) is a Ucycle for 2-subsets of [n]. Thus, we shall always assume that $k \geq 3$ and $n \geq k + 2$.

Next, we notice that each integer must occur equally often in a Ucycle since each integer is in equally many k-subsets of [n]. So for the existence of such Ucycles we have the following necessary condition

$$n \text{ divides } \binom{n}{k}.$$
 (NC)

Equivalently, we may write that k divides $\binom{n-1}{k-1}$. This carries the interpretation that any digit in the Ucycle is in k k-subsets and any integer is in $\binom{n-1}{k-1}$ k-subsets, so $\binom{n-1}{k-1}/k$ is the number of occurrences of each integer in the Ucycle. Notice that (NC) holds whenever n is relatively prime to k. Indeed, $\binom{n}{k} = n\binom{n-1}{k-1}/k$ is an integer and n and k have no common factors, so $\binom{n-1}{k-1}/k$ must be an integer. Also notice that (NC) fails whenever n is a multiple of k.

2. Results.

In [C] Chung, Diaconis, and Graham made the following conjecture, for which \$100 is offered.

Conjecture 1. For all k there is an integer $n_0(k)$ such that, for $n \ge n_0(k)$, Ucycles for k-subsets of [n] exist if and only if (NC) holds.

In [J1] we find the following results.

Theorem 2. Ucycles for 3-subsets of [n] exist for all $n \geq 8$ not divisible by 3.

Theorem 3. Ucycles for 4-subsets of [n] exist for all odd $n \geq 9$.

Theorem 2 is a verification of conjecture 1 for k = 3.

In order to verify the conjecture for k=4 one would need to construct Ucycles for $n \equiv 2 \mod 8$. No examples of this case have ever been found, nor have any with k=5. The purpose of this paper is to prove

Theorem 4. For k = 3, 4, 6 there is an integer $n_0(k)$ such that, for $n \ge n_0(k)$, Ucycles for k-subsets of [n] exist whenever n is relatively prime to k.

This theorem includes both results of Jackson and unifies them in one setting together with the case k = 6.

3. Terminology.

Let $S = \{s_1, \ldots, s_k\}$, $s_i < s_{i+1}$, be a k-subset of [n]. Define its ordered difference set, or d-set, $D(s) = (d_1, \ldots, d_k)$ by $d_i = s_{i+1} - s_i$, where indices are modulo k and arithmetic is modulo n. Two d-sets are equivalent if one is a cyclic permutation of the other. Thus, we say that any additive translation of S belongs to the same d-set as S, where an additive translation of S is any set $S + r = \{s_1 + r, \ldots, s_k + r\}$ modulo n. Two d-sets will belong to the same d-class whenever one is any permutation of the other. Thus the family of all d-classes is simply the family of all unordered partitions of the integer n into k parts. Each d-class in turn defines a partition of k according to the number of parts of the same size. We say that two d-classes belong to the same d-pattern if they define the same partition of k. A d-pattern is good if some part has size 1 and bad otherwise. Let us offer some examples with k = 5 and n = 40.

There are 7 d-patterns $\langle 1, 1, 1, 1, 1 \rangle$, $\langle 2, 1, 1, 1 \rangle$, $\langle 3, 1, 1 \rangle$, $\langle 4, 1 \rangle$, $\langle 5 \rangle$, $\langle 2, 2, 1 \rangle$, and $\langle 3, 2 \rangle$, of which only $\langle 5 \rangle$ and $\langle 3, 2 \rangle$ are bad. $\langle 3, 2 \rangle$ contains the d-classes [2, 2, 2, 17, 17], [4, 4, 4, 14, 14],

..., and [12,12,12,2,2]. [2,2,2,17,17] contains the *d*-sets (2,2,2,17,17) and (2,2,17,2,17). (2,2,17,2,17) contains the sets $\{1,3,5,22,24\}$, $\{2,4,6,23,25\}$,..., and $\{40,2,4,21,23\}$. The notation of braces, parentheses, brackets, and angles will be maintained throughout to distinguish the objects from one another.

4. Proof of Theorem 4.

We first prove

Lemma 6. No bad d-patterns exist if and only if k = 3, 4 or 6 and gcd(n, k) = 1.

Proof. If $r = \gcd(n, k)$ then the d-pattern $\langle r, r, \ldots, r \rangle$ is bad unless r = 1. If k > 3 is odd then $\langle t, t + 1 \rangle$ is bad, where k = 2t + 1 and t > 1, and if k > 6 is even then $\langle 3, t, t + 1 \rangle$ is bad, where k = 2t + 4 and t > 1. Finally, it is easy to verify that if k = 3, 4 or 6 and $\gcd(n, k) = 1$ then no bad d-patterns exist. \square

Next we define the transition graph $\mathcal{T}_{n,k}$, which is dependent upon the choices of representations for each d-class. Given the d-set $(d_1, \ldots, d_{k-1}, d_k)$ we distinguish one of its coordinates (which we may assume to be d_k because of cyclic permutations) in the representation $(d_1, \ldots, d_{k-1}; d_k)$ so as to imply the ordering $\{i, i+d_1, \ldots, i+d_1+\cdots+d_{k-1}\}$ of all its sets. The use of the semicolon in the representation is to identify which of the k differences is not to be used.

The representation of a d-class by $[d_1, \ldots, d_{k-1}; d_k]$ signifies that d_k is distinguished (unused) in each of its d-sets. Thus, to avoid ambiguity, it is important that d_k be unique, in particular that the corresponding d-pattern be good. For example, with k=4 and n=11, we can choose [2,2,1;6] to represent [2,2,1,6]. This determines the representations (2,2,1;6),(2,1,2;6) and (1,2,2;6) of its three d-sets, of which (2,1,2;6) denotes the

(ordered) sets $\{1, 3, 4, 6\}, \{2, 4, 5, 7\}, \ldots$ and $\{11, 2, 3, 5\}.$

Given the d-set representation $(d_1, \ldots, d_{k-1}; d_k)$ we call the terms $((d_1, \ldots, d_{k-2}))$ its prefix and $((d_2, \ldots, d_{k-1}))$ its suffix. We use double parentheses to denote that these are vertices in the transition graph $\mathcal{T}_{n,k}$ whose directed edges are precisely the representations involved.

For example, Figure 1 shows the transition graph $\mathcal{T}_{8,3}$ which was used to construct the Ucycle for 3-subsets of [8] above. The d-sets are represented by (1,1;6), (2,2;4), (3,3;2), (1,2;5), (2,1;5), (1,3;4) and (3,1;4). The d-set (2,1;5) corresponds to the directed edge $((2)) \rightarrow ((1))$, and so on. The Eulerian circuit 2211331 corresponds to a listing of all d-sets and produces the differences in the first block, 1356725, along with the first digit, 6, of the next block. Since the sum $2+2+1+1+3+3+1\equiv 5 \mod 8$, each block shifts by 5, and since 5 is relatively prime to 8 each integer occurs as the starting digit of some block. Hence, each 3-subset of [8] occurs exactly once. As we shall see, it is an unnecessary luxury that the sum (5) is relatively prime to n (8).

Figure 1. $\mathcal{T}_{8,3}$

Lemma 7. If $\mathcal{T}_{n,k}$ is Eulerian for some choice of representations of d-classes, then there exists a Ucycle for k-subsets of [n].

 $((1,1,\ldots,1))$ is always a vertex of $\mathcal{T}_{n,k}$ and there is always a loop Proof of Lemma 7. at that vertex representing the d-set $(1,1,\ldots,1;d)$. This is because there is no other way to represent the d-class $[1,1,\ldots,1,d]$, due to the fact that we would be unable to distinguish which 1 would be set apart by a semicolon if we tried. Let $S_1 = \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, $S_2 = \{2, 3, \dots, k+1\} = S_1 + 1$, and in general, let $S_{i+1} = S_i + 1$ be the sets belonging to this representation. Starting at S_i we follow along the edges of a Eulerian circuit in $\mathcal{T}_{n,k}$ until we return to our original loop. Repeating the loop now determines the set $S_i + r$ for some $0 \le r < n$. Retrace the circuit repeatedly until we finally reach S_i again, now having used the sets $S_i, S_i + r, S_i + 2r, \ldots$, and $S_i - r$, in that order. Call this cycle produced U_i . Now let $s = \gcd(r, n)$. Then if s = 1 we have actually produced our UCycle since we have used each of the n sets from every d-set (our initial example with n=8 and k=3 has r=5 and s=1). Otherwise, we have constructed s disjoint cycles U_1, U_2, \ldots, U_s , which must somehow be hooked together to form one. We do this by a method we call insertion, showing how to insert U_{i+1} into U_i . Actually, the general case is the same as the first, so we merely show how to insert U_2 into U_1 . Given

$$U_1 = 1, 2, \ldots, k - 1, k, x, \ldots, y$$

and

$$U_2 = 2, 3, \dots, k, k+1, x+1, \dots, y+1$$

we insert as below.

$$1, 2, 3, \ldots, k, k+1, x+1, \ldots, y+1, 2, 3, \ldots, k-1, k, x, \ldots, y$$

Induction then completes the proof. \Box

Next we take a closer look at $\mathcal{T}_{n,k}$ by defining the graph $\mathcal{T}_{n,k}(C)$ for each d-class $C = [d_1, \ldots, d_{k-1}; d_k]$. It is merely the restriction of $\mathcal{T}_{n,k}$ to edges which are representations

of d-sets belonging to C. We now introduce the class graph $\mathcal{H}_{n,k}$, whose vertices are all possible d-classes and whose undirected edges join d-classes whose representations differ by one entry. For example, [2,2,1,3,6;7] and [1,1,2,2,3;12] are connected in $\mathcal{H}_{21,6}$.

Lemma 8. If $\mathcal{H}_{n,k}$ is connected and there are no bad d-patterns for k-subsets of [n], then $\mathcal{T}_{n,k}$ is Eulerian.

<u>Proof of Lemma 8.</u> If the d-class $C = [d_1, \ldots, d_{k-1}; d_k]$ belongs to a good d-pattern, then $\mathcal{T}_{n,k}(C)$ is a disjoint union of cycles. This is so because no d_i equals d_k and so all distinct permutations of the entries d_1, \ldots, d_{k-1} can be partitioned into cyclic permutations of a fixed few. For example, with C = [1, 1, 2, 2, 3; 12] we obtain the d-sets (1,1,2,2,3;12), (1,1,2,3,2;12), (1,1,3,2,2;12), (1,2,1,2,3;12), (1,2,1,3,2;12), and (1,3,1,2,2;12), along with all their five cyclic permutations each. Those of the last <math>d-set induce the cycle of edges

$$((1,3,1,2,2)) \to ((3,1,2,2,1)) \to ((1,2,2,1,3)) \to$$
$$\to ((2,2,1,3,1)) \to ((2,1,3,1,2)) \to ((1,3,1,2,2))$$

in $\mathcal{T}_{21,6}(C)$. An example of why this breaks down for bad d-patterns is the d-class C = [1, 1, 4, 4] for 4-subsets of [10], containing only the two d-sets (1,1,4,4) and (1,4,1,4). If we try to use the representation [1,1,4;4], then that induces the representations (1,1,4;4) and (1,4,1;4), which are the edges $((1,1)) \to ((1,4)) \to ((4,1))$ in $\mathcal{T}_{10,4}(C)$. We can never form cycles this way.

We quickly see from this that if $\mathcal{T}_{n,k}$ is connected, then it is Eulerian, being a union of cycles. Two d-classes C_1 and C_2 being connected in $\mathcal{H}_{n,k}$ means that $\mathcal{T}_{n,k}(C_1)$ and $\mathcal{T}_{n,k}(C_2)$ share many of the same vertices. In particular, if C_1 and C_2 share d_1, \ldots, d_{k-2} , then their corresponding graphs share every permutation of them as vertices. For example, ((2,2,1,3)), ((2,2,3,1)), and ((2,1,2,3)) are the shared vertices of [2,2,1,3,6;7] and [1,1,2,2,3;12] above. However, this does not mean that the union of the two graphs $\mathcal{T}_{n,k}(C_1)$ and $\mathcal{T}_{n,k}(C_2)$ is

connected, although since $\mathcal{H}_{n,k}$ is connected, we do get paths to and from every d-set and $(1,1,\ldots,1)$, so that the union over all d-classes produces the connected graph $\mathcal{T}_{n,k}$.

Lemma 9. Let $n_0(3) = 8$, $n_0(4) = 9$ and $n_0(6) = 17$. Then $\mathcal{H}_{n,k}$ is connected for k = 3, 4 and 6 with $n \ge n_0(k)$ and gcd(n, k) = 1.

Proof of Lemma 9.

Case k=3.

We assume $n \geq 8$ and break up the d-classes into the following types.

A) d-pattern
$$\langle 2, 1 \rangle : [a, a; b]$$

1)
$$a = 1$$

2)
$$a > 1$$
, $b = 1$ (for n odd only)

3)
$$a > 1$$
, $b > 1$

B) d-pattern
$$\langle 1, 1, 1 \rangle : [a, b; c]$$

1)
$$1 = a < b < c$$

2)
$$1 < a < b < c$$

3)
$$2 = a < b - 1 = c$$
 (for n odd only)

We show $\mathcal{H}_{n,3}$ is connected by displaying the path of edges from the d-classes of each type to the type A1 in figure 2. If n is odd, then A2 \rightarrow B3 \rightarrow B1 by $[a, a; 1] \rightarrow [2, a; a - 1] \rightarrow [1, 2; c]$. Then A3 \rightarrow B1 by $[a, a; b] \rightarrow [1, a; c]$, B2 \rightarrow B1 by $[a, b; c] \rightarrow [1, b; d]$, and B1 \rightarrow A1 by $[1, b; c] \rightarrow [1, 1; d]$.

Figure 2. Connectedness of $\mathcal{H}_{n,3}$.

Case k=4.

We assume $n \geq 9$ and break up the d-classes into the following types.

- A) d-pattern $\langle 3, 1 \rangle : [a, a, a; b]$
 - 1) a = 1
 - 2) a > 3, b = 1
 - 3) a > 1, b > 1
- B) d-pattern $\langle 2, 1, 1 \rangle : [a, a, b; c]$
 - 1) a = 1, 1 < b < c
 - 2) a > 1, 1 = b < c
 - 3) a > 1, 1 < b < c
- C) d-pattern $\langle 1, 1, 1, 1 \rangle : [a, b, c; d]$
 - 1) 1 = a < b < c < d
 - 2) 1 < a < b < c < d

Figure 3. Connectedness of $\mathcal{H}_{n,4}$.

We show $\mathcal{H}_{n,4}$ is connected by displaying the path of edges from the d-classes of each type to the type A1 in figure 3. A2 \rightarrow B3 \rightarrow C1 by $[a, a, a; 1] \rightarrow [a, a, 2; a - 1] \rightarrow [1, 2, a; b]$, C2 \rightarrow C1 \rightarrow B1 by $[a, b, c; d] \rightarrow [1, b, c; e] \rightarrow [1, 1, c; f]$, A3 \rightarrow B2 \rightarrow B1 by $[a, a, a; b] \rightarrow [a, a, 1; c] \rightarrow [1, 1, a; d]$, and B1 \rightarrow A1 by $[1, 1, a; b] \rightarrow [1, 1, 1; c]$.

Case k=6.

We assume $n \ge 17$ and break up the d-classes into the following types.

- A) d-pattern $\langle 5, 1 \rangle : [a, a, a, a, a; b]$
 - 1) a = 1
 - 2) a > 1, b > 1
 - 3) a > 1, b = 1
- B) d-pattern (4, 1, 1) : [a, a, a, a, b; c]
 - 1) a = 1, 1 < b < c
 - 2) a > 1, 1 < b < c 2
 - 3) a > 1, 1 = b < c 2
 - 4) a > 1, b = c + 1

- $C) \ d\text{-pattern} \ \langle 3,2,1,1 \rangle : [a,a,a,b,b;c]$
 - 1) a > b
 - 2) a < b, c > 1
 - 3) 2 < a < b, c = 1
 - 4) 2 = a < b, c = 1
- *D*) *d*-pattern (3, 1, 1, 1) : [a, a, a, b, c; d]
 - 1) a = 1, 1 < b < c < d
 - 2) a > 1, 1 = b < c < d
 - 3) a > 1, 1 < b < c < d

Figure 4. Connectedness of $\mathcal{H}_{n,6}$.

E) d-pattern
$$(2, 2, 1, 1) : [a, a, b, b, c; d]$$

1)
$$1 = a < b, 1 < c < d$$

2)
$$1 < a < b, 1 < c < d$$

3)
$$1 < a < b, 1 = c < d$$

F) d-pattern
$$(2, 1, 1, 1, 1) : [a, a, b, c, d; e]$$

1)
$$a = 1, 1 < b < c < d < e$$

2)
$$a > 1, 1 = b < c < d < e$$

3)
$$a > 1, 1 < b < c < d < e$$

G) d-pattern
$$(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1) : [a, b, c, d, e; f]$$

1)
$$1 = a < b < c < d < e < f$$

2)
$$1 < a < b < c < d < e < f$$

We show $\mathcal{H}_{n,6}$ is connected by displaying the path of edges from the d-classes of each type to the type A1 in figure 4. C4 \rightarrow B4 \rightarrow B3 by $[2,2,2,b,b;1] \rightarrow [2,2,2,2,b;b-1] \rightarrow [2,2,2,2,1;c]$, A2 \rightarrow B3 \rightarrow C1 by $[a,a,a,a,a;b] \rightarrow [a,a,a,a,1;c] \rightarrow [a,a,a,1,1;d]$, A3 \rightarrow B2 by $[a,a,a,a,a;1] \rightarrow [a,a,a,a,2;a-1]$, and C1 \rightarrow C2 \rightarrow D2 by $[a,a,a,b,b;c] \rightarrow [b,b,b,a,a;d] \rightarrow [b,b,b,1,a;e]$. B2 \rightarrow D2 \rightarrow E1 by $[a,a,a,a,b;c] \rightarrow [a,a,a,1,b;d] \rightarrow [1,1,a,a,b;e]$, C3 \rightarrow E3 by $[a,a,a,b,b;1] \rightarrow [a,a,b,b,1;c]$, and E2 \rightarrow E3 \rightarrow E1 by $[a,a,b,b,c;d] \rightarrow [a,a,b,b,1;e] \rightarrow [1,1,a,a,b;f]$. D3 \rightarrow F2 by $[a,a,a,b,c;d] \rightarrow [a,a,1,b,d;e]$, F3 \rightarrow F2 \rightarrow E1 by $[a,a,b,c,d;e] \rightarrow [a,a,1,c,d;f] \rightarrow [1,1,a,a,d;g]$, and G2 \rightarrow G1 \rightarrow F1 by $[a,b,c,d,e;f] \rightarrow [1,b,c,d,e;g] \rightarrow [1,1,c,d,e;h]$. And finally E1 \rightarrow D1 by

 $[1, 1, b, b, c; d] \rightarrow [1, 1, 1, b, c; d], \text{ F1} \rightarrow \text{D1 by } [1, 1, b, c, d; e] \rightarrow [1, 1, 1, c, d; f], \text{ and D1} \rightarrow \text{B1} \rightarrow \text{A1 by } [1, 1, 1, b, c; d] \rightarrow [1, 1, 1, 1, b; e] \rightarrow [1, 1, 1, 1, 1; f].$

Proof of Theorem 4. Follows from Lemmas 6, 7, 8, and 9. \square

We finally note that Jackson [J2] has recently found by computer Ucycles for the values of (n, k) equal to (10,4), (8,5), (9,5), (10,6), (11,6), (10,7), (11,7), and (11,8).

5. References

- [B] N.G. de Bruijn, A combinatorial problem, Nederl. Akad. Wetensch, Proc. 49 (1946), 758–764.
- [C] F.R.K. Chung, P. Diaconis, R.I. Graham, Universal Cycles for Combinatorial Structures, Discrete Math. 110 (1992), 43–59.
- [F] H. Fredericksen, A survey of full length nonlinear shift register cycle algorithms, SIAM Review 24, 2 (1982), 195–221.
- [G] I.J. Good, Normally recurring decimals, J. London Math. Soc. 21 (1946), 167–169.
- [H] G. Hurlbert, Ph.D. thesis, 1990.
- [J1] B. Jackson, Universal Cycles for k-subsets and k-permutations, Discrete Math. 117 (1993), 141–150.
- [J2] B. Jackson, personal communication.
- [M] C. Flye-Sainte Marie, Solution to problem number 58, l'Intermediaire des Mathematicieus 1 (1894), 107–110.