**ISO 19156:202X(X)**

ISO TC 211/WG 9

Secretariat: XXXX

**Geographic information** — Observations and measurements

CD stage

**Warning for WDs and CDs**

This document is not an ISO International Standard. It is distributed for review and comment. It is subject to change without notice and may not be referred to as an International Standard.

Recipients of this draft are invited to submit, with their comments, notification of any relevant patent rights of which they are aware and to provide supporting documentation.

*To help you, this guide on writing standards was produced by the ISO/TMB and is available at* [*https://www.iso.org/iso/how-to-write-standards.pdf*](https://www.iso.org/iso/how-to-write-standards.pdf)

*A model manuscript of a draft International Standard (known as “The Rice Model”) is available at* [*https://www.iso.org/iso/model\_document-rice\_model.pdf*](https://www.iso.org/iso/model_document-rice_model.pdf)

© ISO 20XX

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, or required in the context of its implementation, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO’s member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office

CP 401 • Ch. de Blandonnet 8

CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva

Phone: +41 22 749 01 11

Email: copyright@iso.org

Website: www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

Contents

[Foreword v](#_Toc52375816)

[Introduction vi](#_Toc52375817)

[1 Scope 1](#_Toc52375818)

[2 Normative references 1](#_Toc52375819)

[3 Terms and definitions *(mandatory)* 2](#_Toc52375820)

[4 Document conventions 5](#_Toc52375821)

[4.1 Abbreviated terms and acronyms 5](#_Toc52375822)

[4.2 Schema language 5](#_Toc52375823)

[4.3 Model element names 5](#_Toc52375824)

[4.4 Requirements and recommendations 5](#_Toc52375825)

[4.5 Requirements classes 5](#_Toc52375826)

[4.6 Conformance classes 5](#_Toc52375827)

[4.7 Identifiers 5](#_Toc52375828)

[5 Packaging, requirements and dependencies 6](#_Toc52375829)

[5.1 Requirement and conformance class structure 6](#_Toc52375830)

[5.2 UML package structure 6](#_Toc52375831)

[5.3 UML package dependencies 6](#_Toc52375832)

[5.4 Use of Any 6](#_Toc52375833)

[6 Fundamental characteristics of observations and samples (informative) 6](#_Toc52375834)

[7 Conceptual Observation schema 6](#_Toc52375835)

[8 Abstract Observation Core 6](#_Toc52375836)

[9 Basic Observations 6](#_Toc52375837)

[10 Conceptual Sample schema 6](#_Toc52375838)

[11 Abstract Sample Core 6](#_Toc52375839)

[12 Basic Samples 6](#_Toc52375840)

[Annex A (normative) Abstract test suite 7](#_Toc52375841)

[A.1 Clause title autonumber 7](#_Toc52375842)

[A.1.1 Subclause autonumber 7](#_Toc52375843)

[A.1.1.1 Subclause autonumber 7](#_Toc52375844)

[Annex B (informative) Common usage of O&M terminology 9](#_Toc52375845)

[B.1 Clause title autonumber 9](#_Toc52375846)

[B.1.1 Subclause autonumber 9](#_Toc52375847)

[B.1.1.1 Subclause autonumber 9](#_Toc52375848)

[Annex C (informative) Alignment with ISO 19156:2011 10](#_Toc52375849)

[C.1 Clause title autonumber 10](#_Toc52375850)

[C.1.1 Subclause autonumber 10](#_Toc52375851)

[C.1.1.1 Subclause autonumber 10](#_Toc52375852)

[Annex D (informative) Best practices in use of the Observation and Sampling models 11](#_Toc52375853)

[D.1 Clause title autonumber 11](#_Toc52375854)

[D.1.1 Subclause autonumber 11](#_Toc52375855)

[D.1.1.1 Subclause autonumber 11](#_Toc52375856)

[Bibliography 12](#_Toc52375857)

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular, the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO documents should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see [www.iso.org/directives](https://www.iso.org/directives-and-policies.html)).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see [www.iso.org/patents](https://www.iso.org/iso-standards-and-patents.html)).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation of the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT), see [www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html](https://www.iso.org/foreword-supplementary-information.html).

This document was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 211, *Geographic information/Geomatics****,***  Working Group 9, *Information management*,  in collaboration with the Open Geospatial Consortium, Inc. (OGC).

This second edition cancels and replaces the first edition (ISO 19156:2011), which has been technically revised.

The main changes compared to the previous edition are as follows:

— xxx xxxxxxx xxx xxxx

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user’s national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at [www.iso.org/members.html](https://www.iso.org/members.html).

Introduction

This International Standard arises from work originally undertaken through the Open Geospatial Consortium’s Sensor Web Enablement (SWE) activity. A set of interfaces and protocols was standardized, through which applications and services are able to access sensors of all types, and observations generated by them, over the Web.

A new generation of geospatial standards is now emerging, based on general Web standards, architecture, and current practice, as described in <https://www.w3.org/TR/sdw-bp/>. This includes several new standards for describing and publishing sensors and observations, such as OGC SensorThings API and W3C/OGC Semantic Sensor Network Ontology. This new version of the Observations and Measurements Standard is informed by these recent developments and is aimed at enabling the publication of observation data as part of the Web of data, while also supporting other means of data exchange.

The content presented here derives from the previous version published by Open Geospatial Consortium as OGC 10-004r3, OGC Abstract Specification Geographic information — Observations and measurements (ISO 19156:2011). A technical note describing the changes from the earlier version is available from the Open Geospatial Consortium (see http://www.opengeospatial.org/standards/om).

Geographic information — Observations and measurements

# Scope

This International Standard defines a conceptual schema for observations, for features involved in the observation process, and for features involved in sampling when making observations. These provide models for the exchange of information describing observation acts and their results, both within and between different scientific and technical communities.

Observations commonly involve sampling of an ultimate feature-of-interest. This International Standard defines a common set of sample types according to their spatial, material (for ex-situ observations) or statistical nature. The schema includes relationships between sample features (sub-sampling, derived samples).

This International Standard concerns only externally visible interfaces and places no restriction on the underlying implementations other than what is needed to satisfy the interface specifications in the actual situation.

# Normative references

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO 19101-1:2014, Geographic information — Reference model — Part 1: Fundamentals

ISO/TS 19101-2:2008, Geographic information — Reference model — Part 2: Imagery

ISO 19103:2015, Geographic information — Conceptual schema language

ISO 19107:2019, Geographic information — Spatial schema

ISO 19108:2002, Geographic information — Temporal schema

ISO 19109:2015, Geographic information — Rules for application schema

ISO 19111:2019, Geographic information — Referencing by coordinates

ISO 19115-1:2014, Geographic information — Metadata — Part 1: Fundamentals

ISO 19123-1:20xx, Geographic information — Schema for coverage geometry and functions — Part 1: Fundamentals[[1]](#footnote-1)

ISO 19123-2:2018, Geographic information — Schema for coverage geometry and functions — Part 2: Coverage implementation schema

ISO 19136-1:2020, Geographic information — Geography Markup Language (GML) — Part 1: Fundamentals

ISO 19136-2:2015, Geographic information — Geography Markup Language (GML) — Part 2: Extended schemas and encoding rules

ISO 19143:2010 Geographic information — Filter encoding

ISO 19157:2013, Geographic information — Data quality

ISO/IEC 19501:2005, Information technology — Open Distributed Processing — Unified Modeling Language (UML) Version 1.4.2

ISO Directives Part 2; available at ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2: Rules for the structure and drafting of International Standards

# Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the following terms and definitions apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminological databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

— ISO Online browsing platform: available at <https://www.iso.org/obp>

— IEC Electropedia: available at <http://www.electropedia.org/>

4.1

application schema

conceptual schema for data required by one or more applications

[ISO 19101-1:2014, definition 4.1.2]

4.2

coverage

feature that acts as a function to return values from its range for any direct position within its domain

[ISO 19123-1:20XX, definition 4.1.9]

4.3

data type

specification of a value domain with operations allowed on values in this domain

EXAMPLE Integer, Real, Boolean, String, Date.

NOTE Data types include primitive predefined types and user-definable types.

[ISO 19103:2015, definition 4.14]

4.4

domain

well-defined set

[ISO 19109:2015]

NOTE: All elements within a domain (set) are of a given type.

4.5

domain feature

feature of a type defined within a particular application domain

NOTE This may be contrasted with observation and sample features, which are features of types defined for cross-domain purposes.

4.6

ex-situ

referring to the study, maintenance or conservation of a specimen or population away from its natural surroundings (“off-site”)

NOTE Opposite of in-situ.

4.7

feature

abstraction of real-world phenomena

NOTE A feature may occur as a type or an instance. In this International Standard, feature instance is meant unless otherwise specified.

[ISO 19101-1:2014, definition 4.1.11]

4.8

feature type

class of features having common characteristics

4.9

measure

value described using a numeric amount with a scale or using a scalar reference system

[ISO 19136:2020, definition 3.1.41]

4.10

measurement

set of operations having the object of determining the value of a quantity

[ISO/TS 19101-2:2018, definition 3.21]

4.11

measurand

quantity intended to be measured

[VIM3: International vocabulary of metrology – Basic and general concepts and associated terms : BIPM/ISO 2012, definition 2.3]

4.12

property

facet or attribute of an object referenced by a name

[ISO 19143:2010, definition 4.21]

EXAMPLE Abby's car has the colour red, where "colour red" is a property of the car.

4.13

property type

characteristic of a feature type

EXAMPLE Cars (a feature type) all have a characteristic colour, where "colour" is a property type.

NOTE 1 The value for an instance of an observable property type can be estimated through an act of observation.

NOTE 2 In chemistry-related applications, the term "determinand" or "analyte" is often used.

NOTE 3 Adapted from ISO 19109:2005.

4.14

range

set of feature attribute values associated by a function, the coverage, with the elements of the domain of a coverage

NOTE This is consistent with the more generic definition of range in 19107 and 19136.

[ISO 19123-1:20XX, definition 4.1.44]

4.15

value

element of a type domain

[ISO/IEC 19501:2005]

NOTE 1 A value considers a possible state of an object within a class or type (domain).

NOTE 2 A data value is an instance of a datatype, a value without identity.

NOTE 3 A value can use one of a variety of scales including nominal, ordinal, ratio and interval, spatial and temporal. Primitive datatypes can be combined to form aggregate datatypes with aggregate values, including vectors, tensors and images.

4.16 : requirement

Expression, in the content of a document that conveys objectively verifiable criteria to be fulfilled and from which no deviation is permitted if conformance with the document is to be claimed

NOTE 1 Requirements are expressed using the verbal forms SHALL or SHALL NO. Equivalent phrases or expressions for use in certain cases are proposed by ISO.

[IS0/IEC Directives, Part 2 “Rules for the structure and drafting of International Standards”: 2018, definition 3.3.3]

4.17 : recommendation

Expression, in the content of a document, that conveys a suggested possible choice or course of action deemed to be particularly suitable without necessarily mentioning or excluding others

NOTE 1 Recommendations are expressed using the verbal forms SHOULD or SHOULD NOT. Equivalent phrases or expressions for use in certain cases are proposed by ISO.

NOTE 2 In the negative form, a recommendation is the expression that a suggested possible choice or course of action is not preferred but it is not prohibited.

[ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 “Rules for the structure and drafting of International Standards”: 2018, definition 3.3.4]

# Document conventions

## Abbreviated terms and acronyms

GFM General Feature Model

GML Geography Markup Language

INSPIRE INfrastructure for SPatial InfoRmation in Europe

O&M Observations and Measurements

OGC Open Geospatial Consortium

SensorML OGC Sensor Model Language

SOS OGC Sensor Observation Service

STA OGC SensorThings API

SWE OGC Sensor Web Enablement

UML Unified Modeling Language

XML Extensible Markup Language

2-D Two Dimensional

3-D Three Dimensional

## Schema language

The conceptual schema specified in this International Standard is in accordance with the Unified Modelling Language (UML) ISO/IEC 19501, following the guidance of ISO 19103:2015.

The UML in Abstract Core and Basic packages is conformant with the profile described in ISO 19136:2007, Annex E. Use of this restricted idiom supports direct transformation into a GML Application Schema. ISO 19136 introduces some additional stereotypes. In particular «FeatureType» implies that a class is an instance of the «metaclass» GF\_FeatureType (ISO 19109), and therefore represents a feature type.

The prose explanation of the model uses the term “property” to refer to both class attributes and association roles. This is consistent with the General Feature Model described in ISO 19109. In the context of properties, the term “value” refers to either a literal (for attributes whose type is simple), or to an instance of the class providing the type of the attribute or target of the association. Within the explanation, the property names (property types) are sometimes used as natural language words where this assists in constructing a readable text.

## Model element names

This International Standard specifies a model for observations using terminology that is based on current practice in a variety of scientific and technical disciplines. It is designed to apply across disciplines, so the best or "most neutral" term has been used in naming the classes, attributes and associations provided. The terminology does not, however, correspond precisely with any single discipline. As an aid to implementers, a mapping from the element names specified in this International Standard to common terminology in some application domains is provided in Annex B.

## Requirements and recommendations

All requirements are **normative**, and each is presented with the following template:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Requirement /req/{pkg}/{classM}/{reqN}** | [Normative statement] |

where **/req/{pkg}/{classM}/{reqN}** identifies the requirement. The use of this layout convention allows the normative provisions of this standard to be easily located by implementers. In the description of the convention right after the **/req/{pkg}/**part has been removed to ease reading.

All defined classes, attributes and associations mentioned within requirements or recommendations are shown in **bold** correspond to references to the definition of the referenced element.

The following base has been used per package:

* /req/obs-cpt: Conceptual Observation schema,
* /req/obs-core: Abstract Observation Core,
* /req/obs-basic: Basic Observations,
* /req/sam-cpt: Conceptual Sample schema,
* /req/sam-core: Abstract Sample core,
* /req/sam-basic: Basic Samples

For naming of individual requirements pertaining to classes, the following syntax is used:

* **{Class Name}-sem**: The semantic definition of the concept, together with the naming of the Class.

For naming of individual requirements pertaining to attribute or associations, the following syntax is used:

* **{Attribute/Association Name}-sem**: The semantic definition of the concept, together with the naming of the attribute or association role. Except for cases where concepts are mandatory within all packages, these statements are phrased to be cardinality neutral, e.g. they also apply to cardinality 0..\*;
* **{Attribute/Association Name}-type**: Type information pertaining to the attribute or association when the type is constrained within one model package;
* **{Attribute/Association Name}-card**: Cardinality information pertaining to the attribute or association;
* **{Attribute/Association Name}-con**: Additional constraints. As these sometimes pertain to multiple attributes or associations, this part of the name may become more complex.

All recommendations are **informative**, and each is presented with the following template:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Recommendation /rec/{pkg}/{classM}/{reqN}** | [Informative statement] |

where **/rec/{pkg}/{classM}/{reqN}** identifies the recommendation. The use of this layout convention allows the informative provisions of this standard to be easily located by implementers.

## Requirements classes

Each statement (requirement or recommendation) in this standard is a member of a requirements class.

All requirement classes are normative.

Each requirements class is described in a discrete clause, and summarized using the following template:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Requirements class** | **/req/{pkg}/{classM}** |
| **Target type** | [artefact or technology type] |
| **Name** | Name of the requirements class |
| **Imports** | /req/{pkg}/{classZ} |
| **Requirement** | /req/{pkg}/{classM}/{reqN} |
| **Recommendation** | /rec/{pkg}/{classM}/{recO} |
| **Requirement** | /req/{pkg}/{classM}/{reqP} |
| **Requirement /Recommendation** | [repeat as necessary] |

All requirements in a class must be satisfied. Hence, the requirements class is the unit of re-use and dependency.

Dependency to another requirement class (and the requirements and recommendations defined in it) is done using the “Imports” keyword. All requirements in a dependency SHALL also be satisfied by a conforming implementation.

A requirements class may consist only of dependencies and introduce no new requirements.

## Conformance classes

Conformance to this standard is possible at a number of levels, specified by conformance classes (Annex A). Each conformance class is summarized using the following template:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Conformance Class** | **/conf/{pkg}/{classM}** |
| **Requirements** | [identifier for the requirements class] |
| **Test purpose** | [Reason for test] |
| **Test method** | [Method to determine if test fulfilled] |
| **Test Type** | [Type of test] |

All tests in a class must be passed. Each conformance class tests conformance to a set of requirements packaged in a requirements class.

## Identifiers

Each requirements class, requirement and recommendation is identified by a unique identifier. This allows cross-referencing of class membership, dependencies, and links from each conformance test to the requirements tested. Appended to a base URI that identifies the specification as a whole, it enables the construction of a complete URI for identification in an external context.

The entire Requirements and Conformance Structure, consisting of the individual requirements and definitions together with the information on how these are linked together for the creation of Requirements and Conformance classes, will be exposed in a machine actionable format (such as the one provided by the OGC Definitions Server).

The URI for each requirements class has the form:

[base URI]**/req/{pkg}/{classM}**

The URI for each requirement has the form:

[base URI]**/req/{pkg}/{classM}/{reqN}**

The URI for each recommendation has the form:

[base URI]**/rec/{pkg}/{classM}/{reqN}**

The URI for each conformance class has the form:

[base URI]**/conf/{pkg}/{classM}**

# Packaging, requirements and dependencies

## Requirement and conformance class structure

As O&M implementations often seamlessly integrate with existing data ecosystems, a very flexible requirements and conformance structure is defined. It enables users to selectively mix and match elements as required for their purposes from the O&M data model without the necessity of achieving compliance with the entire data model.

Such flexibility is becoming increasingly relevant with the shift to Linked Data practices, where different organizations maintain and expose only certain aspects of a larger distributed dataset.

EXAMPLE A provider may only serve information on Observable Properties or Monitoring Facilities, while relying on other partners to provide information on measurement procedures. These could claim compliance to those parts falling under their responsibility, while letting other data providers link to these resources.

For this purpose, a fine grained structure for requirements and recommendations, requirements classes and conformance classes has been defined. As far as possible, patterns from the OGC Modular Specification (OGC 08-131r3) have been taken into account. However, pertaining to the alignment between UML Packages and Conformance Classes, a relaxation of the requirement on one-to-one alignment between UML Package and Conformance Class has been proposed as follows:

* For each UML Package, both a Requirements Class as well as a Conformance Class have been defined;
* Additional Requirements Classes have been created for each Class appearing in the data model, Conformance Classes are added accordingly to enable grouping of the formers and support references to either a group or an individual Requirement Class depending on the need;
* Thematic Domains may create additional Requirements and Conformance Classes reflecting their domain profiles by reference to existing Requirements and Requirements Classes.

As mentioned, as data provision paradigms increasingly shift towards distributed and linked approaches, it becomes increasingly difficult to stipulate that all aspects of an information system conform explicitly to the same underlying standards. Simultaneously, as distributed data provision becomes increasingly ubiquitous, ever more communities are emerging dedicated to individual aspects of the wider data provision landscape.


One example of such external definition and hosting pertains to the provision of observable properties. In previous versions of the O&M Model, this concept was only included as a metaclass, with the assumption that a reference to an existing code list will be provided. Within the current O&M Model, the observable property has been upgraded to a featureType, as emerging requirements show the need for a more detailed model for this concept. Simultaneously, other communities such as the Research Data Alliance (RDA) are also working on observable property models. The same rationale can be applied to most concepts from the O&M Models.

In order to expose this flexibility beyond the package structure described above, a fine grained hierarchical requirements class structure has been created. A modular requirements class is provided for each concept at all three levels of the model. In addition, a further requirements class that imports all the modular classes provided for the individual concepts has been provided for each package.


### Requirements class dependency graphs

The graphs in **Figure 5.1** and **Figure 5.2** have been auto-generated by parsing the requirements class tables contained in this document, and are provided here for the reader convenience.

Double-bordered boxes are requirements classes, simple boxes are individual requirements or recommendations. Arrows with solid lines show inclusion of requirements, dotted lines are dependencies showing where other requirement classes have been imported.



**Figure 5.1 — Requirements class dependencies of the Observation packages**



**Figure 5.2 — Requirement class dependencies of the Sample packages**

## UML package structure

O&M provides the relevant concepts for the structured description of observations, including the sampling structure often essential for true understanding of the nature of the observations being provided. As data provision mechanisms are transitioning towards highly distributed linked approaches, the model structure and packaging has been significantly abstracted. This approach allows implementers to explicitly select the concepts to be supported based on their requirements, while clearly stating to which requirements and Conformance Classes their implementation complies. Both the Observation and Sample sections of this model have been structured using the following layering of packages:

* **Conceptual**: Within the Conceptual Model Packages, only Interfaces have been provided. These models provide a very abstract view on the individual concepts they contain without reference to specific implementations. This approach allows for the inclusion of semantically aligned objects from external sources, that while not having been created under the Observations & Measurements Model do provide concepts sharing the same semantic meaning as the concepts from the Conceptual Models;
* **Abstract Core**: Within the Abstract Core Model Packages, only abstract featureTypes have been provided following the semantic structure of the Conceptual model (i.e: realizing the interfaces provided by the Conceptual). A consistent approach to metadata provision introduced. All associations from the abstract featureTypes reference the conceptual Interfaces for greater flexibility of implementation. The Abstract Core Model Packages are foreseen for the creation of domain models providing an Abstract Core ready for Extension;
* **Basic**: Within the Basic Packages, simple concrete featureTypes (specializing the abstract ones from the Abstract Core model) have been defined with some basic utility attributes added for rapid out-of-the-box deployment. A few additional concepts pertaining to collections and potential observations are introduced at this level.

### UML package dependencies

Some model elements used in the schema are defined in other International Standards. The **Table 5.1** lists the dependencies between the UML packages defined in this International Standard and other International Standards, and the **Figure 5.3** show the dependencies of the entire Observations and measurements UML model package to the other International Standards in a graphical form.

**Table 5.1 — UML package level dependencies**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Dependency from package** | **to package** | **in an International Standard** | **Notes** |
| Conceptual Observation schema | Any type | ISO 19103:2015 (Edition 1) | Any |
| Conceptual Observation schema | Temporal Objects | ISO 19108:2002 (Edition 1) | TM\_Object |
| Conceptual Observation schema | Name types | ISO 19103:2015 (Edition 1) | GenericName |
| Abstract Observation core | Conceptual Observation schema | This International Standard | TM\_Instant, TM\_Period via the Temporal Objects dependency |
| Abstract Observation core | General Feature Model | ISO 19109:2015 (Edition 2) | Feature concepts |
| Abstract Observation core | Text | ISO 19103:2015 (Edition 1) | CharacterString |
| Basic Observations | Abstract Observation core | This International Standard |  |
| Basic Observations | Web environment | ISO 19103:2015 (Edition 1) | URI |
| Basic Observations | Geometry | ISO 19107:2019 (Edition 2) | Geometry |
| Conceptual Sample schema | Any type | ISO 19103:2015 (Edition 1) | Any |
| Conceptual Sample schema | Temporal Objects | ISO 19108:2002 (Edition 1) | TM\_Object |
| Conceptual Sample schema | Name types | ISO 19103:2015 (Edition 1) | GenericName |
| Conceptual Sample schema | Conceptual Observation schema | This International Standard | Observation, Procedure |
| Abstract Sample core | Conceptual Sample schema | This International Standard |  |
| Abstract Sample core | General Feature Model | ISO 19109:2015 (Edition 2) | Feature concepts |
| Abstract Sample core | Geometry | ISO 19107:2019 (Edition 2) | Geometry |
| Abstract Sample core | Text | ISO 19103:2015 (Edition 1) | CharacterString |
| Abstract Sample core | Abstract Observation core | This International Standard | NamedValue |
| Basic Samples | Abstract Sample core | This International Standard |  |
| Basic Samples | Web environment | ISO 19103:2015 (Edition 1) | URI |
| Basic Samples | Measure types | ISO 19103:2015 (Edition 1) | Measure |

Diagram

Description automatically generated

**Figure 5.3 - External UML package dependencies**

## Note of the use of Any

The UML models defined in this International Standard make extensive use of the Any interface defined in ISO 19103:2015 Any type package. The realized Any values of the associations with role names **proximateFeatureOfInterest**, **ultimateFeatureOfInterest**, **result**, **metadata**, **featureOfInterest** and **sampledFeature** MAY be of any type or a reference to an digital representation of an appropriate concept. In the case they are of feature type, the values are not owned by the instances of referring classes, they may have an independent life span from the referring classes, and they may be associated with more than one instance of referring classes.

NOTE any type can be owl:Thing, featureType, dataType

EXAMPLES:

* Reference to SWEET Ontology: http://sweetontology.net/realmAtmoBoundaryLayer#planetaryboundarylayer
* Reference to SensorThings deployment: <https://lubw-frost.docker01.ilt-dmz.iosb.fraunhofer.de/v1.1/Locations(269)>
* Reference to 19115 Metadata: <https://inspire-geoportal.ec.europa.eu/resources/INSPIRE-61494ff5-6fad-11e8-b649-52540023a883_20200903-065202/services/1/PullResults/601-650/31.iso19139.xml>
* Reference to an instance of Borehole : <https://data.geoscience.fr/id/borehole/BSS001REWW>
* Reference to an hydro station : <https://iddata.eaufrance.fr/id/HydroStation/Y251002001>
* Reference to a river segment : <https://iddata.eaufrance.fr/id/WatercourseLinkSequence/A0080300>
* An (embedded) Boolean value as Result
* An (embedded) SWE DataRecord
* Elevation Coverage from an external WCS as an observation Result: <https://inspire.rasdaman.org/rasdaman/ows?service=WCS&version=2.0.1&request=GetCoverage&coverageId=INSPIRE_EL&subset=E(494500,496000)&subset=N(4654300,4655000)&format=image/jpeg>
* O&M MaterialSample -> Reference to a rock sample : <https://www.geodata.rocks/Samples/SD-5054_1_A_564_7WR_20-40>

# Fundamental characteristics of observations and samples (informative)

# Conceptual Observation schema

# Abstract Observation Core

# Basic Observations

# Conceptual Sample schema

# Abstract Sample Core

# Basic Samples

1. (normative)  
     
   Abstract test suite
   1. Clause title autonumber

*Use subclauses if required e.g. A.1.1 or A.1.1.1. For example:*

* + 1. Subclause autonumber
       1. Subclause autonumber

Type text.

Dimensions in millimetres

figure_exemple

**Key**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1 | desiccant/aqueous saturated salt solution |  |  |
| 2 | test specimen |  |  |
| 3 | sealant |  |  |
| 4 | template |  |  |

NOTE Figure note.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| a | It is the upper exposed area. |
| b | It is the lower exposed area. |

**Figure A.1 — Example**

**Table A.1 — Example**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Type** a | **No. series** | **Pressure** | **Length** | **Temperature** |
| *p*1 | *l*2 | *T*1 |
| MPa | mm | °C |
| A | 248-i | 50 | 216 | 50 |
| B | 556-i | 100 b | 287 | 60,5 |
| C | 43-ii | 200 | 300 | 38 |
| NOTE   Table note.  a   Table footnote.  b   Second table footnote. | | | | |

1. (informative)  
     
   Common usage of O&M terminology
   1. Clause title autonumber

*Use subclauses if required e.g. A.1.1 or A.1.1.1. For example:*

* + 1. Subclause autonumber
       1. Subclause autonumber

Type text.

1. (informative)  
     
   Alignment with ISO 19156:2011
   1. Clause title autonumber

*Use subclauses if required e.g. A.1.1 or A.1.1.1. For example:*

* + 1. Subclause autonumber
       1. Subclause autonumber

Type text.

1. (informative)  
     
   Best practices in use of the Observation and Sampling models
   1. Clause title autonumber

*Use subclauses if required e.g. A.1.1 or A.1.1.1. For example:*

* + 1. Subclause autonumber
       1. Subclause autonumber

Type text.

Bibliography

[1] ISO #####‑#, *General title — Part #: Title of part*

[2] ISO #####‑##:20##, *General title — Part ##: Title of part*

1. To be published. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)