

=====
Automatic report
=====

Study ID: Breno_M_Freitas_Gossypium_hirsutum_Brazil_2011

Contact: freitas@ufc.br

Credit: Breno M. Freitas

Publication: 10.1126/science.1230200

Number of sites: 2

Year of sampling: 2011

Crop: Gossypium hirsutum

Variety: There are missing varieties (given 0 out of 2).

Location: UTM zone is needed. There are missing locations (given 0 out of 2).

Country: There are no locations to check.

Field size: Full information.

Management: Full information.

Sampling period: There are missing sampling periods.

Richness: There is not enough taxonomic resolution to estimate richness.

species groups considered: only bees

Abundance: Full information.

Visitation rate units: NA

Visitation rate: There are missing values (given 0 out of 2).

Yield units: % fruit set per flowers

Yield: Full information.

Alternative yield units: NA

Alternative yield: NA

=====
Queries
=====

Instructions: Please, edit this file and answer the following queries within the document, one by one. Then send the edited 'Summary_report_and_queries' (with your comments) and your 'Data_ownership' (excel) file to alfonso.allen.perkins+observeddataset@gmail.com before the 20th of July.

- =====
• Please check that credit information is correct and add the corresponding affiliations and acknowledgements/funding information in your 'Data_ownership' (excel) file.
• If your study is already published, please check that its DOI is correct.
• If possible, please provide the names of missing crop varieties.

- If possible, please provide the sampling start month and sampling end month for each field, respectively, and use a numeric format (for example, 1 for January, 2 for February and so on).
- If possible, please provide the latitude and longitude of the missing locations [in decimal degrees].
- There are sites without visitation rate records. Please, check that such information is correct. See also the information about OBServ data processing in your ‘First read me - General report’ pdf file.
- According to our raw data, there is not enough taxonomic resolution to estimate richness. Please, check that such information is correct. See also the information about OBServ data processing in your ‘First read me - General report’ pdf file.
- Please, check that the brief description of your methodology (in your insect_sampling file) is correct.
- Our raw data provide information for two sites. However, in Garibaldi et al. 2015, there is a system with the same features that report results for 20 fields. Are the latter and the former the same study? If so, why is the number of sites different?