
Automatic report

Study ID: Smitha_Krishnan_Coffea_canephora_India_2009

 $Contact: \ smithakrishnan@gmail.com\ /\ smitha.krishnan@env.ethz.ch$

Credit: Smitha Krishnan, ETH Zurich Publication: 10.1016/j.baae.2012.03.007

Number of sites: 9 Year of sampling: 2009 Crop: Coffea canephora

Variety: There are missing varieties (given 0 out of 9).

Location: Full information.

Country: All reported countries are OK.

Field size: There are missing field sizes (given 0 out of 9).

Management: Full information.

Sampling period: There are missing sampling periods.

Richness: Full information.

species groups considered: No taxa restrictions have been identified.

Abundance: Full information.

Visitation rate units: (average number of) visits per inflorescence and hour

Visitation rate: Full information.

Yield units: final seedset: percentage of ovules that developed into seeds at harvest

Yield: There are missing values (given 8 out of 9).

Alternative yield units: final fruitset: percentage of flowers that developed into mature fruits at harvest

Alternative yield: There are missing values (given 8 out of 9).

Queries

Instructions: Please, edit this file and answer the following queries within the document, one by one. Then send the edited 'Summary_report_and_queries' (with your comments) and your 'Data_ownership' (excel) file to alfonso.allen.perkins+observdataset@gmail.com before the 20th of July.

- Please check that credit information is correct and add the corresponding affiliations and acknowledgements/funding information in your 'Data_ownership' (excel) file.
- If your study is already published, please check that its DOI is correct.
- If possible, please provide the names of missing crop varieties.
- If possible, please provide the area of each field [in hectares].

- If possible, please provide the sampling start month and sampling end month for each field, respectively, and use a numeric format (for example, 1 for January, 2 for February and so on).
- Please check that our information about the species groups considered is correct.
- There are sites without yield. Please, check that such information is correct. If possible, please provide the missing values (or the corresponding z-scores).
- There are sites without their alternative yield values. Please, check that such information is correct. If possible, please provide the missing values (or the corresponding z-scores).
- Please, check that the brief description of your methodology (in your insect_sampling file) is correct.
- When processing your raw data, we aggregated the measurements at different distances within each site. Is it correct?