

=====  
Automatic report  
=====

Study ID: David\_Kleijn\_Malus\_domestica\_Netherlands\_2014

Contact: g.a.degroot@wur.nl

Credit: G.A. (Arjen) de Groot, Wageningen Environmental Research (Alterra) + David Kleijn, Wageningen University

Publication: De Groot et al. 2015, Alterra report 2636 (Dutch)

Number of sites: 15

Year of sampling: 2014

Crop: Malus domestica

Variety: There are missing varieties (given 0 out of 15).

Location: Full information.

Country: All reported countries are OK.

Field size: There are missing field sizes (given 14 out of 15).

Management: Full information.

Sampling period: There are missing sampling periods.

Richness: Full information.

species groups considered: No taxa restrictions have been identified.

Abundance: Full information.

Visitation rate units: NA

Visitation rate: There are missing values (given 0 out of 15).

Yield units: kg/hectare

Yield: There are missing values (given 14 out of 15).

Alternative yield units: Fruit number on fixed branch length per tree

Alternative yield: Full information.

=====  
Queries  
=====

Instructions: Please, edit this file and answer the following queries within the document, one by one. Then send the edited 'Summary\_report\_and\_queries' (with your comments) and your 'Data\_ownership' (excel) file to alfonso.allen.perkins+observeddataset@gmail.com before the 20th of July.

- =====  
  - Please check that credit information is correct and add the corresponding affiliations and acknowledgements/funding information in your 'Data\_ownership' (excel) file.
  - If your study is already published, please check that its DOI is correct.
  - If possible, please provide the names of missing crop varieties.

- If possible, please provide the area of each field [in hectares].
- If possible, please provide the sampling start month and sampling end month for each field, respectively, and use a numeric format (for example, 1 for January, 2 for February and so on).
- There are sites without visitation rate records. Please, check that such information is correct. See also the information about OBServ data processing in your ‘First read me - General report’ pdf file.
- Please check that our information about the species groups considered is correct.
- There are sites without yield. Please, check that such information is correct. If possible, please provide the missing values (or the corresponding z-scores).
- Please, check that the brief description of your methodology (in your insect\_sampling file) is correct.