\_\_\_\_\_

Automatic report

\_\_\_\_\_

Study ID: Natacha\_Chacoff\_Citrus\_paradisi\_Argentina\_2002

Contact: nchacoff@gmail.com

Credit: Natacha Chacoff (Instituto de Ecologia Regional. CONICET UNT)

Publication: 10.1111/j.1365-2664.2005.01116.x,10.1098/rspb.2007.1547

Number of sites: 4

Year of sampling: 2002 Crop: Citrus paradisi

Variety: Full information. Location: Full information.

Country: All reported countries are OK.

Field size: There are missing field sizes (given 0 out of 4).

Management: Full information.

Sampling period: There are missing sampling periods.

Richness: Full information.

species groups considered: No taxa restrictions have been identified.

Abundance: There are missing values (given 0 out of 4).

Visitation rate units: (average number of) visits per 100 flowers and hour

Visitation rate: Full information.

Yield units: fruit set (%)
Yield: Full information.
Alternative yield units: NA

Alternative yield: NA

\_\_\_\_\_\_

## Queries

\_\_\_\_\_

Instructions: Please, edit this file and answer the following queries within the document, one by one. Then send the edited 'Summary\_report\_and\_queries' (with your comments) and your 'Data\_ownership' (excel) file to alfonso.allen.perkins+observdataset@gmail.com before the 20th of July.

- Please check that credit information is correct and add the corresponding affiliations and acknowledgements/funding information in your 'Data\_ownership' (excel) file.
- If your study is already published, please check that its DOI is correct.
- If possible, please provide the area of each field [in hectares].

- If possible, please provide the sampling start month and sampling end month for each field, respectively, and use a numeric format (for example, 1 for January, 2 for February and so on).
- There are sites without abundance records. Please, check that such information is correct. See also the information about OBServ data processing in your 'First read me General report' pdf file.
- Please check that our information about the species groups considered is correct.
- Please, check that the brief description of your methodology (in your insect\_sampling file) is correct.
- When processing your raw data, we aggregated the measurements at different distances within each site. Is it correct?