
Automatic report

Study ID: Virginie_Boreux_Coffea_canephora_India_2008

Contact: virginie.boreux@nature.uni-freiburg.de

Credit: Virginie Boreux (now at Freiburg Uni), ETH Zurich

Publication: 10.1007/s13593-016-0377-7,10.1016/j.agee.2012.05.003,10.1073/pnas.1210590110

Number of sites: 112 Year of sampling: 2008 Crop: Coffea canephora

Variety: There are missing varieties (given 0 out of 112).

Location: Full information.

Country: All reported countries are OK.

Field size: There are missing field sizes (given 0 out of 112).

Management: Full information.

Sampling period: There are missing sampling periods.

Richness: There are missing values (given 56 out of 112).

species groups considered: all visitors considered. Ended up with bees only (mainly social)

Abundance: Full information.

Visitation rate units: visits per inflorescence and hour

Visitation rate: There are missing values (given 56 out of 112).

Yield units: final fruitset (%)

Yield: There are missing values (given 110 out of 112).

Alternative yield units: initial fruitset (%)

Alternative yield: There are missing values (given 98 out of 112).

Queries

Instructions: Please, edit this file and answer the following queries within the document, one by one. Then send the edited 'Summary_report_and_queries' (with your comments) and your 'Data_ownership' (excel) file to alfonso.allen.perkins+observdataset@gmail.com before the 20th of July.

- Please check that credit information is correct and add the corresponding affiliations and acknowledgements/funding information in your 'Data_ownership' (excel) file.
- If your study is already published, please check that its DOI is correct.
- If possible, please provide the names of missing crop varieties.
- If possible, please provide the area of each field [in hectares].

- If possible, please provide the sampling start month and sampling end month for each field, respectively, and use a numeric format (for example, 1 for January, 2 for February and so on).
- There are sites without visitation rate records. Please, check that such information is correct. See also the information about OBServ data processing in your 'First read me General report' pdf file.
- There are sites without richness estimations. Please, check that such information is correct.
- There are sites without yield. Please, check that such information is correct. If possible, please provide the missing values (or the corresponding z-scores).
- There are sites without their alternative yield values. Please, check that such information is correct. If possible, please provide the missing values (or the corresponding z-scores).
- Please, check that the brief description of your methodology (in your insect_sampling file) is correct.
- According to your published paper, 126 fields where analyzed. However, our raw data only provide information on 112 sites.