

EIGHTH REPORT
ON
IMPLEMENTATION OF THE RTI ACT, 2005
(1.1.2013 TO 31.12.2013)

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION,
HARYANA**

**SCO 70-71 & 114-115,
SECTOR 8 C, MADHYA MARG
CHANDIGARH-160009**

**Website: WWW.eicharyana.gov.in
E-mail: ussicqry@yahoo.co.in**

CONTENTS

Chapter No.	Subject	Page no.
I.	Chapter-I: Introduction	3-5
II.	Chapter-II: Constitution of the State Information Commission Haryana	6-11
III.	Chapter-III : Salary and allowances	12-13
IV.	Chapter-IV: Administration of the Right to Information Act	14-17
V.	Chapter-V : Record Management/ Retention of Record	18
VI.	Chapter-VI : Monitoring and Reporting	19-22
VII.	Chapter-VII : Status of Complaints and appeals	23-29
VIII	Chapter-VIII: Creation of awareness about the Act.	30-31
IX	Chapter-IX: Recommendations for the reformation	32-34
Annexure-A	Haryana Right to Information Rules.2009	35-41
Annexure-B	Information received from Public Authorities	42-50
Annexure-C	Major Public Authorities from whom information has not been received	51-57
Annexure-D	Details of penalty imposed upon the State Public Information Officers	58-79
Annexure-E	List of cases in which Disciplinary Proceedings were recommended	80-81
Annexure-F	Details of Compensation Awarded to the Appellants/Complainants	82-90

CHAPTER- 1

INTRODUCTION

The Right to Information is a cherished right of citizens and a master key to good governance. Informed citizenry is vital to a democracy. It is like oxygen to living objects. The implementation of Right to Information Act, 2005 (herein after called RTI Act) has been instrumental in bringing a paradigm shift from a culture of secrecy to one of openness and transparency. It is a change from unilateral decision making to participatory government. It makes government and decision-making not only participatory but also inclusive. The Act provides for setting out the practical regime of right to information for citizens to secure access to information under the control of public authorities, in order to promote transparency and accountability in the working of every public authority. The RTI Act is an expression of the people's aspiration for an open Government. It is imperative to contain corruption and to hold Government and their instrumentalities accountable to the citizens. With such objectives, the Right to Information Act, 2005 was enacted on 15th June, 2005.

- 1.1** Information does not belong to the Government. It belongs to everybody and any information gathered by the Government is for the benefit of the public. The RTI Act has come to establish "transparency as the norm and secrecy as an exception."

- 1.2** The Right to Information thus places the obligation on the Government to store and organize information in such a way that makes it easily accessible to the public and to provide information proactively by responding promptly to all such requests for information.
- 1.3** The system that was put in place has provided access to information and is a positive step taken by the Government to achieve economic and social goals, poverty alleviation and reduction of corruption. It has brought radical change in governance culture.
- 1.4** This progressive legislation has empowered citizens. The public authorities are responding positively in catering to the needs of the people by providing the required information.
- 1.5** Further, the Commission is mandated to submit its Annual Report to the Government under section 25(1) of the RTI Act. In pursuance to this , the Commission is presenting an overview of the status of implementation of the RTI Act during 2013 (01.01.2013 to 31.12.2013)
- 1.6** This report contains analysis of the data received from the state government departments in accordance with the responsibility cast on them under section 25(2) and 25(3) of the RTI Act.
- 1.7** The report includes :-
 - (i) Number of requests for information received and disposed of by the Departments.
 - (ii) Number of requests rejected u/s 8 & 9 of the RTI Act.

- (iii) Number of appeals /complaints received & disposed by the commission.
- (iv) Amount collected as application fee and further fee collected by the State Public Information Officers.
- (v) Amount of penalty imposed on SPIO's and compensation awarded.
- (vi) Analysis of information furnished by departments.

1.8 The Annual Report also presents a detailed account of activities and events associated with the State Information Commission as well as its Budget during 2012-2013 and 2013-2014.

CHAPTER-II

Constitution of the State Information Commission, Haryana

- 2.1 The State Information Commission Haryana is a statutory Authority vested with quasi-judicial powers established on 31st October ,2005 under RTI Act, 2005. Section 15 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 provides for constitution of State Information Commission in every State of the Country. State Information Commission, Haryana was notified on 31st October, 2005 and commenced its functioning from 1st November, 2005.
- 2.2 The duties and functions of the Commission are to conduct efficient and fair examination into complaints/appeals of access to information requests. The Commission strives to maximize compliance of the provisions of the Act for disclosure of public sector information in order to promote transparency and accountability in the working of every Public Authority.
- 2.3 Shri Naresh Gulati, IAS (Retired), assumed the charge with effect from 20th May, 2011 (AN) and is the present State Chief Information Commissioner.
- 2.4 Sh. M. R. Ranga and Sh. Prem Veer Singh State Information Commissioners demitted the office on completion of their tenure on 2nd January, 2013. In exercise of the powers conferred in sub-section 3 of Section 15 of the RTI Act, the State Government inducted three more

Information Commissioners in the Commission on 01.11.2013. During the report period the strength of the Commission was as under:-

Name	Inducted as	Date of assuming the charge
Shri Naresh Gulati, IAS (Retd.)	Chief Information Commissioner	20.05.2011 (AN)
Smt. Urvashi Gulati, IAS (Retd.)	State Information Commissioner	31.03.2012
Maj.Gen. Retd. J.S.Kundu	State Information Commissioner	03.09.2012
Shri Sajjan Singh, IAS (Retd.)	State Information Commissioner	03.09.2012
Shri Prahlad Rai Meena, IPS (Retd.)	State Information Commissioner	03.09.2012
Shri Samir Mathur, IAS (Rtd.)	State Information Commissioner	01.11.2013
Shri Yoginder Paul Gupta	State Information Commissioner	01.11.2013
Shri Hemant Atri	State Information Commissioner	01.11.2013

Headquarter of the Commission and its functioning

- 2.5 The Commission's Headquarter is at Chandigarh. The office of the State Information Commission is functioning from two buildings SCO No. 70-71 (First and second Floor) and SCO 114-115, Sector-8-C, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh. Both these building have been allotted by the State Government for the Commission's office.
- 2.6 Keeping in view the convenience of the complainants, appellants, designated State Public Information Officers and the First Appellate Authorities, the Commission continued with the practice of holding

hearings at the District Headquarters, which was initiated in 2012. This has led to speedy disposal of matters besides saving time and cost of all the stakeholders. This has benefitted the information seekers as well as respondents.

Allocation of budget to the State Information Commission

2.7 The State Government allocates funds to the State Information Commission, to meet its requirements. The State Government has made the following allocation of funds under the head of account "2070-Other Administrative Services-Non Plan:-

Year	Funds provided (₹ in Lacs)	
	Original	Final
2005-2006,	30.00	26.79
2006-2007	140.04	126.00
2007-2008	167.94	135.05
2008-2009	244.27	212.02
2009-2010	265.62	233.04
2010-2011	269.34	223.74
2011-2012	257.97	237.38
2012-2013	296.06	282.47
2013-2014	385.60	380.59

2.8 The breakup of the funds for the year 2012-2013 and 2013-14 under the various sub heads was as under:-

Sr. No.	Object	(₹ in Lacs)	
		2012-2013 (Final)	2013-2014 (Original)

1.	Salary	95.89	105.00
2.	Dearness Allowance	69.05	92.00
3.	Travel Expenses	3.48	5.00
4.	Office Expenses	32.00	35.00
5.	Motor Vehicle	2.27	20.00
6.	POL	10.99	12.00
7.	Medical Charges	1.01	08.00
8.	Contractual services	39.88	40.00
9.	Professional & Special Services	17.00	20.00
10.	LTC	1.86	4.00
11.	Information Technology	9.04	10.00
	Total	282.47	351.00

2.9 The State Government sanctioned the following posts for the smooth functioning of the Commission. The detail of these posts alongwith sanctioned Pay Band and Grade Pay is as follows:-

Sr. No.	Number and nomenclature of the post	Sanctioned pay scale
1.	One Chief Information Commissioner	₹ 90000/- fixed
2.	Seven State Information commissioners	₹ 80000/- fixed
3.	One Secretary (From the Joint Secretary level)	Pay Band of ₹ 37400-67000 + Grade Pay ₹ 8000.
4.	One Senior Secretary to CIC	Pay Band of ₹ 15600-39100+Grade Pay ₹ 7600.
5.	One Under Secretary	Pay Band of ₹ 15600-39100 + Grade Pay of ₹ 6000.
6.	Seven Private Secretary to SIC	Pay Band of ₹ 9300-34800 + Grade Pay ₹ 5400.
7.	Legal Consultant	Remuneration as fixed by the Commission.
8.	One Research Officer-cum-Consultant	Pay Band of ₹ 9300-34800 + Grade Pay ₹ 4600 GP

9.	One Superintendent	Pay Band ₹ 9300-34800 + Grand Pay ₹ 4200 and ₹ 200 SP.
10.	One Programmer	Pay Band ₹ 9300-34800 + Grand Pay ₹ 4200 but permitted to appoint on contractual basis through HARTRON.
11.	Nine Personal Assistants	Pay Band ₹ 9300-34800 + Grand Pay ₹ 4200.
12.	Two Assistants	Pay Band of ₹ 9300-34800 + Grade Pay ₹ 3600.
13.	One Accounts Assistant	Pay Band of ₹ 9300-34800 + Grade Pay ₹ 3600.
14.	Eight Readers	Pay Band of ₹ 9300-34800 + Grade Pay ₹ 3600.
15.	One Junior Scale Stenographer	Pay Band of ₹ 5200-20200 + Grade Pay ₹ 2400.
16.	Eight Steno Typist	Grade Pay of ₹ 5200-20200 + Grade Pay ₹ 1900 and ₹ 100 SP.
17.	Eleven Clerks-cum-Computer Operators	Grade Pay of ₹ 5200-20200 + Grade Pay ₹ 1900 and ₹ 40 SP.
18.	Ten Drivers	Pay Band of ₹ 5200-20200 + Grade Pay ₹ 2400 and ₹ 300 SP.
19.	Twenty three Peons	Grade Pay of ₹ 4440-7440 + Grade Pay ₹ 1300 and ₹ 30 SP.

As on 31st December 2013, all the posts remained filled up in the Commission. As a matter of policy, the staff was taken on transfer from the Haryana Civil Secretariat, Secretariat of Revenue and Disaster Management and other Departments of the State Government. When

officials were not available for appointment by way of transfer, appointments were made through identified outsourcing agency or on contract basis.

CHAPTER - III

Salary and allowances

3.1 The salary and allowances payable and other terms and conditions of service as provided under Sub Section 5 of Section 16 of the RTI Act are as below:-

- (i) The State Chief Information Commissioner shall be the same as that of an Election Commissioner;
- (ii) The State Information Commissioner shall be the same as that of the Chief Secretary to the State Government;

Provided that if the State Chief Information Commissioner or a State Information Commissioner, at the time of his appointment is, in receipt of a pension, other than a disability or wound pension, in respect of any previous service under the Government of India or under the Government of a State, his salary in respect of the service as the State Chief Information Commissioner or a State Information Commissioner shall be reduced by the amount of that pension including any portion of pension which was commuted and pension equivalent of other forms of retirement benefits excluding pension equivalent to retirement gratuity;

3.2 The salary and allowances payable to other officers and employees of the State Information Commission, Haryana shall be at par with other State Government employees. Personnel appointed on contract basis or out

sourced are entitled for payments at the contract rates which shall not be less than the minimum wages.

CHAPTER-IV

Administration of the Right to Information Act

4.1 Proactive Suo-moto disclosure of information:

The Act lays down that it shall be a constant endeavor of every public authority to take steps in accordance with the requirement of Clause (b) of Sub Section (1) to provide as much information suo-moto to the public at regular intervals through various means of communications, including internet so that the public have minimum resort to the use of this Act to obtain information. Proactive disclosure is likely to promote transparency and accountability in the working of every public authority besides help in containing or discouraging corruption. The need to access basic information relating to the mandate and the functioning of any public authority by having recourse to Section 6 of the RTI Act is likely to be minimized. Information placed in public domain requires to be updated periodically. The Commission observes that public authorities in the State have made suo-moto disclosure of information. However, there is an urgent need to focus on update of information. Each public authority needs to analyze the kind of information which is being sought by the information seekers under Section 6 of the Act. Such information ought to be proactively disclosed for easy access.

4.2 Record to be indexed, catalogued and computerized

The Right to Information puts each public authority under an obligation to maintain all its records duly catalogued and indexed in a manner and form which facilitates access; computerize and connect through a network all over the country on different systems within a reasonable time subject to availability of resources. Access to records is to be facilitated. Inefficient maintenance and retrieval of record act as a hindrance in the free flow of information to information seekers. It not only delays the citizen's access to information but also unduly burdens the public authority in terms of time and resources.

4.3 Provisions of adequate staff and budget for furnishing the information.

The Right to Information Act, 2005 can successfully create a practical regime for information sharing with the citizen if there is an effective delivery mechanism in public authorities especially at the district and block levels. Some of the public authorities at the district or at the block level do not have basic infrastructure like photocopiers, computers etc. to provide information to the public. Since funds under contingency are limited, the option to get the record photocopied from the market is neither feasible nor desirable. Hence, hand written and illegible information is sometimes furnished to the information seekers. The State Government may earmark a certain percentage of budget to cater to this aspect of furnishing information under the RTI Act. Adequate provisions

be made for purchase of stationery, office equipments like photocopiers, computer etc. in the office of designated officers.

4.4 Cost and Fee rules under the RTI Act.

Section 27 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 read with Sections 6 (1), 7 (1) and 7 (5) of the Act provide for prescribing fee for submission of application and the cost for providing the information. Accordingly, the Haryana Government had notified the Haryana Right to Information Rules, 2005 on 28th October 2005 wherein the application fee was prescribed @ ₹ 50/- and additional fee @ ₹ 10/- per page. The State Government after reconsidering the matter and by repealing the Haryana Right to Information Rules, 2005 notified Haryana Right to Information Rules, 2009 on 21st December 2009 wherein the additional fee to be charged under sub Section 5 of Section 7 of the Act was reduced from ₹10/- to ₹ 2/- per page. Copy of the rules notified on 21st December 2009 is appended at **Annexure 'A'**.

4.5 Competent authorities:

Under Section 2 (e) of the RTI Act, 2005, the following authorities are defined as competent authorities:

- (i) Speaker of the Legislative Assembly of a State and the Chairmen in the case of Legislative Council of a State;
- (ii) The Governor of the State; and
- (iii) The Chief Justice in the High Court in the case of High Court and the Subordinate Court.

These competent authorities, in exercise of powers conferred under Section 28 (1) of the Act can make their own rules to carry out the

provisions of the Act. The public authority in the Raj Bhavan, Haryana has decided to adopt the Haryana Right to Information Rules, 2009 as notified by the State Government. However, the public authority of Punjab and Haryana High Court has notified its own rules namely High Court of Punjab & Haryana (Right to Information) Rules, 2007 and Haryana Subordinate Courts (Right to Information) Rules, 2007. The public authority of High Court has uploaded rules on its website www.highbenchchd.gov.in. Similarly, the State Legislative Assembly has also notified their own rules namely Haryana Vidhan Sabha Secretariat Right to Information Rules, 2006 and are available on their website www.haryanaassembly.gov.in

4.6 Exemptions issued by the State of Haryana.

The State of Haryana in exercise of the power vested in Section 24 (4) of the RTI Act, 2005 has exempted the following six Intelligence and Security Organizations of the State through notification no. 5/4/2005-1AR, dated 29th Dec., 2005:

- State Criminal Investigation Department (CID); including the Crime Branch;
- Haryana Armed Police;
- Security Organizations of Police;
- Haryana Police Telecommunication Organization;
- India Reserve Battalion;
- Commando.

CHAPTER V

Record Management/Retention of Record

5.1 One major constraint faced by Public Information Officers in providing information in a timely manner is the poor state of record management in most of the public authorities.

Section 4(1) (a) of the RTI Act, 2005 casts an obligation on every public authority to properly manage and speedily computerize its records. However, the progress in this direction is tardy. There is a need to undertake steps to classify record as per State Government's retention of record schedule for better record management. The shelves in the branches of public authorities are overflowing with records including record which ought to be weeded out as per retention of record schedule which makes it difficult to retrieve information sought by the information seeker. This makes the task of digitization more daunting than it is.


The Commission recommends that the government needs to place a dynamic time bound framework in which the record should be properly maintained, managed and weeded out.

CHAPTER-VI

Monitoring and Reporting

6.1 Section 25 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 provides that State Information Commission at the end of each year shall prepare a report on the implementation of the provisions of this Act for the year and forward a copy to the appropriate Government. The report is required to be laid before the State Legislature thereafter. Section 25 (2) of the Act further mandates that each Ministry or Department or Public Undertakings shall in relation to the public authorities within their jurisdiction collect and provide such information to the State Information Commission as is required to prepare the Annual Report by the Commission. The Commission noted delay in furnishing of statistics by the public authorities which caused delay in the preparation of draft Annual Report and its laying before the State Legislature. The Commission received statistics from **89** public authorities. The rest of the public authorities did not respond. Majority of the public authorities did not respond within the stipulated period. The data received is annexed at **Annexure 'B'**. The list of public authorities which have yet not sent the data as mandated under Section 25 of the Act is annexed at **Annexure 'C'**.

6.2 As per available information during the period from 01.01.2013 to 31.12.2013, a total of **31567** applications were received by the reporting public authorities. The access to information was withheld on account of exemption provisions in **75** and **23** cases under Section 8 and 9 respectively of the RTI Act, 2005. Thus access to information was denied in about **0.3** percentage of total cases only. A total sum of **₹16,34,761/-** was deposited as prescribed application fee and additional fee by the applicants during the period under report. The classification of disposal and their percentage are given as under:



6.3 Suggestions received from the Public Authorities for improved implementation of the Act.

Suggestions have been received from various public authorities for improving the implementation of the provisions of the RTI Act as part of

reports under Section 25(2) of the Act. Some salient recommendations are listed as under:-

- (i) The designated State Public Information Officer should be a senior level officer who could take action against the erring officer/official for causing delay in supplying the information.
- (ii) Additional fee should be enhanced to discourage the information seeker for frivolous information.
- (iii) Numerous RTI applications seeking frivolous and voluminous information are received which not only divert the attention of the staff but also resources in collecting such information which do not serve any public interest. In order to discourage frivolous RTI applications and to ensure that genuine information seekers get due attention, it is suggested that only one information be allowed in one RTI application.
- (iv) The BPL applicants, in particular, often seek voluminous information which may not even interest them. Generally the BPL applicants are seeking the entire information on the functioning of the public authority which is apparently a misuse of the RTI Act. In such cases there should be some mechanism under the RTI Act so that the concessions given to BPL persons in terms of free

information is not misused and the purpose for which the concession has been granted is achieved.


- (v) The stipulated period of 30 days should be enhanced in case the sought information relates to more than five years.
- (vi) Adequate arrangements for imparting training and refresher courses for the designated SPIOs/FAAs on regular intervals would be of immense assistance.

CHAPTER-VII

Status of Complaints and Appeals

7.1 The State Information Commission exercises original jurisdiction to receive and enquire into the complaints under Section 18 (2) and second appellate jurisdiction under Section 19 (3) of RTI Act to receive appeals against the decisions of the public authorities. Between the period from 01.01.2013 to 31.12.2013, 152 complaints were instituted and 121 cases were carried forward from 31.12.2012. Decisions were taken in 228 cases and 45 cases were pending as on 31.12.2013. The details of complaints under Section 18(2) are as below:


Pending cases as on 31.12.2012	Complaints instituted during the period under report		Decided during the period	Pending as on 31.12.2013
	1.1.2013 to 31.12.2013	Total		
121	152	273	228	45



7.2 The State information exercises appellate jurisdiction under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005. Second appeals against the orders of FAA are decided under this Section. Between 1.1.2013 to 31.12.2013, 5423 appeals were instituted and 2399 cases were carried forward from 31.12.2012. Orders were passed in 6330 cases and 1492 cases were pending as on 31.12.2013.

(II) Details of appeals under Section 19(3) are as below:

Pending cases as on 31.12.2012	Appeals instituted during the period under report		Decided during the period	Pending as on 31.12.2013
	1.1.2013 to 31.12.2013	Total		
2399	5423	7822	6330	1492



- 7.3** During the period under report, the Commission tendered advice to 804 applicants.
- 7.4** The State Information Commission affords opportunity of hearing to the complainants/appellant. Both the parties are summoned and heard. Written comments are sought from respondent-SPIOs and FAAs and the information seeker is at liberty to file rejoinder. Personal hearing is afforded to the third parties, depending on the facts of the case. Decisions are pronounced after examining the written as well as oral submissions of parties and perusing the relevant record during hearing.

7.5 Status of Penalty during the year 2013.

The State Information Commission has the power to impose any of the penalties provided under Section 20 of the Act or reject the application

by closing the matter after hearing. The Commission during 01.01.2013 to 31.12.2013 issued **1457** show cause notices for imposing penalty to the State Public Information Officers and the Deemed State Public Information Officers under Section 20 (1) of the RTI Act, 2005. Penalty of ₹ 14,17,000/- was imposed on State Public Information Officers/Deemed State Public Information Officers respectively who had failed to furnish complete information within the specified time limit and the delay was not found to be due to reasonable cause. Details of such cases for the period of report from 01.01.2013 to 31.12.2013 are placed at **Annexure 'D'** alongwith the details of amount imposed on account of penalty.

7.6 Recommendations of Disciplinary Action under Section 20 (2):

The Commission in exercise of powers conferred in Section 20 (2) of the Act, is empowered to recommend initiation of disciplinary action against delinquent officers/officials who fail to provide timely and complete information to the State Public Information Officer without any reasonable cause, depending on the facts of the case. During the year under report, disciplinary action under the service rules was recommended for nine delinquent officers/officials. The details are annexed at **Annexure 'E'**.

7.7 Compensation under Section 19 (8) (b):

Section 19 (8) (b) of the Act empowered the Commission to require the public authorities to compensate the complainants or the appellants for any loss or detriment suffered by them. Details of these cases in which compensation had been awarded during the report period of 01.01.2013 to 31.12.2013 are at **Annexure 'F'**. During the period under report, compensation has been awarded to the 222 appellate/complainants. It is pertinent to mention here that some of the public authorities decided to recover the same from the State Public Information Officer or the person found responsible for the delay.

7.8 Court Cases

The aggrieved parties have a right to move Civil Writ Petitions in the Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court at Chandigarh against the decision of the Commission. As the Commission decides matters in its statutory capacity under the provisions of the Right to Information Act, 2005, hence, it was decided not to defend writs against its statutory decisions.

7.9 Accepting interns in the State Information Commission:

Young Law students from prestigious Universities were accepted as interns. During 2013, the Law Department of Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra and Panjab University, Chandigarh recommended their students for internship with the Commission. They were allowed to

observe the proceedings and were exposed to the provisions of the RTI Act.

7.10 Website and email address of the Commission:

The cause list and orders passed by the Commission on Complaints/appeals cases are posted on the website. The website of the Commission www.cicharyana.gov.in is operational. The email address of the Commission is ussichry@yahoo.co.in.

7.11 Hearing through Video Conferencing:

The Government of India, Ministry of Personnel, Public Grievances and Pensions, Department of Personnel & Training, New Delhi released an amount of ₹ 9,68,540/- towards second installment of IT enablement under the erstwhile scheme " Strengthening and Capacity Building And Awareness Generation for Effective Implementation of the Right To Information Act " under the Centrally Sponsored plan Scheme during the year 2012 . The Scheme aims at strengthening the infrastructure of the Commission in terms of computers, up-gradation of the existing website, file tracking system, application status through SMS, digitization of record, video conferencing facility.

With the financial assistance extended by the Government of India, Ministry of Personnel, Public Grievances and Pensions, Department of personnel & Training, New Delhi, the Commission is using the Video

Conferencing facility to hear and dispose of the cases. The facility is a boost to the appellants/complaints residing in far flung areas of the State. This facility has become operational with effect from **20.05.2013**. Video conferencing facility for conducting hearings is a salient feature of the year 2013. The NIC and Hartron have assisted the Commission in making the facility operational.

CHAPTER-VIII

Creation of awareness about the Act.

8.1 Section 26 of the Right to Information Act directs the State Government to develop and organize programmes to create awareness among the public, in particular of disadvantaged communities, as to how to exercise the rights contemplated under this Act. The Sub Section 1 (d) of Section 26 of the Act casts an obligation on the Government to train State Public Information Officer of public authorities and produce relevant training material for use by the public authorities. The Commission in its earlier reports had suggested launching of programmes for creating awareness of the public and training of designated authorities for ensuring effective implementation of the Act. The Government has responded well and is taking keen interest in this area.

(i) Training for designated authorities

The State Government has designated the Haryana Institute of Public Administration (HIPA), Gurgaon, a premier training institute, as nodal agency in this regard. Training for the designated officers which includes SAPIOs/SPIOs and FAAs is being imparted at regular intervals by HIPA as well as other training institutes like HVPNL Technical Training Institutes for power utility department and Haryana Institute of Rural Development, Nilokheri. Training of employees other than the designated

authorities is also being conducted to sensitize them and to make them aware of the provisions of the Act. As per the data received from the HIPA, the agency during the year 2013, has regularly held training courses for employees of various public authorities in order to sensitize, train and enable them to appreciate the letter and spirit of the Act. The training data as received from the State Training Institute is as under:

Year	No. of Batches	Total no. of employees imparted training	Expenditure involved
2013	66	4457	₹ 36.39 lacs

(ii) Publication of directory and a user guide.

The Commission in its first and second report recommended that a guide in the official language should be compiled to assist the citizens in exercise of their right to access information under the Act. It was also recommended to prepare a directory of the designated SAPIOs/SPIOs and the FAAs. Now in the year of 2008 on the recommendations of the State Government the HIPA, Gurgaon has published a directory of the designated officer's alongwith a user guide on RTI and a ready reckoner on the Act in English and Hindi for the use of citizens of the State.

CHAPTER IX

Recommendations for the reformation

The Right to Information Act casts responsibility on the State Information Commission as well as public authorities to suggest measures for effective implementation of the Act. The Commission makes the following recommendations to the State Government and the public authorities:-

- (i) The compliance with the provisions of Section 4 of the Act needs major improvement in terms of content as well as updating of information. Despite repeated directions of the State Government, a large number of the public authorities have not uploaded quality information on website as mandated under Section 4 (1) (b) of the Act. Updating of the website is an area where the head of the public authority needs to be sensitized and made responsible for getting it meticulously implemented. Proactive disclosure of information would lead to enhanced transparency and accountability. It would also minimize the work load of public authorities in responding to RTI queries. The Government is requested to issue directions to the public authorities to set up in house monitoring system and other necessary steps in this regard.

- (ii) The Commission recommends strengthening of training infrastructure and making it obligatory for public authorities to train their SPIOs/FAAs/custodians of record periodically. Training is a continuous process. A trained designated official will be an asset to the public authority. It will reduce the number of appeals and complaints. Citizens stand to gain when their RTI applications are processed efficiently and correctly.
- (iii) State Public Information Officers need to be trained for increased sensitization to the needs of citizens. A massive round of training of SPIOs must be undertaken to enable them to discharge the responsibilities cast on them under the Act.
- (iv) In exercise of the powers vested under Section 20 (1) of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commission imposes penalty on the delinquent State Public Information Officers and the referred SPIOs on the ground of non-compliance of the provisions of the Act. The amount of penalty is required to be deducted from salary of errant official/officer within the stipulated time mentioned in the order and to deposit the penal amount under proper receipt head of the State Budget. But the pace of recovery is very slow. The Government is required to set up a mechanism for monitoring of such penalties and to ensure the recovery of the amount imposed by the Commission.

(v) The Commission recommends to the State Government that the public authorities need to be provided adequate staff and budget for discharging responsibilities under the Right to Information for furnishing the information. The basic infrastructure like staff, photocopiers, computers etc. needs to be strengthened at the district and block level of administrative machinery. RTI Act is a legislation which has strict time limits for execution and there is personal liability on the State Public Information Officer concerned for delays. As the Act gains more popularity, the numbers of RTI applications are expected to increase significantly.

Annexure 'A'
HARYANA GOVERNMENT
ADMINISTRATIVE REFORMS DEPARTMENT
Notification

The 21st December, 2009

No.S.O.99/C.A.22/2005/S.27/2009.—In exercise of the powers conferred sub-section (1) read with sub-section (2) of section 27 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (Act 22 of 2005), the Governor of Haryana hereby makes the following rules providing for information under the said Act, namely :—

Short title and commencement.

1. (1) These rules may be called the **Haryana Right to Information Rules, 2009**.
(2) They shall come into force from 1st January, 2010.
2. (1) In these rules, unless the context otherwise requires,
 - a) "Act" means the Right to Information Act, 2005 (Act 22 of 2005);
 - b) "Commission" means the Haryana Information Commission, constituted under section 15 of the Act;
 - c) "Model Form" means a model form appended to these rules;
 - d) "section" means the section of the Act.

(2) The words and expressions used in these rules, but not defined, shall have the same meanings as respectively assigned to them in the Act.

Application for
Obtaining
Information
Sections 2(m), 6

3. (1) a person who desires to obtain any information admissible under the Act, shall make an application, preferably in Model Form 'A' to the State Public Information Officer and in his absence to State Assistant Public Information Officer along-with fee and 27 as specified in sub-rule (1) of rule 5 of these rules.

(2) On the receipt of an application, made under sub-rule (1), the State Public Information Officer or State Assistant Public Information Officer as the case may be, shall give a receipt in token, thereof to the applicant.

Deposit of fee
Section 6

4. (1) The fee shall be deposited with the State Public Information Officer either in Cash against proper receipt, by Bank Draft, by Indian Postal Order or by treasury Challán in the following Heads of Account :—

Major Head	0070—Other Administrative Services
Sub Major Head	60—Other Services
Minor Head	800-Other Receipts
Sub Head	86—Fee under the Right to Information Act, 2005.
Detailed head	"0070-Other Administrative Services-60-Other Services-800-Other Receipts-86 Fee under the Right to Information Act, 2005".

(2) The amount of fee shall be credited to the account as referred in sub-rule (1):

Provided that the Boards, Corporations and other autonomous bodies of the State may get the amount of requisite fee deposited in their own accounts maintained by the.

(3) On receipt of an application, submitted under sub-rule (1) of rule 3, the State Public Information Officer shall scrutinize the application and shall assess how much fee is required to be paid by the applicant for obtaining the information.

(4) The fee assessed under sub-rule (3), shall be intimated to the applicant by the State Public Information Officer, expeditiously, in Model Form 'B' to ensure the delivery of information within time specified under sub-section (1) of section 7 of the Act.

(5) In case the applicant fails to deposit the requisite fee within a fifteen days after the issuance of the intimation given to him under sub- rule (4), it shall be construed that the applicant is no longer interested in obtaining the information sought for and his application shall be deemed to have been filed.

Quantum of fee.
Section 6 and 7

5. (1) An application for obtaining any information under sub section (1) of the section 6 shall be accompanied with a fee of Rs. 50/-.

(2) For providing information under sub-section (1) of section 7, the fee shall be charged from the applicant at the following rates, namely: —

- (a) Rs. 2/- for each page in A-4 or A-3 size paper, created or copied; and
- (b) if information is to be provided on a large size of paper than that specified in clause (a), the actual cost shall be charged.

(3) For providing information under sub-section (5) of section 7, the fee shall be charged from the applicant at the following rates, namely:-

- (a) Rs. 50/- for providing information in a floppy;
- (b) Rs. 100/- for providing information in diskette; and
- (c) if information sought is of such a nature, which is contained in a printed document, of which a price has been fixed, then that information shall be provided after charging the price, fixed for that printed document. However, if only an extract or page of such a printed document is asked for, then a fee of Rs. 2/- per page shall be charged.

(4) No fee for inspection of record shall be charged, if such an inspection is made for one hour only. However, if inspection is made for a period of more than one hour, then a fee of rupees ten shall be charged for every fifteen minutes in excess of first hour. Every fraction of the period above fifteen minutes shall be construed as complete period of fifteen minutes and it shall be charged as full period of fifteen minutes.

Procedure for
filing appeals.
Sections 19(1)
and (3)

6. (1) The memorandum of appeal shall contain the following information, namely :—

- (a) name and address of the appellant, including the details of contact telephone/mobile Numbers/e-mail address, if any;
- (b) official designation and address of State Public Information Officer or State Assistant Public Information Officer, as the case may be ;
- (c) official designation and address of the Officer against the decision of whom the appeal is preferred;
- (d) particulars of the order including number, if any , against which the appeal is preferred;
- (e) brief facts leading to the appeal;
- (f) prayer or relief sought;
- (g) grounds for the prayer or relief;
- (h) verification by the appellant; and

(i) any other information which the Commission may deem necessary for deciding the appeal.

(2) the appellant shall submit three copies of the memorandum of appeal for official purpose.

(3) Every appeal made to the Commission shall be accompanied by the following documents, namely :—

- (a) self attested copies of the Orders or documents against which the appeal is being preferred;
- (b) copies of documents relied upon by the appellant and referred to in the appeal; and
- (c) an index of the documents referred to in the appeal.

Provided that in case complete documents are not furnished, the appeal shall not be rejected but the appellant shall be asked to complete the above formalities.

Procedure for
Deciding appeal
Section 19(10)

7. Before deciding an appeal, the Commission shall,—

- (a) serve notice to the concerned persons;
- (b) entertain any evidence in support of appeal, which may be oral or in writing from the concerned persons;
- (c) examine on oath or an affidavit from the persons concerned;
- (d) examine the documents or any records or copies thereof;
- (e) inquire through the authorized office the facts of the appeal or may require facts in detail, if he so deems appropriate, hear the State Public Information Officer or any other senior officer who had decided the first appeal, as the case may be; and
- (f) receive evidence on affidavits from the State Public Information Officer or any senior officer who had decided the appeal or from any other

person from whom the evidence may be deemed necessary.

Mode of
serving notice
section 19(10)

8. The Commission may serve notice to the persons concerned in any of the following modes, namely :—

- (a) By hand delivery (dasti) through process server;
- (b) By Registered Post, Speed Post, Under Postal Certificate, Courier or such other means ;
- (c) By electronic mail, if e-mail address is provided ; or
- (d) By publication in the newspaper.

Appearance or
Appellant /
Complainant

9. The appellant or the complainant, as the case may be, shall in every case be informed of the date of hearing at least 15 clear days before that date. If the complainant/ appellant fails to appear on the date of hearing, the Commission will decide the matter on merits:

Provided that where the Commission is satisfied that the circumstances exist due to which the complainant or appellant is being prevented from attending the hearing, then, he may afford the complainant or appellant another opportunity of being heard before taking a final decision.

Order by
Commission
section 19(10)
(2)

10. (1) the Commission shall make order in writing and pronounce the same in the presence of the concerned parties.

The party concerned may obtain the copy of the order from the Commission.

Repeal and
Saving

11. The Haryana Right to Information Rules, 2005 are hereby repealed:

Provided that any order made or action taken under the rules so repealed shall be deemed to have been made or taken under the corresponding provisions of these rules.

MODEL FORM 'A'

[See rule 3(1)]

To

State Public Information Officer/
State Assistant Public Information Officer,
_____(Name of the office with address)

- (1) Full Name of the applicant:
- (2) Address:
- (3) Particulars of Information required—
- (i) Subject matter of information*
 - (ii) The period to which the information relates**
 - (iii) Description of the information required***
 - (iv) Whether information is required by post or in person
(actual postal charges shall be include in additional fee)
 - (v) In case by post (Ordinary, Registered or Speed post)

Place:

Date:

Signature of the applicant.

* Broad category of the subject to be included
(such as grant / Government land/ Service matters/ Licenses etc.)

** Relevant period for which information is required to be indicated.

*** Specific details of the information are required to be indicated.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Received your application dated Vide diary
No..... dated.....

Signature of State Public Information Officer/
State Assistant Public Information Officer
(Name of the Department/Office).....

MODEL FORM 'B'
[See rule 4(4)]

From

Designation of the State Public
Information Officer.

To

Name of the applicant

Address of the applicant.

Sir,

Please refer to your application dated
addressed to the undersigned requesting for information under Right
to Information Act, 2005.

1. The additional fee for supplying this information is ₹.....
2. You are requested to pay the fee through any of the mode of payment i.e. either in cash against proper receipt, **by Bank Draft, by Indian Postal Order or by Treasury Challan** as mentioned in rule 4(1) of the Haryana Right to Information Rules, 2009 and send a copy of proof thereof to this office so that the requisite information can be supplied to you.
3. In case you are dissatisfied with the above estimate, you are requested to submit an appeal before the Appellate Authority.....

(Name of the department).

State Public Information Officer

**URVASHI GULATI,
Chief Secretary to Government, Haryana**

REGISTER OF REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION & DISPOSAL OF REQUESTS UNDER RTI ACT, 2005

				w.e.f. 1-1-2013 to 31-12-2013					
Sr. No.	Name of the Public Authority	Number of requests received	No. of requests rejected (reasons in brief) as per provisions of the Act.	Particulars of any disciplinary action taken against any official on account of violation of	The amount of charges collected	Factual report of the efforts made by the Public Authorities to administer and implement the spirit and intention of this Act.	Recommendations, if any, received for the development/ improvement/ modernization/ reform or amendment to this Act or other legislation or common law or any other matter relevant to RTI Act and action taken thereon.		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7			
		(a) u/s 8	(b) u/s 9	(a) On the recommendations of the Commission	(b) Otherwise	(a) Application Charges u/s 6(1)	(b) Documentation charges u/s 7(3)		

Heads of Department

1	Agriculture (Director)	492	1	1	-	-	20300	12407	All steps have been taken to
2	Animal Husbandry & Dairying (Director General)	8	-	-	-	-	300	50	-
3	Ayush (Director)	2	-	-	-	-	100	10	-
4	Civil Aviation (Advisor)	6	-	-	-	-	300	874	-
5	Higher Education (Commissioner)	2164	-	-	-	-	75300	-	-
6	Employment (Director)	174	-	-	-	-	6290	2641	-

7	Excise & Taxation (E.T.C)	1241	-	-	-	-	3813	2876	Best possible steps are taken to provide the requisite information vide the RTI Application as immediately as possible. From	
8	Food & Supplies (Director)	2384	-	-	-	-	128504	37070	Given	-
9	Forests (P.C.C.F)	764	4	3	-	-	35320	25110	-	-
10	Home Guards & Civil Defence (Comdt. Genl.)	64	-	-	-	-	2200	3386	-	-
11	Horticulture (Director)	212	-	-	-	-	6850	8785	-	-
12	Hospitality (Director)	13	-	-	-	-	160	58	Reports provided to the applicant on real facts under Administor and impliment the spirit	
13	Industries & Commerce (Director)	886	-	-	-	-	32445	22758	-	-
14	Industrial Training & Vocational Education (Director)	1138	-	-	-	-	27045	25032	Website of the Department has already been launched.SPIO of the Department has been given training regarding RTI Act by	BPL applicant should demand only information related to him/her
15	Institutional Finance & Credit Control (Director)	2	-	-	-	-	100	432	-	-

16	Labour Commissioneer Haryana, Chandigarh	688	24	6	-	-	20010	4931	-	
17	Law & Legislative (L.R & Secy.)	37	-	-	-	-	970	295	Information provided	-
18	Local Audit (Director)	84	-	-	-	-	1950	2405	-	-
19	Mines & Geology (Director)	167	-	-	-	-	5850	2458	-	-
20	Printing & Stationery (Controller)	73	-	-	-	-	3350	786		
21	PWD (B&R) (E.I.C.)	2	-	-	-	-	120	-	-	-
22	Rural Development (Director)	139	-	-	-	-	2760	40	-	-
23	Science & Technology (Director)	170	-	-	-	-	5500	1020	-	-
24	Small Savings (Director)	5	-	-	-	-	100	-	-	-
25	Supplies & Disposals (Director)	13	-	-	-	-	200	160	-	-
26	Tourism (Director)	9	-	-	-	-	150	-	-	-
27	Town & Country Planning (Director)	4042	-	-	-	-	140004	194633	-	-
28	State Transport Controller (State Transport)	99	-	-	-	-	1249	-	-	-
29	Treasuries & Accounts (Director)	151	4	-	-	-	2920	-	-	-
30	Vigilance (Inquiry Officer)	3	-	-	-	-	120	-	-	-
31	Welfare of Scheduled Castes & Backward Classes (Director)	476	-	-	-	-	9343	1764	Every efforts were made to implement this Act	-

32	Vigilance Department, Haryana	61	4	2	-	-	2600	1624	-	-
33	Engineer in chief, Public Health Engineering Department, Panchkula	1917	-	-	-	-	46615	5360	RTI Act 2005 is being followed in the Department	
34	Food & Drug Administration Haryana	420	3	1	-	-	6440	1740	Application disposed of within time as per the	
35	ESI Health	105	2	-	-	-	2350	984	-	-
	Total	18211	42	13			591628 500	359689 18		

Board & Corporation

1	Agriculture Marketing Board (Chairman)	193	1	-	-	-	2200	430	-	-
2	Agro-Industries Corpn. Ltd. (Chairman)	58	-	-	-	-	2920	1970	-	-
3	Fed of Coop. Sugar Mills Ltd. (Chairman)	17	-	1	-	-	850	60	-	-
4	Power Generation Corpn. (HPGC) (Chairman)	274	4	-	-	-	8870	9749	-	-
5	Electronics Dev. Corpn. Ltd. (HARTRON) (Chairman)	60	-	-	-	-	2750	5422	Efforts are being made for implementation of the Act.	-
6	Financial Corpn. (HFC) (Chairman)	58	9	-	-	-	2610	2184	-	-
7	Forests Dev. Corpn. Ltd. (HFDC) (Chairman)	15	2	-	-	-	500	682	-	-

8	Industrial & Infrastructure Dev. Corpn. Ltd. (HSIIDC) (Chairman)	749	-	-	-	-	7889	5444	The information is being provided with in the time period	
9	Land Reclamation & Dev. Corpn. Ltd. (HLRDC) (Chairman)	16	-	-	-	-	200	25	-	-
10	Warehousing Corpn. (Chairman)	121	-	-	-	-	4733	3795	-	-
11	Haryana Electricity Regulatory Commission (HERC)	42	-	-	-	-	800	39	The information provided regarding all the relvant document including order passed by the	
12	Cooperative socities, Haryana	33	-	-	-	-	1550	-	-	-
13	CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar	616	1	-	-	-	16186	37594		
14	State Information Commission	159	-	-	1	-	6795	5266	-	-
15	National Rural Health Mission	102	-	-	-	-	2780	-	-	0
16	Technical Education, Board	314	-	-	-	-	13165	-	-	-
17	Bhagat Phool Singh Vishwavidyalay	188	-	-	-	-	5600	5438		
Total		3578	15				80398	82935		

Divisional Commissioners

12	Commissioner, Hisar Division, Hisar.	78	2	-	-	-	2900	1145	-	-
	Commissioner, Rohtak Division, Rohtak.	89	-	-	-	-	1500	420	-	-
	Total	167	2				4400	1565		

Deputy Commissioners											
1	Deputy Commissioner, Bhiwani	1382	-	-	-	-	36350	905	-	-	-
2	Deputy Commissioner, Fatehabad	1300	-	-	-	-	32985	15538	-	-	-
3	Deputy Commissioner, Jhajjar	89	-	-	-	-	3840	-	-	-	-
4	Deputy Commissioner, Kurukshetra	504	-	-	-	-	16100	3942	-	-	-
5	Deputy Commissioner, Rewari	813	-	-	-	-	26329	28448	-	-	-
6	Deputy Commissioner, Sirsia	710	-	-	-	-	23280	-	-	-	-
7	Deputy Commissioner, Sonepat	406	-	-	-	-	11580	1174	-	-	-
	Total	5204					150564	50007			

Administrative Secretaries

1	Chief Secretary to Government Haryana, Chandigarh	193	6	2	-	-	4730	8561	-	-	-
2	Political Department	34	-	-	-	-	600	137	-	-	-
3	Environment Department	481	5	-	-	-	15980	35833	-	-	-
4	Revenue & Disaster Management & Consolidation Departments	391	-	3	-	-	18750	12625	-	-	-

5	Home, Jails, Criminal Investigation & Administration of Justice Department	5	-	2	-	-	200	-	-	-
6	Forests Department	10	-	-	-	-	350	132	-	-
7	Technical Education & Industrial Training Department	932	+ 3 rd	098	-	-	24875	18362	-	-
8	Tourism & Housing Departments	15	-	-	-	-	750	-	-	-
9	Industries & Commerce, Mines & Geology & Electronics & Information Technology Departments	886	-	2	-	-	32445	22758	-	-
10	Archives Department	13	-	-	-	-	100	-	The department made full efforts to implement the spirit	-
11	Sports & Youth Affairs & Secretary, Cell for proper utilization/disposal of Government property, nodal officer for monitoring the CM's announcements & looking after the work of monitoring & Coordination	217	-	-	-	-	8400	2194	-	-
12	Health Department	168	-	-	-	-	5140	3419	Efforts have been made to implement the spirit &	-

13	Archaeology & Museums Department	19	1	-	-	-	500	100	-	-
14	Social Justice & Empowerment Department	214	-	-	-	-	8880	14988	-	#REF!
15	Printing & Stationery Department	73	-	-	-	-	3350	786	-	-
16	Chief Electoral Officer, Haryana, Elections Department Director responsible	793	1	-	-	-	17960	7810	-	-
17	Secretary to Governor, Haryana	154	-	-	-	-	4786	164	-	-
18	Haryana Vidhan Sabha Secretariat	126	-	-	-	-	4660	23623	Sincere efforts are made to implement the provision of the Act in letter and spirit	Specific training programme from State Information Officers and FAA should be organized from time to time. Provision should be made to create separate post for the additional work under the RTI act. There should be provision to provide honorarium to the State Public Information Officer and FAA to perform additional duties under
19	Information Technology	45	-	-	-	-	860	200	-	-
20	Industries & Commerce Departments	23	-	-	-	-	350	20	-	-
21	Environment Department	31	-	-	-	-	860	950	-	-

22	Medical Education & Research	109	-	-	-	-	3374	1182	-	-
23	Personal Department	38	-	-	-	-	1050	781	-	-
	Total	4970	16	9			158950	154625		
	Grand Total	31567	75	23			985940	648821	0	0

Annexure-C
List of Public Authorities which have not sent the data

Heads Of Departments

1	Advocate General (A.G)
2	Archaeology & Museums (Director)
3	Architecture (Chief Architect)
4	Charitable Endowments (Admtr. Genl. & Official Trustee)
5	Census Operations (Director)
6	C.I.D. (ADGP.CID(H))
7	Cooperation (Registrar)
8	Development & Panchayats (Director)
9	Economic & Statistical Analysis (ESA)
10	School Education (Director General)
11	Electronics & Information Technology (Director)
12	Chief Electrical Inspector (Chief Electrical)
13	State Employment Exchange (Dy. Director)
14	Environment (Director)
15	Fisheries (Director)
16	Reproductive & Child Health (Project)
17	Haryana Aids Control Society (Chairperson)
18	State TB. Cont. Socy & Leprosy Socy. (Chairman)
19	State TB. Association Haryana (President)
20	ESI (Director)
21	Haryana Institute of Public Administration (HIPA) (Director)
22	Irrigation Research & Management Institute (HIRMI) (Principal Director)

23	Panchayati Raj Public Works ((C.E.)
24	Police (D.G)
25	Prosecution (Director)
26	Public Relations & Cultural Affairs (DPR)
27	Rehabilitation (Joint Secy.)
28	Renewable Energy (Director)
29	Revenue Training Institute (Principal)
30	Urban Estates (Director)
31	Vigilance (Inquiry Officer)
	Board & Corporations
1	Board of Ayurvedic & Unani Systems of Medicine (Chairman)
2	Council of Homoeopathic System of Medicine (Chairman)
3	Command Area Development Authority (Administrator)
4	Coop. Apex Bank Ltd. (Chairman)
5	Coop. Dev. Fed. Ltd. (M.D)
6	Coop. Housing Fed Ltd. (Chairman)
7	Coop. Labour & Construction Fed. Ltd. (Chairman)
8	Coop. Agri. & Rural Dev. Bank Ltd. (M.D)
9	State Counseling Board (Chairman)
10	Dairy Dev. Coop. Fed. Ltd. (Chairperson)
11	Vidyut Prasaran Nigam (HVPN) (Chairman)
12	Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam (Chairman)
13	Scheduled Castes Finance & Dev. Corpn. Ltd. (Chairman)
14	Housing Board (Chairperson)

15	Small Inds. & Export Corpn. Ltd. (Chairman)
16	Indl. Coop. Fed Ltd. (Liquidator)
17	Khadi & Village Industries Board (Chairman)
18	Kurukshetra Dev. Board (Chairman)
19	Livestock Dev. Board (Chairman)
20	Shri Mata Mansa Devi Shrine Board (Chairman)
21	Medical Council (President)
22	State Dental Council (President)
23	Nurses Registration Council (President)
24	Mewat Development Agency (Chairman)
25	Minor Irrigation & Tubewells Corpn. Ltd. (MITC) (M.D)
26	Overseas Placement Assistance Society (HOPAS) (Chairman)
27	Pharmacy Council (Registrar)
28	Police Housing Corpn. Ltd. (Chairman)
29	Pollution Control Board (Chairman)
30	Haryana Prathmik Shiksha Pariyojna Parishad (President)
31	Haryana Panjabi Sahitya Akademi (Chairman))
32	Rajya Sainik Board (Secy.)
33	Renewable Energy Development Agency (HAREDA) (Chairman)
34	Roads & Bridge Dev. Corpn. Ltd. (Chairman)
35	Rural Dev. Fund Admn. Board (HRDF) (Chairperson)
36	SAMVAD (Chairman)
37	Haryana Sahitya Akademi (Chairman)
38	Sanskrit Akademi (Chairman)
39	Sanskritic Akademi (Member Secy.)

40	Council for Science & Technology (Chairman/EC)
41	Seed Certification Agency (Chairman)
42	Seeds Dev. Corpn. Ltd. (Chairman)
43	Shivalik Development Board (Chairman)
44	Slum Clearance Board (Chief Admtr.)
45	Social welfare Board (Chairperson)
46	Swatantrata Sainik Samman Samiti (Chairman)
47	Tourism Dev. Corpn. Ltd. (Chairperson)
48	Urban Development Authority (HUDA) (C.A)
49	Panchkula Circle (Admn. (P))
50	Haryana Urdu Akademi (Chairman)
51	Swarana Jayanti Shahari Rozgar Yojana & Urban Dev. Society (Project Director)
52	Council for Child Welfare (President)
53	Wakf Board (Chairman)
54	Welfare Society for Hearing & Speech Handicapped (President)
55	Blindness Control Society (Chairperson)
56	Indian Red Cross Society (President)
57	Bhartiya Grameen Mahila Sangh (President)
58	Hind Kusht Nivaran Sangh, Hr. (President)
59	Saket Council (President)
60	State Election Commission, Haryana
61	Haryana Public Service Commission (HPSC)
62	Lokayukta Haryana
63	Haryana State Commission for women (Pkl.)
64	Shri Mata Sheetla Devi Shrine Board Gurgaon

65	State Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission (Secretary)
66	Post Graduate Institute of Medical Sciences, Rohtak (Director)

Divisional Commissioners

1	Commissioner, Ambala Division, Ambala
2	Commissioner, Gurgaon Division, Gurgaon

Deputy Commissioners

1	Deputy Commissioner, Ambala
2	Deputy Commissioner, Hisar
3	Deputy Commissioner, Jind
4	Deputy Commissioner, Kaithal
5	Deputy Commissioner, Kurukshetra
6	Deputy Commissioner, Mahendergarh (Narnaul)
7	Deputy Commissioner, Mewat (Nuh)
8	Deputy Commissioner, Palwal
9	Deputy Commissioner, Panipat
10	Deputy Commissioner, Panchkula

District & Sessions Judge

1	District & Sessions Judge, Ambala
2	District & Sessions Judge, Bhiwani
3	District & Sessions Judge, Faridabad
4	District & Sessions Judge, Fatehabad
5	District & Sessions Judge, Gurgaon
6	District & Sessions Judge, Hisar

7	District & Sessions Judge, Jind
8	District & Sessions Judge, Jhajjar
9	District & Sessions Judge, Kaithal
10	District & Sessions Judge, Karnal
11	District & Sessions Judge, Kurukshetra
12	District & Sessions Judge, Mahendergarh at Narnaul
13	District & Sessions Judge, Mewat at Nuh
14	District & Sessions Judge, Panchkula
15	District & Sessions Judge, Panipat
16	District & Sessions Judge, Palwal
17	District & Sessions Judge, Rewari
18	District & Sessions Judge, Rohtak
19	District & Sessions Judge, Sirsa
20	District & Sessions Judge, Sonepat
21	District & Sessions Judge, Yamuna Nagar

Administrative Secretaries

1	Urban Local Bodies Department
2	Home, Jails, Criminal Investigation & Administration of Justice Department
3	Cooperation Department
4	Public Health Engineering Department
5	Women & Child Development Department
6	Finance & IFCC & Planning Departments

7	Excise & Taxation Department
8	Transport & Civil Aviation Department
9	Power Department
10	Irrigation Department
11	Science & Technology & Renewable Energy Sources Department
12	School Education, Labour & Employment Departments & Chairperson, Haryana Minerals Ltd. New Delhi
13	Development & Panchayats Department & Secretary BAC & Commissioner Gurdwara Elections Haryana
14	Information & Public Relations, Cultural Affairs & Grievances Departments

ANNEXURE – D
**DETAILS OF PENALTY IMPOSED UPON THE STATE PUBLIC INFORMATION OFFICER
 UNDER SECTION 20(1) OF THE RTI ACT, 2005 by the Commission, During 1.1.2013 to
 31.12.2013**

Case No.	Date of Decision	Amount of penalty imposed	detail of defaulter SPIO	Name/Address of the Public Authority
69/12 in case no. 3596/12	02.1.2013	6000/-	Sh. Phool Kumar Vs District Revenue Officer, Sonipat.	District Revenue Officer, Rohtak
683/12 in case no. 3476/12	4.1.2013	10,000/-	Sh. Jhanda Ram S/o Sh. Jagu Ram Vs DHBVNL, Sirsa.	Dakshin Haryana Bijali Vitran Nigam Limited.
699/12 in case no. 1925/12	4.01.2013	25,000/-	Sh. Satya Dev Kaushik Vs Estate Officer, Faridabad.	The Chief Administrator, Haryana Urban Development Authority, Sector 6, Panchkula.
684/12 in case no. 3710/12	15.1.2013	16250/-	Sh. Dhan Singh Nainawat Vs HSIIDC, Panchkula	The Managing Director, HSIIDC, Panchkula.
554/628 of 2010 in case no. 2237/10	22.1.2013	2500/-	Sh. Shyam Lal , Advocate, Vs BDPO, Charkhi Dadri-1, District Bhiwani.	The Director Development and Panchayats, Haryana, Panchayat Bhawan, Plot No. 3, Sector 28-A, Chandigarh.
498/11 in case no. 1030/11	22.1.2013	10,000/-	Sh. Lal Chand Vs M.C. Hodal, Distt. Palwal	The Director Urban & Local Bodies, Haryana, SCO No. 6-7, Sector 17-B, Chandigarh.
638/11 in case no. 2408/11	22.1.2013	10,000/-	Capt. Pawan Kumar Anchal Vs M.C. bhiwani	The Director Urban & Local Bodies, Haryana, SCO No. 6-7, Sector 17-B, Chandigarh.
604/12 in case no. 2599/11	23.01.2013	2500/-	Sh. Karan Singh Vs Gram Panchayat, Dharamgarh, Madlauda, District Panipat.	The Director Development and Panchayats, Haryana, Panchayat Bhawan, Plot No. 3, Sector 28-A, Chandigarh.

645/12 in SCN. 119/12 in case no. 4038/11	23.01.13	25000/-	Sh. Vikas Gupta Vs HUDA, Faridabad	The Chief Administrator, Haryana Urban Development Authority, Sector 6, Panchkula.
620/12 in case no. 1302/12	24.1.2013	25000/-	Sh. Kuldeep Singh Dahiya Vs BDPO, Sonipat	The Director Development and Panchayats, Haryana, Panchayat Bhawan, Plot No. 3, Sector 28-A, Chandigarh.
648/12 in case no. 2387/12	25.1.2013	6,000/-	Sh. Mahavir Singh Vs Civil Surgeon, Jind	Director General Health Services, Haryana, Sector 6, Panchkula.
835/12 in case no. 2668/12	28.1.2013	3,000/-	Sh. Sannat Jain Vs DC, Sonipat	District Revenue Officer, Rohtak
834/12 in case no. 2670/12	28.1.2013	3,000/-	Sh. Sannat Jain Vs DC, Sonipat	District Revenue Officer, Rohtak
884 & 885/12 in SCN. 737/12 in case no. 3701/12	30.1.2013	4000/-	Smt. Rani Aruna Vs Civil Surgeon, Kaithal.	Director General Health Services, Haryana, Sector 6, Panchkula.
684/11 in case no. 3189/10	17.8.2012	5000/-	Sh. Krishan Kumar v/s DGHS, Pkl. In 3189/2010	Director General Health Services, Haryana, Sector 6, Panchkula.
58/12 in case no. 3099/11	28.8.12	10,000/-	Sh. Ishwar Chander VS BDPO, Kanina , Mahendergarh.	The Director Development and Panchayats, Haryana, Panchayat Bhawan, Plot No. 3, Sector 28-A, Chandigarh.
576/12 in case no. 4747/11	30.1.2013	5000/-	Sh. Aseem Takyar Vs HSIIDC, Panchkual	The Managing Director, HSIIDC, Panchkula.
728/12 in case no. 2557/12	4.02.2013	5000/-	Sh. Jitender Nath Sharma Vs City Magistrate, Bhiwani .	Sub Divisional Officer (Civil), Pataudi
4668/11	4.2.13	4000/-	Sh. Suresh Kumar Ranga Vs M.C. Bahadurgarh, District, Jhajjar.	The Director Urban & Local Bodies, Haryana, SCO No. 6- 7, Sector 17-B, Chandigarh.

661/2011 in case no. 242/11	4.2.13	10,000/-	Sh. Deen Dayal Soni Vs MC. Bhiwani	The Director Urban & Local Bodies, Haryana, SCO No. 6-7, Sector 17-B, Chandigarh.
829/12 in case no. 2190 of 2012	4.2.13	2000/-	Sh. Raj Kumar Vs BDPO, Hisar.	The Director Development and Panchayats, Haryana, Panchayat Bhawan, Plot No. 3, Sector 28-A, Chandigarh.
626/11 in case no. 2226/11	6.2.13	5,000/-	Sh. Krishan Kumar Vs M.C, Hansi, District. Hisar.	The Director Urban & Local Bodies, Haryana, SCO No. 6-7, Sector 17-B, Chandigarh.
532/10 in case no. 3073/10	6.2.13	5,000/-	Sh. Suresh Kumar Saini Vs DC, Gurgaon.	Sub Divisional Officer (Civil), Pataudi
331/12 in case no. 2304/2012	6.02.2013	25000/-	Sh. Manohar Lal Vs MC, Panipat.	The Director Urban & Local Bodies, Haryana, SCO No. 6-7, Sector 17-B, Chandigarh.
128/12 in case no. 3550/11	6.02.2013	25000/-	Sh. Manohar Lal Vs MC, Panipat.	The Director Urban & Local Bodies, Haryana, SCO No. 6-7, Sector 17-B, Chandigarh.
723/12 in case no. 1298/12	6.02.2013	25000/-	Sh. Manohar Lal Vs M.C. Panipat	The Director Urban & Local Bodies, Haryana, SCO No. 6-7, Sector 17-B, Chandigarh.
470/12 in case no. 1931/2012	6.02.2013	25000/-	Sh. Yashpal Vs HUDA, Rohtak.	The Chief Administrator, Haryana Urban Development Authority, Sector 6, Panchkula.
111/13 in SCN No. 578/12 in case no. 2242/2212	7.02.2013	10,000/-	Sh. Jiwan Singh Vs PHED, Sirsa	The Engineer-in-Chief , PHED, Panchkula.
726, 727, 857 & 858/2012 in case no. 132/11	12.02.13	4000/-	Sh. Harinder Dhingra vs SDO, Rewari.	Sub Divisional Officer (Civil), Pataudi

819/11 in case no. 2749/11	12.2.13	5000/-	Sh. A.K. Aggarwal Vs M.C. Ambala	The Director Urban & Local Bodies, Haryana, SCO No. 6-7, Sector 17-B, Chandigarh.
44/12 in case no. 1643/10	13.2.13	3000/-	Sh. Rajeshwar Prasad Gupta Vs The electoral registering officer, Sub Divisional Officer, 64-Bahadurgarh, Election Area, Bahadurgarh, District: Jhajjar.	State Election Commission, Panchkula (M.C case)
82 & 83/13 in case no. 4138/12	14.02.13	5500/-	Sh. Rajesh Vs Sarpanch, Gram Panchayat, Bela, Block Hassanpur, Distt. Palwal.	The Director Development and Panchayats, Haryana, Panchyat Bhawan, Plot No. 3, Sector 28-A, Chandigarh.
73/13 in case no. 4586/12	14.02.13	5000/-	Sh. Manish Sharma Vs HUDA, Division IV, Gurgaon.	The Chief Administrator, Haryana Urban Development Authority, Sector 6, Panchkula.
766 of 2012 in case no. 2870/2012	14.2.2013	2000/-	Sh. Harinder Dhingra Vs HUDA, Gurgaon.	The Chief Administrator, Haryana Urban Development Authority, Sector 6, Panchkula.
390/12 in case no. 1941/12	14.02.13	5000/-	Smt. Premlata Vs HUDA, Rewari.	The Chief Administrator, Haryana Urban Development Authority, Sector 6, Panchkula.
439/12 in case no. 1911/10	14.02.13	2000/-	Sh. Ram Partap Vs PHED, Rewari	The Engineer-in-Chief , PHED, Panchkula.
80/13 in case no. 3214/12	14.2.2013	5000/-	Sh. Deepa Ram Vs BDPO, Palwal.	The Director Development and Panchayats, Haryana, Panchyat Bhawan, Plot No. 3, Sector 28-A, Chandigarh.
820/12 in case no. 3756/12	14.02.13	10,000/-	Sh. K. C. Malhotra Vs Estate Officer, HUDA, Faridabad	The Chief Administrator, Haryana Urban Development

				Authority, Sector 6, Panchkula.
607/12 of case no. 4144/2012,	22.2.13	5,000/-	Sh. Chander Parkash Vs MC. Panipat.	The Director Urban & Local Bodies, Haryana, SCO No. 6-7, Sector 17-B, Chandigarh.
25/13 in case no. 3603/2012	27.2.13	1,000/-	Sh. Ashok Kumar Vs Haryana Wakf Board, Ambala Cantt	Haryana Wakf Board, 50, Sardar Patel Marg, Ambala Cantt-133001.
26/13 in case no. 3604/12	27.2.13	1,000/-	Sh. Ashok Kumar Vs Haryana Wakf Board, Panipat	Haryana Wakf Board, 50, Sardar Patel Marg, Ambala Cantt-133001.
469/12 in complaint no. 25/12 in case no. 3023/11	17.12.12	7500/-	Sh. B.K. Sahni Vs Executive Engineer, HUDA, Division-II, Gurgaon.	The Chief Administrator, Haryana Urban Development Authority, Sector 6, Panchkula.
746/12 in case no. 3214/12	27.02.13	3000/-	Sh. Manoj Kumar Vishwakarma Vs M.C. Rewari.	The Director Urban & Local Bodies, Haryana, SCO No. 6-7, Sector 17-B, Chandigarh.
215/12 in case no. 1482/12	4.3.2013	2000/-	Smt. Bharto Devi Vs Traffic Manager, Haryana Roadways, Rohtak.	State Transport Controller, Haryana, 2 nd Floor, 30 Bays Building, Sector 17, Chandigarh.
732/2012 in case no. 2571/12	5.3.2013	5,000/-	Sh. Krishan Kuamr Ghuliani Vs Tehsildar, , Bahadurgarh, Jhajja.	District Revenue Officer, Rohtak
33/13 in case no. 4223/12	6.3.2013	1000/-	Sh. Jitender Singh Vs Director General State Transport, Haryana, Chandigarh.	State Transport Controller, Haryana, 2 nd Floor, 30 Bays Building, Sector 17, Chandigarh.
194/13 in case no.2468/12	8.3.2013	5000/-	Sh. Aseem Takyar Vs Deputy Superintendent , HUDA, gurgaon	The Chief Administrator, Haryana Urban Development Authority, Sector 6, Panchkula.

136/12 in case no. 182/12	8.3.2013	5000/-	Sh. Mahesh Kumar Vs Panchayat Department, Palwal.	The Director Development and Panchayats, Haryana, Panchayat Bhawan, Plot No. 3, Sector 28-A, Chandigarh.
674/12 in 116 of 2012 in appeal case no. 4873/11	12.3.13	25000/-	Sh. S.S. Chaudhary Vs Estate Officer, Faridabad.	The Chief Administrator, Haryana Urban Development Authority, Sector 6, Panchkula.
73/12 in case no. 1186/11	13.3.2013	5000/-	Sh. Surinder kumar Vs Estate Officer, HUDA, Sonipat.	The Chief Administrator, Haryana Urban Development Authority, Sector 6, Panchkula.
59/13 in case no. 3459/12	15.3.2013	5,000/-	Sh. Chander Bhan Malik Vs Deputy Civil Surgeon, Bhiwani.	Director General Health Services, Haryana, Sector 6, Panchkula.
151/13 in case no. 3800/12	18.3.2013	5000/-	Sh. Harmeet Singh Vs Assistant Superintendent O/o SDO, and Registering Authority, (MV), Ambala.	Transport Commissioner, Haryana.
152/13 in case no. 3804/12	18.03.2013	5000/-	Sh. Harmeet Singh VS Superintendent O/o SDO, and Registering Authority, (MV), Ambala.	Transport Commissioner, Haryana.
242/13 in case no. 3426/12	18.3.2013	3000/-	Sh. Rajesh Sharma Vs Tehsildar, Chhachrauli, District Yamuananagar.	District Revenue Officer, Rohtak
721/12 in SCN. 615 of 2012 in case no. 2955/11.	21.03.2013	10,000/-	Sh. Jafrudeen Vs Food & Supplies Officer, Firozpur Jhirka.	Food & Supply Department.
92/13 in SCN. 714/12 in case	21.03.2013	15,000/-	Dr. M. C. Shrama Vs Irrigation Department., Charkhi Dadri	Engineer-in-Chief, Irrigation Department, Haryana,

no. 1970/12				Sinchai Bhawan, Sector 5, Panchkula.
339/12 in case no. 4255/11	21.3.2013	5,000/-	Sh. Varender Kumar VS M.C. Panipat.	The Director Urban & Local Bodies, Haryana, SCO No. 6-7, Sector 17-B, Chandigarh.
122/2013 and 564/2012 in appeal case no. 4338/11	26.3.2013	2000/-	Sh. Ranjeet Singh Vs District Revenue Officer, Sirsa.	District Revenue Officer, Rohtak
499/12 in case no. 266/11 in case no. 2526/10	1.4.13	4000/-	Dr. M.C. Sharma Vs BDPO, Bhiwani.	The Director Development and Panchayats, Haryana, Panchyat Bhawan, Plot No. 3, Sector 28-A, Chandigarh.
319/12 in case no. 3823/11	1.4.13	3000/-	Sh. Mahavir Singh Vs. MC, thanesar.	The Director Urban & Local Bodies, Haryana, SCO No. 6-7, Sector 17-B, Chandigarh.
507/12 in case no. 1990/12	2.4.13	2000/-	Smt. Pinky Kapoor, Vs HUDA, Rewari	The Chief Administrator, Haryana Urban Development Authority, Sector 6, Panchkula.
402/12 in case no. 2308/12	2.4.13	2000/-	Sh. Surender Kumar Vs MC. District Fatehabad	The Director Urban & Local Bodies, Haryana, SCO No. 6-7, Sector 17-B, Chandigarh.
403/12 in case no. 4387/11	2.4.13	2000/-	Sh. Surender Kumar Vs MC. District Fatehabad	The Director Urban & Local Bodies, Haryana, SCO No. 6-7, Sector 17-B, Chandigarh.
605/12 in case no. 2421/12	3.4.2013	4000/-	Sh. Inderjit Singh Vs MC. Ambala City.	The Director Urban &Local Bodies, Haryana, SCO No. 6-7, Sector 17-B, Chandigarh.
841/11 in case no. 1084/11	4.4.13	3,000/-	Sh. Shri Krishan Vs M.C. Gurgaon	The Director Urban & Local Bodies, Haryana, SCO No. 6-7, Sector 17-B, Chandigarh.

281/13 in case no. 3980/12	9.4.2013	4250/-	Sh. Suraj Bhan Vs Forest Department, Mahendergarh	Principal, Chief Conservator of Forests, Haryana, Van Bhawan, Sector 6, Panchkula.
247/12 in case no. 4207/11	10.4.2013	2,000/-	Sh. S.P. Vashisht Vs HEC, Yamunanagar.	Higher Education Commissioner, Haryana, Shiksha Sadan, Sector 5, Panchkula.
896/12 in case no. 4026/12	12.4.13	2000/-	Sh. Parvinder Singh vs Guru Nanak Girls College, Santpura, Yamunanagar.	Higher Education Commissioner, Haryana, Shiksha Sadan, Sector 5, Panchkula.0
366/13 in case no. 1171/13	17.4.2013	3000/-	Sh. Rajesh Sharma Vs Tehsildar, Ambala	District Revenue Officer, Rohtak
676-A, 676/2012 in case no. 3302 of 2012	25.4.2013	5000/-	Sh. Ravinder Walia Vs Estate Officer, HUDA, Panipat.	The Chief Administrator, Haryana Urban Development Authority, Sector 6, Panchkula.
367/13 in case no. 3598/12	26.4.13	5000/-	Sh. Balwant Singh vs DDPO, Rewari.	The Director Development and Panchayats, Haryana, Panchyat Bhawan, Plot No. 3, Sector 28-A, Chandigarh.
118/13 in case no. 5039/12	26.4.13	1000/-	Sh. Amrit Kumar Vs Tehsildar, Gurgaon.	Sub Divisional Officer (Civil), Pataudi
238/12 in case no. 3048/11	29.4.13	2000/-	Sh. Sandeep Vs Acting Principal, Gandhi Adarsh College, Samalkha, Distt. Panipat.	Higher Education Commissioner, Haryana, Shiksha Sadan, Sector 5, Panchkula.
275/13 and 276/13 in SCN. 56/13 in case no. 3462/12	29.4.2013	10,000/-	Sh. Amarjeet Singh Vs PHED, Department, Distt. Bhiwani, Haryana.	The Engineer-in-Chief , PHED, Panchkula.

158/12 in SCN. 206/11 in case no. 3479/10	30.4.13	5000/-	Sh. Nathi Ram Vs Arya Senior Sec. School, Mullana, Distt. Ambala	The Commissioner & Director General, School Education, Haryana, 30 Bays Building, Sector 17, Chandigarh.
452/12 in case no. 4386/11	02.05.2013	5000/-	Sh . Naresh Kumar Faujdar, Vs M.C. Narnaul.	The Director Urban & Local Bodies, Haryana, SCO No. 6-7, Sector 17-B, Chandigarh.
580/12 in case no. 1248/12	2.5.13	10000/-	Sh. Rajbir Vs Gram Panchayat, Mahendergarh.	The Director Development and Panchayats, Haryana, Panchyat Bhawan, Plot No. 3, Sector 28-A, Chandigarh.
319/13 in case no. 3509/12	2.5.13	5000/-	Dr. Rajesh Kumar vs DDPO, Rewari.	The Director Development and Panchayats, Haryana, Panchyat Bhawan, Plot No. 3, Sector 28-A, Chandigarh.
251/13 in case no. 228/12	2.5.13	2500/-	Sh. Harinder Dhingra Vs DG, Manager, Haryana State Industrial & Infrastructure Development corporation Ltd, Manesar, Distt. Gurgaon	Haryana State Industrial and Infrastructure Development Corporation Ltd.
379, 391 and 392/13 in case no. 1253/13	2.5.13	15500/-	Sh. Jaideep Singh Vs District and Session Judge, Gurgaon	District & Session Judge, Yamunanagar.
68/13 in appeal case no. 4593/12	2.5.13	10000/-	Sh. Harinder Dhingra Vs HUDA, Gurgaon	The Chief Administrator, Haryana Urban Development Authority, Sector 6, Panchkula.
310 and 311/13 in case no. 3559/11	2.5.13	15000/-	Sh. Ram Dev Vs Sarpanch, Gram Panchayat, Khaspur, Block Ateli, Distt. Mahendergarh	The Director Development and Panchayats, Haryana, Panchyat Bhawan, Plot No. 3, Sector 28-A, Chandigarh.0

81/13 in case no. 2851/12	2.5.13	5000/-	Sh. Marsri Ahemad Vs BDPO, Tauru	The Director Development and Panchayats, Haryana, Panchyat Bhawan, Plot No. 3, Sector 28-A, Chandigarh.
104/13 in case no. 3199/12.	6.5.2013	5000/-	Sh. Data Ram Vs MC. Ambala, Sadar Zone.	The Director Urban & Local Bodies, Haryana, SCO No. 6- 7, Sector 17-B, Chandigarh.
606/12 in case no. 2335/12	06.05.201 3	5000/-	Sh. Ashok Kumar Mukhija Vs M.C. Panipat	The Director Urban & Local Bodies, Haryana, SCO No. 6- 7, Sector 17-B, Chandigarh.
818/12 in case no. 2432/12	6.5.2013	2000/-	Smt. Premvati vs DSE, Rohtak, Haryana.	The Commissioner & Director General, School Education, Haryana, 30 Bays Building, Sector 17, Chandigarh.
571/12 in case no. 1780/12	6.5.2013	2000/-	Sh. Sunil Kumar Vs Baba Mastnath Institute Asthal Bohar, Delhi Road, rohtak	Higher Education Commissioner, Haryana, Shiksha Sadan, Sector 5, Panchkula.
561/12 in case no. +4/12	7.5.2013	5000/-	Sh. Mahesh Kumar S/o Sh. Manphool Singh Vs PHED, Rewari	The Engineer-in-Chief , PHED, Panchkula.
15/13 in case no. 1304/12	7.5.2013	1500/-	Sh. Satish Saluja Vs MC, Hisar.	The Director Urban & Local Bodies, Haryana, SCO No. 6- 7, Sector 17-B, Chandigarh.
252/12 in case no. 2610/10	8.5.2013	15,000/-	Sh. N.R. Bansal Vs PHED, Charkhi Dadri, District-Bhiwani	The Engineer-in-Chief , PHED, Panchkula.
281/13 in SCN No. 469/13 in case no. 3980/11	9.5.2013	2000/-	Sh. Suraj bhan Vs Forest department, Mahendergarh.	Principal, Chief Conservator of Forests, Haryana, Van Bhawan, Sector 6, Panchkula.
88/13 in case no. 2303/12	13.5.2013	2000/-	Sh. Ramesh Verma Vs ULBD, Haryana, Chandigarh.	The Director Urban & Local Bodies, Haryana, SCO No. 6- 7, Sector 17-B, Chandigarh.

370/13 in case no. 3822/12	13.5.2013	2000/-	Sh. Girdhari Lal Vs Revenue Officer, Sonipat.	Sub Divisional Officer (Civil), Pataudi
476/13 in case no. 4456/12	14.5.2013	2000/-	Dr. S.H. Sahgal vS DGHS, Haryana, Panchkula.	Director General Health Services, Haryana, Panchkula.
904/12 in case no. 2846/12	14.5.2013	10000/-	Sh. Balwinder Singh Vs MC. Ambala, Sadar Zone.	The Director Urban & Local Bodies, Haryana, SCO No. 6-7, Sector 17-B, Chandigarh.
638/12 in case no. 4318/11	16.5.2013	4000/-	Sh. Gurdiyal Singh Vs M.C. Panipat	The Director Urban & Local Bodies, Haryana, SCO No. 6-7, Sector 17-B, Chandigarh.
748/12 in case no. 2066/11	17.5.2013	5,000/-	Sh. T.P. Sharma Vs Olympic Association, Gurgaon, Haryana,	Director , Haryana, Olympic Association, Panchkula.
461/13 in case no. 4522/12	20.5.13	2000/-	Sh. Krishan Kumar vs Tehsildar, Faridabad	Sub Divisional Officer (Civil), Pataudi
100/13 in case no. 3220/12	20.5.13	4,000/-	Sh.Dinesh Kumar Vs M.C. Panipat.	The Director Urban & Local Bodies, Haryana, SCO No. 6-7, Sector 17-B, Chandigarh.
97/13 in case no. 3221/12	20.5.13	4,000/-	Sh. Dinesh Kumar Vs MC. Panipat.	The Director Urban & Local Bodies, Haryana, SCO No. 6-7, Sector 17-B, Chandigarh.00
176/13 in case no. 3548/12	24.5.2013	6000/-	Sh. Vishwjeet Dev Vs Civil Surgeon, Sonepat.	Director General Health Services, Haryana, Panchkula.
130/13 in case no. 3395/12	24.5.213	1,000	Sh. Balbir Singh Vs Gram panchayat, Jhajjar	The Director Development and Panchayats, Haryana, Panchayat Bhawan, Plot No. 3, Sector 28-A, Chandigarh.
352 of 2013 in case no. 4881/12	28.5.2013	1,000/-	Sh. M.S. Beniwal Vs Civil Aviation, Hisar.	Civil Aviation.
409/13 in case	28.5.13	6000/-	Sh. Sardara Ram vS Divisional	Principal, Chief Conservator

no. 3838/12			Forest Officer, Kaithal, Haryana.	of Forests, Haryana, Van Bhawan, Sector 6, Panchkula.
61/13 in case no. 4464/12	29.5.2013	5000/-	Sh. Ramesh Chand Vs DSWO, Rewari.	The Director, Social Justice & Empowerment, Haryana, SCO No. 68-70, Sector 17-A, Chandigarh
371/13 in case no. 3824/12	30.5.13	1750/-	Sh. Rajender Singh VS Tehsildar, Gurgaon	Sub Divisional Officer (Civil), Pataudi
358/13 in SCN No. 732/12 in case no. 2571/12	30.5.13	2000/-	Sh. Krishan Kumar Ghuliani Vs Tehsildar, Bahadurgarh, Distt. Jhajjar.	Sub Divisional Officer (Civil), Pataudi
898/12 in case no. 2325/12	08.5.2013	5000/-	Sh. D.P. Sharma Vs MC, Thanese, District- Kurukshetra.	The Director Urban & Local Bodies, Haryana, SCO No. 6-7, Sector 17-B, Chandigarh.
380/13 in case no.1070/13	3.6.2013	5000/-	Sh. Krishan Kumar Lohia vs Haryana Vidyut Parsaran Nigam Limited, Hisar	Haryana Vidyut Parsaran Nigam Limited.
72/13 in case no. 4582/12	03.6.2013	10000/-	Sh. Atul Tyagi Vs HUDA, Rewari	The Chief Administrator, Haryana Urban Development Authority, Sector-6, Panchkula.
347/13 in case no. 2322/12	05.6.2013	3000/-	Sh. Balwant Raj Vs M.C. Panchkula.	The Director Urban and Local Bodies , Haryana, SCO No. 6-7, Sector-17B, Chandigarh.
573/13 in case no. 1586/13	6.6.2013	1250/-	Sh. L.D. Saini Vs Tehsildar, Rewari	Sub Divisional Officer (Civil), Pataudi.
400 of 2013 in case no. 3124 of 2012	6.6.2013	5000/-	Sh. Virender Kumar VS HUDA, Panipat	The Chief Administrator, Haryana Urban Development Authority, Sector 6, Panchkula.
481/13 in case	10.6.2013	2000/-	Sh. Harinder Dhingra Vs Dakshin	Dakshin Haryana Bijali

no. 1457/12			Haryana Bijali Vitran Nigam Limited.	Vitran Nigam Limited.
900 of 2012 in case no. 197/12	13.06.2013	4000/-	Sh. Prem Chand Vs MC, Panipat	The Director Urban and Local Bodies , Haryana, SCO No. 6-7, Sec-17B, Chandigarh.
556/12 in case no. 1135/12	14.6.2013	4000/-	Sh. Ishwar Singh vS MC. Rewari	The Director Urban and Local Bodies , Haryana, SCO No. 6-7, Sec-17B, Chandigarh.
905/12 in case no. 2852/12	14.6.2013	5000/-	Sh. Kaushal Kumar Mahawar Vs MC, Rewari	The Director Urban and Local Bodies , Haryana, SCO No. 6-7, Sec-17B, Chandigarh.
506/13 in SCN No. 444/12 in case no. 4792/11	17.6.2013	25000/-	Sh. Mahender Singh vS PHED, Rewari.	The Engineer-in-Chief , PHED, Panchkula.
644/13 in case no. 1225/13	18.6.13	2000/-	Sh. Narendra Sukhan, Vs MC, Karnal	The Director Urban and Local Bodies , Haryana, SCO No. 6-7, Sec-17B, Chandigarh.
637/13 in case no. 2070/13	26.6.2013	2000/-	Sh. Ved Parkash VS Social Welfare Officer, kurushetra, Haryana	The Director, Social Justice & Empowerment, Haryana, SCO No. 68-70, Sector 17-A, Chandigarh
654/13 in case no. 3267/12	2.7.13	2500/-	Sh. Kartar Singh Vs DSE, Haryana, Panchkula.	The Commissioner & Director General, School Education, Haryana, 30 Bays Building, Sector 17, Chandigarh.
459/12 in case no. 4173/11	3.7.13	4000/-	Sh. Sube Singh Vs ETC, Rewari	Commissioner, Excise & Taxation, Haryana.
402/13 in case no. 4960/12	4.7.13	25000/-	Sh. Shazada Ram Vs HUDA, Faridabad	The Chief Administrator, Haryana Urban Development Authority, Sector 6, Panchkula.
718/13 in SCN	4.7.13	25000/-	Dr. Brahm Dutt Advocate, HUDA,	The Chief Administrator,

no. 302/13 in case no. 1088/13			Faridabad	Haryana Urban Development Authority, Sector 6, Panchkula.
588 and 317/12 in case no. 3879/11	9.7.13	10000/-	Sh. K.L. Malik Vs Yamuna Water Circle, Irrigation Department.	Engineer-in-Chief, Irrigation Department, Haryana, Sinchai Bhawan, Sector 5, Panchkula.
238/13 in case no. 4864/11	10.7.13	3000/-	Sh. Rajbir Vs MC, Jhajjar.	The Director Urban & Local Bodies, Haryana, SCO No. 6-7, Sector 17-B, Chandigarh.
239/13 in case no. 4859/11	10.7.13	3000/-	Sh. Satyapal Ahlawat Vs M.C. District-Jhajjar	The Director Urban & Local Bodies, Haryana, SCO No. 6-7, Sector 17-B, Chandigarh.
670/13 in SCN No. 457/13 in case no. 1344/13	15.7.13	5000/-	Sh. Rajinder Parshad Garg Vs Forest Department, Haryana, Panchkula	Principal, Chief Conservator of Forests, Haryana, Van Bhawan, Sector 6, Panchkula.
SCN. No. 41, 42, 426 and 427/13 and in case no. 1367/12	16.7.13	2000/-	Sh. N.R. Bansal Vs SDO, Charkhi Dadri, Bhiwani	Sub Divisional Officer (Civil), Pataudi
433, 623, 624 & 625/13 in case no. 4041/12	18.7.13	2500/-	Sh. Subhas Sachdeva Vs Tehsildar, Palwal	Sub Divisional Officer (Civil), Pataudi
338/13 in case no. 3038/12	26.7.2013	5000/-	Sh. V.K. Tandon Vs MC, Ambala	The Director Urban & Local Bodies, Haryana, SCO No. 6-7, Sector 17-B, Chandigarh.
526/13 in case no. 4293/12	29.7.13	3000/-	Sh. Sandeep Kumar Vs MC, Bhiwani	The Director Urban & Local Bodies, Haryana, SCO No. 6-7, Sector 17-B, Chandigarh.
650/13 in case no. 1892/13	29.7.2013	25000/-	Smt. Gurinder Kaur Vs UHBVNL, Ltd	Uttar Haryana Bijali Vitran Nigam Limited.

613/13 in case no. 1215/13	30.7.13	5000/-	Sh. Ajmer Singh Vs BDPO, Hansi, Hisar	The Director Development and Panchayats, Haryana, Panchyat Bhawan, Plot No. 3, Sector 28-A, Chandigarh.
451/13 in case no. 2158/12	18.7.2013	1000/-	Sh. Kanti Prasad Vs Deputy Commissioner of Police	Director General of Police, Haryana, Panchkula.
17/13 in case no. 1312/12	31.7.2013	3000/-	Sh. Gaurav Kalra Vs MC, Bhiwani	The Director Urban & Local Bodies, Haryana, SCO No. 6-7, Sector 17-B, Chandigarh.
133/13 in case no. 2589/12	1.8.2013	5000/-	Sh. Than Singh Sharma Vs MC, Palwal.	The Director Urban & Local Bodies, Haryana, SCO No. 6-7, Sector 17-B, Chandigarh.
295/13 in case no. 1087/13	6.8.2013	5000/-	Sh. Deepak Mudgil Vs Estate Officer, HUDA, Panchkula	The Chief Administrator, Haryana Urban Development Authority, Sector 6, Panchkula.
82/13 and 83/13 in case no. 4138/12	6.8.2013	7500/-	Sh. Rajesh Kumar Vs BDPO, Distt. Palwal.	The Director Development and Panchayats, Haryana, Panchyat Bhawan, Plot No. 3, Sector 28-A, Chandigarh.
759/13 in case no. 14965/12	6.8.13	25000/-	Sh. Ajay Kumar Mittal vs HUDA, Faridabad.	The Chief Administrator, Haryana Urban Development Authority, Sector 6, Panchkula.
847/13 in case no. 2843/13	6.8.2013	5000/-	Sh. S.S. Chaudhary Vs Superintendent , HUDA, Faridabad	The Chief Administrator, Haryana Urban Development Authority, Sector 6, Panchkula.
533/13 in case no. 3494/10	8.8.2013	3000/-	Sh. Arun Kumar Vs MC, Rewari	The Director Urban & Local Bodies, Haryana, SCO No. 6-7, Sector 17-B, Chandigarh.
02/12 in case no.	8.8.2013	4000/-	Sh. L.C. Chandna VS MC, Rewari	The Director Urban & Local

3187/11				Bodies, Haryana, SCO No. 6-7, Sector 17-B, Chandigarh.
636/13 in case no. 1870/13	13.8.13	10000/-	Sh. Vijender Singh vS HUDA, Gurgaon.	The Chief Administrator, Haryana Urban Development Authority, Sector 6, Panchkula.
132/12 in case no. 3934/11	14.8.13	5000/-	Sh. Mahender Singh vS BEO, Charkhi Dari, District-Bhiwani	Director General, Elementary Education , Haryana, Chandigarh.
802/13 in case no. 2374/13	14.8.13	5000/-	Sh. Rajesh Pahuja Vs UHBVNL, Ambala.	Uttar Haryana Bijali Vitran Nigam Limited.
769/13 in case no. 2370/13	14.8.13	5000/-	Sh Raj Kumar Vs UHBVNL, Ambala.	Uttar Haryana Bijali Vitran Nigam limited.
332/13 in case no. 2973/12	16.8.2013	1000/-	Sh. Harnad Vs Gram Panchayat, Block Behal, Distt. Bhiwani	The Director Development and Panchayats, Haryana, Panchyat Bhawan, Plot No. 3, Sector 28-A, Chandigarh.
568/13 in case no. 4697/12	16.8.2013	4000/-	Sh. Puran Chand vs MC, Naranual	The Director Urban & Local Bodies, Haryana, SCO No. 6-7, Sector 17-B, Chandigarh.
742/13 in case no. 4295/12	19.8.2013	1000/-	Sh. Siddharth Yadav Vs MC, Rewari	The Director Urban & Local Bodies, Haryana, SCO No. 6-7, Sector 17-B, Chandigarh.
683/13 in case no. 1097/13	19.8.2013	4000/-	Sh. Ram Mehar Vs DDPO, Sonepat	The Director Development and Panchayats, Haryana, Panchyat Bhawan, Plot No. 3, Sector 28-A, Chandigarh.
655/13 in case no. 1737/13	19.8.13	5000/-	Smt. Shankuntla Devi vs BEO, Rai, Sonepat .	Director General, Elementary Education, Haryana, Chandigarh.
447/13 in case no. 2850/12	22.8.13	3000/-	Sh. Subhas Singal Vs MC, Hisar	The Director Urban & Local Bodies, Haryana, SCO No. 6-

				7, Sector 17-B, Chandigarh.
446/13 in case no.4124/12	23.8.13	4000/-	Sh. Puran Chand vs MC, Narnaul. Distt-Mahendergarh	The Director Urban & Local Bodies, Haryana, SCO No. 6-7, Sector 17-B, Chandigarh.
868/13 in case no. 2702/13	29.8.13	5000/-	Sh. Pardeep Kumar Vs PHED, Palwal	The Engineer-in-Chief , PHED, Panchkula.
614/12 in case no. 2946/12	5.12.2012	25000	Sh. Satinder Dev Vs Irrigation Department	Engineer-in-Chief, Irrigation Department, Haryana, Sinchai Bhawan, Sector 5, Panchkula.
943/13 in SCN No. 782/13 in case no. 4729/11	11.9.13	3000/-	Sh. Suresh Pal vS Deputy Director Fisheries Department, Jotisar, Kurukshetra. , Haryana.	Fisheries Department.
803/13 in case no. 2373/2375/13	12.9.13	5000/-	Sh. Rajesh Pahuja Vs UHBVNL, Ambala	Uttar Haryana Bijali Vitran Nigam Limited.
753/13 in case no. 1100/13	13.9.13	7000/-	Sh. Kamal Saini Vs MC, narnaul Mahendergarh	The Director Urban & Local Bodies, Haryana, SCO No. 6-7, Sector 17-B, Chandigarh.
617/13 in case no. 4306/12	13.9.13	3000/-	Smt. Santosh Kumari Vs MC, Thanesar, District-Kurukshetra	The Director Urban & Local Bodies, Haryana, SCO No. 6-7, Sector 17-B, Chandigarh.
525/13 in case no. 3744/12	13.9.13	4000/-	Sh. Maghi Ram Dhiman Vs MC, Ambala.	The Director Urban & Local Bodies, Haryana, SCO No. 6-7, Sector 17-B, Chandigarh.
483/12 in case no. 3949/11	16.9.13	5000/-	Sh. Mahender Singh Vs Estate Officer, HUDA, Jind.	The Chief Administrator, Haryana Urban Development Authority, Sector 6, Panchkula.
412/13 in case no. 4637/12	17.9.13	4000/-	Smt. Urmila Devi Snghal Vs MC, Faridabad	The Director Urban & Local Bodies, Haryana, SCO No. 6-7, Sector 17-B, Chandigarh.0

549/13 in case no. 1561/13	19.9.13	15000/-	Smt. Jayanti Devi Vs Labour Commissioner, Conciliation Officer, Faridabad.	Labour Commissioner, Haryana, Chandigarh.
372 and 373/13 in case no. 3289/12	10.9.13	3000/-	Sh. Jaipal Vs Tehsildar, District-Kurukshetra	Sub Divisional Officer (Civil), Pataudi
786/13 in case no. 2601/12	19.9.13	1000/-	Smt. Krishan Devi Vs Principal Govt. Sr. Sec. School, Mayana, Rohtak.	The Commissioner & Director General, School Education, Haryana, 30 Bays Building, Sector 17, Chandigarh.
320/13 in case no. 4313/11	24.9.13	10000/-	Sh. Krishan Kumar Vs Gram Panchayat, Mahendergarh	The Director Development and Panchayats, Haryana, Panchayat Bhawan, Plot No. 3, Sector 28-A, Chandigarh.
601/13 in case no. 2120/13	24.9.13	3000/-	Sh. Des Raj Wadhwa Vs Tehsildar, Sonepat	Sub Divisional Officer (Civil), Pataudi
979/13 in case no. 3329/13	26.9.13	2000/-	Sh. Ramesh Khatri Vs DGHS, Sector-6, Panchkula	Director General Health Services, Haryana, Panchkula.
421/13	8.10.13	1000/-	Sh. Sankalp Mahajan Vs MC, Community Centre, Panchkula.	The Director Urban & Local Bodies, Haryana, SCO No. 6-7, Sector 17-B, Chandigarh.
892/13 in case no. 2963/13	10.10.13	10000/-	Sh. Avtar Singh Vs Sarpanch, Gram Panchayat, Korwa Khurd, Distt. Ambala	The Director Development and Panchayats, Haryana, Panchayat Bhawan, Plot No. 3, Sector 28-A, Chandigarh.
183/2013 & 892/2012 in case no. 3892/2012	15.10.2013	2000/-	Sh. Ved Parkash Vs Deputy Civil Surgeon, Karna, Haryana.	Director General, Health Service, Haryana, Panchkula.
181/2013 and 893/2012 in case no.	15.10.13	2000/-	Sh. Ramu Saraswal Vs Deputy Civil Surgeon, Karnal.	Director General , Health Services, Haryana , Panchkula.

3888/2012				
180/2013 in case no. 894/2012 in case no. 3890/12	15.10.13	2000/-	Sh. Mehar singh Vs Deputy Civil Surgeon, Medical , Karnal, Haryana,	Director General , Health Services, Haryana , Panchkula.
835/2013 in case no. 1716/2012	21.10.13	5000/-	Sh. Satish Kumar Sood vs MC, Ambala.	The Director Urban & Local Bodies, Haryana, SCO NO. 6-7, Sector-17-B, Chandigarh.
1095/13 in case no. 2551/13	29.10.13	1000/-	Sh. Harinder Dhingra Vs Supdtt , RTI Cell, Police Headquarter, Hr, Sec-6, Pkl.	Director General of Police, Haryana, Panchkula..
182/2013 in SCN. 891/2012 in case no. 3891/2012	15.10.2013	2000/-	Sh. Shiv Dayal Vs Civil Surgeon, Karnal	Director General, Health Services, Haryana, Panchkula.
115/2012 in case no. 1148/12	29.10.13	25000/-	Sh. R.K. Malhotra Vs Superintendent , HUDA, Faridabad	The Chief Administrator, Haryana Urban Development Authority, Sector 6, Panchkula.
1137/2013 in appeal case no. 3227/2013	11.11.13	5000/-	Sh. Ramotaar Saini Vs Headmaster, ASD, High School, Narnaul	The Commissioner & Director General, School Education, Haryana, 30 Bays Building, Sector 17, Chandigarh.
1136/2013 in case no. 3226/2013	11.11.13	5000/-	Sh. Ramotaar Saini Vs Headmaster, ASD, High School, Narnaul	The Commissioner & Director General, School Education, Haryana, 30 Bays Building, Sector 17, Chandigarh.
1005/2013 in case no. 3182/2013	15.11.13	10000/-	Sh. Shrikhan Sharma Vs HUDA, Gurgaon.	The Chief Administrator, Haryana Urban Development Authority, Sector 6, Panchkula.
1060/2013 in case no. 2270/13	15.11.13	10000/-	Sh. Vishal Bharat Vs Junior Engineer, , Estae Officer-I, Sec-14, Gurgaon.	The Chief Administrator, Haryana Urban Development Authority, Sector 6,

				Panchkula.
734,735,736,737 ,739/2013 in case no. 2266,2268,2263, 2260,2267/2013	15.11.13	25000/-	Sh. L.D. Saini Vs HUDA, Rewari	The Chief Administrator, Haryana Urban Development Authority, Sector 6, Panchkula.
778/2013 in case no. 4287/12	18.11.13	3000/-	Sh. Vishnu Kumar vs MC, District, Sirsa.	The Director Urban & Local Bodies, Haryana, SCO NO. 6-7, Sector-17-B, Chandigarh.
901/13 &902/13 in case no. 2757/13	25.11.2013	5000/-	Sh. L.D. saini Vs DRO, Rewari	Sub Divisional Officer (Civil), Pataudi
1212/2013 in case no. 3821/13	27.11.13	5000/-	Sh. Rajender Saini Vs DC, Sonepat	Sub Divisional Officer (Civil), Pataudi
1091/2013 in case no. 2845/13	29.11.2013	5000/-	Mr. Arvind Kumar vs HUDA, Rewari	The Chief Administrator, Haryana Urban Development Authority, Sector 6, Panchkula.
1022/2013 in Complaint Case no. 79/13 in case no. 3816/2011	29.11.2013	10000/-	Sh. Ishwar Chander vs BDPO, Kanina MOhindergarh.	The Director Development and Panchayats, Haryana, Panchyat Bhawan, Plot No. 3, Sector 28-A, Chandigarh.
510/2012, 776, 1291/13 in case no. 2171/12	3.12.2013	15000/-	Sh. Fateh Singh Gill Vs Irrigation Department.	Engineer-in-Chief, Irrigation Department, Haryana, Sinchai Bhawan, Sector 5, Panchkula.
999/2013 in 721/13 in case no. 1873/13	11.12.2013	25000/-	Sh. S.S. Chaudhary Vs HUDA, Faridabad	The Chief Administrator, Haryana Urban Development Authority, Sector 6, Panchkula.
1295/13 in case no. 4401/13	11.12.13	25000/-	Sh. S.S. Chaudhary vs HUDA, Faridabad	The Chief Administrator, Haryana Urban Development

	2M	3A	5A	Authority, Sector 6, Panchkula.
SCN. 1225 and 1226 of 2013 in case no. 3931/13	12.12.201 3	10000/-	Sh. Kirpa Ram Vs Mechanical Water Services Division, Rohtak	Engineer-in-Chief, Irrigation Department, Haryana, Sinchay Bhawan, Sector 5, Panchkula.
557 & 133/2012 in case no. 1679/2011	12.12.201 3	10000/-	Sh. Rajesh Sharma vs Principal, S.D. Sr. Secondary School, Railway Roadk, Karnal.	The Commissioner & Director General, School Education, Haryana, 30 Bays Building, Sector 17, Chandigarh.
1113/13 in case no. 414/2013 in case no. 4698/2012	13.12.13	10000/-	Sh. Gurvinder Singh vs MC, Faridabad	The Director Urban & Local Bodies, Haryana, SCO No. 6-7, Sector 17-B, Chandigarh.
4781/2013	18.12.201 3	25000/-	Sh. Gajraj Singh Vs BDPO, Rewari.	The Director Development and Panchayats, Haryana, Panchayat Bhawan, Plot No. 3, Sector 28-A, Chandigarh.
1118/2013 in case no. 3278/13	20.12.201 3	2000/-	Sh. Sunder Singh VS MC, Thanesar, Distt. KUK.	The Director Urban & Local Bodies, Haryana, SCO No. 6-7, Sector 17-B, Chandigarh.
4025/2013	20.12.201 3	10000/-	Sh. Raj Singh VS BDPO, Distt. Bhiwani	The Director Development and Panchayats, Haryana, Panchayat Bhawan, Plot No. 3, Sector 28-A, Chandigarh.
1214/2013 in case no. 2531/13	20.12.201 3	5000/-	Sh. Raj Kumar vs Principal Govt. Sr. Sec. School, Moda Khera, Hisar.	The Commissioner & Director General, School Education, Haryana, 30 Bays Building, Sector 17, Chandigarh.
822/2013 in SCN. 640/13 in	24.12.201 3	10000/-	Sh. Krishan Lal vs MC, Ambala.	The Director Urban & Local Bodies, Haryana, SCO No. 6-

case no. 5226/12				7, Sector 17-B, Chandigarh.
1282/2013 in case no. 4095 and 4097/	24.12.2013	5000/-	Sh. O.P. Gandhi Vs Director, Industrial Safety and Health, Faridabad	Labour Commissioner, Haryana, 30 Bays Building, SCO No. 82-83, Sector 17, Chandigarh.
1319/2013 in case no. 4262/13	27.12.13	25000/-	Sh. Shiv Kumar Vs HUDA, gurgaon.	The Chief Administrator, Haryana Urban Development Authority, Sector 6, Panchkula.
4463/2013	30.12.2013	10000/-	Sh. Nihal Singh Vs GP, Gokalgarh, Distt. Rewari.	The Director Development and Panchayats, Haryana, Panchyat Bhawan, Plot No. 3, Sector 28-A, Chandigarh.
515/2013 in case no. 1284/2013	30.12.2013	10000/-	Sh. Tarun Goyal Vs Tehsildar, Faridabad	Sub Divisional Officer (Civil), Pataudi

ANNEXURE-'E'

**List of cases in which recommendations were made to initiate Disciplinary Proceedings
during January 1.1.2013 to 31.12.2013**

Case No	Particular of Case	Date of Decision	No. defaulter employees	Name of Public Authority
696/12 in case no. 4072/12	Sh. Harinder Dhingra Vs HUDA, Sector-6, Panchkula.	07.01.2013	2	Chief Administrator, HUDA, Panchkula.
885/11 in SCN 415/11 in case no. 3541/10	Sh. Vikas Mishra Vs HUDA, Faridabad.	06.02.2013	1	Chief Administrator, HUDA, Panchkula.
886/11 in SCN. 414/11 in case no. 3544/2010	Sh. Vikas Mishra Vs HUDA, Faridabad	06.02.2013	2	Chief Administrator, HUDA, Panchkula
884/11 in SCN 416/11 in case no. 3545/2010	Sh. Vikas Mishra Vs HUDA, Faridabad.	06.02.2013	1	Chief Administrator, HUDA, Panchkula
582/12 in case no. 4260/11	Sh. Ishwar Chander Vs BDPO, Kanina	14.02.2013 ya†	1	Director General Development, Panchayat, Department, Haryana.
3926/12	Sh. Ram Chander Lakhera, Sarpanch, Gram Panchayat, Bawali, Distt. Mahendergarh.	8.3.2013	1	Director Development & Panchayat Haryana.
4313/11	Sh. Krishan Kumar vs BDPO, Distt, Mahendergarh.	8.3.2013	1	Director Development & Panchayat Haryana.
5213/12	Sh. Jagat Singh Rewat Vs Sarpanch, Gram Panchayat, Durgapur, Distt. Palwal.	8.3.2013	1	Director Development & Panchayat Haryana.
4941/12	Sh. Jagat Singh Vs BDPO, Durgapur, Distt. Palwal.	8.3.2013	1	Director Development & Panchayat Haryana.
490/11 in SCN NO. 290/11 in case no. 3447/	Sh. Shubhash Chander Sharma VS DSE, Haryana PKI	19.3.2013	1	District School Education
3915/12	Sh. Raj Kumar Vs BDPO, Mahendergarh.	2.5.2013	01	Director Development & Panchayat Haryana.
3913/12	Sh. Mani Ram Vs Sarpanch, Gram panchayt, Shekhpura, Tehsil-Narnaul, Mahendergarh.	2.5.2013	01	Director Development & Panchayat Haryana.
4868/12	Sh. Sant Dharamvir ChotivalaVs BDPO, Sonepat.	7.5.13	01	Director Development & Panchayat Haryana.
1886/13	Smt. Tripta Sachdeva VS HUDA, Sec-56, Gurgaon.	22.5.13	02	Chief Administrator, HUDA, Panchkula.
2215/13	Ms. Uma Rani Vs HUDA, Sec-56, Gurgaon	22.5.13	03	Chief Administrator, HUDA, Panchkula.
780/11 in complaint Case no. 353/11	Sh. Harvinder singh Vs Lao, Panchkula.	22.5.13	02	Director Urban Estate, Sec-6, Panchkula.
2618/13	Sh. Vijay Singh VS bDPO, Kanina, Mahendergarh.	03.06.2013	01	Director Development and Panchayat, Haryana.

2617/13	Sh. Ujagar Vs Sarpanch, Mahendergarh	03.06.2013	01	Director Development and Panchayat, Haryana.
355/13 in case no. 1143/13	Sh. Hoshiyar Singh Vs Sarpanch, Mahendergarh.	03.06.2013	01	Director Development and Panchayat, Haryana.
410 of 2013 in case no. 3937/12	Sh. Ram Dev Vs Sarpanch, GP, Khaspur, Block Ateli Nangal (Mahendergarh)	03.06.2013	01	Sh. Ram Dev Vs BDPO, Kanina.
82 and 83 of 2013 in case no. 4138/12	Sh. Rajesh Kumar vs BDPO, Palwal.	04.06.2013	01	Director Development and Panchayat, Haryana.
560/13 in case no. 1755 of 2012	Sh. Aseem Takyar Vs HUDA, Sec-56, Gurgaon.	28.6.2013	01	Chief Administrator, HUDA, Panchkula.
1648/13	Sh. Ajmer Singh Vs BDPO, Indri, Distt. Karnal	5.7.2013	01	Director Development & Panchayat Haryana.
2538 of 2013	Ms. Shashi Arora Vs Police, Department, Panipat	5.7.2013	01	Director General of Police, Haryana, Panchkula.
2946/13	Sh. Virender Kumar Vs LAO, Rohtak	31.7.13	01	Director Urban Estate, Haryana
2787/13	Sh. Lal Chand Vs BDPO, Ateli Nangal, District, Mahendergarh.	5.8.13	01	Director Development & Panchayat Haryana.
71/13	Sh. Mohammad Shakir Vs Principal Secretary to govt. of Haryana, Development & Panchayat Department, Haryana.	16.8.13	02	Director Development & Panchayat Haryana.
817/13 in case no. 2658/13	Sh. P.P. Kapoor Vs HUDA, Haryana.	26.8.13	01	Chief Administrator, HUDA, Panchkula.
3917/2013	Sh. Aseem Takyar Vs HUDA, Gurgaon.	29.11.2013	02	Chief Administrator, HUDA, Panchkula.
977/2013 in case no. 2220/13	Sh. S.P. Yadav Vs HUDA, Rewari.	29.11.13	01	Chief Administrator, HUDA, Panchkula.
4163/2013	Sh. Gunjan Pardeshi Vs HUDA, Gurgaon.	29.11.13	01	Chief Administrator, HUDA, Panchkula.
4164/13	Sh. Gunjan Pardeshi Vs HUDA, Gurgaon.	29.11.13	01	Chief Administrator, HUDA, Panchkula.
4166/13	Sh. Gunjan Pardeshi Vs HUDA, Gurgaon.	29.11.13	01	Chief Administrator, HUDA, Panchkula.
4175/13	Sh. Kishan Chand Vs HUDA, Gurgaon.	29.11.2013	01	Chief Administrator, HUDA, Panchkula.
4162/13	Sh. Gunjan Pardeshi Vs HUDA, Gurgaon.	29.11.13	01	Chief Administrator, HUDA, Panchkula.
998/2013 in SCN. 143/13 in case no. 4581/12	Sh. S.S. Chaudhary vs HUDA, sec.12, Faridabad.	11.12.2013	01	Chief Administrator, HUDA, Panchkula.
1165/13 in case no. 3610/13	Sh. Vishal Bharat Vs HUDA, Gurgaon.	27.12.13	01	Chief Administrator, HUDA, Panchkula.
1135/2013 in case no. 2060/12	Sh. M.S. Bhanwala Vs District Project Coordinator, Education Department, Sonepat.	27.12.2013	01	Director School Education

ANNEXURE - 'F'

DETAILS OF COMPENSATION AWARDED TO THE APPEALLANTS/COMPLAINANTS

UNDER SECTION 19 (8) (B) OF THE RTI ACT, 2005, DURING 1.1.2013 to 31.12.2013

Case No.	Date of Decision	Amount of compensation	Detail of Parties
2304/12	26.6.12	2000/-	Sh. Manohar Lal Vs MC, Panipat.
4169/12	3.01.2013	500/-	Sh. Anil Kumar Vs HUDA, Hisar.
2967/12	3.01.2013	1000/-	Sh. Mahavir Vs Sarpanch, Gram Panchayat, Tajia , Block Nathusuri Chopta, District, Sirsa.
3352/12	4.01.2013	1500/-	Sh. Sultan Singh Vs BPS. Mahila Vishwavidyalaya, Khanpur Kalan, District, Sonipat
3355/12	4.1.2013	1000/-	Sh. Sultan Singh Vs BPS. Mahila Vishwavidyalaya, Khanpur, Kalan, District, Sonipat.
4348/12	7.1.2013	1500/-	Sh. Gopal Singh Vs Assistant Registrar, o/o Registrar Cooperative Societies, Panchkula.
2303/12	9.1.13	1000/-	Sh. Ramesh Verma Vs ULBD, Haryana, Chandigarh.
1362/12	10.01.2013	2000/-	Sh. Aseem Takyar Vs DC, Gurgaon.
4464/12	14.01.2013	1000/-	Sh. Ramesh Chand Vs DSWO, Rewari.
4594/12	14.01.2013	5000/-	Sh. Dharam Pal Singh Vs Adminstrator, HUDA, Gurgaon.
4094/12	15.01.2013	1000/-	Sh. Balbir Singh Vs BDPO, Jatusana, Distt: Rewari.
3085/12	16.01.2013	1000/-	Sh. Surender Kumar Vs M.C. District. Fatehbad.
4460/12	21.01.2013	1500/-	Sh. Karamvir Vs DSWO, Bhiwani.
757/12 in case no. 4730/11	22.01.2013	2000/-	Smt. Sushila Devi Vs Chief Administrator, HUDA, Panchkula.
2070/12	22.01.2013	1000/-	Sh. Gajanand Vs BDPO, Bhiwani.
3218/12	23.01.2013	1500/-	Sh. Rajender Kumar Chawla Vs Urban Local Bodies, Haryana, Chandigarh.
2711/12	25.01.2013	500/-	Sh. Hanuman Vs HSSC, Panchkula.
3344/12	28.1.13	1500/-	Sh. Partap Singh VS Panchayati Raj, Jhajjar.
5045/12	29.01.2013	2000/-	Sh. Hargian Singh Vs CTM, Rewari.
5110/12	30.01.2013	2500/-	Dr. (Mrs). Paramjit Sharma Vs DSE, haryana, Panchkula.
3500/12	31.01.2013	1500/-	Sh. Deep Chand Soni Vs Secretary, M.C. Narnaul.
3083/12	1.2.2013	1000/-	Sh. Hemant Vs M. C. Faridabad.
661/2011 in case no. 242/11	4.2.13	1500/-	Sh. Deen Dayal Soni Vs MC. Bhiwani
3490/12	5.2.13	1500/-	Sh.Krishan Vs BDPO, Sampla, District Rohtak.

3488/12	5.2.13	1000/-	Sh. Rajesh Kumar Vs BDPO, Hisar.
4273/12	6.2.13	1000/-	Smt. Geeta Rani Vs M.C. Thanesar, Kurukshetra.
723/12 in case no. 1298/12	6.02.2013	1000/-	Sh. Manohar Lal Vs M.C. Panipat
5041/12	6.2.13	500/-	Sh. Manoj Karwasra VS DC, Hisar.
128/12 in case no. 3550/11	6.02.2013	2000/-	Sh. Manohar Lal Vs M.C. Panipat.
4686/12	6.02.2013	1500/-	Sh. Jai Singh Vs BDPO, Distirct, Karnal.
189/11	7.2.13	1000/-	Sh. Deen Dayal Soni Vs MC. Bhiwani.
3503/12	7.2.13	1000/-	Sh. Sant Singh Vs M.C. Bhiwani.
1725/12	8.2.13	2000/-	Sh. Surender Kumar Vs Deputy Commissioner, Sonepat.
1664/12	8.2.13	2000/-	Sh. Santokh Singh Vs Civil Surgeon, Kaithal (haryana.)
4265/12	8.2.13	1500/-	Sh. Mahesh Chand Vs M.C. Faridabad.
2066/12	11.2.13	2000/-	Sh. Balwan Singh Ghungas Vs MC, Thanesar, Distt- Kurkshetra.
140/12	11.2.13	1000/-	Sh. Jagar Singh VS BDPO, District: Faridabad.
5012 of 2012	11.02.2013	500/-	Sh. Anil Kumar Vs Haryana State Agriculture Marketing Board, Panchkula.
4766/12	12.02.2013	1500/-	Sh. Neeraj Chopra Vs Public Relation & Cultural Affairs, Haryana, Chandigarh.
4763/12	12.2.13	1000/-	Sh. Neeraj Chopra Vs Public Relation & Cutlural Affairs. Haryan.
758/12 in case no. 3134/2012	19.02.2013	1000/-	Sh. Gurmeet Singh, Advocate , Vs HUDA, Bhiwani.
4859/2011	19.02.2013	1500/-	Sh. Satpal Ahlawat Vs M.C. Jhajjar.
4711/2012	20.2.2013	2000/-	Sh. Panch Ram Vs DDPO, Ambala.
2937/12	20.2.13	1000/-	Sh. Bhavi Chand Vs HBSE, Bhiwani.
1410/12	21.2.13	1000/-	Sh. S.K. Bindal Vs Sanatam Dharam Mahila Mahavidyalaya Society, Bank, Road, Hansi.
241/12 in case no. 3523/11	22.02.2013	1000/-	Sh. Krishan Kumar Ghulliani Vs LAO, Sector-1, Rohtak.
3243/12	26.2.13	1000/-	Sh. Om Parkash Vs HPSC, Pkl.
4943/12	26.2.2013	500/-	Sh. P.P. Kapoor Vs Sports & Youth Affairs Department, Majri Chowk, Sector-3, Panchkula.
3629/12	27.2.13	500/-	Sh. Manohar Lal Vs MC. Panipat
3665/12	27.2.13	500/-	Sh. Manohar Lal Vs M.C. Panipat
3625/12	27.2.13	500/-	Sh.Jai Parkash Vs BDPO, sonipat.
3239/12	28.2.13	1000/-	Sh. Mohan Lal Vs Cooperative Societies, Ambala.
1418/12	1.3.2013	500/-	Sh. Manohar Lal VS DC, Panipat.
1376/12	1.3.2013	500/-	Sh. Manohar Lal Vs DC, Panipat.
5217/12	6.3.2013	500/-	Sh. Pardeep Kaur Vs Panchayat Department.
4968/12	8.3.13	2000/-	Sh. Surender Singh Vs Chief Town Planning h

			& Country Planning Department, Haryana, Chandigarh.
815/11 in case no. 2626/11	8.3.2013	1000/-	Sh. Ujagar Singh Vs Gram Panchayat , Kakrala, Block Kanina, Distt. Mahendergarh.
1053/13	11.3.2013	500/-	Sh. Sarwan Kumar VS DHBVNL, Sirsa.
3478/12	11.3.2013	1500/-	Sh. Surender Kumar Vs M.C. Rewari.
4433/12	11.3.13	1000/-	Sh. Balbir Singh Vs Superintendent of Police, Narnaul.
5011/12	14.03.2013	500/-	Sh. R.K. Jain Vs Irrigation Department.
1061/13	15.03.2013	500/-	Smt. Chander Kanta VS Superintendent of Police, Narnaul, District, Mohendergarh.
3567/12	21.3.2013	1000/-	Sh. Mahavir Singh vs Transprot Commissioner, Haryana, Chanidgarh.
3287/12	21.3.2013	2000/-	Sh. Rampal Vs Deputy commissioner, Jhajjar.
320/12 in case no. 1632/12	22.3.2013	2,000/-	Smt. Hiteshi Arora , Vs Deputy Commissioner of Police (HQ), Farida bad.
4040/12	22.03.2013	1000/-	Sh. Manoj Kumar Karwasra Vs DC, Hisar.
3074/12	25.3.2013	500/-	Sh. Ved Parkash Vs Additional Deputy Commissioner, Jhajjar.
4379/12	26.3.2013	1000/-	Sh. Kishan Singh Vs Tehsildar, Ballabgarh, District, Fbd.
172/12 in case no. 4001 of 2011	28.3.2013	1000/-	Sh. Dharamvir Singh VS Registrar, Birth & Death , Kurukshetra.
2289/12	29.3.13	500/-	Sh. Devki Nandan Vs M.C. Council,Naranaul.
195/13 in 849 case no. 2481/11	14.11.2011	1000/-	Sh. Vikas Mishra Vs HUDA, Faridabad.
310/11	1.4.13	500/-	Sh. Deen Dayal Soni Vs M.C. bhiwani.
1308/12	1.4.13	500/-	Sh. Deen Dayal Soni Vs M.C. Bhiwani.
3658/12	1.4.13	500/-	Sh. Deen Dayal Soni Vs M.C. bhiwani.
307/13 in cass no. 3743/12	2.4.2013	1000/-	Sh. Suresh Kumar vs BDPO, Thanesar, Kurukshetra.
3505/12	1.4.13	500/-	Sh. Deen Dayal Soni Vs M.C. Bhiwani.
4366/12	03.04.2013	1000/-	Sh. Manoj Karwasra vS DC, Hisar.
835/11 in case no. 2240/11	5.4.13	1000/-	Sh. Brijpal Singh Vs M.C. bhiwani.
495/12 in case no. 315/10	5.4.13	1000/-	Sh. Deen Dayal Soni Vs M.c. Bhiwani.
834/11 in case no. 2241/11	5.4.13	1000/-	Sh. Brijpal Singh Vs M.C. B hiwani.
753/12 in caseno. 232/10 in	5.4.13	3000/-	Capt. Pawan Kumar Anchal Vs M.C. Bhiwani.

case no. 2016/09			
471/12 in case no. 1900/11	5.4.13	5000/-	Sh. Hari Parkash Gupta Vs M.C. Bhiwani.
935/11 in case no. 3091/11	5.4.13	2000/-	Sh. Rajinder Singh Vs M.C. Bhiwani.
750/12 in case no. 116/10 in 85/10 in case no. 3094/09	5.4.13 13	2000/-	Sh. Hardesh Goswami Vs M.C. Bhiwani. 13
751/12 in case no. 225/10 in 225/10 in appeal no. 1175/10	5.4.13 13	2000/-	Sh. Durga Parsad Vs M.C. Bhiwani. 13
892/11 in case no. 2243/11	5.4.13 13	2000/-	Sh. Surdershan Kumar Vs M.C. Bhiwani. 13
477/10 in case no. 2099/10	5.4.13	1000/-	Sh. Deen Dayal Soni Vs M.C. Corporation, Ambala.
4685/12	8.4.13	500/-	Smt. Pinku Jindal Vs M.C. Haryana, Chandigarh.
554/12 in case no. 1247/11	8.4.13	2000/-	Smt. Sarvesh Devi Vs M.C. Bhiwani.
1594/13	9.4.2013	1000/-	Sh. Kuldeep Kumar Vs DC, Yamunannagar.
1339/13	10.4.2013	750/-	Sh. Manoj Kumar Karwasra Vs ESI, Corporation, Industrial Development, Corporation, Hisar, Haryana.
1817/13	10.4.2013	750/-	Sh. Dharam Pal Vs Forest Department.
1572/12	12.4.13	1000/-	Sh. Ved Parkash Vs MC. Jind
2183/12	17.4.13	500/-	Sh. Devi Ram Vs DDPO, Hisar.
4090/12	17.4.13	500/-	Sh. Devi Ram vs DDPO, Hisar
4093/12	17.4.13	500/-	Sh. Devi Ram vs BDPO, Hisar.
1760/13	22.4.13	2000/-	Sh. Abhishek Dwivedi Vs DGTC, Haryana Sector-18, Chandigarh.
1757/13	22.4.13	1000/-	Sh. Ravinder Singh Vs HUDA, Panchkula.
1136/12	22.4.13	1,500/-	Sh. Manak Chand Jain Vs MC. Bhiwani.
3661/12	23.4.13	1,500/-	Sh. Ram Parpan Kaushik Vs MC. Bhiwani.
1922/12	28.12.2012	2500	Sh. Sultan Singh, Sonepat Vs BPS Mahila Mahavidyalaya, KhanpurKalan, Sonepat.
1170/12	1.5.2013	2000	Sh. Jug Jeewan Pal S/o Sh. Amarnath Vs Haryana Staff Selection Commission.
840/12 in case no.	2.5.13	5000	Sh. Rajender Rathi Vs HUDA, Gurgaon.

3055/12			
142/13 in case no. 4856/12	02.05.2013	5000	Sh. Rajender Rathee Vs HUDA, Panipat.
1703/13	2.5.2013	2000	Sh. Kailash Vs Sarpanch, Distt. Mahendergarh.
19/13 in case no. 2967/12	03.05.2013	1000	Sh. Mahavir Vs Gram Panchayat, District, Sirsa.
4635/12	6.5.2013	500	Sh. Kulbhushan Jain Vs MC, Rohtak.
5230/12	7.5.13	1000	Sh. Kartar Singh Vs Deputy Commissiner, Sonepat
3924/12	7.5.2013	2000	Sh. Mahender Singh Vs BDPO, Sonepat.
1215/13	10.5.13	1000	Sh. Ajmer singh Vs DDPO, Hisar.
132/13 in case no. 4331/12	13.5.2013	1000	Sh. Neeraj Chopra Vs Public Reltaion and Cultural Affairs Department, Haryana, Chandigarh.
3003/12	14.05.2013	1000	Sh. Sultan Singh Vs B.P. S Mahila Vishwavidyalaya, Khanpur Kalan, Sonepat.
220/13	14.5.2013	2000	Sh. Des Raj Wadhwa Vs Tehsildar, Sonipat.
3814/12	14.5.2013	1000	Dr. Sandeep Berwal vs B.P. S. Mahila Vishwavidyalaya, Khanpur Kalan, Sonepat.
316/13 in case no. 5214/12	15.5.13	1000	Sh. Avtar Singh Vs Sarpanch, Gram Panchayat, Korwe Khurd, Distt. Ambala.
2046/13	15.5.13	1000	Sh. Surender Kumar vs BDPO, Thanesar, Kurukshetra.
401/13, 780/11 in case no. 353/11	16.5.13	5000	Sh. Harvinder Singh vs LAO, Panchkula.
278/13 in complaint case no. 236 in case no. 1515/12	16.5.2013	2000	Sh. S.C. Bhardwaj vS HUDA, Sonepat.
124/13 in case no. 4075/12	16.5.2013	2000	Sh. S. C. Bhardwaj Vs HUDA, sonepat. -
2231/13	17.5.2013	1500	Sh. Ishwar Singh Vs DSWo, Rewari.
474/12 in case no. 1217/12	21.5.2013	2000	Sh. S.K. Bindal vs Higher Education Department, Hisar.
3348/12	22.5.2013	1000	Sh. Rahul Vs BDPO, Charkhi Dadri, Bhiwani.
1854/13	22.5.2013	2000	Sh. Rohtash Kumar Vs HUDA, Sector-56, Gurgaon.
4280/12	23.5.2013	1000	Smt. Pushpa Kumari Vs Municipal Corporation, Gurgaon.
1913/13	28.5.2013	500	Sh. S.P. Sharma Vs Food and Supplies Department, Haryana, Chandigarh.
2039/13	28.5.2013	3000	Sh. Tarlochan Singh Vs Protocol Branch,

			Haryana, Civil Secretariat, Chandigarh.
2055/13	16.5.2013	2000/-	Dr. Dushyant Gupta Vs DGTCP, Chandigarh.
298/13 in case no. 4958/12	03.06.2013	3000/-	Sh. Surinder singh Dhillon Vs Urban Estate Depratment, Sector-06, Panchkula.
1924/13	03.06.2013	1000/-	Sh. Bhanwar Lal Vs Sarpanch, GP, Talwanna, Block Kanina, Mahendergarh.
2440/13	03.06.2013	500/-	Sh. Atma Ram VSs PHEd, Kosli, District-Rewari.
2248 of 2013	04.06.2013	1000/-	Sh. Inder Vs BDPO, Hodel, Palwal.
400 of 2013 in case no. 3124 of 2012	06.06.2013	2000/-	Sh. Virender Kumar Vs HUDA, Panipat.
2106/13	12.6.2013	1000/-	Sh. Sudhir Vs Food and Supply Department, Haryana, Chandigarh.
217/13 in case no. 4243/12	12.6.2013	1000/-	Smt. Geeta Vs MC, Thanesar, Kurukshetra.
596 of 2013 in case no. 1098 of 2013	17.6.2013	1000/-	Sh. Raje Ram Vs Sarpanch, Gram Panchayat, Nathusari Kalan, Distt. Sirsa.
499 of 2013 in case no. 4093/12	18.6.2013	500/-	Sh. Devi Ram Vs Sarpanch, Grma Panchayat , Ghirai, Block Hansi, District-Hisar.
59 of 2013	27.06.2013	2000/-	Sh. Manoj Karwasra VS HUDA, Panchkula.
132 of 2013 in case no. 4331 of 2012	27.06.2013	1000/-	Sh. Neeraj Chopra Vs DPR, Chndigarh.
2834/12	3.7.13	500/-	Sh. Surender Kumar alias Vs Haryana Wakf Board, Tohana, Distt. Fatehabad.
1482/13	5.7.13	1000/-	Sh. Manoj Kumar Karwasra Vs ADC, Hisar.
635/13 in case no. 1877/13	9.7.13	2000/-	Sh. Navneet Vaid Vs DGTCP, Haryana, Chandigarh.
2801/13	10.7.13	1000/-	Sh. Padam Parkash Vs Firms and Societies, Haryana, Panchkula.
2392/13	16.7.2013	1000/-	Sh. Ramniwas Jangra Vs HBSE, Haryana, Bhiwani.
1989/13	18.07.2013	1000/-	Sh. Lakhbir Singh Vs Dy. Registrar Cooprative Societies, Kurukshetra.
1184/13	18.7.13	3000/-	Sh. Bijender Kumar Jain Vs Director Information and Public Relation Department, Haryana.
463/12 in	29.7.13	2000/-	Sh. Harcharan Singh Vs LAO, Sec-8,

case no. 1622/12			Panchkula
760/13 in case no. 2894/12	26.7.13	3000/-	Sh. Ram Kishan Vs STP, Gurgaon.
383, 386 and 732 of 2013 in case no. 1169 and 1167 of 2013	30.7.13	5,000/-	Sh. Hemant Kumar Vs PHED, Rewari.
3195/13	5.8.13	1,000/-	Sh. Lal Singh vS BDPO, Rewari.
747/13 in case no. 2617/13	5.8.13	1,000/-	Sh. Ujagar Singh vS Gram Panchayat, Kakrala, Block Kanina, District-Mahendergarh.
3074/13	5.8.13	1,000/-	Sh. Raj Pal vs BDPO, Kanina.
294/13 in case no. 1094/13	06.08.2013	2,000/-	Sh. Rajendra Sharma Vs HUDA, Faridabad.
23/13 in case no. 1685/13	6.8.13	3,000/-	Sh. SAtbir Vs HUDA, Faridabad.
3190/13	13.8.2013	1,000/-	Sh. Sat Pal Sharm vs Haryana State Warehousing Corporation, Pkl.
2881/13	13.8.2013	500/-	Sh. Deen Dayal Sini Vs Food and Supplies Department, Haryana, Chandigarh.
3121/13	13.8.13	1,000/-	Sh. Kishori al Vs Inspector General of Police, Crime, Haryana, Pkl.
636/13 in case no. 1870/13	13.8.13	2,000/-	Sh. Vijender Singh VS HUDA, Gurgaon.
2722/13	13.8.2013	1,000/-	Sh. Raj Kumar Gupta , Vs DRDA, Bhiwani.
2791/13	13.8.2013	1,000/-	Sh. Satish Vs MC, Bhiwani.
2092/13	14.8.13	1,000/-	Sh. S.P. Sharma Vs M.C. Panipat.
554/12 in case no. 3798/12	16.8.13	2,500/-	Sh. Ram Kumar Vs Land Acquistion Officer, Urban Estates, Panchkula.
719/13 in complaint no. 52/13 in case no. 2886/12	21.8.13	3,000/-	Sh. Ranbir Singh Vs HUDA, Panchkula.
2780/13	23.8.13	1,000/-	Sh. Rajesh Vs Maharishi Dayanand University, Rohtak.
869/13 in case no. 2482/11	02.04.2013	1000/-	Sh. Vikas Mishra Vs HUDA, Faridabad.
3597/13	6.9.2013	500/-	Sh. Subhash Chander Gill Vs Sarpanch, Gram Panchayat, Jhansa, District-Kurukshetra.
509/510/1 3 in case	10.9.13	3000/-	Sh. Balbir Vs Gram Panchayat, Surpura Khurd, Block Behal, Bhiwani.

no. 4927/12			
3403/13	10.9.2013	1000/-	Sh. Ramesh Chander Vs DRDA, Gurgaon.
2966/13	10.9.13	1000/-	Sh. Akshay Kumar Sharma Vs MC, Karnal.
2085/13	11.9.13	1000/-	Sh. N. R. Bansal vS MC, Charkhi Dadri, Bhiwani.
3580/13	13.9.13	500/-	Sh. Sunil Kumar Vs Superintendent of Police, Rewari.
3056/13	13.9.13	1000/-	DR. OP Hooda Vs Haryana Shiksha Sadan, Panchkula.
866/13 in case no. 4039/11	16.9.13	2000/-	Sh. Vikas Kumar Gupta Vs HUDA, Faridabad.
3260/13	18.9.13	1000/-	Sh. Dariya singh Vs DDPO, Panipat.
3947/12	19.9.13	1000/-	Ex. Sub, Maj Krishan Chander Vs City Magistrate, Bhiwani.
3284/13	25.9.13	500/-	Sh. Mahinder Kumar vs DDPO, Karnal.
3254/2013	3.10.2013	1000/-	Sh. Anil Kumar Vs MC, Gurgaon.
3250/2013	3.10.2013	1000/-	Sh. Shyam Lal Vs DRDA, Bhiwani.
3334/2013	4.10.2013	1000/-	Sh. Vijay Deep Vs DPR, Haryana, Bhawan, New Delhi.
3445/2013	7.10.2013	500/-	Sh. Ram Chander Yadav Vs MC, Bhiwani.
3430/2013	7.10.2013	500/-	Smt. Rekha Devi Vs MC, Bhiwani.
3663/2013	8.10.2013	500/-	Sh. Israel Khan Vs DDPO, Mewat.
3431/2013	8.10.2013	1000/-	Sh. Bhaktawat Singh Vs BDPO, Charkhi Dadri-II, District-Bhiwani.
3434/2013	8.10.2013	1000/-	Sh. Nathu Ram Vs DDPO, Bhiwani.
3615/13	10.10.2013	1000/-	Sh. Sukhbir Singh Vs DGTC, Haryana, Chandigarh.
3661/13	14.10.2013	1000/-	Sh. Mangal Chand Vs BDPo, Jatusana, District-Rewari.
1478/13	17.10.2013	1000/-	Sh. Partap Singh Vs DDPO, Sirsa.
186/2013 in case no. 3500/2012	17.10.2013	1500/-	Sh. Deep Chand Vs MC, Narnaul, District-Mahendergarh.
1479/2013	17.10.2013	1000/-	Sh. Herwali Singh Vs Sarpanch, Gram Panchayat, Talwara Khurd, Distt. Sirsa.
4231/2013	21.10.2013	1000/-	Sh. Satbir Singh Vs DEO, Gurgaon.
349/2013 in case no. 1860/2012	21.10.2013	2000/-	Smt. Sarvesh Devi VS MC, Bhiwani.
4427/13	21.10.13	5000/-	Smt. Sudesh Kumari Vs Deputy Civil Surgeon, Medical, Kurukshetra.
1574/2013	23.10.2013	500/-	Sh. Rajesh Vs Maharishi Dayanand University, Rohtak.
3732/13	29.10.2013	2000/-	Sh. Navneet Valid Vs DGTC, Haryana, Chandigarh.
849/13 in case no. 2645/13	29.10.2013	2000/-	Sh. Randhir singh Vs HUDA, Faridabad.
4488/13	5.11.2013	1500/-	Sh. Rajender Singh Dahiya vS Deputy Commissioenr, Sonepat.

3682/13	6.11.2013	1000/-	Sh. Ram Singh Vs DDPO, Sirsa.
3675/13	7.11.2013	500/-	Sh. Rajpal Vs DDPO, Bhiwani.
3680/13	7.11.13	1000/-	Sh. Ishwar Sing baatu Vs Secretary MC, Siwani.
2178/13	7.11.13	500/-	Sh. Madan Lal Dhiman Vs MC, Panchkula.
2690/13	11.11.2013	1000/-	Sh. Shalender Chauhan Vs MC, Rewari.
3710/2013	11.11.2013	1000/-	Sh. Surender Pal vs Secretary, MC, Rewari.
3947/13	20.11.2013	500/-	Sh. Krishan Kumar Vs MC, Sirsa.
1646/2013	21.11.13	500/-	Sh. P.P. Kapoor Vs Haryana State Taekwondo Association, Ambala Cantt.
1492/2013	21.11.2013	500/-	Sh. P.P.Kapoor Vs Urban Local bodies department, Haryana, Chandigarh.
4396/13	22.11.2013	500/-	Sh Har Parsad Vs HUDA, Panchkula.
3952/13	25.11.13	500/-	Sh. Suresh Kumar Bansal Vs MC, Jind.
2962/13	26.11.13	500/-	Sh. P.P. Kapoor Vs Hayrana Olympic Association , Sec-3 Pkl.
2962/13	26.11.13	500/-	Sh. P.P. Kapoor Vs Hayrana Olympic Association , Sec-3 Pkl.
3991/2013	28.11.13	1000/-	Sh. R.K. Bhardwaj vs MC, Faridabad.
3873/13	28.11.13	2000/-	Dr. Bhavet Garg vs R.K.S.D.(P.G) College, Kaithal.
911/2013 in case no. 2226/2013	3.12.2013	7000/-	Advocate Gulshan Chawlal vS Senior Professor and Head O/o PGIDC, Rohtak.
5125/2013	4.12.2013	500/-	Sh. Satya Narayan Vs Social Justice and Empowerment Department, Haryana, Chandigarh.
2349/2013	4.12.2013	1000/-	Sh. S.L. Sharma Vs MC, Ambala City.
5184/2013	6.12.2013	1500/-	Sh.Khazan Singh vS SDO, Distt. Jhajjar.
4750/2013	10.12.2013	1500/-	Sh. R.N. Soni vs City Magistrate, Gurgaon.
4263/13	12.12.13	1000/-	Sh. Anil Kumar Vs HUDA, Hisar.
4998/2013	12.12.13	1000/-	Sh. Hansraj Vs HUDA, Hisar.
214/2013 in case no. 3503/2012	13.12.13	1000/-	Sh. Sant Singh vs MC, Bhiwani.
4781/2013	18.12.13	5000/-	Sh. Gajraj Singh vs Sarpanch, G.P. Malahera, Distt. Rewari.
4025/2013	20.12.2013	2000/-	Sh. Raj Singh vS BDPO, Kairu, Distt. Bhiwani.
4929/2013	24.12.2013	5000/-	Sh. Sudama Aggarwal vs Chaudhary Charan Singh Haryana Agricutlure University, Hisar.
851/2013 in case no. 2653/2013	27.12.2013	2000/-	Sh. Ravinder Yadav Vs HUDA, Rewari.
1069/2013 in case no. 3499/13	27.12.2013	5000/-	Sh. Surender Singh Dhillon vs . Executive Engineer, HUDA, Rewari.