

1. (2)

P <sub>1</sub>	X		
	1	2	3
y	1	F	F
	2	F	T
	3	F	F

P <sub>2</sub>	X		
	1	2	3
y	1	T	F
	2	T	F
	3	T	T

P <sub>3</sub>	X		
	1	2	3
y	1	T	F
	2	F	T
	3	F	T

P <sub>4</sub>	X		
	1	2	3
y	1	T	T
	2	T	T
	3	T	T

	1	T	F	F
y	2	F	T	F
	3	F	F	T

	1	T	T	T
y	2	T	T	T
	3	T	T	T

(b)

	$P_0$	$P_1$	$P_2$	$P_3$	$P_4$
$\exists x \exists y P_i$	T	T	T	T	T
$\exists x \forall y P_i$	F	F	T	F	T
$\forall x \exists y P_i$	F	F	F	T	T
$\forall x \forall y P_i$	F	F	F	F	T

- (c)  $P_2$  from the above is a counterexample.
- (d)  $P_3$  is a counterexample. (from (b))
- " This is

$\forall x \exists y, P_i$	F	F	F	T	T
$\forall x \forall y, P_i$	F	F	F	F	T

- (c)  $P_2$  from the above is a counterexample. (from (b))
- (d)  $P_3$  is a counterexample. (from (b))
- (e) Let  $P = "xy \text{ is even, } x, y \in \mathbb{Z}"$ . Then this is counterexample.
- (f)  $P_3$  is a counter example.

11

(oops, change the  $S_i$ 's to  $X_i$ 's)

2. (a)  $S_1 \wedge S_2 = \text{true}$

(b)  $S_4 \oplus S_5 = \text{true}$

(c)  $(\neg S_1 \wedge S_2 \wedge S_3 \wedge S_4 \wedge S_5) \vee (\dots) \vee \dots \vee (S_1 \wedge S_2 \wedge S_3 \wedge S_4 \wedge \neg S_5)$

(d)  $X_1 = \text{true}, X_2 = \text{true},$  with

$(X_3, X_4 = T, X_5 = F)$  or  $(X_3, X_5 = T, X_4 = F)$

$$(X_3, X_4 = T, X_5 = F) \text{ or } (X_3, X_5 = T, X_4 = F)$$

3. (a) All residues mod 3 of squares are  $\{0^2, 1^2, 2^2\}$   
 $= \{0, 1\}$ , so  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$  cannot be a square.

(b)  $(x-y)(x+y) = 10$ . Let  $a = x-y$ ,  $b = x+y$ ,

Then  $(a, b) = (\pm 1, \pm 10), (\pm 2, \pm 5), (\pm 5, \pm 2), (\pm 10, \pm 1)$

but  $2x = a+b \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ , which is impossible by all combinations above.

4.

(a) False, Assuming  $n = 3k+1$  contradicts

" $2n+1$  is NOT a multiple of 3"

(b) Incorrect proof. Can't just plug in

$n = n+1$ , because we haven't established proof works for  $n+1$ .

Try instead:  $n < 2^n \Rightarrow n+1 < 2^{n+1} < 2^{n+1}$

(c) Incorrect, base case is insufficient.

$\max(1, 0) = 1$  will imply  $\max(0, -1) = 0$

from the proof, which he did not prove and is incorrect.

from the proof, which he did not prove and is incorrect.

5. (a) Base case:  $n=3$ ,  $n^2=9 \geq 2n+1=7$

Assume it is true that  $n=n_0$ .

To prove  $n=n_0+1$  works,

Plugging in, we must prove

$$(n_0+1)^2 \geq 2(n_0+1)+1$$

$$\Rightarrow n_0^2 + 2n_0 + 1 \geq 2n_0 + 1 + 2. \quad \text{Since } 2n_0 + 1 \geq 2 \quad \forall n_0 \geq 3,$$

Adding this to inductive hypothesis proves result.

5. (b) Base case  $n=4$ ,  $2^4=16 \geq 4^2=16$ .

Assume true for  $n=n_0$ .

Then  $n=n_0+1$ , we must prove

$$2^{n_0+1} \geq (n_0+1)^2.$$

But  $2^{n_0+1} = 2 \cdot 2^{n_0} \geq 2 \cdot (n_0)^2$  from inductive hypothesis,

and  $2n_0^2 \geq (n_0+1)^2$

$$\Leftrightarrow n_0^2 \geq 2n_0+1, \text{ which is true from (a),}$$

Q.E.D.



6. Yes. We use induction.  $n=1$  start case is trivial

Assume it can be done with  $n = n_0$  starts.

Take  $n$  to be the largest pizza, and

$P_m$  to be the position from bottom up.

If  $m$  is not the bottommost pizza,

Flip right beneath  $m$ , which will put

$m$  on top. Then flip the bottom most

pizza, which will make  $P_m = 0$  (at the bottom)

By inductive hypothesis, we can then flip the other pizzas in ascending order, which finishes the proof.