#### CS1555 Recitation 11 Solution

Objective: to practice normalization, finding canonical forms, checking for lossless decompositions, and decomposing relations into BCNF.

**Part 1:** For each of the following relations R and sets of functional dependencies F, do the following:

- 1) Find the canonical cover (minimal cover) of F.
- 2) Using the canonical cover, find the keys of the R.
- 1. Consider the following set of functional dependencies F on a relation R (A, B, C, D, E):

 $A \rightarrow BC$ 

 $A \rightarrow D$ 

 $B \rightarrow C$ 

 $C \rightarrow D$ 

 $DE \rightarrow C$ 

 $BC \rightarrow D$ 

### Finding the canonical form:

• Transform all FDs to canonical form (i.e., one attributes on the right):

 $A \rightarrow B$ 

 $A \rightarrow C$ 

 $A \rightarrow D$ 

 $B \rightarrow C$ 

 $C \rightarrow D$ 

 $DE \rightarrow C$ 

 $BC \rightarrow D$ 

• Drop extraneous attributes:

B in BC  $\rightarrow$  D is extraneous, since we already have C  $\rightarrow$  D. The set of FDs becomes:

 $A \rightarrow B$ 

 $A \rightarrow C$ 

 $A \rightarrow D$ 

 $B \rightarrow C$ 

 $C \rightarrow D$ 

 $DE \rightarrow C$ 

- Drop redundant FDs:
  - $A \rightarrow B$  and  $B \rightarrow C$  implies  $A \rightarrow C$ , so we drop  $A \rightarrow C$ .

 $A \rightarrow B$ ,  $B \rightarrow C$  and  $C \rightarrow D$  implies  $A \rightarrow D$ , so we drop  $A \rightarrow D$ .

The set of FDs becomes:

 $A \rightarrow B$ 

 $B \rightarrow C$ 

 $C \rightarrow D$ 

 $DE \rightarrow C$ 

which is the canonical cover of F.

## Finding the keys of R:

#### Observations:

- A and E do not appear in the right hand side of any FDs, so they have to appear in all keys of R.
- $AE+: AE \rightarrow AEB$  (since  $A \rightarrow B$ )  $\rightarrow AEBC$  (since  $B \rightarrow C$ )  $\rightarrow AEBCD$  (since  $C \rightarrow D$ ). So AE is a key of R.

In this case, we do not need to consider any other combination, because any other combination containing AE (e.g., AEB) is a super key and not minimal.

<b>2.</b> Consider the following set of functional dependencies F on relation R (A, B, C, D, E, H):
$A \rightarrow C$ $AC \rightarrow D$ $E \rightarrow AD$ $E \rightarrow H$ $A \rightarrow CD$ $E \rightarrow AH$
Finding the canonical form:
<ul> <li>Transform all FDs to canonical form (i.e., one attribute on the right):</li> </ul>
$A \rightarrow C$
$AC \rightarrow D$
$E \to AD$ becomes $E \to A$ and $E \to D$
$E \to H$
$A \rightarrow CD$ becomes $A \rightarrow C$ and $A \rightarrow D$
$E \rightarrow AH$ becomes $E \rightarrow A$ and $E \rightarrow H$
Remove redundant dependencies:
$A \rightarrow C$
$AC \rightarrow D$
$E \to A$ $E \to D$
E → U E → H
$A \rightarrow D$
<ul> <li>Drop extraneous attributes:</li> </ul>
$AC \rightarrow D$ can be removed because we have $A \rightarrow D$ so $C$ is redundant:
$A \rightarrow C$
$E \rightarrow A$
$E \to D$
E→H
A  o D
<ul> <li>Drop redundant FDs: Try removing some dependencies in F and still have a set of dependencies equivalent to F.</li> </ul>
$E \rightarrow D$ can be deduced from $E \rightarrow A$ and $A \rightarrow D$ so we can remove $E \rightarrow D$ .
The set of FDs becomes:
$A{ ightarrow} {\cal C}$
E→A
E→H
$A{ ightarrow} D$
which is the canonical cover of F.

## Finding the keys of R:

Observations:

- B and E do not appear in the right hand side of any FDs, so they have to appear in all keys of R.
- BE+: BE  $\rightarrow$  AEB (because E  $\rightarrow$  A)  $\rightarrow$  AEBC (because A  $\rightarrow$  C)  $\rightarrow$  AEBCD (because A  $\rightarrow$  D)  $\rightarrow$  AEBCDH (because E  $\rightarrow$  H). So BE is a key of R. In this case, we do not need to consider any other combination, because any other combination containing BE (e.g., AEB) is a super key and not minimal.

#### Part 2:

1. Consider the following set of functional dependencies F on relation R (A, B, C, D, E, H):

$$A \rightarrow C$$

$$AC \rightarrow D$$

$$E \rightarrow AD$$

$$E \rightarrow H$$

$$A \rightarrow CD$$

$$E \rightarrow AH$$

The key for R is EB and the following set of functional dependencies constitutes the canonical cover:

$$A \rightarrow C, E \rightarrow A, E \rightarrow H, A \rightarrow D$$

1) Using Synthesis Method, construct a set of 3NF relations.

We are starting from a canonical cover.  $\rightarrow$  We can skip steps 1, 2 and 3.

Step 4: Group FDs with same determinant:

 $A \rightarrow CD$ 

 $E \rightarrow AH$ 

Step 5: Construct a relation for each group:

R1(<u>A</u>,C,D)

R2(<u>E</u>,A,H)

Step 6: If none of the relations contain the key for the original relation, add a relation with the key.

R3(<u>E</u>,<u>B</u>)

R1, R2 and R3 are in 3NF and in BCNF.

2) Using Universal Method, decompose R into a set of BCNF relations.

Using  $A \rightarrow C$  to decompose R, we get:

R1(A,B,D,E,H) in 1NF

 $R2(\underline{A},C)$  is already in BCNF form

Using  $A \rightarrow D$  to decompose R1, we get:

R11(A,B,E,H) in 1NF

R12(A,D) is already in BCNF form

Using  $E \rightarrow A$  to decompose R11, we get:

R111(B,E,H) in 1NF

R112( $\underline{E}$ ,A) is already in BCNF form

Using  $E \rightarrow H$  to decompose R111, we get:

R1111(B,E) in BCNF form

R1112( $\underline{E}$ ,H) is already in BCNF form

Group the relations with the same key:

 $R1(\underline{A},C,D)$ 

R2(<u>E</u>,A,H)

R3(<u>E,B</u>)

R1, R2 and R3 are in BCNF form.

- 2. Consider the following set of functional dependencies F on relation R (A, B, C, D, E):
  - $A \rightarrow BC$
  - $A \rightarrow D$
  - $B \rightarrow C$
  - $C \rightarrow D$
  - $DE \rightarrow C$
  - $BC \rightarrow D$

The key for R is AE and the following set of functional dependencies constitutes the canonical cover:

$$A \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow C, C \rightarrow D, DE \rightarrow C$$

a) Using Synthesis Method, construct a set of 3NF relations.

We are starting from a canonical cover.  $\rightarrow$  We can skip steps 1, 2 and 3.

We can skip Step 4: no FDs with same determinant

Step 5: Construct a relation for each group:

- R1(<u>A</u>,B)
- R2(<u>B</u>,C)
- R3(<u>C</u>,D)
- R4(<u>D</u>, <u>E</u>,C)

Step 6: If none of the relations contain the key for the original relation, add a relation with the key.

R1, R2, R3, R4, and R5 are in 3NF and in BCNF.

b) Using Universal Method, decompose R into a set of BCNF relations.

Using  $B \rightarrow C$  to decompose R, we get:

R1(<u>A</u>,B,C,D,<u>E</u>) in 1NF

R2(<u>B</u>,C) is already in BCNF form

Using  $C \rightarrow D$  to decompose R1, we get:

R11( $\underline{A}$ ,B,C,D, $\underline{E}$ ) in 1NF R12( $\underline{C}$ ,D) is already in BCNF form

Using  $A \rightarrow B$  to decompose R11, we get:

R111(<u>A</u>,C,D,<u>E</u>) in 1NF

R112 $(\underline{A},B)$  is already in BCNF form

Using DE  $\rightarrow$  C to decompose R111, we get:

R1111(<u>A,E</u>) in BCNF form

R1111( $\underline{A},\underline{E}$ ) in BCNF form R1112( $\underline{D},\underline{E},C$ ) is already in BCNF form

Group the relations with the same key:

R1(B,C)

R2(C,D)

R3(A,B)

R4(<u>D,E</u>,C)

R5(<u>A,E</u>)

R1, R2, R3, R4, and R5 are in 3NF and in BCNF.

**Part 3:** Assume that R is decomposed into:

$$R1 (A, B), F1 = \{A \rightarrow B\}$$

R2 (B, C), F2 = 
$$\{B \to C\}$$

R3 (C, D, E), F3 = 
$$\{C \rightarrow D, DE \rightarrow C\}$$

Is this decomposition a lossless-join decomposition? Use the table method.

# Checking for lossless-join:

Initially the Table looks like this:

	Α	В	С	D	Е
R1(A,B)	K	K	U	U	U
R2(B,C)	U	K	K	U	U
R3(C,D,E)	U	U	K	K	K

Using  $B \rightarrow C$ : we can replace U13 by K

	Α	В	С	D	Е
R1(A,B)	K	K	K	U	U
R2(B,C)	U	K	K	U	U
R3(C,D,E)	U	U	K	K	K

Using  $\text{C} \rightarrow \text{D} \text{:}$  we can replace U14 and U24 by K

	Α	В	С	D	Е
R1(A,B)	K	K	K	K	U
R2(B,C)	U	K	K	K	U
R3(C,D,E)	U	U	K	K	K

We cannot proceed and there is no row of all known values  $\rightarrow$  the decomposition is lossy.