

# STAT 1331 - Assignment 1

Gordon Lu

9/1/2020

## Problem 1:

Consider an investor who bought an asset at time  $t$  for \$100. The investor hold the asset for two periods. The price of the asset was \$90 and \$105 at time  $t + 1$  and  $t + 2$ , respectively.

Given:

$$P_t = 100$$

$$k = 2$$

$$P_{t+1} = 90$$

$$P_{t+2} = 105$$

1a) Compute the multiperiod simple return.

**Solution:**

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + R_t(2) &= \prod_{j=0}^{2-1} (1 + R_{t-j}) = \prod_{j=0}^1 (1 + R_{t-j}) \\ &= (1 + R_{t+2})(1 + R_{t+1}) \\ &= \left(1 + \frac{P_{t+2} - P_{t+1}}{P_{t+1}}\right) \left(1 + \frac{P_{t+1} - P_t}{P_t}\right) \\ &= \left(1 + \frac{105 - 90}{90}\right) \left(1 + \frac{90 - 100}{100}\right) \\ &= \left(\frac{7}{6} + \frac{9}{10}\right) = \frac{31}{15} \approx 2.07 \end{aligned}$$

Thus the multiperiod simple return is approximately 2.07%.

1b) Compute the one period simple return at  $(t + 1)$  and  $(t + 2)$

**Solution:**

The one-period simple return at time  $(t + 1)$  is:

$$\begin{aligned} R_{t+1} &= \left(1 + \frac{P_{t+1} - P_t}{P_t}\right) \\ &= \left(1 + \frac{90 - 100}{100}\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{9}{10} = 0.90$$

The one-period simple return at time  $(t + 2)$  is:

$$\begin{aligned} R_{t+2} &= \left(1 + \frac{P_{t+2} - P_{t+1}}{P_{t+1}}\right) \\ &= \left(1 + \frac{105 - 90}{90}\right) \\ &= \frac{7}{6} \approx 1.67 \end{aligned}$$

Thus the one-period simple return at time  $(t + 1)$  is approximately 0.90%, and at time  $(t + 2)$  is approximately 1.67%.

1c) What is the relationship between the multiperiod simple return and the one period simple returns?

**Solution:**

The multiperiod simple return is the product of  $k$  one-period simple returns. The one-period simple return is a partial produce of the multiperiod simple return.

1d) Compute the multiperiod continuously compounded return.

**Solution:**

$$\begin{aligned} r_t(k) &= \ln(1 + R_t(k)) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} r_{t-i} \\ r_t(2) &= \ln(1 + R_t(2)) \\ &= \ln((1 + R_{t+2})(1 + R_{t+1})) \\ &= \ln(1 + R_{t+2}) + \ln(1 + R_{t+1}) \\ &= \ln\left(1 + \frac{P_{t+2} - P_{t+1}}{P_{t+1}}\right) + \ln\left(1 + \frac{P_{t+1} - P_t}{P_t}\right) \\ &= \ln\left(\frac{7}{6}\right) + \ln\left(\frac{9}{10}\right) \approx 0.049 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the multiperiod continuously compounded return is approximately 0.049%

1e) Compute the one period continuously compounded return at time  $(t + 1)$  and  $(t + 2)$ .

**Solution:**

The one-period continuously compounded return at time  $(t + 1)$  is:

$$\begin{aligned} r_{t+1} &= \ln(1 + R_{t+1}) \\ &= \ln\left(1 + \frac{P_{t+1} - P_t}{P_t}\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \ln\left(\frac{9}{10}\right) \approx -0.105$$

The one-period continuously compounded return at time  $(t + 2)$  is:

$$\begin{aligned} r_{t+2} &= \ln(1 + R_{t+2}) \\ &= \ln\left(1 + \frac{P_{t+2} - P_{t+1}}{P_{t+1}}\right) \\ &= \ln\left(\frac{7}{6}\right) \approx 0.154 \end{aligned}$$

Thus the one-period simple return at time  $(t + 1)$  is approximately -0.105%, and at time  $(t + 2)$  is approximately 0.154%.

1f) What is the relationship between the multiperiod continuously compounded return and the one period continuously compounded returns?

**Solution:**

The multiperiod continuously compounded return is the sum of  $k$  one-period continuously compounded returns. The one-period continuously compounded return is a partial sum of the multiperiod continuously compounded return.

**Problem 2:** Solve exercises 1,2,3 and 4 of Chapter 1 from Tsay(2013). The required dataset is available on Canvas.

**Exercise I:**

1a) Compute the sample mean, standard deviation, skewness, excess kurtosis, minimum, and maximum of each simple return series.

**Solution:**

```
library(fBasics)
```

```
## Warning: package 'fBasics' was built under R version 3.6.3
```

```
## Loading required package: timeDate
```

```
## Loading required package: timeSeries
```

```
## Warning: package 'timeSeries' was built under R version 3.6.3
```

```
rm(list = ls()) # clear the data environment
simple_return_series <- read.table("C:/Users/gordo/Desktop/Fall 2020 Classes/STAT 1331 - Financial Econo
#display 5 number summary
summary(simple_return_series[, c(seq(2,5,1))]) #get five number summary for all return series, but disr
```

```
##          axp          vw          ew
## Min.    :-0.175949 Min.    :-0.0897620 Min.    :-0.0782400
## 1st Qu.: -0.009671 1st Qu.: -0.0054735 1st Qu.: -0.0046300
## Median : 0.000000 Median : 0.0008480 Median : 0.0014290
## Mean    : 0.000534 Mean    : 0.0002241 Mean    : 0.0006258
## 3rd Qu.: 0.010540 3rd Qu.: 0.0062125 3rd Qu.: 0.0064020
## Max.    : 0.206485 Max.    : 0.1148890 Max.    : 0.1074220
##          sp
## Min.    :-9.035e-02
## 1st Qu.: -5.798e-03
## Median : 7.000e-04
## Mean    : 9.423e-05
## 3rd Qu.: 6.117e-03
## Max.    : 1.158e-01
```

```
#display skewness for all return series, disregarding the date column
skewness(simple_return_series[, c(seq(2,5,1))])
```

```
##          axp          vw          ew          sp
## 0.459773364 -0.098318371 -0.247410170 0.008151943
```

```
#display kurtosis for all return series, disregarding the date column
kurtosis(simple_return_series[, c(seq(2,5,1))])
```

```
##          axp          vw          ew          sp
## 9.592053 7.982134 8.108428 8.532667
```

```
# simple_return_series
```

1b) Transform the simple returns to log returns. Compute the sample mean, standard deviation, skewness, excess kurtosis, minimum, and maximum of each log return series.

Solution:

```
log_returns_1 <- log(simple_return_series[, c(seq(2,5,1))]+1)
summary(log_returns_1)
```

```
##          axp          vw          ew
## Min.    :-0.193523 Min.    :-0.0940492 Min.    :-0.0814704
## 1st Qu.: -0.009719 1st Qu.: -0.0054885 1st Qu.: -0.0046407
## Median : 0.000000 Median : 0.0008476 Median : 0.0014280
## Mean    : 0.000188 Mean    : 0.0001308 Mean    : 0.0005526
## 3rd Qu.: 0.010484 3rd Qu.: 0.0061933 3rd Qu.: 0.0063816
## Max.    : 0.187711 Max.    : 0.1087549 Max.    : 0.1020348
##          sp
## Min.    :-9.470e-02
## 1st Qu.: -5.815e-03
## Median : 6.998e-04
## Mean    : -7.500e-07
## 3rd Qu.: 6.098e-03
## Max.    : 1.096e-01
```

```
#display skewness for all return series, disregarding the date column
skewness(log_returns_1)
```

```
##           axp           vw           ew           sp
## 0.02099179 -0.30035228 -0.42731505 -0.20635690
```

```
#display kurtosis for all return series, disregarding the date column
kurtosis(log_returns_1)
```

```
##           axp           vw           ew           sp
## 9.020499 7.880082 8.017712 8.322826
```

1c) Test the null hypothesis that the mean of the log returns of AXP stock is zero. Use 5% significance level to draw your conclusion.

**Solution:**

```
t.test(log_returns_1$axp, mean = 0, conf.level = 0.95)
```

```
##
## One Sample t-test
##
## data: log_returns_1$axp
## t = 0.35999, df = 2534, p-value = 0.7189
## alternative hypothesis: true mean is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## -0.0008360686 0.0012120714
## sample estimates:
## mean of x
## 0.0001880014
```

**Conclusion:**

Since  $p > 0.05$ , we fail to reject the null hypothesis. In other words, we do not have sufficient evidence to conclude that the mean of the log returns of AXP stock differs significantly from zero.

**Exercise II:**

2a) Compute the sample mean, standard deviation, skewness, excess kurtosis, minimum, and maximum of each simple return series.

**Solution:**

```
simple_return_series <- read.table("C:/Users/gordo/Desktop/Fall 2020 Classes/STAT 1331 - Financial Econ
# simple_return_series$sp
# display 5 number summary
summary(simple_return_series[, c(seq(2,5,1))]) #get five number summary for all return series, but disr
```

```
##           ge           vw           ew           sp
## Min.      :-0.272877   Min.      :-0.225363   Min.      :-0.27225   Min.      :-0.239541
## 1st Qu.: -0.030648   1st Qu.: -0.016655   1st Qu.: -0.01879   1st Qu.: -0.018381
## Median :  0.007117   Median :  0.013354   Median :  0.01497   Median :  0.009019
## Mean      :  0.010519   Mean      :  0.009316   Mean      :  0.01218   Mean      :  0.006178
## 3rd Qu.:  0.048684   3rd Qu.:  0.038534   3rd Qu.:  0.04315   3rd Qu.:  0.035150
## Max.      :  0.251236   Max.      :  0.165585   Max.      :  0.29926   Max.      :  0.163047
##                                     NA's      :1
```

```
#display skewness for all return series, disregarding the date column
skewness(simple_return_series[, c(seq(2,5,1))], na.rm = TRUE)
```

```
##           ge           vw           ew           sp
##  0.0516182 -0.6608207 -0.3069642 -0.5894543
```

```
#display kurtosis for all return series, disregarding the date column
kurtosis(simple_return_series[, c(seq(2,5,1))], na.rm = TRUE)
```

```
##           ge           vw           ew           sp
## 1.239488  2.355320  3.138812  2.361272
```

2b) Transform the simple returns to log returns. Compute the sample mean, standard deviation, skewness, excess kurtosis, minimum, and maximum of each log return series.

Solution:

```
log_returns_2 <- log(simple_return_series[, c(seq(2,5,1))]+1)
summary(log_returns_2)
```

```
##           ge           vw           ew           sp
## Min.      :-0.318660   Min.      :-0.255361   Min.      :-0.31779   Min.      :-0.273833
## 1st Qu.: -0.031127   1st Qu.: -0.016795   1st Qu.: -0.01897   1st Qu.: -0.018552
## Median :  0.007092   Median :  0.013266   Median :  0.01486   Median :  0.008979
## Mean      :  0.008318   Mean      :  0.008331   Mean      :  0.01061   Mean      :  0.005240
## 3rd Qu.:  0.047536   3rd Qu.:  0.037810   3rd Qu.:  0.04224   3rd Qu.:  0.034546
## Max.      :  0.224132   Max.      :  0.153223   Max.      :  0.26179   Max.      :  0.151043
##                                     NA's      :1
```

```
#display skewness for all return series, disregarding the date column
skewness(log_returns_2)
```

```
##           ge           vw           ew           sp
## -0.2907818 -0.9430518 -0.7457118      NA
```

```
#display kurtosis for all return series, disregarding the date column
kurtosis(log_returns_2)
```

```
##           ge           vw           ew           sp
## 1.778316  3.517912  4.169659      NA
```

2c) Test the null hypothesis that the mean of the log returns of AXP stock is zero. Use 5% significance level to draw your conclusion.

Solution:

```
t.test(log_returns_2$ge, mean = 0, conf.level = 0.95)
```

```
##
## One Sample t-test
##
## data: log_returns_2$ge
## t = 3.713, df = 860, p-value = 0.000218
## alternative hypothesis: true mean is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
##  0.003921037 0.012715061
## sample estimates:
## mean of x
## 0.008318049
```

Conclusion:

Since  $p < 0.05$ , we reject the null hypothesis. In other words, we have sufficient evidence to conclude that the mean of the log returns of GE stock differs significantly from zero.

### Exercise III:

Consider the monthly stock returns of S&P composite index from January 1940 to September 2011 in Problem 2. Perform the following tests and draw conclusions using the 5% significance level.

3a) Test  $H_0 : \mu = 0$  versus  $H_a : \mu \neq 0$ , where  $\mu$  denotes the mean return.

Solution:

```
#Via Snedecor and Cochran, the t-ratio statistic of the sample
t.test(simple_return_series$sp, mean = 0, conf.level = 0.95) #use log returns or just regular returns?
```

```
##
## One Sample t-test
##
## data: simple_return_series$sp
## t = 4.2438, df = 859, p-value = 2.436e-05
## alternative hypothesis: true mean is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
##  0.003320588 0.009034840
## sample estimates:
## mean of x
## 0.006177714
```

### Conclusion:

Since  $p < 0.05$ , we reject the null hypothesis. In other words, we have sufficient evidence to conclude that the mean returns of the GE stock differs significantly from zero.

**3b) Test  $H_0 : m_3 = 0$  versus  $H_a : m_3 \neq 0$ , where  $m_3$  denotes the skewness.**

### Solution:

```
#Via Snedecor and Cochran, the t-ratio statistic of the sample skewness is: S(r)/sqrt(6/T)  
nrow(simple_return_series) #num entries in the data frame
```

```
## [1] 861
```

```
skew_ge <- skewness(simple_return_series$ge)  
skew_stat <- skew_ge/(sqrt(6/nrow(simple_return_series)))  
skew_stat
```

```
## [1] 0.6183421  
## attr(,"method")  
## [1] "moment"
```

### Conclusion:

Since  $|t| < Z_{1-0.05/2} = |t| < 1.96$ , so we fail to reject the null hypothesis. In other words, we do not have sufficient evidence to conclude that the skewness measure of the returns for the GE stock is not zero.

**3c) Test  $H_0 : K - 3 = 0$  versus  $H_a : K - 3 \neq 0$ , where  $K$  denotes the kurtosis.**

### Solution:

```
#Via Snedecor and Cochran, the t-ratio statistic of the sample excess kurtosis is: K(r)-3/sqrt(24/T)  
nrow(simple_return_series) #num entries in the data frame
```

```
## [1] 861
```

```
kurtosis_ge <- kurtosis(simple_return_series$ge)  
kurtosis_stat <- (kurtosis_ge - 3)/(sqrt(6/nrow(simple_return_series)))  
kurtosis_stat
```

```
## [1] -21.08944  
## attr(,"method")  
## [1] "excess"
```

### Conclusion:

Since  $|t| > Z_{1-0.05/2} = |t| > 1.96$ , so we reject the null hypothesis. In other words, we do have sufficient evidence to conclude that the excess kurtosis of the mean returns for the GE stock is not zero.



## Exercise IV:

Consider the daily log returns of American Express stock from September 1, 2001 to September 30, 2011 as in Problem 1. Use the 5% significance level to perform the following tests:

4a) Test the null hypothesis that the skewness measure of the returns is zero

```
#Via Snedecor and Cochran, the t-ratio statistic of the sample skewness is: S(r)/sqrt(6/T)  
nrow(log_returns_1) #num entries in the data frame
```

```
## [1] 2535
```

```
skew_arp <- skewness(log_returns_1$arp)  
skew_stat <- skew_arp/(sqrt(6/nrow(log_returns_1)))  
skew_stat
```

```
## [1] 0.4314821  
## attr(,"method")  
## [1] "moment"
```

### Conclusion:

Since  $|t| < Z_{1-0.05/2} = |t| < 1.96$ , so we fail to reject the null hypothesis. In other words, we do not have sufficient evidence to conclude that the skewness measure of the log returns for American Express stock is not zero.

4b) Test the null hypothesis that the excess kurtosis measure of the returns is zero

```
#Via Snedecor and Cochran, the t-ratio statistic of the sample excess kurtosis is: K(r)-3/sqrt(24/T)  
nrow(log_returns_1) #num entries in the data frame
```

```
## [1] 2535
```

```
kurtosis_arp <- kurtosis(log_returns_1$arp)  
kurtosis_stat <- kurtosis_arp/(sqrt(6/nrow(log_returns_1)))  
kurtosis_stat
```

```
## [1] 185.4146  
## attr(,"method")  
## [1] "excess"
```

### Conclusion:

Since  $|t| > Z_{1-0.05/2} = |t| > 1.96$ , so we reject the null hypothesis. In other words, we do have sufficient evidence to conclude that the excess kurtosis of the log returns for the American Express stock is not zero.