# Chromosome segregation model - detailed description

March 29, 2012

# Introduction

This is a more detailed version of the kinetochore segregation model to be published in the JCB article, which should be referred to for all the experimental, biological and non-technical aspects of this work.

## 1 Definitions

#### 1.1 State vector

The mitotic spindle is described by the speeds and position along the x axis of two spindle pole bodies, N chromosomes with two centromeres and  $M_k$  attachment sites per centromere.

Positions are noted as follow:

- $\bullet\,$  The left and right spindle pole bodies ( SPBs ),  $x_s^L$  and  $x_s^R$
- The N centromeres,  $x_n^A,\,x_n^B,n\in\{1,\cdots,N\}$
- The  $M_k$  attachment sites of each centromere,  $x_{nm}^A, x_{nm}^B, n \in \{1, \cdots, N\}, m \in \{1, \cdots, M_k\}$

The speeds are noted with a dot:  $dx/dt = \dot{x}$ .

As all the interactions are assumed to be parallel to the spindle axis, only the positions along this axis are considered, in a coordinate system with its origin at the center of the spindle, which means that  $x_s^L(t) = -x_s^R(t) \, \forall t$ .

## 1.2 Random variables for the attachment

We define  $\rho_{nm}^A$  and  $\lambda_{nm}^A$ , two random variables that govern the attachment state of the site  $x_{nm}^A$ , such that:

$$\rho_{nm}^{A} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if the site is attached to the right SPB} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
 (1)

$$\lambda_{nm}^{A} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if the site is attached to the left SPB} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
 (2)

Note that  $\rho^A_{nm}$  and  $\lambda^A_{nm}$  are not independent, as an attachment site can't be attached to both poles. To take this into account, we can define the variable  $\pi^A_{nm} = \rho^A_{nm} - \lambda^A_{nm}$  such that:

$$\pi_{nm}^{A} = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if the site is attached to the left SPB} \\ 0 & \text{if the site is not attached} \\ 1 & \text{if the site is attached to the right SPB} \end{cases}$$
 (3)

We have:

$$\lambda_{nm}^A = \pi_{nm}^A \left( \pi_{nm}^A - 1 \right) / 2 \tag{4}$$

$$\rho_{nm}^{A} = \pi_{nm}^{A} \left( \pi_{nm}^{A} + 1 \right) / 2 \tag{5}$$

We also define  $N_n^{AL}$  and  $N_n^{AR}$  as the number of ktMTs of centromere A attached to the left and right SPBs, respectively:

$$N_n^{AL} = \sum_{m=1}^{M_k} \lambda_{nm}^A \text{ and } N_n^{AR} = \sum_{m=1}^{M_k} \rho_{nm}^A$$
 (6)

Note that  $N_n^{AL} + N_n^{AR} \leqslant M_k \, \forall \, \pi_{nm}$  The same definitions apply for the centromere B and left SPB.

## 1.3 Forces

The following force balances are considered:

#### 1.3.1 Forces at the right SPB:

- Friction forces (viscous drag):  $F_f^R = -\mu_s \dot{x}_s^R$
- Midzone force generators:

$$F_{mid} = F_z \left( 1 - (\dot{x}_s^R - \dot{x}_s^L)/V_z \right) = F_z \left( 1 - 2\dot{x}_s^R/V_z \right)$$

• Total kinetochore microtubules force generators:

$$F_{kMT}^{T} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{m=1}^{M_k} -\rho_{nm}^{A} F_k \left(1 - (\dot{x}_{nm}^{A} - \dot{x}_{s}^{R})/V_k\right) - \rho_{nm}^{B} F_k \left(1 - (\dot{x}_{nm}^{B} - \dot{x}_{s}^{R})/V_k\right)$$

#### 1.3.2 Forces at the left SPB:

Because of the reference frame definition,  $\dot{x_s}^R = -\dot{x_s}^L \, \forall t$ . Here we substituted  $x_s^L$  with  $-x_s^R$ 

- Friction forces (viscous drag):  $F_f^L = \mu_s \dot{x_s}^R$
- Midzone force generators:

$$F_{mid}^{L} = -F_z \left( 1 - 2\dot{x}_s^R / V_z \right)$$

• Total kinetochore microtubules force generators:

$$F_{kMT}^{T} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{m=1}^{M_k} -\lambda_{nm}^{A} F_k \left( 1 + (\dot{x}_{nm}^{A} + \dot{x}_{s}^{R})/V_k \right) -\lambda_{nm}^{B} F_k \left( 1 + (\dot{x}_{nm}^{B} + \dot{x}_{s}^{R})/V_k \right)$$

#### **1.3.3** Forces at centromere An

- Drag:  $F_c^f = -\mu_c \dot{x_n}^A$
- Cohesin bond (Hook spring) restoring force exerted by centromere<sup>1</sup>:

$$F_{BA} = \begin{cases} \kappa_c(x_n^B - x_n^A - d_0) & \text{if} \quad d_0 < x_n^A - x_n^B \\ 0 & \text{if} \quad -d_0 < x_n^A - x_n^B < d_0 \\ \kappa_c(x_n^B - x_n^A + d_0) & \text{if} \quad x_n^A - x_n^B \end{cases}$$
(7)

With  $F_{AB} = -F_{BA}$ .

• Total visco-elastic bond between the centromere A and the attachment sites:

$$F_v^T = \sum_{m=1}^{M_k} -\kappa_k (x_n^A - x_{nm}^A) - \mu_k (\dot{x}_n^A - \dot{x}_{nm}^A)$$

#### 1.3.4 Forces at attachment site Anm

• Visco-elastic bond between the centromere A and the attachment sites:

$$F_v = \kappa_k (x_n^A - x_{nm}^A) + \mu_k (\dot{x}_n^A - \dot{x}_{nm}^A)$$

• Kinetochore microtubules force generators:

$$F_{kMT}^{A} = F_{kMT}^{RA} + F_{kMT}^{LA}$$

$$F_{kMT}^{RA} = \rho_{nm}^{A} F_{k} \left( 1 - \frac{\dot{x}_{nm}^{A} - \dot{x}_{s}^{R}}{V_{k}} \right)$$

$$F_{kMT}^{LA} = \lambda_{nm}^{A} F_{k} \left( -1 - \frac{\dot{x}_{nm}^{A} - \dot{x}_{s}^{L}}{V_{k}} \right)$$
(8)

With  $F_k = 1$  and  $V_k = 1$  (for now on, we are taking  $F_k$  as unit force and  $V_k$  as unit speed), this gives:

$$F_{kMT}^{A} = \rho_{nm}^{A} \left( \dot{x}_{s}^{R} - \dot{x}_{nm}^{A} + 1 \right) - \lambda_{nm}^{A} \left( \dot{x}_{s}^{R} + \dot{x}_{nm}^{A} + 1 \right) \tag{9}$$

Eventually, substituting  $\lambda^A_{nm}-\rho^A_{nm}$  with  $\pi^A_{nm}$  and  $\lambda^A_{nm}+\rho^A_{nm}$  with  $|\pi^A_{nm}|$ :

$$F_{kMT}^{A} = \pi_{nm}^{A} (\dot{x}_{s}^{R} + 1) - |\pi_{nm}^{A}| \dot{x}_{nm}^{A}$$
(10)

# 1.4 Set of first order coupled equations

In the viscous nucleoplasm, inertia is negligible. Newton first principle thus reduces to:  $\sum F = 0$ . This force balance equation can be written for each elements of the spindle. To simplify further, the equations for the right and left SPBs can be combined:

$$-\mu_{s}\dot{x}_{s}^{R} + F_{z}\left(1 - 2\dot{x}_{s}^{R}/V_{z}\right) + \sum_{n,m} -\rho_{nm}^{A} \left(\dot{x}_{s}^{R} - \dot{x}_{nm}^{A} + 1\right) = 0 \text{ for the right SPB}$$

$$\mu_{s}\dot{x}_{s}^{R} - F_{z}\left(1 - 2\dot{x}_{s}^{R}/V_{z}\right) + \sum_{n,m} \lambda_{nm}^{A} \left(\dot{x}_{s}^{R} + \dot{x}_{nm}^{A} + 1\right) = 0 \text{ for the left SPB}$$
(11)

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$ We want the centromeres to be able to cross each over. In one dimension, this introduces a discontinuity. In the previous version, the 'swap' mechanism was solving this directly (as  $x_{A}$  and  $x_{B}$  are exchanged). This is not possible any more, as the 'swap' mechanism is now irrelevant, as there is no preferred side for a given centromere. With this model, the discontinuity is only to the first order. I am convinced there can be a better regularisation.

The difference of those two expressions gives, with the same substitutions as before:

$$-2\mu_s \dot{x}_s^R + 2F_z \left(1 - 2\dot{x}_s^R/V_z\right) + \sum_{n,m} -(|\pi_{nm}^A| + |\pi_{nm}^B|)(\dot{x}_s^R + 1) + \pi_{nm}^A \dot{x}_{nm}^A + \pi_{nm}^B = 0$$
 (12)

All the equations are gathered together in the system of equations:

$$\mathbf{A}\dot{X} + \mathbf{B}X + C = 0$$

The vector X has  $1 + 2N(M_k + 1)$  elements and is defined as follow<sup>2</sup>:

$$X = \{x_s^R, \{x_n^A, \{x_{nm}^A\}, x_n^B, \{x_{nm}^B\}\}\}\$$
with  $n \in 1 \cdots N$  and  $m \in 1 \cdots M_k$ 

In matrix form, we have:

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} x_{s}^{R} \\ x_{n}^{A} \\ x_{nm}^{A} \\ x_{nm}^{B} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \text{Right SPB} \\ \text{Centromere } A, n \\ \text{Attachment site } A, n, m \\ \text{Centromere } B, n \\ \text{Attachment site } B, n, m \end{pmatrix}$$

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} -2\mu_{s} - 4F_{z}/V_{z} - \sum(|\pi_{nm}^{A}| + |\pi_{nm}^{B}|) & \dots & \pi_{nm}^{A} & \dots & \pi_{nm}^{B} \\ \dots & -\mu_{c} - M_{k}\mu_{k} & \mu_{k} & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ -\mu_{c} - M_{k}\mu_{k} & \mu_{k} & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ -\mu_{c} - M_{k}\mu_{k} & \mu_{k} & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ -\mu_{c} - M_{k}\mu_{k} & \mu_{k} & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ -\mu_{c} - M_{k}\mu_{k} & \mu_{k} & \mu_{k} & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ -\mu_{c} - M_{k}\mu_{k} & \mu_{k} & \mu_{k} & \mu_{k} & \dots & \dots \\ -\mu_{c} - M_{k}\mu_{k} & \mu_{k} & \mu_{k} & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ -\mu_{c} - M_{k}\mu_{k} & \mu_{k} & \mu_{k} & \mu_{k} & \dots & \dots \\ -\mu_{c} - M_{k}\mu_{k} & \kappa_{k} & |\delta_{n}^{AB}|\kappa_{c} & \dots & \dots \\ -\mu_{k} - \mu_{k} - |\delta_{n}^{AB}|\kappa_{c} & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ -\mu_{k} - \kappa_{k} & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ -\mu_{k} - \kappa_{k} & -\kappa_{k} & \dots & \dots \\ -\mu_{k} - \kappa_{k} & -\kappa_{k} & \dots & \dots \\ -\mu_{k} - \kappa_{k} & -\kappa_{k} & \dots & \dots \\ -\mu_{k} - \kappa_{k} & -\kappa_{k} & \dots & \dots \\ -\mu_{k} - \kappa_{k} & -\kappa_{k} & \dots & \dots \\ -\mu_{k} - \kappa_{k} & -\kappa_{k} & \dots & \dots \\ -\mu_{k} - \kappa_{k} & -\kappa_{k} & \dots & \dots \\ -\mu_{k} - \kappa_{k} & -\kappa_{k} & \dots & \dots \\ -\mu_{k} - \kappa_{k} & -\kappa_{k} & \dots & \dots \\ -\mu_{k} - \kappa_{k} & -\kappa_{k} & \dots & \dots \\ -\mu_{k} - \kappa_{k} & -\kappa_{k} & \dots & \dots \\ -\mu_{k} - \kappa_{k} & -\kappa_{k} & \dots & \dots \\ -\mu_{k} - \kappa_{k} & -\kappa_{k} & \dots \\ -\mu_{k} - \kappa_{k} & -\kappa_{k} & \dots & \dots \\ -\mu_{k} - \kappa_{k} & -\kappa_{k} & \dots \\ -\mu_{k} - \kappa_{k} - \kappa_{k} & -\kappa_{k} & \dots \\ -\mu_{k} - \kappa_{k} - \kappa_{k} & -\kappa_{k} & \dots \\ -\mu_{k} - \kappa_{k} - \kappa_{k} & -\kappa_{k} & \dots \\ -\mu_{k} - \kappa_{k} - \kappa_{k} & -\kappa_{k} & \dots \\ -\mu_{k} - \kappa_{k} - \kappa_{k} & -\kappa_{k} & \dots \\ -\mu_{k} - \kappa_{k} - \kappa_{k} & -\kappa_{k} & \dots \\ -\mu_{k} - \kappa_{k} - \kappa_{k} & -\kappa_{k} & \dots \\ -\mu_{k} - \kappa_{k} - \kappa_{k} & -\kappa_{k} & \dots \\ -\mu_{k} - \kappa_{k} - \kappa_{k} & -\kappa_{k} & \dots \\ -\mu_{k} - \kappa_{k} - \kappa_{k} & -\kappa_{k} & \dots \\ -\mu_{k} - \kappa_{k} - \kappa_{k} & -\kappa_{k} & \dots \\ -\mu_{k} - \kappa_{k} - \kappa_{k} & -\kappa_{k} & \dots \\ -\mu_{k} - \kappa_{k} - \kappa_{k} - \kappa_{k} & \dots \\ -\mu_{k} - \kappa_{k} - \kappa_{k} - \kappa_{k} & \dots \\ -\mu_{k} - \kappa_{k} - \kappa_{k} - \kappa_{k$$

As is actually done in the python implementation, A can be decomposed into a time invariant part  $A_0$  and a variable part  $A_t$  with:

(13)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Note that the left SPB is omitted in X.

Equivalently for B:

$$B_{0} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & -M_{k}\kappa_{k} & \kappa_{k} & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \kappa_{k} & -\kappa_{k} & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & -M_{k}\kappa_{k} & \kappa_{k} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \kappa_{k} & -\kappa_{k} \end{pmatrix} = \kappa_{k} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & -M_{k} & 1 & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & 1 & -1 & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & -M_{k} & 1 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$
(15)

And

$$B_{t} = \kappa_{c} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & -|\delta_{n}^{AB}| & \dots & |\delta_{n}^{AB}| & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & |\delta_{n}^{AB}| & \dots & -|\delta_{n}^{AB}| & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(16)$$