#### Definition 1.1 Dynamic weakly verifiable puzzle (non interactive version)

A dynamic weakly verifiable puzzle (DWVP) is defined by a probabilistic algorithm  $P(\pi)$ , called a problem poser, that takes as input chosen uniformly at random bitstring  $\pi \in \{0,1\}^l$ , and produces circuits  $\Gamma_V$ ,  $\Gamma_H$  and a puzzle  $x \in \{0,1\}^*$ . The circuit  $\Gamma_V$  takes as its input  $q \in Q$ and an answer y. If  $\Gamma_V(q,y)=1$  then y is a correct solution of puzzle x for q. The circuit  $\Gamma_H$  on input q provides a hint such that  $\Gamma_V(q,\Gamma_H(q))=1$ . The algorithm S, called a solver, has oracle access to  $\Gamma_V$  and  $\Gamma_H$ . The calls of S to  $\Gamma_V$  are called verification queries and the calls to  $\Gamma_H$  are hint queries. The solver S can ask at most h hint queries, v verification queries, and successfully solves a DWVP if and only if it makes a verification query (q, y) such that  $\Gamma_V(q,y)=1$ , when it has not previously asked for a hint query on this q.

# Definition 1.2 k-wise direct product of dynamic weakly verifiable puzzles

Let  $g: \{0,1\}^k \to \{0,1\}$  be a monotone function, and  $P^{(1)}$  a probabilistic algorithm used to generate an instance of DWVP. A k-wise direct product of dynamic weakly verifiable puzzles is defined by a probabilistic algorithm  $P^{(g)}(\pi_1,\ldots,\pi_k)$ , where  $(\pi_1,\ldots,\pi_k)\in\{0,1\}^{k\cdot l}$  are chosen uniformly at random.  $P^{(g)}(\pi_1,\ldots,\pi_k)$  sequentially generates k independent instances of dynamic weakly verifiable puzzles, where in the i-th round  $P^{(g)}$  runs  $P^{(1)}(\pi_i)$  and obtains  $(x_i, \Gamma_V^{(i)}, \Gamma_H^{(i)})$ . Finally,  $P^{(g)}$  outputs a verification circuit

$$\Gamma_V^{(g)}(q, y_1, \dots, y_k) := g(\Gamma_V^{(1)}(q, y_1), \dots, \Gamma_V^{(k)}(q, y_k)),$$

a hint circuit

$$\Gamma_H^{(k)}(q) := (\Gamma_H^{(1)}(q), \dots, \Gamma_H^{(k)}(q)),$$

and a puzzle  $x^{(k)} := (x_1, ..., x_k)$ .

The probabilistic algorithm S, called a solver, has oracle access to  $\Gamma_V^{(g)}, \Gamma_H^{(k)}$ . The solver S can ask at most v verification queries to  $\Gamma_V^{(g)}$ , h hint queries to  $\Gamma_H^{(k)}$  and successfully solves the puzzle  $x^{(k)}$  if and only if it asks a verification query  $(q, y_1, \ldots, y_k)$  such that  $\Gamma_V^{(g)}(q, y_1, \ldots, y_k) = 1$ , and it has not previously asked for a hint query on this q.

```
Experiment A^{P^{(1)},D}(\pi)
```

Solving a dynamic weakly verifiable puzzle.

Oracle: A problem poser P for DWVP.

A solver circuit  $D^{(\cdot,\cdot)}$  for DWVP.

**Input:** A bitstring  $\pi \in \{0,1\}^l$ .

```
(x,\Gamma_V,\Gamma_H):=P^{(1)}(\pi)
```

Run  $D^{(\Gamma_V,\Gamma_H)}(x)$ 

Let  $Q_{Solved} := \{q : D^{\Gamma_H, \Gamma_V}(x) \text{ asked a verification query on } (q, y) \text{ and } \Gamma_V(q, y) = 1\}$ 

Let  $Q_{Hint} := \{q : D^{\Gamma_H, \Gamma_V}(x) \text{ asked a hint query on } q\}$ 

If  $\exists q \in Q_{solved} : q \notin Q_{Hint}$ 

return 1

else

return 0

Experiment  $B^{P^{(g)},C^{(\cdot,\cdot)}}(\pi_1,\ldots,\pi_k)$ 

Solving k-wise direct product of dynamic weakly verifiable puzzles.

**Oracle:** A problem poser for k-wise direct product  $P^{(g)}$ .

A solver circuit for k-wise direct product  $C^{(\cdot,\cdot)}$ .

**Input:** Random bitstring  $\{\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_k\} \in \{0, 1\}^{kl}$ .

$$(x^{(k)},\Gamma_V^{(g)},\Gamma_H^{(g)}) := P^{(g)}(\pi^{(k)})$$

Run  $C^{(\Gamma_V^{(g)},\Gamma_H^{(k)})}(x^{(k)})$ 

Let  $Q_{Solved} := \{q : D^{\Gamma_V^{(g)}, \Gamma_H^{(k)}}(x^{(k)}) \text{ asked a verification query on } (q, y^{(k)}) \text{ and } \Gamma_V(q, y^{(k)}) = 1\}$ 

Let  $Q_{Hint} := \{q : D^{\Gamma_V^{(g)}, \Gamma_H^{(k)}}(x^{(k)}) \text{ asked a hint query on } q\}$ 

return 1

else

return 0

## Theorem 1.3 Security amplification of a dynamic weakly verifiable puzzle.

Fix a problem poser  $P^{(1)}$ . There exists an algorithm  $Gen(C, q, \varepsilon, \delta, n, v, h)$  which takes as input a circuit C, a monotone function g, parameters  $\varepsilon, \delta$ , a security parameter n, number of verification v, and hint h queries asked by C, and outputs a circuit D such that following holds: If C is such that

$$\Pr_{(\pi_1, \dots, \pi_k) \in \{0, 1\}^{lk}} [A^{P^{(g)}, C}(\pi_1, \dots, \pi_k) = 1] \ge \Pr_{\mu \leftarrow \mu_{\delta}^k} [g(\mu) = 1] + \varepsilon$$

then D satisfies almost surely

$$\Pr_{\pi \in \{0,1\}^l}[B^{P^{(1)},D}(\pi)=1] \geq (\delta + \frac{\varepsilon}{6k})$$

 $and \ Size(D) \leq Size(C) \tfrac{6k}{\varepsilon} \ and \ Time(Gen) = poly(k, \tfrac{1}{\varepsilon}, n, v, h).$ 

Experiment  $E^{P^{(g)},C^{(\cdot)(\cdot)},Hash}(\pi_1,\ldots,\pi_k)$ 

Solving k-wise direct product with respect to the set  $P_{hash}$ 

**Oracle:** Problem poser for k-wise direct product  $P^{(g)}$ 

Solver circuit  $C^{(.)(.)}$  with oracle access to hint and verification circuits

Function  $Hash: Q \leftarrow \{0, \dots, 2(h+v) - 1\}$ 

**Input:** Random bitstring  $(\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_k) \in \{0, 1\}^{lk}$ 

$$\pi^{(k)} := (\pi_1, \dots, \pi_k)$$

$$\pi^{(k)} := (\pi_1, \dots, \pi_k) (x^k, \Gamma_V^{(g)}, \Gamma_H^{(g)}) := P^{(g)}(\pi^k)$$

Run 
$$C^{\Gamma_V^{(g)},\Gamma_H^{(g)}}(x^{(k)})$$

Let  $(q_j, y_j^{(k)})$  be the first successful verification query if  $C^{\Gamma_V^{(g)}, \Gamma_H^{(g)}}$  succeeds or an arbitrary verification query when it fails.

If 
$$(\forall i < j : Hash(q_i) \neq 0)$$
 and  $(Hash(q_j) = 1 \wedge \Gamma_V^{(g)}(q_j, y_j^{(k)}) = 1)$  return 1

return 0

#### Lemma 1.4 Success probability with respect to hash function.

For a fixed  $P^{(g)}$  let C succeed in solving the k-wise direct product of DWVP produced by  $P^{(g)}$  with probability  $\varepsilon$  making h hint and v verification queries. There exists a probabilistic algorithm, with oracle access to C, that runs in time  $O((h+v)^4/\varepsilon^4)$  and with high probability outputs a function  $Hash: Q \to \{0, \ldots, 2(h+v)-1\}$  such that success probability of C in random experiment E with respect to the set  $P_{Hash}$  is at least  $\frac{\varepsilon}{8(h+v)}$ .

**Proof** Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a family of pairwise independent hash functions  $hash: Q \to \{0, 1, \dots, 2(h+v)-1\}$ . By pairwise independence property of  $\mathcal{H}$  we know that for all  $i \neq j \in \{1, \dots, (h+v)\}$  and  $k, l \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2(h+v)-1\}$  we have the following property

$$\forall q_i, q_j \in Q : \Pr_{hash \leftarrow \mathcal{H}}[hash(q_i) = k \mid hash(q_j) = l] = \Pr_{hash \leftarrow \mathcal{H}}[hash(q_i) = k] = \frac{1}{2(h+v)} \quad (0.0.1)$$

For a fixed  $(\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_k)$  we define an event, denoted by X, that  $hash(q_j) = 0$  and for every query  $q_i$  asked before j  $hash(q_i) \neq 0$ . We have

$$\Pr_{hash \leftarrow \mathcal{H}}[X] = \Pr_{hash \leftarrow \mathcal{H}}[hash(q_j) = 0 \land \forall i < j : hash(q_i) \neq 0]$$
$$= \Pr_{hash \leftarrow \mathcal{H}}[\forall i < j : hash(q_i) \neq 0 \mid hash(q_j) = 0] \Pr_{hash \leftarrow \mathcal{H}}[hash(q_j) = 0]$$

Now we use (0.0.1) and obtain

$$\Pr_{hash \leftarrow \mathcal{H}}[X] = \frac{1}{2(h+v)} \left( 1 - \Pr_{hash \leftarrow \mathcal{H}}[\exists i < j : hash(q_i) = 0 \mid hash(q_j) = 0] \right)$$

Using once more the property (0.0.1)

$$\Pr_{hash \leftarrow \mathcal{H}}[X] = \frac{1}{2(h+v)} \left( 1 - \Pr_{hash \leftarrow \mathcal{H}}[\exists i < j : hash(q_i) = 0] \right).$$

Finally, we use union bound and the fact  $j \leq (h+v)$  to get

$$\Pr_{hash \leftarrow \mathcal{H}}[X] \ge \frac{1}{2(h+v)} \left( 1 - \sum_{i < j} \Pr_{hash \leftarrow \mathcal{H}}[hash(q_i) = 0] \right) \ge \frac{1}{4(h+v)}$$

Let G denote the set of all  $(\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_k)$  for which C succeeds in the random experiment A. Then

$$\Pr_{\substack{hash \leftarrow \mathcal{H} \\ (\pi_1, \dots, \pi_k)}} [X] = \sum_{\substack{(\pi_1, \dots, \pi_k) \in G}} \Pr_{\substack{hash \leftarrow \mathcal{H}}} [X \mid (\widetilde{\pi}_1, \dots, \widetilde{\pi}_k)] \cdot \Pr_{(\widetilde{\pi}_1, \dots, \widetilde{\pi}_k)} [(\widetilde{\pi}_1, \dots, \widetilde{\pi}_k) = (\pi_1, \dots, \pi_k)]$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{4(h+v)} \sum_{\substack{(\pi_1, \dots, \pi_k) \in G}} \Pr_{(\widetilde{\pi}_1, \dots, \widetilde{\pi}_k)} [(\widetilde{\pi}_1, \dots, \widetilde{\pi}_k) = (\pi_1, \dots, \pi_k)] = \frac{\varepsilon}{4(h+v)}$$

## Algorithm: FindHash

Pick a hash function with high canonical success probability

**Oracle:** A solver circuit for k-wise direct product of DWVP  $C^{(.),(.)}$  with oracle access to hint and verification oracle.

**Input:**  $\mathcal{H}$  a family of pairwise independent hash functions  $hash: Q \to \{0, 1, \dots, 2(h+v) - 1\}$ 

```
For i = 1 to 64(h+v)^2/\varepsilon^2
 1
                  hash \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \mathcal{H}
 2
                  count := 0
 3
                  For j := 1 to 64(h+v)^2/\varepsilon^2
 4
                          (\pi_1, \dots, \pi_k) \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \{0, 1\}^{kl}
result := A^{P^{(g)}, C^{(\cdot), (\cdot)}}(\pi_1, \dots, \pi_k)
 5
 6
 7
                                    count := count + 1
 8
 9
                  If count \geq 4(h+v)/\varepsilon
                           return hash
10
11
         \operatorname{return} \perp
```

We now show that the algorithm **FindHash** chooses a hash function such that almost surly the success probability of C in random experiment E with respect to set  $P_{hash}$  is at least  $\frac{\varepsilon}{4(h+v)}$ . From the fact that the random variable X is binary distributed we have

$$\mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{hash \leftarrow \mathcal{H}}[X] \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{4(h+v)}$$

Let  $\mathcal{H}_{Good}$  denote the family of hash function for which  $\Pr_{(\pi_1,\dots,\pi_k)}[X] \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{4(h+v)}$ . and  $X_i$  be a binary random variable such that

$$X_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if in } i \text{th iteration } A^{P^{(g)}, C^{(\cdot)(\cdot)}} = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise } . \end{cases}$$

We first show that it is unlikely that the algorithm **FindHash** returns  $hash \notin \mathcal{H}_{Good}$ . For  $hash \notin \mathcal{H}_{Good}$  we have  $\mathbb{E}_{(\pi_1,\dots,\pi_k)}[X] < \frac{\varepsilon}{4(h+v)}$ . We use Chernoff inequality and obtain

$$\Pr_{(\pi_1,\dots,\pi_k)}\left[\frac{1}{N_i}\sum_{i=1}^{N_i}X_i\geq (1+\delta)\frac{\varepsilon}{4(h+v)}\right]\leq \Pr_{(\pi_1,\dots,\pi_k)}\left[\frac{1}{N_i}\sum_{i=1}^{N_i}X_i\geq (1+\delta)\mathbb{E}[X]\right]\leq e^{-\frac{\varepsilon}{4(h+v)}N_i\delta^2/3}$$

The probability that  $hash \in \mathcal{H}_{Good}$  is not returned by the algorithm is

$$\Pr_{(\pi_1,\dots,\pi_k)}[\frac{1}{N_i}\sum_{i=1}^{N_i}X_i \leq (1-\delta)\frac{\varepsilon}{4(h+v)}] \leq \Pr_{(\pi_1,\dots,\pi_k)}[\frac{1}{N_i}\sum_{i=1}^{N_i}X_i \leq (1-\delta)\mathbb{E}[X]] \leq e^{-\frac{\varepsilon}{4(h+v)}N_i\delta^2/3}$$

Finally, we can similarly show that **FindHash** picks with high probability with one of its iteration a hash function that is in  $\mathcal{H}_{Good}$ .

# Lemma 1.5 Security amplification of a dynamic weakly verifiable puzzle with respect to set $P_{hash}$ .

For a fixed dynamic weakly verifiable puzzle  $P^{(1)}$  there exists an algorithm  $Gen(C,g,\varepsilon,\delta,n,v,h,Hash)$ , which takes as input a circuit C, a monotone function g, a function  $Hash:Q\to\{0,\ldots,2(h+v)-1\}$ , parameters  $\varepsilon,\delta,n$ , number of verification v, and hint h queries asked by C, and outputs a circuit D such that following holds: If C is such that

$$\Pr_{(\pi_1,\dots,\pi_k)}[E^{P^{(g)},C,Hash}(\pi_1,\dots,\pi_k)] \ge \Pr_{\mu \leftarrow \mu_{\delta}^k}[g(\mu) = 1] + \varepsilon$$

then D satisfies almost surely

$$\Pr_{\pi}[F^{P^{(1)},D,Hash}(\pi) = 1] \ge (\delta + \frac{\varepsilon}{6k})$$

and  $Size(D) \leq Size(C) \frac{6k}{\varepsilon}$  and  $Time(Gen) = poly(k, \frac{1}{\varepsilon}, n, v, h)$ .

# Random experiment $F^{P^{(1)},D,Hash}(\pi)$

Solving a single DWVP with respect to the set  $P_{hash}$ 

**Oracle:** A circuit D, a function Hash, a dynamic weakly verifiable puzzle  $P^{(1)}$  **Input:** Random bitstring  $\pi$ 

```
(x, \Gamma_v, \Gamma_H) := P^{(1)}(\pi)

Run D^{\Gamma_V, \Gamma_H}(x)

Let (\widetilde{q_j}, \widetilde{r_j}) be the first successful verification query if D^{\Gamma_V, \Gamma_H}(x) succeeds or an arbitrary verification query when it fails.

If (\forall i < j : Hash(q_i) \neq 0) and Hash(q_j) = 1

return 1

else
```

Circuit  $\widetilde{C}^{\Gamma_V^{(g)},\Gamma_H^{(g)},Hash}(x_1,\ldots,x_k)$ 

Circuit  $\hat{C}$  has good canonical success probability.

Oracle:  $\Gamma_V^{(g)}, \Gamma_H^{(g)}, Hash$ 

return 0

**Input:** k-wise direct product of puzzles  $(x_1, \ldots, x_k)$ 

```
Run C^{(.),(.)}(x_1,\ldots,x_k)

If C asks hint query q then

If Hash(q)=0 then

return \bot

else

answer with \Gamma_H^{(g)}(q)

If C asks verification query (q,y_1,\ldots,y_k) then

If hash(q)=0 then

return (q,y_1,\ldots,y_k)

else

answer verification query with 0 return \bot
```

#### Lemma 1.6

$$\Pr_{(\pi_1, \dots, \pi_k)}[E^{P^{(g)}, C, Hash}(\pi_1, \dots, \pi_k) = 1] \leq \Pr_{(\pi_1, \dots, \pi_k)}[\Gamma_V^{(g)}(\widetilde{C}^{\Gamma_V^{(g)}, \Gamma_H^{(g)}, Hash}(\pi_1, \dots, \pi_k)) = 1]$$

**Proof** If  $E^{P^{(g)},C,Hash}(\pi_1,\ldots,\pi_k)=1$  then circuit  $\Gamma_V^{(g)}(\widetilde{C}^{\Gamma_V^{(g)},\Gamma_H^{(g)},Hash}(\pi_1,\ldots,\pi_k))=1$ .

```
Algorithm Gen(\widetilde{C}, g, \varepsilon, \delta, n)
Oracle: C, g
Input: \varepsilon, \delta, n
Output: A circuit D
For i := 1 to \frac{6k}{\varepsilon} \log(n)
\pi * \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^l
         \widetilde{S}_{\pi^*,0} := EvaluateSurplus(\pi^*,0)
        \widetilde{S}_{\pi^*,1} := EvaluateSurplus(\pi^*,1)
        If \widetilde{S}_{\pi^*,0} \ge (1 - \frac{3}{4k})\varepsilon or \widetilde{S}_{\pi^*,1} \ge (1 - \frac{3}{4k})\varepsilon
                 \widetilde{C}' := \widetilde{C} with the first input fixed on \pi^*
                 return Gen(\widetilde{C}', g, \varepsilon, \delta, n)
// all estimates are lower than (1-\frac{3}{4k})\varepsilon
SolvePuzzle(\pi, \widetilde{C})
EvaluateSurplus(\pi^*, b)
        For i := 1 to N_k
                 \pi^{(k)} \leftarrow \{0,1\}^{lk}
                 (c_1, \dots, c_k) := EvalutePuzzles(\pi^*, \pi^{(k)})

\widetilde{S}_{\pi^*, b}^i := g(b, c_2, \dots, c_k) - \Pr_{(u_2, \dots, u_k)}[b, u_2, \dots, u_k]
        return \frac{1}{N_k} \sum_{i=1}^{N_k} \widetilde{S}_{\pi^*,b}^i
EvalutePuzzles(\pi^*, \pi^{(k)})
         (x^k, \Gamma_V^{(g)}, \Gamma_H^{(g)}) := P^{(g)}(\pi^*, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_k)
        For i = 2 to k

(x_1, \Gamma_v^{(i)}, \Gamma_H^{(i)}) := P^{(1)}(\pi_i)
        (q, y^k) := \widetilde{C}^{\Gamma_V^{(g)}, \Gamma_H^{(g)}}(x^*, x_2, \dots, x_k)
        For i = 1 to k
                 c_i := \Gamma_v^i(q, y_i)
        return (c_1,\ldots,c_k)
```

```
\begin{array}{l} \textbf{Circuit} \ D^{\widetilde{C}} \\ \textbf{Oracle:} \ \widetilde{C}, P^{(1)} \\ \hline \\ \textbf{For} \ i := 1 \ \text{to} \ \frac{6k}{\varepsilon} \log(\frac{6k}{\varepsilon}) \\ \pi^k \leftarrow \{0,1\}^k \\ (c_1, \ldots, c_k) := EvaluatePuzzles(\pi, \pi^{(k)}) \\ \textbf{If} \ g(1, c_2, \ldots, c_k) = 1 \ \text{and} \ g(0, c_2, \ldots, c_k) = 0 \\ (q, y_1, \ldots, y_k) := \widetilde{C}(\pi^*, \pi_2, \ldots, \pi_k) \\ \textbf{return} \ y_1 \\ \textbf{return} \ \bot \\ \end{array}
```