

**Definition 1.1 (Dynamic weakly verifiable puzzle)** A dynamic weakly verifiable puzzle (DWVP) is defined by a protocol between probabilistic algorithms  $(P, S)$ . The algorithm  $P$  is called a problem poser and  $S$  a problem solver. The problem poser  $P$  outputs a circuit  $\Gamma_V$  and a circuit  $\Gamma_H$ . The circuit  $\Gamma_V$  takes as its input  $q \in Q$  and an answer  $r \in R$ . An answer  $r$  is a correct solution for a puzzle  $q$  if and only if the circuit  $\Gamma_V$  on input  $(q, r)$  evaluates to true. The circuit  $\Gamma_H(q)$  provides a hint  $r \in R$  for a puzzle  $q$  such that the circuit  $\Gamma_V(q, r)$  evaluates to true. The solver  $S$  has oracle access to both circuits  $\Gamma_V$  and  $\Gamma_H$ . The calls to the circuit  $\Gamma_V$  are called verification queries, and the calls to the circuit  $\Gamma_H$  are hint queries. The solver  $S$  asks at most  $h$  hint queries and  $v$  verification queries, and successfully solves a DWVP  $\Pi$  if and only if makes a successfully verification query for  $q$ , when it has not previously asked for a hint query on this  $q$ .

Suppose that  $g : \{0, 1\}^k \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  is a monotone function, and  $(P^{(1)}, S^{(1)})$  is a dynamic weakly verifiable puzzle. Then  $(P^{(g)}, S^{(g)})$  is a new dynamic weakly verifiable puzzle  $\Pi^{(g)}$ , for which in the first phase the problem poser  $P^{(g)}$  and solver  $S^{(g)}$  sequentially create  $k$  instances of a puzzle  $(P^{(1)}, S^{(1)})$ . The problem poser  $P^{(g)}$  outputs circuits  $\Gamma_V^{(g)}$  and  $\Gamma_H^{(g)}$ , where the hint queries for a puzzle  $\Pi^{(g)}$  are answered by a circuit  $\Gamma_H^{(g)}(q) = (\Gamma_H^{(1)}(q), \dots, \Gamma_H^{(k)}(q))$  and the verification queries by a circuit  $\Gamma_V^{(g)}(q, r_1, \dots, r_k) = g(\Gamma_V^1(q, r_1), \dots, \Gamma_V^k(q, r_k))$ .

Let  $\text{hash} : Q \rightarrow \{0, 2(h + v) - 1\}$  be a function and  $P_{\text{hash}}$  a set that contains elements  $q \in Q$  for which  $\text{hash}(q) = 0$ . A canonical success with respect to a set  $P_{\text{hash}}$  and a random experiment defined by the protocol between  $P^{(g)}$  and  $S^{(g)}$ , is a situation when a first successfully verification query is in  $P_{\text{hash}}$ , and all previous hint or verification queries are not in  $P_{\text{hash}}$ .

**Theorem 1.2 (Security amplification for DWVP (non unifrom version)).** Let  $g : \{0, 1\}^k \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  be a monotone function, and  $\text{hash} : Q \rightarrow \{0, 2(h + v) - 1\}$  a function such that the probability of a canonical success for a problem solver  $S$  with respect to  $P_{\text{hash}}$  is at least  $\frac{\varepsilon}{8(v+h)}$ , where the probability is taken over randomness of the problem poser  $P^{(g)}$  and the solver  $S^{(g)}$ . If there exists a circuit  $C$  that makes at most  $v$  verification queries,  $h$  hint queries, and succeeds with probability

$$\Pr[\Gamma_V^{(g)}(\langle P^{(g)}, C \rangle_C) = 1] \geq \Pr_{\mu \leftarrow \mu_\delta^k}[g(u) = 1] + \varepsilon, \quad (0.0.1)$$

where the probability is over random coins of  $P^{(g)}$  and  $C$ , then there exists a probabilistic algorithm  $\text{Gen}(C, g, \varepsilon, \delta, n, \text{hash})$  which takes as input a circuit  $C$ , a function  $g$ , a function  $\text{hash}$ , parameters  $\varepsilon, \delta, n$ , and produces a circuit  $D$  of size at most  $\text{size}(C) \frac{6k}{\varepsilon} \log(\frac{6k}{\varepsilon})$  such that with high probability it satisfies

$$\Pr[\Gamma_V^{(1)}(\langle P^{(1)}, D \rangle_D) = 1] \geq \frac{1}{8(h+v)} \left( \delta + \frac{\varepsilon}{6k} \right) \quad (0.0.2)$$

where the probability is taken over random coins of  $P$ .