hw12 • Graded

### Student

Giancarlos Marte

### **Total Points**

22.5 / 40 pts

### Question 1

A Way to prove P = NP?

2.5 / 5 pts

- ✓ 1.5 pts Did not reduce all languages A in NP to crossword puzzle problem
- ✓ 1 pt Using example for reduction instead of all languages A

### Question 2

Subset-sum

**9** / 12 pts

- ✓ 3 pts Not descriptive enough
- All the right steps, but you skipped over creation of the function, and showing that the runtime is polynomial, you only stated it.

## Question 3

Knapsack 3 / 12 pts

- **▼** 1 pt verifier input incorrect, should be  $\langle\langle I,w,v\rangle\,,c\rangle$  where c is cert that is a set of pairs and  $c\subseteq I$
- → 1 pt verifier incorrect behavior: should check cert, not try to solve the general problem
- ✓ 1 pt verifier runtime computation missing or incorrect
- ✓ 1 pt computable fn: behavior incorrect or undefined variables
- ✓ 1 pt computable fn: runtime missing, unclear, or incorrect
- ✓ 1 pt iff => stated incorrectly or missing, should be something like  $\langle S,t \rangle \in$  SUBSET-SUM then  $f(\langle S,t \rangle) \in$  Knapsack
- ✓ 1 pt iff => proof missing, unclear, or incorrect
- **~ 1 pt** iff <= stated incorrectly or missing, should be something like if  $\langle S,t \rangle$  ∉ SUBSET-SUM then  $f(\langle S,t \rangle)$  ∉ Knapsack
- ✓ 1 pt iff <= proof missing, unclear, or incorrect</p>

## Question 4

NP closed operations

**7** / 10 pts

4.1 op3 4/5 pts

✓ **-1 pt** verifier input incorrect, should be something like  $\langle w, \langle c_1, c_2 \rangle \rangle$  where the cert is a pair of certs and c1 is for B's verifier and c2 is for C's verifier

4.2 op2 3 / 5 pts

- ✓ 1 pt non-deterministic TM won't work, bc the branches cannot return; must use verifiers
- ✓ 1 pt part2 explanation unclear, should be something like "when a verifier rejects (like L's verifier), it's not enough to say that the string is not in the language"

## Question 5

readme 1 / 1 pt

✓ - 0 pts Correct

C	Question assigned to the following page: 1				

# 1) A way to prove p = np?

step	statement	justification
1	prove that if the crossword puzzle algorithm problem is NP-complete, then P=NP	statement to prove
2	A language B is NP-complete if it satisfies two conditions:  1. B is in NP, and 2. every A in NP is polynomial time reducible to B	definition of NP-completeness
3	NP is the class of languages that have polynomial time verifiers  or	definition and theorem of NP
	A language is in NP iff it is decided by some nondeterministic polynomial time turing machine	
4	P is the class of languages that are decidable in polynomial time on a deterministic single-tape turing machine	definition of P
5	Let L = language of the crossword puzzle algorithm	renaming
6	Let V be a time verifier for L:  V = "On input <s, c="">, where S is a puzzle and c is a set of strings:  1. Test whether S contains all words in c row by row  2. if the test passes, then accept; otherwise, reject."</s,>	creating a verifier
7	Since V is a verifier for L, that means L is in NP	(2)
8	If B is NP-complete and B is in P, then $P = NP$	theorem
9	The crossword puzzle algorithm problem is in P	proven in hw11
10	$P \in NP$	P is a subset of NP
11	Since L is in P and P is in NP, that means every A in NP is polynomial time reducible to L	(10)
12	Therefore, the crossword puzzle algorithm is NP-complete and P=NP	(11) and (8)



## 2) Subset-sum problem

step	statement	justification
1	prove that the subset-sum problem is NP-complete	statement to prove
2	<ul> <li>if B is NP-complete and B≤<sub>p</sub>C for C in NP, then C is NP-complete</li> <li>3 steps to prove a language C is NP-complete:</li> <li>1. show C is in NP</li> <li>2. choose B, the NP-complete problem to reduce from</li> <li>3. show a poly time mapping reduction from B to C</li> </ul>	theorem
3	<ul> <li>3 steps to prove subset-sum problem is NP-complete:</li> <li>1. subset-sum is in NP based on theorem from book and slides</li> <li>2. 3SAT</li> <li>3. 3SAT≤<sub>p</sub> subset-sum</li> </ul>	theorem applied to subset-sum
4	To show poly time mapping reducibility:  1. create computable fn,  2. show that it run in poly time,  3. then show forward direction  4. and show reverse/contrapositive direction.	how to show polynomial time mapping reducibility
5	<ol> <li>4 steps to show 3SAT≤<sub>p</sub>subset-sum</li> <li>function converts 3SAT to subset-sum         <ul> <li>a. &lt;3 cnf-formula&gt; → <s,t></s,t></li> </ul> </li> <li>big O runtime of the function is polynomial</li> <li>if 3SAT accepts 3 cnf-formula then TM that computes function also accepts</li> <li>if 3SAT does not accept 3 cnf-formula then TM that computes function does not accept</li> </ol>	(4) applied to $3SAT \leq_p \text{subset-sum}$
6	Therefore subset-sum problem is NP-complete	(3) and (5)

(	Question assigned to the following page: <u>3</u>			

# 3) Knapsack problem

step	statement	justification
1	prove that the knapsack problem is NP-complete	statement to prove
2	<ul> <li>if B is NP-complete and B≤<sub>p</sub>C for C in NP, then C is NP-complete</li> <li>3 steps to prove a language C is NP-complete: <ol> <li>show C is in NP</li> <li>choose B, the NP-complete problem to reduce from</li> <li>show a poly time mapping reduction from B to C</li> </ol> </li> </ul>	theorem
3	<ul> <li>3 steps to prove subset-sum problem is NP-complete:</li> <li>1. knapsack problem is in NP:</li> <li>2. 3SAT</li> <li>3. 3SAT≤<sub>p</sub>knapsack</li> </ul>	theorem applied to knapsack
4	To show poly time mapping reducibility:  1. create computable fin,  2. show that it run in poly time,  3. then show forward direction  4. and show reverse/contrapositive direction.	how to show polynomial time mapping reducibility
5	<ol> <li>4 steps to show 3SAT≤<sub>p</sub>knapsack</li> <li>1. converts 3SAT to knapsack         a. &lt;3 cnf formula&gt; →</li></ol>	(4) applied to $3SAT \leq_p knapsack$
6	Therefore knapsack problem is NP-complete	(3) and (5)

Question assigned to the following page: 4.1

# 4) Is NP closed under...?

4.1) if applying OP3 to a 3 languages in NP results in another language in NP then OP3 is closed for NP languages.

4.2)

step	statement	justification
1	Let A, B and C be languages in NP	given
2	The following is a nondeterministic polynomial time decider N for OP3:  N = "On input <a, b,="" c,="" w="">, where A, B and C are languages in NP and w is a string in B and in C:  1. Nondeterministically check if w is in B and in C:  2. if yes, accept; otherwise, reject."</a,>	creating a nondeterministic polynomial time decider
3	Since there is a nondeterministic polynomial time decider N for OP3 languages based on 3 given NP languages, that means the resulting language is in NP	(2)
4	if applying OP3 to a 3 languages in NP results in another language in NP then OP3 is closed for NP languages.	(3)

Question assigned to the following page: <u>4.2</u>

4.2.1) if applying OP2 to a language in NP results in another language in NP then OP2 is closed for NP languages.

# 4.2.2)

step	statement	justification
1	Let L be languages in NP	given
2	The following is a nondeterministic polynomial time decider N for OP2: N = "On input <l, w=""> where L is a language in NP and w is a string that is not in L:  1. Nondeterministically check if w is not in L  a. This might not be true because it is very difficult to prove that something does not exist. In this case it would be trying to prove that w does not exist in L.  2. if yes, accept; otherwise, reject."</l,>	creating a nondeterministic polynomial time decider
3	Since there is a nondeterministic polynomial time decider for OP2 languages based on a given NP language, that means the resulting language is in NP	(2)
4	if applying OP2 to a language in NP results in another language in NP then OP2 is closed for NP languages.	(3)

Question assigned to the following page: 5				

# README

other students: none

books/websites used: class lecture slides and class textbook Sipser

time spent: 4 hours