

# Homework Assignment 1

## Part I

1. (**ex1**) Implement the given expression in Racket and bind it to variable **ex1**. Note that we are interested in **syntactically** equivalent expressions, not just semantically equivalent, *e.g.*  $2 + 3$  is **syntactically** different than  $3 + 2$ , although semantically equivalent.

For this exercise, each student has their own mathematical expression. To obtain your expression, upload an incomplete submission (*e.g.*, file **hw1.rkt**) to our grading server and follow the URL given.

2. (**ex2**) Implement the sequence of evaluations of expression **ex1** down to a value and bind that list to variable **ex2**, as we learned in the course. For instance, if in **ex1** you were given expression  $3.14159 \times (10 \times 10)$ , then you would write the following term.

```
(define ex2
  (list
    (* 3.14159 (* 10 10))
    (* 3.14159 100)
    314.159))
```

3. (**ex3**) Implement the given Python-like **ex3** function in Racket. Please use a **function definition** and not a basic definition. Additionally, note that the solution must be **syntactically** equivalent, not just semantically equivalent, that is, the body of **ex3** should be syntactically equivalent to the Python code. **Important:** If your expression contains `==`, then use Racket's `=`.

For this exercise, each student has their own mathematical expression. To obtain your expression, upload an incomplete submission (*e.g.*, file **hw1.rkt**) to our grading server and follow the URL given.

## Part II

4. *Your goal is to implement the code in Listing ?? in Racket, as we learned in class: by using lists to define a user data-structure.*

To this end, you will need to implement the constructor and selectors of each field, as well as the operation to *insert* a node in the BST. The code in Listing ?? is a Python implementation of binary tree taken from the Wikipedia page on BST's<sup>1</sup>.

- This exercise is about transferring your knowledge, from Python into Racket. You are being asked to “translate” an algorithm, **not** to rethink the algorithm.
  - The equivalent of **None** in Racket is **null**.
  - The equivalent of testing if a value **is None** in Racket is to call function **null?**.
  - Please use the function names declared in the homework assignment template, as otherwise you will get 0 points in this assignment.
5. *Your goal is to check if a datum is syntactically valid, with respect to the specification we introduced in class.*

Recall function **quote** we learned in class. This function produces a logical representation of the code given as parameter. The serialized code that results from **quote** is known as a *datum*, or a *quoted* term. In the following exercises, the quoted term shall **not** include boolean expressions and conditionals. A quoted expression will include numbers, **define**, **lambda**, and function application.

---

<sup>1</sup>[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Binary\\_search\\_tree](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Binary_search_tree)

Listing 1: A binary search tree written in Python.

```
class Tree:
    def __init__(self, left, value, right):
        self.left = left;
        self.value = value;
        self.right = right;

    def set_left(self, left):
        return Tree(left, self.value, self.right)

    def set_value(self, value):
        return Tree(self.left, value, self.right)

    def set_right(self, right):
        return Tree(self.left, self.value, right)

def insert(node, value):
    if node is None:
        return Tree(None, value, None)
    if value == node.value:
        return node.set_value(value)
    if value < node.value:
        return node.set_left(insert(node.left, value))
    return node.set_right(insert(node.right, value))
```

- For the sake of simplicity, there is no need to recursively check the syntactic validity (eg, you do not need to check if the body of a **lambda** is syntactically valid). For instance, given a **lambda** are the parameters symbols? Does the body of a **lambda** has expected number datums as we discussed in class?
- You do *not* need to check the semantic validity of the datum (eg, check if a variable is defined).
- (a) Function **lambda?** takes a datum and returns a boolean whether or not the quoted term is a **lambda**. You can check if a datum is a list of symbols with a combination of functions **symbol?**<sup>2</sup> and **andmap**:<sup>3</sup>
- (b) Function **lambda-params** takes a quoted lambda and returns the list of parameters (symbols) of the given function declaration. *Hint:* Your solution can safely assume that the input is valid, no error checking required.
- (c) Function **lambda-body** takes a quoted lambda and returns a list of terms of the given lambda. *Hint:* Your solution can safely assume that the input is valid, no error checking required.
- (d) Function **apply?** takes a datum and returns a boolean whether or not the quoted term is a function application.
- (e) Function **apply-func** takes a quoted function application expression and returns the function being called. *Hint:* Your solution can safely assume that the input is valid, no error checking required.
- (f) Function **apply-args** takes a quoted function application expression and should return the arguments (expressions) of the function being called. *Hint:* Your solution can safely assume that the input is valid, no error checking required.
- (g) Function **define?** takes a datum and returns a boolean whether or not the quoted term is a **define**. *Hint:* Solve this exercise *after* you solve **define-basic?** and **define-func?**.
- (h) Function **define-basic?** takes a datum and returns a boolean whether or not the quoted term is a *basic* definition, according the specification we learned in class.
- (i) Function **define-func?** takes a datum and returns a boolean whether or not the quoted term is a *function* definition, according to the specification we learned in class.

---

<sup>2</sup><https://tinyurl.com/yblyxmoz>

<sup>3</sup><https://tinyurl.com/y7kv2mzt>