

# Overview on Formalization - Type Theory part 3

George McNinch

2024-04-08

## Inductive Types

Here I mostly want to “explain a little via some examples”, referring you to the text (Rijke n.d.) for more details and full definitions.

The main point to emphasize is that *inductive types* share some of the features of the  $\Pi$ -types – i.e. types of (dependent or ordinary) functions.

Inductive types are specified by: - *constructors* AKA *introduction rules* - an *induction principle* AKA *elimination rules* - *computation rules*

### Natural numbers $\mathbb{N}$

- *formation rule*

$$\frac{}{\vdash \mathbb{N} \text{ type}}$$

- *introduction rules* (constructors)

$$\frac{}{\vdash 0_{\mathbb{N}} : \mathbb{N}}$$

$$\frac{}{\vdash \succ : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}}$$

- induction principle

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \Gamma, n : \mathbb{N} \vdash P(n) \text{ type} \\ \Gamma \vdash p_0 : P(0_{\mathbb{N}}) \\ \Gamma \vdash p_S : \Pi_{(n:\mathbb{N})}(P(n) \rightarrow P(\succ n)) \end{array}}{\Gamma \vdash \text{ind}_{\mathbb{N}}(p_0, p_S) : \Pi_{(n:\mathbb{N})}P(n)} \text{N-ind}$$

### List A

Let’s describe the inductive type of *Lists*. More precisely, for a type  $A$ , let’s describe the type whose elements are *lists* of elements of  $A$ .

- *formation rule*

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A \text{ type}}{\Gamma \vdash \text{List } A \text{ type}} \text{List-formation}$$

- *introduction rules*; ie. the *constructors*.

There are two introduction rules for lists. The first one forms the *empty list*:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A \text{ type}}{\Gamma \vdash \text{nil} : \text{List } A}$$

The second one constructs (“cons”) a list from a term of type  $A$  and an existing List  $A$ .

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A \text{ type}}{\Gamma \vdash \text{cons} : A \rightarrow \text{List } A \rightarrow \text{List } A}$$

- the induction principle or elimination rule

We must stipulate what is needed to construct a section of a type family over the inductive type – i.e. a dependent function.

The idea is this: for our inductive type  $A$ , in order to define a dependent function  $f : \prod_{(x:A)} B(x)$  one must specify the behavior of  $f$  at the constructors of  $A$ .

Here is our induction principle for  $\text{List } A$ :

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \Gamma, l : \text{List}(L) \vdash P(l) \text{ type} \\ \Gamma \vdash p_{\text{nil}} : P(\text{nil}) \\ \Gamma \vdash p_{\text{cons}} : \prod_{(l:\text{List } A)} (A \rightarrow P(x) \rightarrow P(\succ n)) \end{array}}{\Gamma \vdash \text{ind}_{\mathbf{N}}(p_0, p_S) : \prod_{(n:\mathbf{N})} P(n)} \text{ N-ind}$$

- (iii) The computation rules assert that the inductively defined section agrees on the constructors with the data that was used to define the section. Thus, there is a computation rule for every constructor

## Bibliography

---

## Bibliography

Rijke, Egbert. n.d. “Introduction to Homotopy Type Theory.” <https://arxiv.org/abs/2212.11082>. Accessed April 10, 2024.