

Cyclic

George McNinch

2024-03-06 and 2024-03-11

Maximal distance-separable codes and the Singleton Bound

Theorem (Singleton Bound) Let C be an $[n, k, d]_q$ -code. Then

$$|C| \leq q^{n-d+1}.$$

Put another way,

$$k \leq n - d + 1.$$

Proof Consider a subset $I \subset \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ with $|I| = n - (d - 1)$.

If $v, w \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ satisfy $v_i = w_i$ for each $i \in I$, then

$$\text{dist}(v, w) = \text{weight}(v - w) < d.$$

Since d is the minimal distance between distinct codewords in C , if $v, w \in C$, then $v = w$.

In particular, if $v, w \in C$ with $v \neq w$, then $v_i \neq w_i$ for some $i \in I$.

Now, there are q^{n-d+1} distinct $n - d + 1$ tuples of elements of \mathbb{F}_q , so we see that $|C| \leq q^{n-d+1}$.

A code is said to be *maximal distance-separable* (MDS) if it *attains* the singleton bound. In other words, a linear MDS code satisfies

$$k = n - d + 1$$

i.e.

$$d = n - k + 1.$$

Reed-Solomon codes

Important examples of linear MDS codes are the *Reed-Solomon* codes.

Let us enumerate the elements of \mathbb{F}_q :

$$\mathbb{F}_q = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_q\}.$$

We fix a natural number $k \leq q$. Then q and k determine (restricted) Reed-Solomon:

$$C = \{(f(a_1), f(a_2), \dots, f(a_q)) \mid f \in \mathbb{F}_q[T], \deg(f) < k\}.$$

Observe that

$$\mathbb{F}_q[T]_{<k} = \{f \in \mathbb{F}_q[T] \mid \deg(f) < k\}$$

is a vector space over \mathbb{F}_q of dimension k , since $1, T, \dots, T^{k-1}$ is a *basis*.

Now, for any $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$, the evaluation mapping

$$(f \mapsto f(a)) : \mathbb{F}_q[T]_{<k} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$$

is *linear*.

More generally, the mapping

$$\Phi : \mathbb{F}_q[T]_{<k} \rightarrow C$$

given by

$$f \mapsto (f(a_1), f(a_2), \dots, f(a_q))$$

is linear. Moreover, if $k \leq q$, note that $f \in \ker \Phi$ means that f has at least q roots; in particular, since f has degree $< q$, we may conclude that $f = 0$. This shows that Φ is *injective* and in particular implies that $\dim C = k$. Thus C is a $[q, k]_q$ -code. We now prove:

Proposition C is a $[q, k, q - k + 1]_q$ -code.

Proof We just need to argue that the minimal weight of a non-zero vector in C is given by $d = q + 2 - k$. Let $c \in C$ be a non-zero vector with minimal weight d . There is a (unique) polynomial $f \in \mathbb{F}_q[T]_{<k}$ with

$$c = \Phi(f) = (f(a_1), f(a_2), \dots, f(a_q)).$$

Since c has weight d , we see that f has $q - d$ roots.

On the other hand, since $\deg(f) < k$, f has $\leq k - 1$ roots. This shows that $q - d \leq k - 1$, so that $d \geq q - k + 1$.

To show that $d = q - k + 1$, we must *exhibit* a polynomial $f \in \mathbb{F}_q[T]_{<k}$ for which the weight of $c = \Phi(f)$ is precisely $q - k + 1$. Thus, we just need to find a polynomial with exactly $k - 1$ roots. We just take

$$f = \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (T - a_i) \in \mathbb{F}_q[T]_{<k}.$$

Then

$$c = \Phi(f) = (0, \dots, 0, *, \dots, *)$$

has exactly $k - 1$ zeros so that $\text{weight}(c) = q - k + 1$.

Remark The Proposition shows that the (restricted) Reed-Solomon code C is an MDS code.

Remark We can also consider the (extended) Reed-Solomon code.

First recall that given $f \in \mathbb{F}_q[T]$ we can *homogenize* f to produce $f^h \in \mathbb{F}_q[X, Y]$ where if

$$f = \sum_{i=0}^N a_i T^i$$

with $a_N \neq 0$ then

$$f^h = \sum_{i=0}^N a_i X^{N-i} Y^i.$$

Notice that $f = f^h(1, T)$. Recall that $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1 = \{(1 : a) \mid a \in \mathbb{F}_q\} \cup \{\infty = (0 : 1)\}$. Then $f^h(1, a) = f(a)$ and $f^h(0, 1) = a_N$. We view $f(\infty) = f^h(0, 1)$ as the “value of f at the point at infinity in \mathbb{P}^1 .”

Now, the extended Reed-Solomon code is given by

$$\tilde{C} = \{(f(a_1), \dots, f(a_n), f(\infty)) \mid f \in \mathbb{F}_q[T]_{<k}\}.$$

Essentially the same argument as above shows that \tilde{C} is a $[q + 1, k, q + 1 - k + 1]_q = [q + 1, k, q + 2 - k]_q$ -code, so that \tilde{C} is also an MDS code.

Indeed, we need to argue that the minimal weight of a non-zero vector in \tilde{C} is $q + 2 - k$. If $f(\infty) = 0$, we observe that $\deg(f) \leq k - 2$, so that f has no more than $k - 2$ roots in \mathbb{F}_q ; thus f has no more than $k - 1$ roots in \mathbb{P}^1 . On the other hand if $f(\infty) \neq 0$, then $\deg(f) \leq k - 1$ again shows that f has no more than $k - 1$ roots in \mathbb{P}^1 . With this observation, the proof is straightforward.

Finally, we note a nice feature of Reed-Solomon codes; there is an effective decoding algorithm for them. More precisely, we have the following:

Theorem There is a decoding algorithm for the restricted Reed-Solomon which corrects up to $\frac{n-k}{2}$ errors and completes in a number of steps which is polynomial in q .

Proof Suppose that we have received the vector

$$\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_q) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n.$$

We must find a polynomial $f \in \mathbb{F}_q[T]_{<k}$ such that

$$(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_q) = (f(a_1), f(a_2), \dots, f(a_q)) + \mathbf{e}$$

for an *error vector* $\mathbf{e} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ with $\text{weight}(\mathbf{e}) \leq \frac{q-k}{2}$.

Let $M_1 = \lfloor (q-k)/2 \rfloor$ and $M_2 = k + \lceil (q-k)/2 \rceil - 1$.

We consider an arbitrary polynomial h of degree $\leq M_1$ and an arbitrary polynomial g of degree $\leq M_2$; here by *arbitrary* we mean that we view the *coefficients* of these polynomials as *unknowns*.

We want to solve the equations

$$(\clubsuit) \quad g(a_j) - h(a_j)y_j = 0 \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots.$$

Write $g = \sum_{i=0}^{M_2} z_i T^i$ and $h = \sum_{i=0}^{M_1} w_i T^i$. First observe that $M_1 + M_2 = k + (q-k) - 1 = q-1$. Thus there are $q+1 = q-1 + 2$ coefficients in the list $z_0, \dots, z_{M_2}, w_0, \dots, w_{M_1}$.

We see that (\clubsuit) amounts to the linear equation

$$z_0 + z_1 a_j + z_2 a_j^2 + \dots + z_{M_1} a_j^{M_2} + w_0 y_j + w_1 y_j a_j + w_2 y_j a_j^2 + \dots + w_{M_1} y_j a_j^{M_1} = 0$$

in the variables z_i and w_i for each $j = 1, \dots, q$.

In other words, (\clubsuit) amounts to a system of q linear equations in the $q+1$ unknowns $z_0, \dots, z_{M_2}, w_0, \dots, w_{M_1}$.

Since $q+1 > q$, this system of linear equations always has a non-zero solution. Moreover, *Gaussian elimination* will arrive at a solution after a number of operations that is $O(q^3)$; in particular, this algorithm is polynomial in q .

Now suppose that $z_0, \dots, z_{M_2}, w_0, \dots, w_{M_1} \in \mathbb{F}_q$ reflect a non-zero solution to (\clubsuit) , and set

$$g = \sum_{i=0}^{M_2} z_i T^i, \quad h = \sum_{i=0}^{M_1} w_i T^i \in \mathbb{F}_q[T].$$

Now, by assumption there is a polynomial f for which $y_j = f(a_j)$ for at least $q - \lfloor (q-k)/2 \rfloor$ values of j . For these values of j , the element $a_j \in \mathbb{F}_q$ is a root of the polynomial $g(T) - h(T)f(T)$.

Note that since $\deg h(T)f(T) \leq M_1 + k \leq M_2$, we have

$$\deg(g(T) - h(T)f(T)) \leq M_2.$$

Since $q - \lfloor (q-k)/2 \rfloor > k + \lceil (q-k)/2 \rceil - 1 = M_2$ it follows that $g(T) - h(T)f(T)$ has more roots than its degree and is thus identically zero. Thus $h(T) \mid g(T)$ in $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$, and we find $f = \frac{g}{h} \in \mathbb{F}_q[T]$ as required.

Implementation of Reed-Solomon decoding

Here is an implementation of the decoding algorithm in Sage-Math:

```
class ReedSolomon:
    def __init__(self, q, k):
        self.q = q
        self.F = GF(q)
```

```

self.k = k
self.t = floor((q-k)/2) # we should be able to correct this many errors!

self.V = VectorSpace(self.F,self.q)

self.R = self.F['T']
self.T = self.R.0

def vectorize(self,f):
    if f.degree() < self.k:
        return self.V([ f(a) for a in self.F ])
    else:
        print("error")

def decode(self,v):
    q = self.q
    k = self.k

    M1 = floor((1/2)*(q-k))
    M2 = k + ceil((1/2)*(q-k)) - 1

    # the elements of the field F
    elts = [ a for a in self.F ]

    def mkRow(i):
        return [ elts[i]^j for j in range(M2+1) ] + [-elts[i]^j * v[i] for j in range(M1+1) ]

    M = MatrixSpace(self.F,q,q+1).matrix([ mkRow(i) for i in range(q) ])

    # get a vector in the null space of V.
    coeffs = M.right_kernel().basis()[0]

    T = self.T

    # use the vector in the null space of V to build polynomials g and h
    g = sum([ coeffs[j] * T^j for j in range(M2+1) ])
    h = sum([ coeffs[M2+1+j] * T^j for j in range(M1+1) ])

    # our solution is the quotient f = g/h
    # h divides g, so we "coerce" the ratio g/h to be element of self.R -- i.e. a polynomial
    # and not just a rational function of self.T
    f = self.R(g/h)

    # return the vector corresponding to f - this the decoded vector.
    return self.vectorize(f)

def random_field_element(self):
    elts = [ a for a in self.F ]
    return choice(elts)

def random_poly(self):
    # return a random polynomial of degree < k

    T = self.T

```

```

        return sum([ self.random_field_element()*T^j for j in range(self.k) ])

def random_error(self):
    q = self.q
    k = self.k
    t = self.t

    # choose t random indices
    ll = [ choice(range(q)) for _ in range(t) ]

    # return a random error vector, according to the indices in ll
    return self.V([ self.random_field_element() if j in ll else 0 for j in range(q) ])

def display_result(self,res):
    print("sent/received/error: \n")
    M = MatrixSpace(self.F,3,self.q).matrix([ res['sent'], res['received'], res['error'] ])
    print(M)
    print(f"\ndecoded correctly? {res['passed']}")

def test(self):
    f = self.random_poly()
    v = self.vectorize(f)
    e = self.random_error()
    return { "sent": v,
            "poly": f,
            "received": v + e,
            "error": e,
            "passed": v == self.decode(v+e)
          }

def run_tests(self,m):
    print(f"Reed Solomon code with q = {self.q} and k = {self.k}")
    print(f"Can correct t = {self.t} errors.")
    print(f"test decoding of {m} random vectors in C each with <={self.t} random errors\n")
    test_results = [ self.test() for _ in range(m) ]

    all_passed = all([ res['passed'] for res in test_results ])
    print(f"all passed? {all_passed}")
    print("-----\n")

    for res in test_results:
        self.display_result(res)
        print("-----\n")

```

The vectorize method of the ReedSolomon class simply returns the vector

$$(f(a_1), \dots, f(a_q))$$

when given the polynomial f .

The decode method of the class implements the decoding algorithm; given a vector in \mathbb{F}_q^q it computes the polynomial f as in the proof of the Theorem, and returns the vector

$$(f(a_1), \dots, f(a_q)).$$

The remainder of the code is just implements some testing. We provide facility for generating random field elements, random

polynomials, and random “error vectors” – see `random_field_element`, `random_poly`, `random_error`.

Then test method generates a random polynomial `f`, a random error `e`, and then it calls the decode method on the vector `c.vectorize(f) + e`. The test is *passed* if `c.vectorize(f) == c.decode(c.vectorize(f) + e)`

Here is some sample output

```
rs = ReedSolomon(19,5)
rs.run_tests(10)

Reed Solomon code with q = 19 and k = 5
Can correct t = 7 errors.
test decoding of 10 random vectors in C each with <=7 random errors

all passed? True
-----

sent/received/error:

[10  1  6 13 12 14 13  5  7  0  5  7 12  9  8  2  5 14  9]
[ 3  1  6 13 12  3 13  5  7  0  5  4 14  7  8  2  5 12  9]
[12  0  0  0  0  8  0  0  0  0  0 16  2 17  0  0  0 17  0]

decoded correctly? True
-----

sent/received/error:

[ 1 17  9 13 14  3 15 15 12  2  6 13 18  3 13  4 14 11  7]
[13 17  9 13 14  3 15 15 12  2 17 13 18  9  2  4 11 11  5]
[12  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0 11  0  0  6  8  0 16  0 17]

decoded correctly? True
-----

sent/received/error:

[ 5  0 13 16  5  0  7 18 11  7 13  3 13  8 15  9  8 16  4]
[ 5  1 13 16  5  0  7 10 11  7 13  3  9  8  3  9  8  1 14]
[ 0  1  0  0  0  0  0 11  0  0  0  0 15  0  7  0  0  4 10]

decoded correctly? True
-----

sent/received/error:

[ 3  9  5  4 17 15  5 11 17  5 12 16 12 12  7  5 12 13 10]
[ 3 14 10  4 17 15 14 11 17  5 12 16 12 12  7  5  5 13  1]
[ 0  5  5  0  0  0  9  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0 12  0 10]

decoded correctly? True
-----

sent/received/error:

[18 15  1 11 11 12 13  1  8 16 14 17  9  0  7 16  1  0  1]
[18 15 10 11 12 12 13  1  8 18 17 17  9  0  7 16 17 10  1]
[ 0  0  9  0  1  0  0  0  0  2  3  0  0  0  0  0 16 10  0]
```

```

decoded correctly? True
-----

sent/received/error:

[ 2  7  7 14  3  7  3  7 17 13 14  2 17  5  8 12  4 10  0]
[ 2  7  7 14  3  7 17  7  7 13 14 18 17  6  8 13  4 10  0]
[ 0  0  0  0  0  0 14  0  9  0  0 16  0  1  0  1  0  0  0]

decoded correctly? True
-----

sent/received/error:

[ 4  3  3 13 15  2 16 15  6  7  9 14 16  1  4 14 12  9  8]
[ 9  3  3 13 15  2 16 15  6  6  9 14 16  1  4  9 12  9  8]
[ 5  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0 18  0  0  0  0  0 14  0  0  0]

decoded correctly? True
-----

sent/received/error:

[14 17  1 11  1  5  4  2  7 12 14 14 17 13 15  2 14  0  8]
[11 17  9 11  1  7  4  8 15 12 14 14 17 13 15  2 14 14  8]
[16  0  8  0  0  2  0  6  8  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0 14  0]

decoded correctly? True
-----

sent/received/error:

[ 6  3 13 10 15  1  7  5 14  5 15 14  0 18  8 14 13 10  0]
[ 6 13 13 11 15  1  7  5 14  9 15 11  0 18  1  4 13 14  0]
[ 0 10  0  1  0  0  0  0  0  4  0 16  0  0 12  9  0  4  0]

decoded correctly? True
-----

sent/received/error:

[15  0 14 15  7  2  1 13 17  0 14  5  3  8  9  3 14 17 14]
[15  0 13 15  7  2 12 13  7  0 11 18 12  8 17  3 14 17 14]
[ 0  0 18  0  0  0 11  0  9  0 16 13  9  0  8  0  0  0  0]

decoded correctly? True
-----

```

Algebraic Geometry Codes

Considering *algebraic curves* defined over finite fields provides a vast generalization of the Reed-Solomon codes. We are going to *sketch* a few details of this construction. Note that our treatment is very rapid is mainly intended to communicate some hints about these codes. See e.g. (Ball 2020) for some more details.

Let $A = \mathbb{F}_q[X, Y, Z]/\langle \psi \rangle$ where ψ is a homogeneous polynomial which is *absolutely irreducible*.

We consider the *field*

$$K = \left\{ \frac{g}{h} \mid g, h \in A \text{ homogeneous of the same degree} \right\}.$$

Examples

1. $A = \mathbb{F}_q[X, Y]\mathbb{F}_q[X, Y, Z]/\langle Z \rangle$. In this case $K = \mathbb{F}_q\left(\frac{X}{Y}\right) \simeq \mathbb{F}_q(t)$ is the field of rational functions in a single variable t .
2. $A = \mathbb{F}_q[X, Y, Z]/\langle Y^2Z - X(X - Z)(X + Z) \rangle$. Then $K = \mathbb{F}_q\left(\frac{X}{Z}, \frac{Y}{Z}\right)$. Write $x = \frac{X}{Z}$ and $y = \frac{Y}{Z}$. Then $K = \mathbb{F}_q(x)(y)$ where $y^2 = x(x - 1)(x + 1)$.

Let \overline{F}_q be an algebraic closure of \mathbb{F}_q and let

$$X = \{(x : y : z) \in \mathbb{P}_{\overline{F}_q}^3 \mid \psi(x, y, z) = 0\}.$$

Then X is an *algebraic plane curve* and A is an \mathbb{F}_q -form of its homogeneous coordinate ring, and $K = \mathbb{F}_q(X)$ is the field of \mathbb{F}_q -defined rational functions on X .

Divisors and rational functions

By a *divisor* we mean a \mathbb{Z} -linear combination of points of X :

$$D = \sum_{p \in X} n_p [p] \quad \text{with } n_p \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and almost all } n_p = 0.$$

One source of divisors is the divisor $\text{div}(f)$ of a rational function $f \in K = \mathbb{F}_q(X)$: we have

$$\text{div}(f) = \sum_{x \in C} \text{ord}_x(f) [x]$$

where $\text{ord}_x(f)$ denotes the *order of vanishing* of f at $x \in X$. Note that $\text{ord}_x(f) < 0$ precisely when f has a *pole* at x .

The *degree* of a divisor $D = \sum_{p \in X} n_p$ is the integer $\deg(D) = \sum_{p \in X} n_p$.

An important result states that for any $f \in \mathbb{F}_q(X) = K$, we have

$$\deg(\text{div}(f)) = 0.$$

Finally, a divisor D is non-negative - written $D \geq 0$ - provided that $n_p \geq 0$ for each $p \in X$.

Riemann-Roch spaces

For a divisor D we consider

$$L(D) = \{f \in K \mid \text{div}(f) + D \geq 0\}.$$

This is an \mathbb{F}_q -vector space, and it turns out to always be finite dimensional.

According to

Bibliography

Bibliography

Ball, Simeon. 2020. *A Course in Algebraic Error-Correcting Codes*. Compact Textbooks in Mathematics. Cham: Springer International Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-41153-4>.