week13-01-least-squares

April 7, 2025

- 1 George McNinch Math 87 Spring 2025
- 2 Week 13
- 3 Least squares
- 4 Linear Least Squares

We are going to begin our discussion of "least squares" approximation with an example.

4.1 Example

Consider a stretch of highway with four distinct reference points A, B, C, and D:

$$[A]$$
 —x1— $[B]$ —x2—— $[C]$ —x3—— $[D]$

Write x1 = AB for the distance from A to B, x2 = BC, x3 = CD.

We take some measurements – which potentially reflect errors – , and we seek the *best approximation* to the distances x1, x2, x3.

The measurements taken are as follows:

segment	AD	AC	BD	AB	$\overline{\text{CD}}$
length	$89~\mathrm{m}$	$67~\mathrm{m}$	$53~\mathrm{m}$	$35~\mathrm{m}$	$20~\mathrm{m}$

Thus the observations suggest the following equations:

- (1) x1 + x2 + x3 = 89
- (2) x1 + x2 = 67
- (3) x2 + x3 = 53
- (4) x1 = 35
- (5) x3 = 20

These equations aren't compatible, though. Note e.g. that equations (3) -- (5) indicate the following:

$$x1 = 35$$
, $x3 = 20$, $x2 = 53-20 = 33$

but then we find that

$$x1 + x2 + x3 = 35 + 33 + 20 = 88$$

which is incompatible with (1).

And we find that

$$x1 + x2 = 35 + 33 = 68$$

which is incompatible with (2).

Let's formulate these equalities in matrix form.

Thus let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{b} = \begin{pmatrix} 89 \\ 67 \\ 53 \\ 35 \\ 20 \end{pmatrix}.$$

With these notations, the above equations suggest that $A\mathbf{x}$ should be equal to \mathbf{b} .

Our observation(s) in the preceding slides show, however, that the system of equations $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ is inconsistent (i.e. there is no vector \mathbf{x} which makes the equation true).

4.2 Residual

In general, given an $m \times n$ matrix A, a column vector $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and an equation $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$, we instead look at the so-called residual

$$r = b - Ax$$
.

and *minimize* this residual.

More precisely, we want to minimize the magnitude (or length) of this vector.

Thus if $\mathbf{r} = \begin{pmatrix} r_1 & \cdots & r_m \end{pmatrix}^T$, we must minimize the quantity

$$\|\mathbf{r}\| = \left(\sum_{i=1}^m r_i^2\right)^{1/2}$$

Here $\|\mathbf{r}\|$ is the magnitude, also called the Euclidean norm, of the vector \mathbf{r} .

In fact, because $f(x) = \sqrt{x}$ is an increasing function of x, we instead minimize the *square* of the magnitude of \mathbf{r} ::

$$\|\mathbf{r}\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^m r_i^2$$

Thus, we wish to find

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \|\mathbf{r}\|^2 = \min_{\mathbf{x}} \|\mathbf{b} - A\mathbf{x}\|^2 = \min_{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n} \sum_{i=1}^m \left(b_i - \sum_{j=1}^n A_{ij} x_j\right)^2$$

The idea behind this minimization is to first compute for $1 \le k \le n$ the partial derivatives $\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_k}$ of the function

$$F(x_1,x_2,\dots,x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^m \left(b_i - \sum_{j=1}^n A_{ij}x_j\right)^2$$

Critical points - and thus possible minima - for F occur at points \mathbf{x} for which all $\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_L}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$.

Now,

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_k} = \sum_{i=1}^m 2 \left(b_i - \sum_{j=1}^n A_{ij} x_j \right) (-A_{ik}) = 2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^m (-A_{ik} b_i) + \sum_{i=1}^m A_{ik} \sum_{j=1}^n A_{ij} x_j \right)$$

and this expression is equal to the k-th coefficient of the vector

$$2\left(-A^T\mathbf{b} + A^TA\mathbf{x}\right)$$

Thus, the condition $\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_k} = 0$ for all k is equivalent to the so-called normal equations:

$$(\diamondsuit) \quad A^T A \mathbf{x} = A^T \mathbf{b}.$$

Thus the solutions \mathbf{x} to the normal equations (\diamondsuit) are precisely the critical points of the function F.

Recall that $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$. Thus, $A^T \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ so that the matrix $A^T A$ is $n \times n$; in particular, $A^T A$ is always a square matrix.

Moreover, A^TA is symmetric, since

$$(A^T A)^T = A^T (A^T)^T = A^T A.$$

We are interested here in the case of overdetermined systems – i.e. in the case where A has more rows than columns ("more equations than variables"). Thus $m \ge n$.

We also are interested in the case where A has rank n – i.e.A has n linearly independent columns – since otherwise we don't expect to have enough information to find \mathbf{x} .

4.3 Proposition

Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, suppose that $m \geq n$ and that A has rank n. Then $A^T \cdot A$ is invertible.

Proof:

Since $A^T \cdot A$ is an $n \times n$ square matrix, the proposition will follow if we argue that the null space $\text{Null}(A^T A)$ is zero. So: suppose that $\mathbf{v} \in \text{Null}(A^T A)$.

Thus $A^T A \mathbf{v} = 0$ and thus also $\mathbf{v}^T A^T \cdot A \mathbf{v} = 0$.

Now,

$$0 = \mathbf{v}^T A^T \cdot A \mathbf{v} = (A \mathbf{v})^T (A \mathbf{v})$$

and of course for any vector \mathbf{w} , we know that

$$0 = \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{w} \implies \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{0}.$$

We now conclude that $A\mathbf{v} = 0$, so $\mathbf{v} \in \text{Null}(A)$. Since A has rank n, the Null space of A is equal to zero, and we conclude that $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$.

We have now proved that $Null(A^TA)$ is zero, as required.

Remark: What we have really showed is that the symmetric matrix $A^T A$ is definite: $\mathbf{v}^T A^T A \mathbf{v} = 0 \implies \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$.

We finally claim that this solution must minimize the magnitude of the residual.

This depends on a "second derivative test" argument for which I'm not going to give full details. The main point is that the "second derivative" in this context – known as the Hessian – concides with the matrix $2A^TA$. Now, under our assumptions the matrix A^TA is postive definite, and it follows that \mathbf{x}_0 is a global minimum for the magnitude of the residual!

4.4 Return to the example

Recall that

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{b} = \begin{pmatrix} 89 \\ 67 \\ 53 \\ 35 \\ 20 \end{pmatrix}.$$

So to minimize the magnitude of the residual, we must solve the normal equations:

$$A^T A \mathbf{x} = A^T \mathbf{b}.$$

Now

$$A^{T}A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 3 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$A^T \mathbf{b} = \begin{pmatrix} 191 \\ 209 \\ 162 \end{pmatrix}$$

So we need to solve the equation

$$\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 3 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 191 \\ 209 \\ 162 \end{pmatrix}$$

```
[1]: import numpy as np
   import numpy.linalg as la

A= np.array([[1,1,1],[1,1,0],[0,1,1],[1,0,0],[0,0,1]])
   b = np.array([89,67,53,35,20])

x0=la.solve(A.T @ A, A.T @ b)

def residual(x):
    return b - A @ x

def magnitude(x):
    return np.sqrt(x@x)

[x0,magnitude(residual(x0))]
```

[1]: [array([35.125, 32.5 , 20.625]), 1.1726039399558574]

Thus the *least squares solution* is

$$\mathbf{x}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 35.125 \\ 32.5 \\ 20.625 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\|\mathbf{b} - A\mathbf{x}_0\| \approx 1.1726$$

Recall that our "first guess" for a solution (based on some of the measurements) was

$$\mathbf{x}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 35\\33\\20 \end{pmatrix}$$

The residual is indeed larger for x_1 :

[2]: 1.4142135623730951

Let's note that numpy already implements this least-squares functionality:

- you can read more about it here

```
[3]: res=la.lstsq(A,b,rcond=None) res[0]
```

[3]: array([35.125, 32.5 , 20.625])

5 Example recapitulated

Consider towns in a certain area labeled [a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h,i,j]. You have hired someone to estimate the population of these towns, but they got confused and have reported the populations of pairs of towns.

Thus you have the following data:

```
[4]: p_est ={('a', 'b'): 21.21,
      ('a', 'c'): 24.35,
      ('a', 'd'): 34.57,
      ('a', 'e'): 32.72,
      ('a', 'f'): 36.14,
      ('a', 'g'): 17.21,
      ('a', 'h'): 26.41,
      ('a', 'i'): 29.92,
      ('a', 'j'): 32.84,
      ('b', 'c'): 29.09,
      ('b', 'd'): 33.78,
      ('b', 'e'): 40.63,
      ('b', 'f'): 44.46,
      ('b', 'g'): 17.03,
      ('b', 'h'): 30.58,
      ('b', 'i'): 32.7,
      ('b', 'j'): 31.19,
      ('c', 'd'): 30.08,
      ('c', 'e'): 37.3,
      ('c', 'f'): 39.4,
      ('c', 'g'): 20.44,
      ('c', 'h'): 28.34,
      ('c', 'i'): 31.07,
      ('c', 'j'): 36.78,
      ('d', 'e'): 41.44,
      ('d', 'f'): 47.97,
      ('d', 'g'): 28.51,
      ('d', 'h'): 38.0,
      ('d', 'i'): 38.71,
      ('d', 'j'): 39.24,
      ('e', 'f'): 59.61,
      ('e', 'g'): 29.19,
      ('e', 'h'): 35.09,
      ('e', 'i'): 42.18,
```

```
('e', 'j'): 46.8,

('f', 'g'): 34.14,

('f', 'h'): 46.71,

('f', 'i'): 49.53,

('g', 'h'): 23.46,

('g', 'i'): 22.13,

('g', 'j'): 24.21,

('h', 'i'): 29.03,

('h', 'j'): 32.51,

('i', 'j'): 38.17}
```

```
[4]: {('a', 'b'): 21.21,
      ('a', 'c'): 24.35,
      ('a', 'd'): 34.57,
      ('a', 'e'): 32.72,
      ('a', 'f'): 36.14,
      ('a', 'g'): 17.21,
      ('a', 'h'): 26.41,
      ('a', 'i'): 29.92,
      ('a', 'j'): 32.84,
      ('b', 'c'): 29.09,
      ('b', 'd'): 33.78,
      ('b', 'e'): 40.63,
      ('b', 'f'): 44.46,
      ('b', 'g'): 17.03,
      ('b', 'h'): 30.58,
      ('b', 'i'): 32.7,
      ('b', 'j'): 31.19,
      ('c', 'd'): 30.08,
      ('c', 'e'): 37.3,
      ('c', 'f'): 39.4,
      ('c', 'g'): 20.44,
      ('c', 'h'): 28.34,
      ('c', 'i'): 31.07,
      ('c', 'j'): 36.78,
      ('d', 'e'): 41.44,
      ('d', 'f'): 47.97,
      ('d', 'g'): 28.51,
      ('d', 'h'): 38.0,
      ('d', 'i'): 38.71,
      ('d', 'j'): 39.24,
      ('e', 'f'): 59.61,
      ('e', 'g'): 29.19,
      ('e', 'h'): 35.09,
```

```
('e', 'i'): 42.18,

('e', 'j'): 46.8,

('f', 'g'): 34.14,

('f', 'h'): 46.71,

('f', 'i'): 48.07,

('f', 'j'): 49.53,

('g', 'h'): 23.46,

('g', 'i'): 22.13,

('g', 'j'): 24.21,

('h', 'i'): 29.03,

('h', 'j'): 32.51,

('i', 'j'): 38.17}
```

The value mdist[('a','b')] == 21.21 means that the sum of the populations of towns a and b is 21.21 thousand people.

Let's form the matrix M and vector b so that M @ x == b expresses these distance relationships.

```
[7]: towns = [ 'a', 'b', 'c', 'd', 'e', 'f', 'g', 'h', 'i', 'j' ]
    pairs = list(p_est.keys())
[8]: def sbv(i,n):
```

```
[0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1],
              [0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0],
              [0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0],
              [0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0],
              [0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0],
              [0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0],
              [0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0],
              [0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1],
              [0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0],
              [0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0],
              [0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0],
              [0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0],
              [0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0],
              [0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1],
              [0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0],
              [0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0],
              [0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0],
              [0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0],
              [0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1],
              [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0],
              [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0],
              [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0],
              [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1],
              [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0],
              [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0],
              [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1],
              [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0],
              [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1],
              [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1]]),
       array([21.21, 24.35, 34.57, 32.72, 36.14, 17.21, 26.41, 29.92, 32.84,
              29.09, 33.78, 40.63, 44.46, 17.03, 30.58, 32.7, 31.19, 30.08,
              37.3, 39.4, 20.44, 28.34, 31.07, 36.78, 41.44, 47.97, 28.51,
              38. , 38.71, 39.24, 59.61, 29.19, 35.09, 42.18, 46.8 , 34.14,
              46.71, 48.07, 49.53, 23.46, 22.13, 24.21, 29.03, 32.51, 38.17]))
     Let's use least squares to find the best solution to M \circ x == b
[9]: x = la.lstsq(M,b,rcond=None)
      x[0]
[9]: array([10.63041667, 13.79291667, 13.31541667, 20.24666667, 24.32916667,
             29.46291667, 5.74916667, 14.97541667, 17.70666667, 20.11791667])
[10]: (M @ x[0], b - M @ x[0])
```

[0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0], [0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0], [0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0], [0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0],

```
[10]: (array([24.42333333, 23.94583333, 30.87708333, 34.95958333, 40.093333333,
             16.37958333, 25.60583333, 28.33708333, 30.74833333, 27.10833333,
             34.03958333, 38.12208333, 43.25583333, 19.54208333, 28.76833333,
             31.49958333, 33.91083333, 33.56208333, 37.64458333, 42.77833333,
             19.06458333, 28.29083333, 31.02208333, 33.43333333, 44.57583333,
             49.70958333, 25.99583333, 35.22208333, 37.95333333, 40.36458333,
             53.79208333, 30.07833333, 39.30458333, 42.03583333, 44.44708333,
             35.21208333, 44.43833333, 47.16958333, 49.58083333, 20.72458333,
             23.45583333, 25.86708333, 32.68208333, 35.09333333, 37.82458333]),
      array([-3.21333333, 0.40416667, 3.69291667, -2.23958333, -3.953333333,
              0.83041667,
                           0.80416667, 1.58291667, 2.09166667, 1.98166667,
             -0.25958333, 2.50791667, 1.20416667, -2.51208333, 1.81166667,
              1.20041667, -2.72083333, -3.48208333, -0.34458333, -3.37833333,
              1.37541667, 0.04916667, 0.04791667, 3.34666667, -3.13583333,
             -1.73958333, 2.51416667, 2.77791667, 0.75666667, -1.12458333,
              5.81791667, -0.88833333, -4.21458333, 0.14416667, 2.35291667,
             -1.07208333, 2.27166667, 0.90041667, -0.05083333, 2.73541667,
             -1.32583333, -1.65708333, -3.65208333, -2.58333333, 0.34541667))
 []:
```