

# week03-03-root-supplement

January 26, 2025

## 1 George McNinch Math 87 - Spring 2025

## 2 Week 3: Root Finding Supplement

Let's consider the function

$$f(x) = e^x - xe^x = e^x(1 - x)$$

Notice that there is exactly one solution to  $f(x) = 0$ , namely  $x = 1$ .

Last lecture, we met several tools for approximating roots. Let's see how well these work for this function.

## 3 Newton's Method

Let's first use **Newton's Method** with various initial points

$$x_0 = 21, 2, 10, -21, -5.$$

Recall that to use the `newton` function from `scipy.optimize` we must provide `f` and the derivative `f'` as arguments.

```
[1]: from scipy.optimize import newton
import numpy as np

x0s=[.5,2,10,-.5,-5]

def f(x): return np.exp(x) - x*np.exp(x)

def df(x): return -x*np.exp(x)

def check(x0):
    try:
        return newton(f,x0,fprime=df,maxiter=100,full_output=True)
    except RuntimeError as e:
        return e
```

```
[ check(x0) for x0 in x0s ]
```

```
[1]: [(np.float64(1.0),
        converged: True
        flag: converged
        function_calls: 14
        iterations: 7
        root: 1.0
        method: newton),
      (np.float64(1.0),
        converged: True
        flag: converged
        function_calls: 14
        iterations: 7
        root: 1.0
        method: newton),
      (np.float64(1.0),
        converged: True
        flag: converged
        function_calls: 34
        iterations: 17
        root: 1.0
        method: newton),
      RuntimeError('Failed to converge after 100 iterations, value is
-105.79532100085905.'),
      RuntimeError('Failed to converge after 100 iterations, value is
-107.9896150141256.')] ]
```

## 4 Secant Method

Now let's use the secant method with initial approximations

$$(x_0, x_1) = (0, 2), (0, 10), (-1, 2), (-5, 5), (-10, 2)$$

```
[54]: from scipy.optimize import newton
import numpy as np

inits = [(0,2), (0,10), (-1,2), (-5,5), (-10,2) ]

def f(x): return np.exp(x) - x*np.exp(x)

def check(x0,x1):
    try:
        return newton(f,x0,maxiter=100,x1=x1,full_output=True)
    except RuntimeError as e:
        return e
```

```
[ check(x0,x1) for x0,x1 in inits]
```

```
/tmp/ipykernel_1454714/328907090.py:6: RuntimeWarning: overflow encountered in exp
def f(x): return np.exp(x) - x*np.exp(x)
/tmp/ipykernel_1454714/328907090.py:6: RuntimeWarning: invalid value encountered in scalar subtract
def f(x): return np.exp(x) - x*np.exp(x)
```

```
[54]: [(1.0,
        converged: True
        flag: converged
        function_calls: 14
        iterations: 13
        root: 1.0),
        RuntimeError('Failed to converge after 100 iterations, value is nan.'),
        RuntimeError('Failed to converge after 100 iterations, value is
-73.34051390002718.'),
        RuntimeError('Failed to converge after 100 iterations, value is
-75.79214196939151.'),
        RuntimeError('Failed to converge after 100 iterations, value is
-80.19593559332172.')]
```

## 5 Bisection Method

Now we use the bisection method with different intervals:

$$[xL, xR] = [0, 2], [-5, 5], [-10, 2], [-1, 2], [0, 1].$$

```
[58]: from scipy.optimize import bisect
import numpy as np

intervals = [ (0,2), (-5,5), (-10,2), (-1,2), (0,1)]

def f(x): return np.exp(x) - x*np.exp(x)

def check(xl,xr):
    try:
        return bisect(f,xl,xr,full_output=True)
    except RuntimeError as e:
        return e

[ check(a,b) for (a,b) in intervals ]
```

```
[58]: [(1.0,
        converged: True
```

```

        flag: converged
function_calls: 3
iterations: 1
    root: 1.0),
(1.00000000000002274,
    converged: True
    flag: converged
function_calls: 45
iterations: 43
    root: 1.00000000000002274),
(0.9999999999995453,
    converged: True
    flag: converged
function_calls: 45
iterations: 43
    root: 0.9999999999995453),
(0.9999999999995453,
    converged: True
    flag: converged
function_calls: 43
iterations: 41
    root: 0.9999999999995453),
(1.0,
    converged: True
    flag: converged
function_calls: 2
iterations: 1612130352
    root: 1.0)]

```

## 6 Graphical explanation!?

```

[75]: import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import numpy as np

X = np.linspace(-5,1.5,1000)
def f(x): return np.exp(x) - x*np.exp(x)


plt.plot(X,f(X))
plt.axhline(y=0,color='black')
plt.axvline(x=0,color='black')

```

```

[75]: <matplotlib.lines.Line2D at 0x7f64566e6990>

```



Note that  $f(x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow -\infty$ .

So if an initial guess is “too far left”, then Newton and secant methods iterate further to the left when trying to find the root.

The secant method also failed with the initial guesses  $(0, 10)$ ; here the point is that  $f(x) \rightarrow -\infty$  quick as  $x$  moves to the right.

## 7 Stopping criteria

This is an example as to why a “stopping criteria” to stop iterating at the  $k$  th step **should not** have the form  $f(x_k) \approx 0$ .

At first thought, checking that  $f(\mathbf{x}_k) < \text{tolerance}$  where **tolerance** is close to zero (maybe something like  $1\text{E-}8$ ) would be a sufficient stopping condition for root finding.

However, the asymptotic behavior of the function, where  $f \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow -\infty$ , shows that this is not sufficient for determining whether the method has found a root.

For example, let’s say  $\mathbf{x}_k = -22$ . Then  $f(-22) = 6.4 \times 10^{-9}$ , which meets the criteria, and the method would declare the root to be  $-22$ . But we know this is nowhere close to the root. This means that checking that  $f$  is close to zero is not a good way to determine convergence.

A better way to check for convergence would be to examine the actual sequence of iterates that the method generates,  $\{\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_k\}$  where  $\mathbf{x}_k \rightarrow \mathbf{x}^*$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . We could check the

difference between  $\mathbf{x}_k$  and  $\mathbf{x}^*$ , but we might not know  $\mathbf{x}^*$ . However, we do know that with each iteration, we expect the iterates to be getting closer to  $\mathbf{x}^*$ , which means that the difference between successive iterates should be getting smaller. With this reasoning, a better stopping condition would be to check if  $\|\mathbf{x}_{k-1} - \mathbf{x}_k\|$  is close to zero, i.e.,  $\|\mathbf{x}_{k-1} - \mathbf{x}_k\| < \text{tolerance}$ , where **tolerance** should be very small.

[ ]: