

Formalization and Finite Algebra

Clea Bergsman, Katherine Buesing, Sahan Wijetunga

2025-07-10

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Nondegenerate Bilinear Forms
 - Definitions
 - Reflexive Proofs
 - Matrices
 - Nondegenerate Proofs
- 3 Pen and Paper vs. Lean
 - Theorems
 - Proofs
- 4 Hyperbolic
 - Alternating Forms
 - Symmetric Forms
- 5 Conclusion

Recall: What is a Bilinear Form?

Definition

A **bilinear form** is a map $\beta : V \times W \rightarrow K$, where V and W are K -vector spaces and K is a field, when

- $\beta(v_1 + v_2, w) = \beta(v_1, w) + \beta(v_2, w)$
- $\beta(v, w_1 + w_2) = \beta(v, w_1) + \beta(v, w_2)$
- $\beta(\lambda v, w) = \beta(v, \lambda w) = \lambda \beta(v, w)$

hold for all $v \in V$, $w \in W$, and $\lambda \in K$.

Recall: Symmetric, Alternating, and Skew Bilinear Forms

Symmetric

$$\beta(v, w) = \beta(w, v) \quad \forall v, w$$

Alternating

$$\beta(v, v) = 0, \quad \forall v$$

Skew

$$\beta(v, w) = -\beta(w, v), \quad \forall v, w$$

Note: Bilinear forms that are **anti-symmetric** are both alternating and skew-symmetric.

Reflexive Bilinear Forms

Definition

A bilinear form β is **reflexive** if $\beta(v, w) = 0 \iff \beta(w, v) = 0 \forall v, w \in V$

Matrices

```

lemma alt_is_reflexive (β:BilinForm k V) (h:Alt β) : IsRefl β := by
  intro v w l
  have hv : β v v = 0 := by apply h
  have hw : β w w = 0 := by apply h
  have h1 : β (v+w) (v+w) = (β v) v + (β w) v + (β v) w + (β w) w :=
    calc
      (β (v+w)) (v+w) = (β v) (v+w) + (β w) (v+w) := by
        rw [LinearMap.BilinForm.add_left]
      _ = (β v) v + (β w) v + (β v) w + (β w) w := by
        rw [LinearMap.BilinForm.add_right v v w, LinearMap.BilinForm.add_right w v w,
          + add_assoc]; ring
  have hvw : β (v+w) (v+w) = 0 := by apply h
  rw [hv, hw, hvw, zero_add, add_zero, add_comm] at h1
  have h2: 0 + -(β w) v = (β v) w + (β w) v + -(β w) v := by
    apply (@add_right_cancel_iff _ _ _ (-(β w) v) 0 ((β v) w + (β w) v)).mpr h1
  rw [l, zero_add] at h1
  symm at h1
  exact h1

```

```

lemma symm_is_reflexive (β:BilinForm k V) (h:Symm β) :
  IsRefl β := by
  intro v w l
  have h1: (β v) w = (β w) v := by apply h
  rw [l] at h1
  symm at h1
  exact h1

```

Bilinear Forms as Matrices

- Given a basis (v_1, \dots, v_n) for V we can form a matrix A corresponding to the bilinear form $B : V \times V \rightarrow k$ by defining $A_{ij} := B(v_i, v_j)$. Thus,

$$B(x, y) = [x]^T A[y]$$

for $x, y \in V$.

- The dot product on \mathbf{R}^n has matrix

$$I_n = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Bilinear Forms as Matrices

- Symmetric forms have matrix satisfying $A^T = A$.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

- Alternating forms have matrix satisfying $A^T = -A$.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 5 \\ -5 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

- Nondegenerate forms have matrix with $\det(A) \neq 0$.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \quad (\det = 4 \cdot 1 - 3 \cdot 2 \neq 0)$$

Nondegenerate Definition

Theorem

Let β be a bilinear form on V , $M = [\beta(v_i, v_j)]$, and v_1, \dots, v_n a basis of V . The following are equivalent:

- $\det(M) \neq 0$
- $\forall w \in V \ \beta(v, w) = 0 \implies v = 0$
- $\forall v \in V \ \beta(v, w) = 0 \implies w = 0$

Nondegenerate Proofs

```

theorem nondeg_rank ( $\beta$  : BilinearForm k V) [FiniteDimensional k V]
(n : ℕ) (h: Module.rank k V = n) (b : Basis (Fin n) k V) :
  (LinearMap.BilinearForm.Nondegenerate  $\beta$ ) ↔
  Matrix.rank (BilinearForm.toMatrix b  $\beta$ ) = n := by
  constructor
  -- Nondegenerate  $\beta \rightarrow \text{rank } M = n$ 
  intro hn
  have nondeg :  $\beta$ .Nondegenerate := by apply hn
  have nul_zero : LinearMap.ker  $\beta$  =  $\perp$  := by
    apply LinearMap.BilinearForm.nondegenerate_iff_ker_eq_bot.mp;
    exact nondeg
  let M : Matrix (Fin n) (Fin n) k := BilinearForm.toMatrix b  $\beta$ 
  have h1 : Module.rank k  $\uparrow$ (range  $\beta$ ) + (Module.rank k (ker  $\beta$ ))
    = Module.rank k V :=
    by apply LinearMap.rank_range_add_rank_ker
  have zero : (Module.rank k  $\uparrow$ (ker  $\beta$ )) = 0 := by
    rw [nul_zero]; simp
    rw [zero, add_zero] at h1
    rw [h] at h1
    simp at h1
    exact h1

```

Theorems

```

-- rank M = n → Nondegenerate β
intro hn
have h1 : Module.rank k ↑(range β) + (Module.rank k (ker β))
= Module.rank k V :=
  by apply LinearMap.rank_range_add_rank_ker
simp at h1
rw [hn] at h1
have nul_zero : Module.rank k ↑(ker β) = 0 := by
  apply (add_eq_left _ _ (↑n) (Module.rank k ↑(ker β))).mpr
  at h1
simp at nul_zero
apply LinearMap.BilinForm.nondegenerate_iff_ker_eq_bot.mpr
exact nul_zero

```

Creating a Basis using Disjoint Bases

Theorem

Let V be a vector space over a field k , and let W_1 and W_2 be subspaces of V such that $W_1 + W_2 = V$ and $W_1 \cap W_2 = \{0\}$. Let B_1 and B_2 be bases of W_1 and W_2 , respectively.

- *We can create a basis for V using the union of B_1 and B_2*

Additional Theorems

Theorem

Let V be a vector space over a field k , and let W_1 and W_2 be subspaces of V such that $W_1 \cap W_2 = \{0\}$. Let e_1 and e_2 be linearly independent sets of W_1 and W_2 , respectively.

- *The disjoint union of e_1 and e_2 is linearly independent.*

Theorem

Let V be a vector space over a field k , and let W_1 and W_2 be two subspaces of a larger vector space V , where the direct sum of W_1 and W_2 is equal to V . Let s_1 and s_2 be sets of vectors that span W_1 and W_2 , respectively.

- *The union of s_1 and s_2 span V .*

Additional Theorems in Lean

■ Theorem: Linear independence by transverse subspaces

```

theorem lin_indep_by_transverse_subspaces
  (k V : Type) [Field k] [AddCommGroup V] [Module k V]
  (I₁ I₂ : Type) [Fintype I₁] [Fintype I₂]
  (b₁ : I₁ → V) (b₂ : I₂ → V)
  (b1_indep : LinearIndependent k b₁)
  (b2_indep : LinearIndependent k b₂)
  (W₁ W₂ : Submodule k V) (h_int : W₁ ⊥ W₂ = ⊥)
  (hbw1 : ∀ i, b₁ i ∈ W₁) (hbw2 : ∀ i, b₂ i ∈ W₂)
  [DecidableEq I₁] [DecidableEq I₂]
  : LinearIndependent k (Sum.elim b₁ b₂)

```

Additional Theorems in Lean Continued

■ Theorem: Span of union of sets

```
lemma union_span' (W1 W2 : Submodule k V) (s1 s2 : Set V)
  (hs1 : W1 = Submodule.span k s1)
  (hs2 : W2 = Submodule.span k s2)
  (hw : T = W1 ⊔ W2)
  : T = Submodule.span k (s1 ∪ s2)
```

Pen and Paper Proof

- In order to show that the disjoint union of bases B_1 and B_2 is a basis for V , we want to show that this disjoint union is both linearly independent and spans the entirety of V .
- Since $W_1 \cap W_2 = \{0\}$, and B_1 and B_2 are both individually linearly independent, we can conclude that their union is linearly independent.
- Now we want to show that their union spans all of V . Since $W_1 + W_2 = V$, and B_1 and B_2 span W_1 and W_2 , respectively, we can conclude that their union spans V .

Lean Proof

```

def basis_of_direct_sum
  (W1 W2 : Submodule k V)
  (ι1 ι2 : Type) [Fintype ι1]
  [Fintype ι2]
  (B1 : Basis ι1 k W1)
  (B2 : Basis ι2 k W2)
  (hspan : W1 ⊔ W2 = (⊔ : Submodule k V))
  (hindep : W1 ⊓ W2 = (⊥ : Submodule k V))
  [DecidableEq ι1] [DecidableEq ι2]
  [FiniteDimensional k V]:
  Basis (ι1 ⊕ ι2) k V := by

```

Lean Proof

```

have hli: LinearIndependent k (Sum.elim
(W1.subtype ∘ B1) (W2.subtype ∘ B2)) := by
  apply lin_indep_by_transverse_subspaces
  · apply LinearIndependent.map' B1.linearIndependent W1.subtype
    (by simp)
  · apply LinearIndependent.map' B2.linearIndependent W2.subtype
    (by simp)
  · have k0 : Disjoint W1 W2 := by
      rw[disjoint_iff]
      exact hindep
      rw[Disjoint.eq_bot k0]
  · simp
  · simp

```

Lean Proof

```
have hsp:  $T \leq \text{Submodule.span } k \text{ (Set.range (Sum.elim$   
  ( $W_1.\text{subtype} \circ B_1$ ) ( $W_2.\text{subtype} \circ B_2$ ))) := by  
  simp  
  rw[union_span']  
  exact  $W_1$   
  exact  $W_2$   
  · exact span_range (Basis.span_eq  $B_1$ )  
  · exact span_range (Basis.span_eq  $B_2$ )  
  · rw[hspan]  
  exact Basis.mk hli hsp
```

Hyperbolic Forms

- A 2-dimensional vector space V is called **Hyperbolic** if there exists a basis (e, f) such that the form has matrix

$$H_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ * & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Note if V is symmetric as well, it has matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, and if

alternating then matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

- A generic vector space V is *Hyperbolic* if it has a basis making its

form equal to $\begin{bmatrix} H_2 & & & \\ & H_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & H_2 \end{bmatrix}$

Alternating is Hyperbolic

Theorem

Every nondegenerate (finite dimensional) alternating bilinear form B on V is Hyperbolic.

Pen and Paper Proof (That nondegenerate (finite dimensional) alternating bilinear forms are Hyperbolic)

- If $V \neq 0$, pick $e \neq 0$ in V .
 - From nondegeneracy, pick v with $B(e, v) \neq 0$.
 - From scaling, pick $f = \lambda v$ with $B(e, f) = 1$.
- Then $H = \text{Span}(e, f)$ has matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and is Hyperbolic.
- Fact: $V = H \oplus H^\perp$.
- Check:
 - H^\perp Alternating
 - H^\perp Nondegenerate
 - $\dim(H^\perp) < \dim(V)$
- From induction, H^\perp is Hyperbolic. Thus,

$$A_V = \begin{pmatrix} A_H & 0 \\ 0 & A_{H^\perp} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \\ & \begin{pmatrix} H_2 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & H_2 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} H_2 & & & \\ & H_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & H_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Lean Proof v.s. Paper Proof

The lean proof was

- Quick
- Efficient
- Similar in length and style to the paper one
- Relied heavily on the power of Mathlib and a >1000 line auxiliary file setting up the theory of Hyperbolic spaces

Symmetric Forms

Theorem

Every nondegenerate (finite dimensional, field characteristic not 2) symmetric bilinear form is the direct sum of a Hyperbolic form and a definite (anisotropic) form.

- The paper proof is similar in length to the alternating one.
- The lean proof was 10x longer
 - Involves subspaces and “direct sum”

Lean Hyperbolic Space Definitions

```

@[ext]
structure Hypspace (B: BilinForm k V) where
  I: Type
  basis : Basis (I ⊕ I) k V
  pred: Hypspace_fun_pred B basis

...

@[ext]
structure Hypsubspace (B: BilinForm k V) where
  I: Type
  coe : I ⊕ I → V
  pred: Hypspace_fun_pred B coe

```

References

- 1 Avigad, J. Buzzard, K. Lewis R. Y. Massot, P. (2020). *Mathematics in Lean*.
- 2 Liesen, J. Mehrmann, V. (2015). *Linear Algebra*.
- 3 Reich, E. (2005, February 28). Bilinear Forms. Retrieved July 10, 2005, from <https://math.mit.edu/~dav/bilinearforms.pdf>

Thank you!

Special thanks to Dr. George McNinch and the REU