Formalization in Linear Algebra

Clea Bergsman, Katherine Buesing, Sahan Wijetunga, Mentor: George McNinch

VERSEIM REU

06/26/2025

Introduction

Introduction

- Bilinear Forms Definitions Proof in Lean
- 3 Proofs We're Working On
- 4 Gram-Schmidt Proof
- **6** Conclusion

Definitions

Definition: a **bilinear form** is a map $\beta: V \times W \to K$, where V and W are K-vector spaces and K is a field, when

1
$$\beta(v_1 + v_2, w) = \beta(v_1, w) + \beta(v_2, w)$$

2
$$\beta(v, w_1 + w_2) = \beta(v, w_1) + \beta(v, w_2)$$

hold for all $v \in V$, $w \in W$, and $\lambda \in K$.

Special Properties

Definitions

A bilinear form β is **symmetric** if

A bilinear form β is **anti-symmetric** or **alternating** if

Proofs We're Working On

Otherwise, a bilinear form β is called **nonsymmetric**.

Definitions

Lemma: If $\beta(v, v) = 0$, $\forall v$, then $\beta(v, w) = -\beta(w, v)$, $\forall v, w$. Proof:

- Let $\beta(v + w, v + w) = 0$
- $\bullet = \beta(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v}) + \beta(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w})$
- $\bullet = \beta(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}) + \beta(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v}) + \beta(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) + \beta(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w})$
- Since $\beta(v, v) = 0$, we have $\beta(w, v) + \beta(v, w) = 0$
- Thus, $\beta(v, w) = -\beta(w, v)$

```
• def Alt (β:V →l[k] V →l[k] k) : Prop :=
∀ v : V, β v v = 0

def Skew (β:V →l[k] V →lk] k) : Prop :=
∀ v w : V, β v w = -β w v
```

```
lemma skew of_alt (\beta:V \rightarrow l[k] V \rightarrow l[k] k) (ha : Alt \beta) :
  Skew \beta := by
  intro v w
  have h0 : \beta (v+w) = \beta v + \beta w := by simp
  have h : \beta (v+w) (v+w)
  = (\beta \ v) \ v + (\beta \ w) \ v + (\beta \ v) \ w + (\beta \ w) \ w :=
    calc
    (\beta (v+w)) (v+w) = (\beta v) (v+w) + (\beta w) (v+w) :=
    by rw [LinearMap.BilinForm.add left]
    _{-} = (\beta v) v + (\beta w) v + (\beta v) w + (\beta w) w :=
    by rw [LinearMap.BilinForm.add_right v v w,
    LinearMap.BilinForm.add right w v w, - add assoc]; ring
  have hv : \beta v v = 0 := bv applv ha
  have hw : \beta w w = 0 := bv apply ha
  have hvw : \beta (v+w) (v+w) = 0 := by apply ha
  rw [hv, hw, hvw, zero add, add zero] at h
  have h1: (\beta \ v) \ w = -(\beta \ w) \ v := by
  exact Eq.symm (LinearMap.BilinForm.IsAlt.neg eg ha w v)
  exact h1
```

Orthogonal Complement

The following is a definition of the orthogonal complement It also proves each of the following characteristics of the orthogonal complement

- add_mem'
- zero_mem'
- smul_mem'

This definition and proof was written by Clea Bergsman



```
def OrthogComplement {k V: Type} [AddCommGroup V]
[Field k] [Module k V] (S : Set V)
\{\beta: V \rightarrow l \ [k] \ V \rightarrow l \ [k] \ k\}: Subspace k V where
  carrier := { v \mid \forall (x:S), \beta v x = 0 }
  add_mem' := by
    simp
    intro h1 h2 h3 h4
    exact fun a b → Linarith.eq_of_eq_of_eq
    (h3 a b) (h4 a b)
  zero_mem' := by
    simp
  smul mem' := by
    simp
    intro h1 h2 h3 h4 h5
    right
    apply h3
    exact h5
```

Unique Representation of a Direct Sum

The following is a proof of the fact that if you have two disjoint subspaces W_1 and W_2 four vectors, $x_1,y_1\in W_1$ and $x_2,y_2\in W_2$, then $x_1+x_2=y_1+y_2$ implies $x_1=y_1$ and $x_2=y_2$

This proof was written by Sahan Wijetunga

```
theorem direct sum unique repr (k V : Type)
   [Field k] [AddCommGroup V] [Module k V]
   (W_1 \ W_2 : Submodule k V) (h_int : \bot = W_1 \sqcap W_2)
   (x_1 \ x_2 \ y_1 \ y_2 : V) \ (h_1 : x_1 \in W_1 \land y_1 \in W_1)
   (h_2 : x_2 \in W_2 \land y_2 \in W_2) :
  X_1 + X_2 = Y_1 + Y_2 \rightarrow X_1 = Y_1 \land X_2 = Y_2 := by
     have \langle hx1, hy1 \rangle := h_1
     have \langle hx2, hy2 \rangle := h_2
     intro h
     have h': x_1 - y_1 = y_2 - x_2 :=
        calc
          x_1 - y_1 = (x_1 + x_2 - x_2) - y_1 := by abel_nf
          = (y_1 + y_2 - x_2) - y_1 := by rw[h]
          = y_2 - x_2 := by abel
     have hw1: (x_1-y_1) \in W_1 := by
        exact
        (Submodule.sub_mem_iff_left W<sub>1</sub> hy1).mpr
```

```
hx1
have hw2: (x_1-y_1) \in W_2 := by
  have: y_2-x_2 \in W_2 := by
    exact
    (Submodule.sub mem iff left W2 hx2).mpr
    hy2
  rw[h']
  assumption
have hw: (x_1-y_1) \in (W_1: Set V) \cap W_2:= by
  exact Set.mem inter hw1 hw2
have hw0: x_1 - y_1 = 0 := by
  have: (W_1: Set V) \cap W_2 = \{(0: V)\} := calc
    (W_1: Set V) \cap W_2 = W_1 \cap W_2 := rfl
    = (\perp: Submodule k V) := by
      exact congrArg SetLike.coe
       (id (Eq.symm h int))
    = (\{0\}: Set V) := rfl
```

```
have: (x_1-y_1) \in (\{0\}: Set V) := by
    rw[<- this]
    assumption
  exact this
have hxy1: x_1=y_1 := by
  calc
    _{-} = (x_1 - y_1) + y_1 := by abel
    _{-} = 0+y_1 := by rw[hw0]
    = y_1 := by abel
have hxy2: x_2=y_2 := by
  calc
    x_2 = x_2 + 0 := by abel
    = x_2 + (x_1 - y_1) := by rw[hw0]
    = x_2 + (y_2 - x_2) := by rw[h']
    = y_2 := by abel
exact <hxy1, hxy2>
```

Disjoint Union of Functions

This is an (incomplete) proof that the disjoint union of two linearly independent bases b_1 and b_2 are linearly independent

This (incomplete) proof was written by Katherine Buesing

```
theorem lin indep by transverse subspaces
    (k V : Type) [Field k] [AddCommGroup V]
    [Module k V] (I_1 I_2 : Type)
    [Fintype I<sub>1</sub>] [Fintype I<sub>2</sub>]
    (b_1 : I_1 \rightarrow V) (b_2 : I_2 \rightarrow V)
    (b1_indep : LinearIndependent k b₁)
    (b2_indep : LinearIndependent k b2)
    (W_1 \ W_2 : Submodule k V)
    (h int: W_1 \sqcap W_2 = \bot)
    (hbw1 : \forall i, b<sub>1</sub> i \in W<sub>1</sub>)
    (hbw2: \forall i, b, i \in W,)
    [DecidableEq I,] [DecidableEq I,]
    : LinearIndependent k
    (disjointUnion funs b_1 b_2) := by
     rw[linearIndependent iff'']
     intro s a q h<sub>1</sub> h<sub>2</sub>
     have k<sub>0</sub>:
```

```
\Sigma i \in s, a i • disjointUnion funs b<sub>1</sub> b<sub>2</sub> i
= \sum i : (I_1 \oplus I_2), a i \cdot
disjointUnion funs b_1 b_2 i := by
  sorry
have k_1 : \sum i_1 (a (Sum.inl i)) • (b<sub>1</sub> i) =
-\sum_{i=1}^{n} j_{i} (a (Sum.inr j)) • (b<sub>2</sub> j) := by
  rw[k<sub>0</sub>] at h<sub>1</sub>
  simp at h<sub>1</sub>
   sorry
have k_2: \sum i_1 (a (Sum.inl i)) • (b<sub>1</sub> i)
\in W_1 \sqcap W_2 := by
  simp
  have k_{20}: \sum i_{1} (a (Sum.inl i)) • (b<sub>1</sub> i)
  \in W_1 := by
     exact Submodule.sum smul mem W1
      (fun i → a (Sum.inl i)) fun c a → hbw1 c
  have k_{21}: \sum i_{1} (a (Sum.inl i)) • (b<sub>1</sub> i)
```

```
\in W_2 := by
     rw[k<sub>1</sub>]
     apply Submodule.neg mem
     exact Submodule.sum_smul_mem W2
      (fun i → a (Sum.inr i)) fun c a → hbw2 c
  constructor
   · exact k<sub>20</sub>
   · exact k<sub>21</sub>
have k_3 : -\sum_{i=1}^{n} j_i (a (Sum.inr j)) • (b<sub>2</sub> j)
\in W_1 \sqcap W_2 := by
  rw[k<sub>1</sub>] at k<sub>2</sub>
  exact k<sub>2</sub>
rw[linearIndependent iff] at b1 indep
rw[linearIndependent iff] at b2 indep
rw[h int] at k<sub>2</sub>
rw[h_int] at ka
simp at k<sub>2</sub>
```

simp at k_3 sorry

Some (More) Unsolved Proofs

- The linear independence of orthogonal bases
- The disjoint union of sets Fin n and Fin m is equal to Fin n + m
- And more properties of alternating and symmetric bilinear forms

Definite Form

Definition: a **positive definite** (symmetric bilinear) form is a map $\beta: V \times V \to \mathbb{R}$ with

1
$$\beta(v_1 + v_2, w) = \beta(v_1, w) + \beta(v_2, w), \forall v_1, v_2, w \in V$$

$$\beta(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}) > 0, \ \forall \mathbf{v} \neq 0$$

Orthogonal

- **1** We say (v_1, \ldots, v_n) are orthogonal if $\beta(v_i, v_i) = 0$ for $i \neq j$.
- 2 We say (v_1, \ldots, v_n) are *orthonormal* if they are orthogonal and $\beta(v_i, v_i) = 1$ for all i.

Gram-Schmidt

- **1** Let V be a vector space over \mathbb{R} with a positive definite form β and basis (v_1, \ldots, v_n) .
- 2 The Gram-Schmidt (orthonormalization) algorithm returns an orthonormal basis (u_1, \ldots, u_n) .

Gram-Schmidt Algorithm

① Let (v_1,\ldots,v_n) linearly independent. We define (u_1,\ldots,u_n) (inductively) by

$$u_1 := v_1,$$
 $u_2 := v_2 - \operatorname{proj}_{u_1}(v_2),$
 $u_3 := v_2 - \operatorname{proj}_{u_1}(v_3) - \operatorname{proj}_{u_2}(v_3),$
 \vdots
 $u_n := v_n - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \operatorname{proj}_{u_j}(v_n).$

where $\operatorname{proj}_u(v) = \frac{\beta(v,u)}{\beta(u,u)} \cdot u$. These (u_1,\ldots,u_n) are orthogonal.



Gram-Schmidt Algorithm

① Let (v_1, \ldots, v_n) linearly independent. We define (u_1, \ldots, u_n) (inductively) by

$$u_1 := v_1,$$
 $u_2 := v_2 - \operatorname{proj}_{u_1}(v_2),$
 $u_3 := v_2 - \operatorname{proj}_{u_1}(v_3) - \operatorname{proj}_{u_2}(v_3),$
 \vdots
 $u_n := v_n - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \operatorname{proj}_{u_j}(v_n).$

where $\operatorname{proj}_u(v) = \frac{\beta(v,u)}{\beta(u,u)} \cdot u$. Note defining $v_i := \frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta(u_i,u_i)}}$ we have $\beta(v_i,v_i) = 1$. The (v_1,\ldots,v_n) are orthonormal.



Calculation

Recall $v_1 = u_1$, $u_k = v_k - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \operatorname{proj}_{u_i}(v_k)$. We have for j < k that

$$\beta(u_j, u_k) = \beta\left(u_j, v_k - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \operatorname{proj}_{u_j}(v_k)\right)$$

$$= \beta(u_j, v_k) - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \beta(u_j, \operatorname{proj}_{u_i}(v_k))$$

$$= \beta(u_j, v_k) - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{\beta(u_i, u_k)}{\beta(u_i, u_i)} \beta(u_j, u_i)$$

$$= \beta(u_j, v_k) - \beta(u_j, v_k)$$

$$= 0$$

As β is symmetric, $\beta(u_k, u_i) = 0$ as well.



Lean Proof Structure

1 The proof took 478 lines in lean! I separated it into 15 lemmas, 10 theorems, and \sim 15 definitions.

```
structure OrthogBasis'{V:Type}[AddCommGroup V]
  [Module \mathbb{R} V] (\beta: V \rightarrow V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}) (hp: Def \beta)
  (hs: Symm \beta) (n:N) where
  basis : Basis (Fin n) R V
  is_orthog : OrthogPred β basis
```

```
structure OrthogBasis'{V:Type}[AddCommGroup V]
  [Module \mathbb{R} V] (\beta: V \to V \to \mathbb{R}) (hp: Def \beta)
  (hs: Symm \beta) (n:N) where
  basis : Basis (Fin n) R V
  is_orthog : OrthogPred β basis
def orthoq_basis {V:Type} [AddCommGroup V]
[Module R V] (\beta: V \rightarrow V \rightarrow R) (hp: Def \beta)
(hs : Symm \beta) {n:N} (b: Basis (Fin n) \mathbb{R} V):
OrthogBasis' \( \beta \) hp hs n where
    basis := ...
     is orthog :=
         orthog span gram schmidt β hp hs b
```

```
theorem orthog_span_gram_schmidt {V:Type} [AddCommGroup V] [Module R V]
  (\beta: V \rightarrow V \rightarrow R) (hp : Def \beta) (hs : Symm \beta)
  \{n:\mathbb{N}\}\ (b:Fin\ n \to V)
  : OrthogPred B (gram schmidt B hp hs b) :=
  match n with
  | Nat.zero => by
    intro i j h
    have: †i<(0: N) := by exact i.isLt</pre>
    linarith
  | Nat.succ n => by
    let c := gram_schmidt β hp hs b
    let c' := gram_schmidt β hp hs (restrict b)
    let x := b (Fin.last n)
    have h: c = intermediate β c' x := rfl
    exact orthog_intermediate β hp hs c'
      (orthog span gram schmidt \beta hp hs (restrict b)) x
```

```
@[simp]
def restrict {X:Type} {m:N} (f:Fin (m+1) → X)
    : Fin m → X := fun i => f i.castSucc
```

Gram-Schmidt Proof

```
@[simp]
def restrict \{X: Type\} \{m: \mathbb{N}\} (f: Fin (m+1) \rightarrow X)
    : Fin m → X := fun i => f i.castSucc
theorem restrict_set_eq {X: Type} {m: N}
    (f: Fin (m+1) \rightarrow X):
    f '' (Set.range (@Fin.castSucc m))
    = Set.range (restrict f)
  := by ...
lemma linear_independence_mem (...)
    (hb: LinearIndependent R b) :
  ¬ (b (Fin.last n))∈
    Submodule.span R (Set.range (restrict b))
    := by
    rw[<- restrict set eq]
```

References

- 1 Avigad, J. Buzzard, K. Lewis R. Y. Massot, P. (2020). *Mathematics in Lean*.
- 2 Liesen, J. Mehrmann, V. (2015). Linear Algebra.

Thank you!

Special thanks to Dr. George McNinch and the REU