

Reductive subgroup schemes of a parahoric group scheme

George McNinch

Department of Mathematics
Tufts University

Workshop at Ruhr University Bochum - February 2016

Contents

Overview

Levi factors

μ -homomorphisms and centralizers

Parahorics

Outline

Overview

Levi factors

μ -homomorphisms and centralizers

Parahorics

Local fields

- ▶ Notations:

- ▶ \mathcal{A} : complete DVR
- ▶ F : fractions of \mathcal{A} – a “local field”
- ▶ $k = \mathcal{A}/\pi\mathcal{A}$: residues – assumed to be *perfect*

- ▶ Examples:

- ▶ “equicharacteristic”: $\mathcal{A} = k[[t]]$, $F = k((t))$
- ▶ $[F : \mathbf{Q}_p] < \infty$, $\mathcal{A} = \text{int. clos. of } \mathbf{Z}_p \text{ in } F$, $k = \mathcal{A}/\pi\mathcal{A} \simeq \mathbf{F}_q$.
- ▶ k perfect of char. $p > 0$, $\mathcal{A} = W(k)$ “Witt vectors”. Then F has char. 0.

Reductive groups over local fields

- ▶ Let G be a reductive algebraic group over a local field F .
- ▶ If \mathcal{A} is the ring of integers of F , then G can be viewed as the *generic fiber* of various smooth affine \mathcal{A} -group schemes.
- ▶ For example, if G is *split* over F , then G is the generic fiber of a *split reductive* group scheme over \mathcal{A} .
- ▶ There are other natural group schemes \mathcal{P} over \mathcal{A} to which $\mathcal{P}_F = G$ – they were studied especially by Bruhat and Tits, and I'll call them the “parahoric group schemes” attached to G .
- ▶ In general a parahoric group scheme \mathcal{P} is not reductive over \mathcal{A} , since its special fiber \mathcal{P}_k is a linear algebraic group over k which need not be reductive.

Target theorem

Let G be a reductive group over a local field F , and suppose that G splits over an unramified extension of F . Let \mathcal{P} be a parahoric group scheme with generic fiber G .

Theorem

There is a reductive \mathcal{A} -subgroup scheme $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{P}$ for which \mathcal{H}_F is a reductive subgroup of G containing a maximal torus of G , and \mathcal{H}_k is a Levi factor for \mathcal{P}_k .

Remarks

1. In fact, we will be a bit more precise about “which \mathcal{H}_F occur”, below.
2. And we need to discuss Levi factors in more detail.

Outline

Overview

Levi factors

μ -homomorphisms and centralizers

Parahorics

Levi factors

Suppose that k is a perfect field, and that H is a linear algebraic group over k .

- ▶ Since k is perfect, the unipotent radical R of H is defined over k .
- ▶ write $\pi : H \rightarrow H/R$ for quotient map.
- ▶ A closed k -subgroup $M \subset H$ is a *Levi factor* of H if $\pi|_M : M \rightarrow H/R$ is an isomorphism of algebraic groups.
- ▶ If H has a Levi factor M , then the multiplication map defines an isomorphism from the *semidirect product* to H :

$$M \ltimes R \xrightarrow{\sim} H$$

Levi factors: existence?

- ▶ If char. of k is 0, H has a Levi factor, and any two Levi factors are conjugate by an element of $H(k)$.
- ▶ Now suppose k has char. $p > 0$.
- ▶ Let G be a reductive group over k , and let V be a linear representation of G (over k).
- ▶ The cohom. gp $H^2(G, V)$ is a quotient of the space $Z^2(G, V)$ of all regular 2-cocycles $\alpha : G \times G \rightarrow V$.
- ▶ Given $\alpha \in Z^2(G, V)$, one may construct an algebraic group H_α fitting in a strictly exact seq

$$(b) \quad 0 \rightarrow V \rightarrow H_\alpha \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$$

- ▶ H_α has a Levi factor $\iff (b)$ is split
 $\iff 0 = [\alpha] \in H^2(G, V)$.

Previous results

Theorem (M)

Suppose that G is a reductive group over the local field F , and let \mathcal{P} be a parahoric group scheme associated to G .

- (a) If G splits over an unramified extension of F , the special fiber \mathcal{P}_k has a Levi factor.*
- (b) If G is split, any two Levi factors of \mathcal{P}_k are conjugate by an element of $\mathcal{P}(k)$.*
- (c) If G splits over a tamely ramified extension of F , the geometric special fiber $\mathcal{P}_{\bar{k}}$ has a Levi factor, where \bar{k} is an algebraic closure of k .*

Theorem (M)

If H is a linear algebraic group over k of characteristic $p > 0$, and if H_ℓ has a Levi factor for a finite galois extension $\ell \supset k$ for which $[\ell : k]$ is prime to p , then H has a Levi factor (“over k ”).

Motivation for our “target theorem”

- ▶ In some older work, DeBacker related certain data for G – e.g. rational nilpotent classes and certain maximal tori (“unramified maximal tori”) of G – to some data for the reductive quotients of the special fibers of various \mathcal{P} for G .
- ▶ Some of his arguments can be simplified by working with a Levi factor M of \mathcal{P}_k , rather than $\mathcal{P}_k/R_u\mathcal{P}_k$.
- ▶ But it should be better yet to realize M as the special fiber of a reductive subgroup scheme.

Motivation for target theorem, continued

Theorem (M)

Let \mathcal{H} be a standard reductive group scheme over \mathcal{A} , let $X \in \mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{H}_k)$ be a nilpotent element. Then there is a nilpotent section $\tilde{X} \in \mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{H})$ and an \mathcal{A} -homomorphism $\phi : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ such that X is the image of \tilde{X} , the centralizer $C_{\mathcal{H}}(\tilde{X})$ is smooth over \mathcal{A} , ϕ_k is a cocharacter associated to X , and ϕ_F is a cocharacter associated to $X_F \in \mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{H}_F)$.

Remarks

1. I've suppressed the defn of “stdnrd”; for ss gps it amounts to requiring the char of both F and k to be very good for the (geom.) root system of \mathcal{H} .
2. Recall that “assoc cochars” play the role of \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triples for reduc gps in pos char.
3. If $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{P}$ is a reduc subgp scheme for which $\mathcal{H}_k \subset \mathcal{P}_k$ is a Levi, Thm gives a way to “lift” nilp elts and their assoc cochars from the reductive quotient of \mathcal{P}_k to $\mathcal{H}_F \subset G_F$.

Outline

Overview

Levi factors

μ -homomorphisms and centralizers

Parahorics

The group scheme μ_n

Fix an integer $n \geq 1$ and let μ_n be the group scheme with coordinate ring $k[\mu_n] = k[T]/\langle T^n - 1 \rangle$.

- ▶ if n is invertible in k , then μ_n is *smooth* and $\mu_n(\ell)$ is the group of n -th roots of unity in ℓ for an extension ℓ of k .
- ▶ if $n = p^n$ where p is the char. of k , note that the image u of $T - 1$ in $k[\mu_n]$ is *nilpotent*, hence μ_n is not smooth. In this case,
 - ▶ $\mu_n(\ell) = \{1\}$ for any field extension ℓ of k , and
 - ▶ $\text{Lie}(\mu_n) \neq 0$.

μ -homomorphisms

Let G be a connected and reductive group over a field k , and let $\phi : \mu_n \rightarrow G$ be a homom of gp schemes over k .

- ▶ A second homom $\psi : \mu_m \rightarrow G$ is equivalent to ϕ provided $\exists N \in \mathbf{Z}$ such that $n \mid N$ and $m \mid N$, and that

$$\mu_N \rightarrow \mu_m \xrightarrow{\psi} G \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_N \rightarrow \mu_n \xrightarrow{\phi} G$$

coincide.

- ▶ A μ -homomorphism with values in G is an equivalence class of some ϕ as above.

▶ Proposition

If ϕ is a μ -homomorphism, there is a max'l k -torus T of G such that ϕ factors through T .

The centralizer of a μ -homomorphism

- ▶ If T is a split torus with character group $Y = X_*(T)$, the collection of μ -homoms with values in T identifies with $Y \otimes \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}$.
(use that $\lim_{\rightarrow} \mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}$ identifies with \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}).
- ▶ Let T be a split maximal torus in G , let $\Phi \subset X^*(T)$ be the set of roots, and let $x \in Y \otimes \mathbf{Q}$. Then $\bar{x} \in Y \otimes \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}$ determines a μ -homomorphism $\phi_{\bar{x}}$, and the connected centralizer M_x of the image of $\phi_{\bar{x}}$ in G is the reductive subgroup given by

$$M_x = \langle T, U_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Phi_x \rangle,$$

where U_α is the root subgroup determined by the root α , and

$$\Phi_x = \{\alpha \in \Phi \mid \langle \alpha, x \rangle \in \mathbf{Z}\}.$$

- ▶ Indeed, the action of $\phi_{\bar{x}}$ on U_α is trivial $\iff \langle \alpha, x \rangle \in \mathbf{Z}$.

A description of Φ_x

- ▶ Let $V = Y \otimes \mathbf{Q}$, choose a positive definite W -invariant quadratic form on V
- ▶ For $x \in V$, the root subsystem Φ_x is independent of the W_{aff} -orbit of x .
- ▶ Let us suppose that G is split and simple with simple roots $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell$, and write $\alpha_0 = -\tilde{\alpha}$.
- ▶ The roots $\tilde{S} = \{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_\ell\}$ label the walls of the lowest alcove A for the action of W_{aff} on V .

Description of Φ_x , continued

- ▶ Fix $\varpi_i \in V$ for which $\langle \alpha_i, \varpi_j \rangle = \delta_{i,j}$ “fundamental dominant coweights”.
- ▶ Then A is the open simplex defined by 0 and the ϖ_i/n_i where $\tilde{\alpha} = \sum_i n_i \alpha_i$.
- ▶ A point y in \bar{A} thus has the form $y = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i \varpi_i / n_i$ where $0 \leq t_i$, $t_i \in \mathbf{Q}$ and $\sum_i t_i \leq 1$. The t_i are the *Kac coordinates* of the point y .

▶ Proposition

Suppose $x \in \bar{A}$. The roots of \tilde{S} which label those walls of A containing x form a simple system of roots for Φ_x .

- ▶ This amounts to the *Borel-de Siebenthal* description of the connected centralizer of a semisimple element.

Outline

Overview

Levi factors

μ -homomorphisms and centralizers

Parahorics

Chevalley systems

Suppose that G is *split* over F and fix a split maximal torus T . Write $\Phi \subset X^*(T)$ for the roots, and for $\alpha \in \Phi$, write U_α for the *root subgroup*.

- ▶ can choose a “Chevalley system” – i.e. a system of F -isomorphisms $x_\alpha : \mathbf{G}_a \rightarrow U_\alpha$ for each α with good properties.
- ▶ For each root α , there is an \mathcal{A} -forms \mathcal{U}_α of U_α for which

$$x_\alpha^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_\alpha(\mathcal{A})) = \mathcal{A} \subset F = \mathbf{G}_a(F).$$

- ▶ let \mathcal{T} be the \mathcal{A} -split torus with generic fiber T .
- ▶ then $(\mathcal{T}, (\mathcal{U}_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Phi})$ is a *schematic root datum* which determines a split reductive group scheme \mathcal{G} over \mathcal{A} with $\mathcal{G}_F = G$.

Parahorics via schematic root data

- ▶ More generally, given $m \in \mathbf{Q}$ and a root α , there is an \mathcal{A} -form $\mathcal{U}_{\alpha,m}$ of U_α for which

$$x_\alpha^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_{\alpha,m}(\mathcal{A})) = v^{-1}([m, \infty)) \subset F$$

where v is the valuation of F .

- ▶ e.g. $x_\alpha^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_{\alpha,1}(\mathcal{A})) = \pi\mathcal{A}$.
- ▶ Recall $V = X_*(T) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$.
- ▶ A point $x \in V$ determines a *schematic root datum* $(\mathcal{T}, (\mathcal{U}_{\alpha, \langle \alpha, x \rangle})_{\alpha \in \Phi})$.
- ▶ And thus x determines a smooth \mathcal{A} -group scheme \mathcal{P}_x with generic fiber G .
- ▶ The \mathcal{P}_x are the parahoric group schemes.

Levi factors

- ▶ The root system of the reductive quotient of $\mathcal{P}_{x,k}$ is Φ_x .
- ▶ $\mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{T}, (\mathcal{U}_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Phi_x})$ is a schematic root datum for M_x , and hence determines a split reductive \mathcal{A} -group scheme \mathcal{M}_x with generic fiber M_x .
- ▶ in a suitable sense, $\mathcal{D}' = (\mathcal{T}, (\mathcal{U}_{\alpha, \langle \alpha, x \rangle})_{\alpha \in \Phi_x})$ is conjugate to \mathcal{D} .
- ▶ It follows that \mathcal{M}_x embeds in \mathcal{P}_x , hence the "target theorem" follows, at least when G is split.