

Lab: Multithreading

This lab will familiarize you with multithreading. You will implement switching between threads in a user-level threads package, use multiple threads to speed up a program, and implement a barrier.

Before writing code, you should make sure you have read "Chapter 7: Scheduling" from the [xv6 book](#) and studied the corresponding code.

To start the lab, switch to the thread branch:

```
1 $ git fetch
2 $ git checkout thread
3 $ make clean
4
```

Uthread: switching between threads

In this exercise you will design the context switch mechanism for a user-level threading system, and then implement it. To get you started, your xv6 has two files `user/uthread.c` and `user/uthread_switch.S`, and a rule in the Makefile to build a `uthread` program. `uthread.c` contains most of a user-level threading package, and code for three simple test threads. The threading package is missing some of the code to create a thread and to switch between threads.

Your job is to come up with a plan to create threads and save/restore registers to switch between threads, and implement that plan. When you're done, `make grade` should say that your solution passes the `uthread` test.

Once you've finished, you should see the following output when you run `uthread` on xv6 (the three threads might start in a different order):

```
1 $ make qemu
2 ...
3 $ uthread
4 thread_a started
5 thread_b started
6 thread_c started
```

```
7 thread_c 0
8 thread_a 0
9 thread_b 0
10 thread_c 1
11 thread_a 1
12 thread_b 1
13 ...
14 thread_c 99
15 thread_a 99
16 thread_b 99
17 thread_c: exit after 100
18 thread_a: exit after 100
19 thread_b: exit after 100
20 thread_schedule: no runnable threads
21 $
```

This output comes from the three test threads, each of which has a loop that prints a line and then yields the CPU to the other threads.

At this point, however, with no context switch code, you'll see no output.

You will need to add code to `thread_create()` and `thread_schedule()` in `user/uthread.c`, and `thread_switch` in `user/uthread_switch.S`. One goal is ensure that when `thread_schedule()` runs a given thread for the first time, the thread executes the function passed to `thread_create()`, on its own stack. Another goal is to ensure that `thread_switch` saves the registers of the thread being switched away from, restores the registers of the thread being switched to, and returns to the point in the latter thread's instructions where it last left off. You will have to decide where to save/restore registers; modifying `struct thread` to hold registers is a good plan. You'll need to add a call to `thread_switch` in `thread_schedule`; you can pass whatever arguments you need to `thread_switch`, but the intent is to switch from thread `t` to `next_thread`.

Some hints:

- `thread_switch` needs to save/restore only the callee-save registers. Why?

- You can see the assembly code for uthread in user/uthread.asm, which may be handy for debugging.
- To test your code it might be helpful to single step through your

thread_switch

using

riscv64-linux-gnu-gdb

. You can get started in this way:

```
1 | (gdb) file user/_uthread
2 | Reading symbols from user/_uthread...
3 | (gdb) b uthread.c:60
```

This sets a breakpoint at line 60 of `uthread.c`. The breakpoint may (or may not) be triggered before you even run `uthread`. How could that happen?

Once your xv6 shell runs, type "uthread", and gdb will break at line 60. Now you can type commands like the following to inspect the state of `uthread`:

```
1 | (gdb) p/x *next_thread
```

With "x", you can examine the content of a memory location:

```
1 | (gdb) x/x next_thread->stack
```

You can skip to the start of `thread_switch` thus:

```
1 | (gdb) b thread_switch
2 | (gdb) c
```

You can single step assembly instructions using:

```
1 | (gdb) si
```

On-line documentation for gdb is [here](#).

Using threads

In this assignment you will explore parallel programming with threads and locks using a hash table. You should do this assignment on a real Linux or MacOS computer (not xv6, not qemu) that has multiple cores. Most recent laptops have multicore processors.

This assignment uses the UNIX `pthread` threading library. You can find information about it from the manual page, with `man pthreads`, and you can look on the web, for example [here](#), [here](#), and [here](#).

The file `notxv6/ph.c` contains a simple hash table that is correct if used from a single thread, but incorrect when used from multiple threads. In your main xv6 directory (perhaps `~/xv6-labs-2020`), type this:

```
1 | $ make ph
2 | $ ./ph 1
```

Note that to build `ph` the Makefile uses your OS's gcc, not the 6.S081 tools. The argument to `ph` specifies the number of threads that execute put and get operations on the the hash table. After running for a little while, `ph 1` will produce output similar to this:

```
1 | 100000 puts, 3.991 seconds, 25056 puts/second
2 | 0: 0 keys missing
3 | 100000 gets, 3.981 seconds, 25118 gets/second
```

The numbers you see may differ from this sample output by a factor of two or more, depending on how fast your computer is, whether it has multiple cores, and whether it's busy doing other things.

`ph` runs two benchmarks. First it adds lots of keys to the hash table by calling `put()`, and prints the achieved rate in puts per second. Then it fetches keys from the hash table with `get()`. It prints the number keys that should have been in the hash table as a result of the puts but are missing (zero in this case), and it prints the number of gets per second it achieved.

You can tell `ph` to use its hash table from multiple threads at the same time by giving it an argument greater than one. Try `ph 2`:

```
1 $ ./ph 2
2 100000 puts, 1.885 seconds, 53044 puts/second
3 1: 16579 keys missing
4 0: 16579 keys missing
5 200000 gets, 4.322 seconds, 46274 gets/second
```

The first line of this `ph 2` output indicates that when two threads concurrently add entries to the hash table, they achieve a total rate of 53,044 inserts per second. That's about twice the rate of the single thread from running `ph 1`. That's an excellent "parallel speedup" of about 2x, as much as one could possibly hope for (i.e. twice as many cores yielding twice as much work per unit time).

However, the two lines saying `16579 keys missing` indicate that a large number of keys that should have been in the hash table are not there. That is, the puts were supposed to add those keys to the hash table, but something went wrong. Have a look at `notxv6/ph.c`, particularly at `put()` and `insert()`.

Why are there missing keys with 2 threads, but not with 1 thread? Identify a sequence of events with 2 threads that can lead to a key being missing. Submit your sequence with a short explanation in `answers-thread.txt`

To avoid this sequence of events, insert lock and unlock statements in `put` and `get` in `notxv6/ph.c` so that the number of keys missing is always 0 with two threads. The relevant pthread calls are:

```
1 pthread_mutex_t lock;           // declare a lock
2 pthread_mutex_init(&lock, NULL); // initialize the lock
3 pthread_mutex_lock(&lock);       // acquire lock
4 pthread_mutex_unlock(&lock);     // release lock
```

You're done when `make grade` says that your code passes the `ph_safe` test, which requires zero missing keys with two threads. It's OK at this point to fail the `ph_fast` test.

Don't forget to call `pthread_mutex_init()`. Test your code first with 1 thread, then test it with 2 threads. Is it correct (i.e. have you eliminated missing keys)? Does the two-threaded version achieve parallel speedup (i.e. more total work per unit time) relative to the single-threaded version?

There are situations where concurrent `put()` s have no overlap in the memory they read or write in the hash table, and thus don't need a lock to protect against each other. Can you change `ph.c` to take advantage of such situations to obtain parallel speedup for some `put()` s? Hint: how about a lock per hash bucket?

Modify your code so that some `put` operations run in parallel while maintaining correctness. You're done when `make grade` says your code passes both the `ph_safe` and `ph_fast` tests. The `ph_fast` test requires that two threads yield at least 1.25 times as many puts/second as one thread.

Barrier

In this assignment you'll implement a **barrier**: a point in an application at which all participating threads must wait until all other participating threads reach that point too. You'll use pthread condition variables, which are a sequence coordination technique similar to xv6's sleep and wakeup.

You should do this assignment on a real computer (not xv6, not qemu).

The file `notxv6/barrier.c` contains a broken barrier.

```
1 | $ make barrier
2 | $ ./barrier 2
3 | barrier: notxv6/barrier.c:42: thread: Assertion `i == t' failed.
```

The 2 specifies the number of threads that synchronize on the barrier (`nthread` in `barrier.c`). Each thread executes a loop. In each loop iteration a thread calls `barrier()` and then sleeps for a random number of microseconds. The assert triggers, because one thread leaves the barrier before the other thread has reached the barrier. The desired behavior is that each thread blocks in `barrier()` until all `nthreads` of them have called `barrier()` .

Your goal is to achieve the desired barrier behavior. In addition to the lock primitives that you have seen in the `ph` assignment, you will need the following new pthread primitives; look [here](#) and [here](#) for details.

```
1 | pthread_cond_wait(&cond, &mutex); // go to sleep on cond,  
   | releasing lock mutex, acquiring upon wake up  
2 | pthread_cond_broadcast(&cond);    // wake up every thread  
   | sleeping on cond
```

Make sure your solution passes `make grade`'s `barrier` test.

`pthread_cond_wait` releases the `mutex` when called, and re-acquires the `mutex` before returning.

We have given you `barrier_init()`. Your job is to implement `barrier()` so that the panic doesn't occur. We've defined `struct barrier` for you; its fields are for your use.

There are two issues that complicate your task:

- You have to deal with a succession of barrier calls, each of which we'll call a round. `bstate.round` records the current round. You should increment `bstate.round` each time all threads have reached the barrier.
- You have to handle the case in which one thread races around the loop before the others have exited the barrier. In particular, you are re-using the `bstate.nthread` variable from one round to the next. Make sure that a thread that leaves the barrier and races around the loop doesn't increase `bstate.nthread` while a previous round is still using it.

Test your code with one, two, and more than two threads.

Submit the lab

This completes the lab. Make sure you pass all of the make grade tests. If this lab had questions, don't forget to write up your answers to the questions in `answers-lab-name.txt`. Commit your changes (including adding `answers-lab-name.txt`) and type `make handin` in the lab directory to hand in your lab. Create a new file, `time.txt`, and put in it a single integer, the number of hours you spent on the lab. Don't forget to `git add` and `git commit` the file. You will turn in your assignments using the [submission website](#). You need to request once an API key from the submission website before you can turn in any assignments or labs.

After committing your final changes to the lab, type `make handin` to submit your lab.

```
1 $ git commit -am "ready to submit my lab"
2 [util c2e3c8b] ready to submit my lab
3 2 files changed, 18 insertions(+), 2 deletions(-)
4
5 $ make handin
6 tar: Removing leading `/' from member names
7 Get an API key for yourself by visiting
8 https://6828.scripts.mit.edu/2020/handin.py/
9 Please enter your API key: XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
10 % Total      % Received % Xferd  Average Speed   Time    Time
    Time      Current
                                 Dload  Upload  Total  Spent
    Left  Speed
11 100 79258  100    239   100 79019    853    275k --:--:-- --:--:--
    --:--:-- 276k
12 $
```

`make handin` will store your API key in *myapi.key*. If you need to change your API key, just remove this file and let `make handin` generate it again (*myapi.key* must not include newline characters).

If you run `make handin` and you have either uncommitted changes or untracked files, you will see output similar to the following:

```
1 M hello.c
2 ?? bar.c
3 ?? foo.pyc
4 Untracked files will not be handed in. Continue? [y/N]
```

Inspect the above lines and make sure all files that your lab solution needs are tracked i.e. not listed in a line that begins with `??`. You can cause `git` to track a new file that you create using `git add filename`.

If make handin does not work properly, try fixing the problem with the curl or Git commands. Or you can run make tarball. This will make a tar file for you, which you can then upload via our [web interface](#).

- Please run `make grade` to ensure that your code passes all of the tests
- Commit any modified source code before running `make handin`
- You can inspect the status of your submission and download the submitted code at <https://6828.scripts.mit.edu/2020/handin.py/>